0001	
1	
2	EASTERN INTERIOR ALASKA SUBSISTENCE
3	REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
4	
5	PUBLIC MEETING
6	
7	
8	VOLUME III
9	DVIZE / G. MARRIED ED OME. I OD CE
10	PYKE'S WATERFRONT LODGE
11 12	Fairbanks, Alaska
13	October 10,2024 9:00 am
14	9:00 all
15	
16	
17	COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
18	
	Donald Woodruff
	Susan Entsminger
	Linda Evans
	Andrew Bassich
23	Amanda Pope
24	•
25	
26	
27	Regional Council Coordinator, Brooke McDavid
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	Decembed and turn and had been
35 36	Recorded and transcribed by:
37	Lighthouse Integrated Services Corp
38	877-261-2495
39	Info.@lighthouseonline.com
40	into.(iignenoubcontine.com
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	

excused.

1 2 MR. WOODRUFF: Andrew Bassich. 3 4 MR. BASSICH: Here. 5 6 MR. WOODRUFF: Charlie Wright. 7 8 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Here. 9 10 MR. WOODRUFF: Charlie Jagow, not here. 11 Don Woodruff is here. Dorothy Shockley, are you out 12 there? 13 14 (No response) 15 16 MS. MCDAVID: I don't see Dorothy online 17 yet this morning. She might be joining us later. Mr. 18 Chair, with six of nine Council members present, we have 19 quorum. 20 21 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you so much. 22 Okay. You have any meeting announcements this morning? 23 24 MS. MCDAVID: I do, I've lost my agenda 25 in the mix, but I will let folks know we're going to 26 start out with public comments on non-agenda items this 27 morning. If you would like to provide a comment about 28 anything related to subsistence, if you're in the room, 29 you can fill out a blue card. If you're online, you can 30 press star five or raise your hand and we'll call on 31 you. After public comments, we're going to have a couple 32 of presentations from Alaska Department of Fish and 33 Game. And then following that, we will move back into 34 our action items on the agenda, starting with the Yukon 35 River Priority Information Needs and the Annual Report. 36 Thanks. 37 38 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Welcome, 39 morning, everybody again. Anybody in the room that wasn't here for the last couple days that wants to 40 41 introduce themselves that's -- this is the time to do 42 that. Thank you. You can come up to the mic or not, one 43 way or the other. 44 45 Okay. We'll be moving into public 46 testimony at this time. You got cards? Oh, okay. So, what do you want to go to the Fish and Game first?

47 48 49

50

MS. MCDAVID: Is there anyone online that would like to give a public testimony this morning? You

can say, Mr. Chair or press star five on your phone and
we'll call on you.

(No response)

I don't see anyone online indicating they'd like to comment. We did have a couple people call in, I was wondering if either of those phone numbers are [sic] Council member Dorothy Shockley? If so, if you could identify yourself. Thank you.

(No response)

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. Not hearing

16 nothing.

(Pause)

This morning we'll start with the --moving on we'll start with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and the Porcupine Herd report, please.

(Pause)

MR. NELSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record, my name is Mark Nelson. The area biologist for the Northeast Alaska and that's Game management Units 25A, B, D, and 26B and C on the north side. Before I get into the Porcupine Herd, I just wanted to address a couple of things that I've been hearing over the last couple of days. The first one is with 25D, the trespass issues that that folks have talked about. That area, as you guys all well know is very complicated moose hunting. There's two permit systems in place, a Federal permit and a State permit. The State is a tier two permit, which means you have to apply for it. This has been in place for a long time. The difference this year is that for the first time ever, all 75 tier two permits were given out. Normally there's 75 available and 40 to maybe 50 people actually get them.

When we noticed that this happened, that we actually gave out all the permits, we realized that there might be some issues coming down the road. So, Fish and Game worked with Yukon Flats Refuge, who deals with the Federal permit and we came up with some language to send to every permit holder. This was put into a letter, and I have a copy of it here and I'd be happy to leave that with Brooke and distribute to you guys.

1 But the letter lays out very clearly. And this is language that again, we worked with the Yukon Flats Refuge on. It lays out very clearly where each permit is valid. And just so you guys know, if it's corporation 5 land or Native land or anything like that, it's 6 considered private land as far as hunting goes, that means the Federal permit is not valid on those private 8 lands, corporation lands, anything like that. You have 9 to use the State permit. On Federal land, the State 10 permit is not valid. If you're on State land, which is 11 basically all Refuge lands in that area, you have to use 12 the Federal permit. So, it's very complicated. But we wrote this letter, we gave links to land ownership maps. 13 14 links to Federal regulations, gave regulations, and really tried to outline for people what 15 16 they can and can't do. And the biggest thing we tried to say was, if you are not -- if you're hunting with 17 18 this State permit on anything besides State land, of 19 which there's very, very little there's very little 20 State land in this whole area. If you're hunting on any 21 of those lands that are considered private, you have to 22 have permission. So, if it's corporation land, you have 23 to have permission from the corporation. If it's a Native 24 allotment, you have to have permission from whoever owns 25 that Native allotment. We really tried to make sure 26 everybody was aware of this. And I did talk to a lot of 27 people, I had my contact information on here, and a lot of these permit holders called me and said, geez, I 28 29 didn't realize that, you know and by talking through 30 this, I think we curbed some of that. We will continue 31 this in the future. If you guys have suggestions for 32 ways to make that outreach better, I'd be happy to hear 33 it. And like I said, my contact information is on this 34 letter. So, I just wanted to kind of let you know that, 35 you know, Fish and Game and the Refuge realizes that 36 that's an issue out there, and we're trying to do what 37 we can to curb those, those issues. So, any questions 38 on that before I go on to the next thing? 39

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any questions for the man? Anybody, public? Okay. Hearing none. Thank you.

41 42 43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

40

MR. NELSON: Okay. So, the next thing I wanted to talk about which is related to Porcupine Caribou, before I get into the update itself, we heard from Tonya from Arctic Village the other day yesterday. And I think we really need to commend and applaud her for -- and other folks in Arctic for taking that concerned they had, getting tail numbers of airplanes, getting names of airplane operators that they thought

were an issue, and forwarding those on to enforcement folks. We often hear, you know, stories, we hear people like, I saw this, you know, I saw wanton waste. Unless we do that, unless folks are willing to do that and take those things to enforcement, there's really nothing anybody can do about it. And so, I really think that effort on the part of Arctic Village this year is, is awesome. And I hope we see more of that in the future.

8 9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

5 6

7

So, moving into the Porcupine Herd management. As you know, this is a herd that is jointly managed between state of Alaska and Canada. We meet a couple times a year with the Canadians. Everything we do is a combined effort from purchasing collars to deploying collars to monitoring movements to doing any kind of actual you know, monitoring of that herd. This actually allows us to combine resources, and oftentimes it allows us to do more work than maybe we could do if we didn't have that cooperation. The gold standard, basically for managing caribou is figuring out how many there are. We do that through our photo census method. This herd has been really hard to photo census. The last census was in 2017. We've tried every year. We had the Beaver up there two years ago, we took some photos of one group and hoped the other group would come together. They never did. But this -- I just want you guys to know we are -- this is probably one of the top priorities in our region, is to try and get this photo census done. We'll be working again this year to try and get that, but we haven't gotten one since 2017. So, as far as the actual number of caribou out there, we don't know right now. In 2017, the estimate was between 202,000 and 234,000. That was the highest number of caribou that we had counted in that herd. And so, for decades, basically, that herd has been growing and growing and growing. At some point, it's going to stop growing. And like I said, we don't know how many there are. We might be there now, we might not be. We really don't know. We look at a lot of other things when we can't get a number. We look at things like adult survival, parturition rates. How many, how often they're calving, how many calves are being produced each year. And things like that.

42 43 44

45 46

47

48 49

50

So, to go through just a couple of those, adult female survival is very, very important for caribou, right? When adult female survival declines, oftentimes there's a decline in the population. Our average over the last ten years has been about 88% survival. That should be enough to at least hold that population stable. Typically, once we get below about

85, 86 somewhere in there and lower, that's when we start seeing declines become more imminent. In 2022, the survival was 83%. So, it did drop a little bit below that.

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

We do -- we have a little bit of data on yearling and adult bull survival. Our sample sizes for those are pretty low. But I'll just share that information with you. Adult male survival over the last ten years has been about 70%. In 2022, it was 75%. We expect a lower survival on bulls, they work hard in the rut, and they go right into winter, and they have a pretty tough life. And so, survival on bulls is always lower in every herd. Yearling survival. Now, this is calculated from when we catch them in March is about ten months old. We then look at them, how many of those survive a full year. A yearling survival, you know, is important. Again, we don't capture that many each year. So, our, our sample sizes are still fairly low. But it does give us an idea of that kind of early survival once they've reached about a year to make it to two years. Over the last ten years, that's been about 83%. And in 2022, it was still at 83%. So, that's doesn't seem to be changing all that much. If you'll notice, all those survival estimates are from 2022. We haven't calculated the 2023, which actually goes into 2024. We do these calculations by caribou year. So, starting in June and ending in May. We should have that -- those results from this last year out here pretty soon. But I don't have those today.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

Parturition. We look at a few different things. We look at the age of the cow because we're collaring them as yearlings, we then can follow them throughout the years and know how old each cow -- adult cow is that we have on air. Four-year-old and older parturition over the last ten years has been about 76%. In 2024, so this last year it was at 86%. So, it seems like it's, you know, hanging in there and we have no concerns there. Three-year-old and older or -- that's so three year old only, okay so, these are cows that are three-year-old, this is always going to be a lower percentage because that's the first year that they may or may not even have a calf. When the nutrition is really good, we would expect a few more of those to have calves. The long-term average for three-year-olds is about 69-70%. The last couple of years, we've seen that decline down into the -- it was even into 33% this year. So, there's definitely something happening there. Typically, that's an indication of habitat and nutrition. So, that

is a sign that potentially we're seeing at least a topping out of the growth of this herd.

We look at another once the calves are born, we don't spend -- we don't actually go catch them or anything like that right now. There are ways that we could do that, but they're super expensive. And we're not we're not putting the effort into that early calf survival. However, we look at those cows that had calves in June, and then we go and fly in three weeks later. And if they still have a calf, then we can use that as a proxy for early calf survival. And in this last year, that early calf survival is at 79%. The long-term average is 86%. So, we're still kind of in that ballpark. And typically, in these North Slope herds, once those calves lived 3 or 4 weeks their survival is much higher. It's those first three, four weeks that are real tough for these calves. So, with that, with everything there are signs in some of these metrics that we're probably not still growing. I don't know, and nobody frankly knows if we were in a decline or if we're kind of right at the top or where we are. But since the last photo census again was in 2017 at over 200,000, that's the highest again that we've ever counted in that herd. Yeah, that's where we are with the Porcupine Herd. Any questions?

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, thank you. Thank you for that report. I'm just curious. I was thinking back many, many years. There was a period, I believe it was right around the beginning of the 2000, maybe the late 90s, where Canada was doing a lot of sterilization of wolves to help foster better production of caribou. I'm wondering if that was done on that herd in those areas and if there's been any attempt to try and measure the success or failure of that. Do you have any information on that?

MR. NELSON: I have no idea on that.

MR. BASSICH: I mean, I can remember that was a I'm not going to say controversial, but it was talked about a lot. And I think it came up at 40-mile coalition meetings because at the time we were trying to rebuild the herd, and we're trying to come up with methodologies for doing that. Well, if you get any information or if you could look into that, that would have been done purely on the Canadian side. And I know they did it more in central Canada, but I don't know if they ever did it for the Porcupine Herd. But I'd be

really interested if there's any information from the Canadians on how successful that is, as compared to trapping and hunting predators for predator control. And if you could, maybe if you do find anything, get it to our Coordinator, because we're hoping we're going to be able to get a Fortymile coalition meeting together. And that might be really useful information for us moving forward. Thank you. And by the way, just tell the Canadians to push a few more of those over the hills to Eagle. I know they do come down some years. They come down the Nation River and we'll see them down on the Yukon River, sometimes mixing with the Fortymile Herd. And then in the Ogilvie Mountains there are times they kind of come down into the Yukon Valley from there. It's less accessible for people from Eagle. But I do know that that does happen occasionally, and it seems like when there's a pretty good growth of the herd, they seem to push out a little bit more. So, I don't know if we're going to see that, but thank you. Appreciate your report.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you. Any more -- any more questions?

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Charlie. Thank you, Mark, for that report. I wanted to get on the record that Eagle has, over the years harvested not a lot, but some caribou from the Porcupine Herd. And I think that it's important that we communicate with the people of Eagle about what's happening with the population and these metrics that you gave us. So, I'll relate that to them. Thank you.

MR. NELSON: Thank you. Thanks for that.

Yep.

MS. ENTSMINGER: I think I got -- this is Sue. This question might not be for you, but I think there's some black bear areas in your area that's five. Do you have any data or can you give me data on the five black bear areas that -- does anyone ever take five black bears? They now have to do a harvest report. So, I would hope that there is some data out there. Thank you.

MR. NELSON: Yeah. So, in my area, 25B and 25D are both five black bear areas. And neither of those do you actually have to have a harvest ticket. So, there's essentially no actual harvest data associated with those.

1 MS. ENTSMINGER: Because it's north of 3 the Yukon?

MR. NELSON: I don't know if it's that exactly, but they're both areas where there has never been a black bear concern. In fact, in 25-Delta there's a lot of black bears. And when we've done -- we did a survey for black bears back in the somewhere around 2010, I think it might have been 2008, and we did like a mark recapture for black bears in that area. And there's more black bears than there are moose. And so, that's -- when those regulations kind of came into play, that there is no shortage of black bears. And so, let's open this up and provide maximum opportunity. I really doubt very many people take advantage of that. Most people get one bear, and that's enough for making sausage and all that kind of stuff that they want. But there's probably a few folks that that do take more than that, you know? But I don't think it's very many just in talking to hunters that go up there and stuff like that, there's not that many people who really try to get like five bears every year.

MS. ENTSMINGER: I wish I knew the answer, and I probably did once, but I forgot. Why is it that they don't need harvest tickets and other places in the State does.

MR. NELSON: Harvest tickets? I don't believe were ever for black bears. There's only been a few places where harvest tickets are now required. Some places now do. Yep, that's a newer thing though. That's a newer regulation.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ ENTSMINGER: You might ask and let me know. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Donald.

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Charlie. This is Donald Woodruff. I just want to point out to the Council and the audience that now that we have very little access to fish, that the black bears are going to become more targeted. And I don't see that as a conservation concern, but I do see that as a realistic food source, as a substitute for the Fortymile caribou that's in decline. And it would help if we harvested more black bears. It would help the ungulates quite a bit. Thank you.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

(Pause)

MR. NELSON: Yeah, thanks for that. And I do want to mention that at the last Board of Game meeting, there was actually a proposal that talked to that, that talked about folks wanting to take more black bears for meat and tried to figure out a way to allow snaring of bears. And so, that was something that was discussed at the Board of Game. It wasn't passed, but those issues have been brought up to the Board. And they're taking note of that I believe so, yep.

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

BASSICH: Yeah. Just additional MS. information, you know, I'm a heavy harvester of black bears. That's pretty much all I have now is black bears, beavers and muskrats. It's about what we're down to. Pretty sad actually. There are a few moose around, and sometimes you get lucky. But I do want to report that I live very close to Calico Bluff, Unit 25B is just the other side of the river. The banks and the riverbeds are just trampled with black bear and grizzly. The very high population there right now I think it's always been fairly high, but it just seems like in the last two years or so, it's been quite a bit more than I've seen in the past. And I also wanted to just put on the record that culturally, the indigenous people in our region don't eat black bear. So, as far as the indigenous people and their food needs, that's a taboo harvest for them. I just wanted to put that on the record, because a lot of people don't recognize that. And the other -- the last thing I wanted to point out is, it's pretty sparsely populated country. So, as far as human impacts into it there aren't any large communities really in a lot of these areas, at least, you know, in our area we have a pretty small population and Circle is pretty small population. And that's really about the only population bordering. So, like Don said, I think harvest impacts are always going to be pretty minimal. I think animals are going to stay well ahead of the harvest pressure put on them as far as black bears go. And quite frankly, grizzlies. I'm seeing way more grizzly now than I did even two years ago. They're doing really well for some reason. Maybe that's why the moose are down, but whatever. I just want to put that on the record as informational for that region. Thank you.

46 47 48

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Sue.

49

MS. ENTSMINGER: Just one more. For the record, it's a mixed bag with the indigenous people where I live. There's some that eat black bear and some that don't. And in the last ten years, we're eating a lot more bear. And people -- I just -- people come to the house, I feed them bear meat, whatever I'm making. And man, that's really good, Sue. That's delicious. So, then do I tell them or do I not tell them? Most of the time I tell them. And then a lot of the hunters that we have, they'll take it home when they didn't even want it. So, it's really interesting. It is a mixed bag with indigenous people.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I'd like to say a little bit, too. In Rampart area, we're kind of corralled by the highways, and four-Wheeler trails are coming all around us into the Garnett Creek valley. And it's really hard to even find a black bear track, and we love to eat them. That's kind of the reason, probably why, too, is all the guys in the neighborhood eat them all the way down Rampart, down. And I can't speak for in the Flats, but I know the guys along the Yukon below me enjoy a nice fat black bear meat. And we do and I know in with the new regulations and permits coming out in certain areas that you guys are watching and hopefully pay attention to them. You have no way of knowing. So, I guess people with boots on the ground will have to say and try to help you out as much as we can, but there are some areas that I have concern with, and maybe we can talk after or something. But some people, a lot of areas are different, as we know. Thank you.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Thank you. I'm going to speak for her. She's -- Amanda puts up a lot of time with the Indigenous Sentinel Network. And this might be one of those things that would be really good to begin to utilize that system in various areas. And it might be something. I don't know if ADF&A is a part of any of that, but I think that reporting from local people might fill some of these gaps, and it's about what it's going to take, is just getting some people in a few communities to get started doing it. And then, you know, it'll grow from there, I think. But it's a pretty amazing system for monitoring. Very intuitive. Maybe you ought to speak to it. You speak to it way better than I do.

MS. POPE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Amanda Pope for the record. I encourage the communities to reach out and use the system. It won't be anything unless they're involved. So, I encourage the folks in

the region to reach out and to be involved with trying to get not only people on the ground and on -- and out on the land, but to document and observe the animals around them is very important, especially for food security issues. As far as the bear, I would like to see another survey done. Just so that will give folks in the region a better idea of what's available to them. A lot of folks are -- I've heard already mentioned that the consumption of black bear is against some religions and most religions in the interior. A lot of men in those religions eat the bear and it's kind of taboo for women to eat it. But when times are scarce, people will harvest anything, and it's hard to get food out in the villages.

I like -- myself, like Andy, I harvest bear, and that's really my favorite food off the land. I also eat beaver, muskrat, my children harvest waterfowl and grouse so, we eat that. It's very rare to see a moose in the area where I'm from, it's kind of like seeing bigfoot. It's sad, the caribou numbers have dropped dramatically for a number of reasons, and bear I see in the future will be harvested more. It's a type of a protein that people need. And I just encourage people to step up and be involved with the monitoring efforts, not only because I work for ISN I just think it's important because of the food security issues that we have, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you so much for that, Amanda. Any more questions?

(No comment)

Hearing none, you may move on.

MR. NELSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I think you're next again. Unit 20F moose update, Tony. Yeah, Tony.

(Pause)

 MR. HOLLIS: Mr. Chairman, member of the Council, thank you. My name is Tony Hollis, I'm the area biologist for the Fairbanks area for the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. That includes units 20A, 20B, 20C, 20F and 25C. For reference, that area is about the size of Maine. Today I'm here to give a report on Unit 20F as (indiscernible) or asked by this Council.

50 20F is kind of a unique unit compared to some of the

1 other units around Fairbanks that lies northwest of Fairbanks. It's about 6287mi². The moose population is a low-density moose population. We have no survey data in that unit at this time, but we estimate there's 5 between point two and point seven moose per square mile. 6 If you extrapolate that out to the 6000mi², that's somewhere between 1,257 moose and a little over 4,000. 8 It's likely that unit is on the lower end of that cause 9 it is low density. The moose population in 20F is mostly 10 limited by habitat, a good portion of Unit 20F is very poor moose habitat. Lots of black spruce forests. There 11 are some pockets of moose habitat throughout the area, 12 13 but they're small and not great. The population of moose 14 is probably also limited in those areas where there is 15 good habitat by predation. We do know there's quite a 16 few bears such as -- just like in the Yukon Flats, Unit 17 20F has quite a few bears and there is a healthy wolf 18 population. Also to note, the Board of Game has a negative finding for intensive management for Unit 20F, 19 20 so unlike some units that have a positive finding, Unit 21 20F does have a negative finding.

22 23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

I'd also like to note that at this time, and for over the last 25 years there is no non-resident harvest of moose in that unit, it's been -- there's been -- Unit 20F is closed to non-resident taking a moose and has been for over 25 years. Some of the numbers for moose hunting in Unit 20F on average over the last ten years, there's about 183 people that hunt Unit 20F, and that ranges everywhere from along the Dalton Highway and Hess Creek, all the way down the Yukon River to near Tanana and then up the Tanana River, kinda to almost the Manley area. So, about 183 hunters per year. And the average harvest in the Unit is 35 moose. So, we don't have a large take of moose in Unit 20F. A couple of things about Unit 20F also, and kind of the surrounding areas. This past spring, the Board of Game passed a regulation that changed all of the Fairbanks units. Instead of general season moose harvest ticket, we changed it to a registration permit, RM781 the purpose of this registration permit was for better reporting and accounting for the number of hunters and moose taken in all the Fairbanks units, including Unit 20F, when we went through this process prior to the Board of Game, we had approval from every AC in the area that supported going to a registration permit from a general season harvest ticket to a registration permit.

47 48 49

50

One thing for the Council to note some of the units, such as 25C that have longer Federal

subsistence moose seasons, in the regulations it still states that a harvest ticket is needed. However, the state-side is using a registration permit. And so there has been some confusion this fall amongst subsistence hunters and amongst agencies on which permit hunters should get, so it might be something the Council would like -- may want to address at some point in the future some of the confusion amongst alleviate subsistence hunters on which tag to get. Because we have this registration permit, reporting is more immediate, and we get data quicker. So far, for the 2024 season in Unit 20F, we've had 127 hunters report hunting there and a harvest of 31 moose so far. Because of the regulations in 20F, there is still a winter hunt and a portion of Unit 20F that goes from December 1st to December 15th. So likely, more harvest reports will be reported on or more registration permits. And also, there will be some participation in a winter hunt that will be occurring. Other than the data I've shared with you. We do not have a lot of other data on Unit 20F, since we do not conduct and have not conducted moose surveys in that area. But I'd be happy to answer any questions the Council may have regarding this topic, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I, for one, appreciate your report. Thank you so much, really good. I don't know if anybody else has any more questions. Go ahead. I appreciate that, Tony.

MR. HOLLIS: Yep.

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Charlie, this is Don. Can you explain to me how you came up with the 4000 moose in that population? If most of the habitat is not moose popular.

MR. HOLLIS: So, that was an extrapolation. We know from other units around the State with similar habitat types that on a low-density moose population, it's somewhere between 0.2 moose per square mile and 0.7 moose per square mile. So, that's basically just an extrapolation of -- Unit 20F is a little over 6000mi² so, if you multiply 0.2 times 6000mi², you have a little over 1,200 moose, up to a potential of 0.7 times 6,000 would be 4,400 moose. I think based on anecdotal information, our harvest we're probably closer to that 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 moose per square mile, so very low density.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, this is member Bassich, I was just wondering, you said that there hasn't been any surveys. Are there any plans to try and survey that Unit -- subunit in the future?

MR. HOLLIS: For the Fairbanks area it's difficult. So, we have Unit 20A and 20B, and between the two we have about 6,000 hunters that hunt those two units alone and harvest somewhere on the order of, you know, somewhere on the order of 800 to 1,200 moose annually. So, generally, a lot of our efforts go into those two units based on the sheer number of people hunting them. The Fairbanks area in general has about 8,000 hunter -- moose hunters a year. So, priority-wise, a lot of times 20F falls off because there's low number of hunters that hunt it, low harvest. But on the other side of that too is it's a low density moose population with a lot of poor habitat. And we know the population likely isn't going to change much in that country.

MR. BASSICH: With the -- excuse me member BASSICH with the caveat that if hunting pressure begins to shift, then the potential for a conservation issue is much greater. That's the point I'm trying to make, and that's why, you know, at some point in time it might not be a bad idea to do a moose survey in the area. So, you have a baseline for later on, because as you've heard us speak throughout this meeting, there's a lot of concerns about the growth of Alaska and the competition that will come with that. So, I just wanted to point that out, thank you.

MR. HOLLIS: Through the Chair, member Bassich. That's exactly right and that's why harvest data is very critical, especially in these units. And that's why we went to a registration permit. So, we get better harvest data because not only the number of moose harvested, but the effort. And those two metrics are very important, particularly on a unit like this, it's low density. We start seeing, you know, really, you know, below average harvest and increasing effort than we know. That we should probably look at it. On the flip side to that, when you survey a low-density units, something that maybe only has 0.2, 0.3 moose per square mile. Our survey techniques aren't quite as accurate because you have to -- it's a random sample of country you fly, and if you hit all the black spruce and don't hit, you know, fish lake, that's going to change your

number and it's gonna look lower, vice versa, if you hit all fish lake type country or Hess Creek, then we're gonna see a bigger number and probably not representative of the whole Unit.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Amanda.

 MS. POPE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just wanted to apologize for earlier. The amount of animals that you see are very different from what people see on the ground. And I would like to encourage your department to reach out to the folks in the region and offer for their participation in the survey (distortion) efforts. I know a lot of folks would like to get involved. And there's a good chance that all agencies can work together with the locals to try and get better numbers to help everybody. And I know some of these game units that you are managing are not being surveyed as well as it should be, and that may not be your fault. So, I just encourage you to reach out to locals to try and get them involved.

As far as the people coming in to the area and hunting. I'm very concerned because of trespassing issues that have been going on for years and not only with moose, with bear as well. There's a lot of folks let's say south of the Steese Highway who come up into 25C and -- on bear bait, and a lot of them are trespassing on Native corporation land. And it'll be nice if, like this colored sheet of paper is on, like the Federal and the State regs. It's very hard for people to know where land is at. And nothing's perfect with finding out which checkerboard you're in while you're on the land. And as far as people coming into the land, they're very not respectful towards the people in the area who live there. And the competition for harvesting a large game animal is very high and has been for a while. So, I just wanted to share that and encourage your department to reach out to locals to try and get involved, thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ HOLLIS: Through the Chair, member Pope, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I just have a question on the low density and why. The question is why is no intensive management done on low density area? Why was their -- what was their decision or driver of their decision to not do intensive management on that low density? Thank you.

00018 1 MR. HOLLIS: Mr. Chairman. I don't know 2 why that was -- you know, that determination was years ago. I mean, years ago, probably 30 years ago. And I don't know that it's ever been revisited, but I'm 5 guessing some of it was, you know, even back then, there 6 was probably even fewer hunters hunting it. It was probably somewhat had to do -- I mean, fewer overall 8 hunters maybe not, you know, in -- from local areas. But 9 overall numbers, I'm guessing, were lower back when that 10 determination was made. But the other part of that is probably the quality of habitat in that unit and what 11 may be very unsuccessful at trying to, you know, use 12 tactics such as predator control to, you know, raise the 13 14 moose population due to the habitat. And you know what 15 the carrying capacity could be of moose in there. That's 16 my quess. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: And, you know, the 19 villages have shrunk tremendously. And I used to have 20 1,100 people there 30 years ago in Rampart, you know, one time had 10,000 people. There wasn't much left after 21 22 they left and for a while, but even Manley Hot Springs 23 and Tanana is 140 people now, and Rampart has only got 50-60 people in there. You know, I just wanted to let 24 25 you know that I think there was probably a lot more 26 hunters back then and or maybe not as much reporting. 27 You know, I don't know what the difference was, but I 28 just had that question and I think maybe might be 29 something for a proposal for the future or something 30 more -- to look at more in depth. I appreciate your 31 report, thank you. Any more questions for this good man? 32 33 (No response) 34 35 Thank you, sir. 36 37 MR. HOLLIS: Thank you for the 38 opportunity, appreciate it. 40

39

(Pause)

41 42

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Mr. Thomas Eaton, you got the floor, thank you.

43 44 45

(Pause)

46

47 MR. SEATON: All right, everybody, hear 48 me now. Should I wait for a Chair, or should I? Okay, 49 so, I'm Tom Seaton, the Wood Bison Project biologist. 50 Thank you for the invitation to talk to you today. Luke

Rogers is the assistant Wood Bison Project biologist, 1 and he's on a Cold River trip from -- down at the holding pen to Nenana today. So, we've got the easier work. So, what I wanted to do was update you a little bit. I know 5 that the Federal Subsistence Board and thus this RAC 6 doesn't have the authority on non-Federal lands, but it's important to us to continue to communicate any kind 8 of updates and keep you up on what's going on with the 9 Wood Bison Project. I'll start with the amount of 10 outreach and education that we've done. Over the last ten years, we've had nine wood bison planning team 11 12 meetings, which were three days each with as much as 30 13 interest groups attending. And the interest groups that 14 attend are associated local communities regional 15 Advisory Councils, the ACs, tribes, Native corporations, 16 wildlife conservation interests, NGOs, government 17 agencies, and regional population centers and industry. 18 So, those --all of those groups come in and they say 19 what they'd like there and provide input. And just recent 20 years, we had three day meeting for the Innoko Herd, and 21 we've had three different meetings totaling nine days 22 for the lower Tanana area in 2023 and 2024. And the 23 resulting recommendations are being worked up in a plan 24 for decision making bodies such as the Board of Game and 25 Fish and Game and other agencies and landowners 26 regarding wood bison restoration.

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

In addition to those planning team meetings in 2023, we spent 54 days in villages along the Tanana and Yukon drainage talking about wood bison with people. Then some of you attended and are familiar with the planning team meetings that we had for the Lower Tanana. The area where we've been discussing things is the Minto Flats State Game Refuge. And this summer, in June, we started a soft release pen there, built that with the help of people from Minto, Nenana, Manley, Fairbanks, and there was even a guy from Tetlin there, and we built that in about six weeks with a crew of 6 to 12 people, people coming in and out and fenced off about 100-acre meadow there. That's about a half mile off the river. Let's see, and then -- where it is, is about three miles up from the mouth of Kantishna, along the south bank of the Tanana, kinda halfway between the mouth of the Tolovana and the mouth of Kantishna. And in that area, there's the wet meadows that bison like for their winter feed, you know, the wet sedge meadows and in between that, there's these old pleistocene sand dunes and between those meadows where bison have a really broad array of habitats to choose from so they can be in those drier old sand dunes. When I say sand dunes,

we all know they're vegetated, they're just, you know, if you dig into them, you see, they're just sand that was deposited there about 10,000 years ago. But it provides this diversity habitat that's really good for bison there.

And let's see, we -- first how we did it? To do that is we go around a meadow of that really good habitat and we flag trees that are gonna be fence posts, and we cut a four wheeler path around there and just with hand tools, then we take rolls of wire and, and connect them to the trees and it's eight foot tall wire to keep the bison in and we don't use any spikes or staples or anything like that so that when we're done, we can just take the tie wire off and the wire comes down and there's no metal in the trees that somebody might encounter with a chainsaw in years to come or anything like that. Then we set up camp out there. We got a bunch of supplemental feed grown at Delta Junction this summer, it was a great hay summer, for the first half of the summer it was very dry as opposed to the last half of the summer, which was crazy wet. But we asked farmers in Delta last year to produce certified weed-free hay so that we could use it for the wood bison projects so that we wouldn't be moving any weeds around. And they did a really good job of that. We got 180 bales, round bales that are like 1,200 pounds each and got them out there with the barge. We also brought a bunch of pelletized supplemental feeds from the Alaska Mill and Feed in Anchorage.

So, in July and August, we shipped bison from the Large Animal Research Station and the Wildlife Conservation Center south of Anchorage, and for a total of 58 bison. Most of those are young animals so, yearlings and two-year-olds, but there are some three-year-olds in there also. And there should be about 7 or 8 females that could potentially give birth in the spring, which is an important thing for the release. The shipment from LARS was about an hour by truck and eight hours by barge, and the shipment from A to BCC south to Anchorage, excuse me, was about six hours by truck and eight hours by barge.

So, what we're doing now with the 58 bison in this pen? The pen is about 100 acres, and that's about two and a half miles of fencing around it. The bison are out there, they're eating you know, wild feeds that are -- that they're used to in a wild setting. And they're also eating the captive feeds that we brought

them, it's kind of a transition, a little bit of 1 rewilding going on there. And they've been doing that since they arrived in July and August. What we plan to do is continue with the best care we can throughout 5 October through April, and that includes a weekly bodycondition assessments, daily fence inspections, you know, the fence kinda goes through the forest. So, 8 whenever there's a wind, there's often a tree down, you 9 know, on the forest or something like that. So, first 10 thing in the morning we'll go walk the fence and get rid of any of that. The fence is pretty strong. So, even 11 12 when, some of those big spruce come down on it, it only, 13 you know, goes down to 4 or 5ft. The other thing, too, 14 is the bison are pretty happy where they're at. So, they're not interested in trying to find a hole through 15 16 the fence or something. Then of course, the daily feedings, the supplements, and then training bison to 17 18 be tractable is important. So, when we give our supplements, which is like the pelletized feed they 19 20 notice that we're giving it to them. And so, they're 21 interested in approaching us and are, you know, 22 following what we do and so, that we can use that as a 23 training tool for when we do open the gates and let them 24 out, we can kinda guide them out to out the gate.

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

So, later on this winter and towards spring, we'll try to assess pregnancy and the potential adult cows that could be giving birth. And we'll look at their feces and urine that they leave in the snow for that. And then when we have an understanding of who's pregnant, we'll watch them and wait for them to calve. And then after calving, when there's a flush of green, we can release them into the Refuge. The effort is to anchor them to this area and there's several ways that this effort is doing that. They'll spend a long time in the pen, there's good habitat all around the pen, cows are likely to calve in the pen. And so, one of the nice things about that is if you look at any kind of wild ungulate, wherever they calve, the cow is likely to include that in her annual home range every year and so, that'll help anchor them to the area. Another one is that the cows will have calves that heel when they're released. So, with a small calve at heel a cow can't travel a long distance. And the cool thing is that those cows that have calves are leading the whole group. So, it kind of affects everybody there, and that'll slow everybody down. And then of course, the flush of green right at green up, if we release them right then, is gonna help with the minimum amount of searching for really good food, you know when things first turn green,

almost anything is edible at that time. There's not a lot of defense compounds or fiber in the diet. So, they don't have to look far for food. And then another thing that's not about anchoring, but just about survival is that when these animals go out the youngest cohort, other than the brand-new young calves will be two years old. So, by the time they get to the following winter, they'll be past that kinda young and vulnerable stage for overwintering.

There is opportunities to participate. If anybody here or anybody listening to this today would like to participate. Just contact me and Luke and we can potentially get you out there to the pen to spend a little time if you'd like. The post release monitoring plan is to -- we've got all the bison collared right now, and we plan to observe their locations daily after release. And then we'll have intensive monitoring, just like we did in Innoko Herd, where we try to understand births and deaths, the number every year, what they're eating, what their health is like, that sort of thing. And we are prepared to train bison away from infrastructure like highway and railroad and things like that.

We're working on the implementation and management plan for the lower Tanana drainage. The plan includes input from 29 planning team interest groups. The draft plan has made it through a review with the Fish and Wildlife Service since back in the hands of Fish and Game, where we're addressing those that review. My intent today, of course, is to keep you aware of the progress. And the draft plan we're working on has all those nine full days of planning team meetings included in it. Are you -- through the Chair, are you interested in Innoko Herd update today, or am I running out of time? Okay.

So, the Innoko population, if I had a screen, I could show you a graph but essentially, it's had its ups and downs over the years. And after a really difficult winter, in the spring of 2023, we were down to about 75 animals. We went out and looked at them this year and on top of those 70 some animals there, they had about 21 calves, is what we could find and so, that we expect it to be growing back. We -- a lot of the bison were in the trees this summer or when we went to go inventory them and we couldn't get a really good count. So, we're waiting for a good snow layer. So, that's a white background to give it a try again. But I expect

that population to have jumped up into the 90s or high 1 80s or something like that and hopefully we'll be working our way up. Since then, we've been looking at weather data a little bit and the -- we got some weather data 5 from western Alaska. It looks like late winter snows 6 really matter to bison. And it does for, you know, caribou, moose and sheep and everything else too, 8 because animals that are out there are kind of getting 9 -- they're using up their body reserves all winter and 10 then they're kind of at their last of their reserves and then late winter snows can really affect mortality. And 11 12 when we look at Western Alaska snows over the last 100 13 years, this last ten years the bison have been in the 14 Innoko is more than double the average in late winter 15 snow, than any of the last 100 years. So, it's -- we're 16 just kind of got some bad luck in the Innoko as far as 17 crappy weather, but we can see that as all of you, I'm 18 sure know, you know, we've lost a lot of our sheep, a lot of our caribou, a lot of our moose in interior Alaska 19 20 from that same sort of weather in the last ten years. 21 And it's just kind of a difficult time. So, hopefully 22 things will go back to normal fairly soon. And that 23 concludes what I've got, if you have any questions I'd 24 be happy.

25 26

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any questions?

Donald.

272829

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Tom, for that report. What is the average survival rate for calves? The percentage.

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47

48

MR. SEATON: It depends on the herd. So, if you look at Delta Junction Plains Bison Herd that's been there about 96 years now, they -- it's often close to 100%, it's like 95%, it's really interesting. And one of the things that occurred for decades in the Delta area, you can go out as a manager you could go look at the number of calves that were born that year and consider that to be the amount that you could set up for harvest, you know. And that's very unique when it comes to animal populations. With moose, for example, of 100 calves that are born, you know, maybe ten will make it to adulthood, you know, maybe 15 something like that depends on where they're at. So, survival is generally really high with bison, but it depends if you have a really productive population or not. So, what I just said was Delta was a productive population. If you look at, for example, the Chitina Herd, that's not very

productive that -- I would say, you know, mortality is fairly high on calves.

3

5

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ WOODRUFF: I got one question to go. And so, what is the snow depth that they can tolerate? The most snow depth they can tolerate.

6 7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

MR. SEATON: Through the Chair. Yeah, the -- that depends on the conditions of the snow. So, when snow is soft bison can handle snow up to a meter deep, you know. So, three feet deep or more. And there's plenty of evidence where they do just fine when that's soft, which is a lot like Interior conditions. But in recent years we've had rain on snow events and melting events that settle that snow, make ice layers, make things hard and then that's much more difficult for bison to get through that. And it's kind of a fine line because if you're really cold the snow stays soft. If you warm up some to near freezing, then the snow can set up those ice layers and be hard. But if you warm up a little more to where the snow melts, then you've got open forage and that's what happened in '21, '22 when -- I think I'm saying the right year, when we had the December rain on snow event here in town and in Delta Junction, that just wreaked havoc on ungulates all over the Interior. In the Innoko at that same time, that storm was a little bit warmer, and so it was 45 degrees for like nine days, and it melted all the snow out. Mortality was almost zero that winter. Bison had this big break in the middle of winter where there was no snow at all and they did great. You know, the -- all the yearlings survived, and the calves survived, and everything was good while here in the Interior it was going really bad. Now that difference in temperature was like less than ten degrees between what it was here and what it was in the Innoko. And so, you know, as the climate warms, if we believe that it's warming you know, we're just kind of like, right on that bad edge right now to where we have, you know, chinooks that melt stuff out versus just these icing events right in the middle. But they can handle a lot of snow, they're designed for, you know, snow and northern climates. That's one of the things about wood bison that's different than plains bison is that, that shape of that hump and the bigger head and all that is designed for sweeping snow, that's what it's for.

45 46 47

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any other questions? We appreciate your report, thank you so much.

48 49 50

MR. SEATON: Welcome.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I've been told that you have a report for the Fortymile Herd, Jeff and Jason. Thank you, guys, for being here.

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GROSS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record, my name is Jeff Gross. I'm the Alaska Department of Fish and Game area biologist based out of Tok. I do manage the Fortymile Caribou Herd. My supervisor Jason Caikoski is online as well, may be able to answer a few questions if I'm unable to. Apologize I don't have a formal report I'm actually in town doing Fortymile caribou captures this week, and we got enough staff today that they were able to go out without me and so I can certainly answer some questions. I know there's some specific questions some folks have for us. Just in general, kinda 10,000-foot view of the Fortymile Herd. We -- the Herd's been in decline since about 2018, it peaked out in 2017 at about 80 -- 80,000 caribou or a little better. Right now, the best estimate we have is about 30,000 give or take is in the Herd right now. We did get a photo census this summer, and photographs are being counted as we speak here. Hopefully we'll have that done in the next week or so. I did get the composition survey done on Tuesday this week, which is good, we didn't get one last year.

262728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

Obviously, the harvest quotas on the Herd have gone way down. And we're gonna be faced with some various challenges as time goes on here. We did have some harvest on the Steese Highway and in the flyin areas. This fall, there was almost no harvest over on the Taylor Highway side. I doubt anybody in Eagle took caribou this fall. But there will be a winter hunt, depending on the number of caribou close to highways we could see a couple of different management strategies to try to still provide opportunity. We do have a targeted hunt that we've been accepting applications for, which is kind of like a draw hunt, basically a draw hunt. If need be, we'll utilize that but it's kind of a last resort to provide opportunity if we just can't open the normal registration hunt because it's just too many caribou available. I would anticipate that would probably only occur on the Steese Highway. I doubt it will happen on the Taylor Highway, the Taylor Highway should still see a registration hunt, best guess. There are caribou though so, who knows. But otherwise, I'm happy to answer questions. Anybody has any?

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you so much for taking your day to come over here and talk with us. We really appreciate you being here. It makes a world of difference to have you guys in the room so we can look at you, and listen to you, and talk with you. So, I really appreciate, any questions. Thank you. Oh, go ahead, Andy.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, thank you for the record, member Bassich. Jeff, we've been talking a lot about the Fortymile Caribou Herd and the need for the Coalition meeting. So, can you update us on any plans for another Fortymile Coalition meeting this coming winter?

MR. GROSS: We have been working toward that. Jason is on the line, I might let him address this further, but right now there is an effort to send some information out to members to work toward having those meetings this winter. I don't know, Jason, are you online?

MR. CAIKOSKI: Yeah, I'm here. Chairman Wright, members of the Council, this is Jason Caikoski, the Management Coordinator for Department of Fish and Game here in Fairbanks, sitting at my desk. Can you guys hear me okay?

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yes, sir. Go ahead.

MR. CAIKOSKI: All right, thank you. Yeah, thanks for having me. We've gotten several requests over the last several months from the State ACs as well as obviously an inquiry here from this Council to get the Coalition back together and revise and or update that Harvest Management Plan. The department is very close to sending out a letter to past Coalition members to work on convening a meeting or a series of meetings to start maybe as early as late winter or possibly spring. The department is currently exploring financial options to pay for this meeting, both in terms of venue and travel for Coalition members and we'll be working on that with -- some of those aspects anyways, with our other agency, you know, cooperators, BLM, National Park Service etc. Obviously, this Council was a member of the Coalition in the past. You guys will be getting a letter to participate and be a part of that coalition again this go around. And with that, I don't really have any more specific updates other than you guys will be receiving a letter, and we're gonna get

that group together. I heard possibly through -- I can't remember, there might be interest in you guys electing, if that's the right word, a member of this Council and then possibly an alternate for those meetings. Again, you all have opportunity to do that in the future, but if that's something you want to take advantage of now at the will of the Chair and this Council, you're welcome to do that at this meeting if that's a good use of your time. Otherwise, there'll be opportunity for that in the future.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, member Bassich here. Thank you very much. I just want to really emphasize the importance of this. I've spoken with a number of the AC Chairs in the region that are on that Council, and everyone feels the same, that it's really critical for us to meet and discuss harvest management plans and strategies. So, yeah, we'll be waiting for information with bated breath right now. Thank you very much for that.

And Jeff, I have one question for you. The closing down -- I saw the announcements for the registration for the draw hunt and I was not real clear on how that is gonna impact people in our region as far as a hunt. So, is it gonna be an open winter hunt or do people have to register for that hunt, and then people in different regions will be selected to be able to hunt? It was kind of confusing to me, thank you.

MR. GROSS: Member Bassich, through the Chair. The preference, of course, is to just open the registration hunt like normal on October 27th, and that's what we'll do if we can. But with the quota being so low as a backup option we are accepting these applications so we could draw some participants to hunt this targeted hunt. If we're unable to open the registration hunt and that wouldn't occur until at least December. So, the first strategy would be to delay an opener, a registration hunt opener, and see if the caribou move around enough to open it. And then if not by December, we'd be looking at the targeted hunt as a potential opportunity. Again, I think on the Eagle side, on Zone 3, it's just not as much of an issue in the winter especially with the highway not being maintained. So, I doubt, I'm not saying 100%, but I doubt we will -- we would need to use the targeted hunt there. I'm hoping we can just open the registration hunt. The caribou are kinda moving through the middle of their range as they go through the rut right now. The head of

the herds kind of in Yukon-Charlie and they've thinned out on the Steese Highway considerably over the last week -- ten days. And so, I'm hoping we're gonna be able to open the registration hunt in all zones. But I do think there is a possibility on the Steese Highway side, Zones 1 and 4, that we would have to delay it initially. But again, caribou or caribou, so, does that answer your question or?

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, yeah it does. You know, I know in the harvest plan, there's also that special hunt allocated to Eagle. I believe it's towards the end of this month or middle of this month. And I'm wondering if -- I mean I've driven the Highway a couple times in the last few weeks, and zero caribou trails and tracks anywhere, and zero moose tracks anywhere. A lot of ptarmigan. Don can testify to that, and I'll confirm it. So, I don't, you know, it's obvious they're not in the area, but maybe the opportunity to open up that hunt for local people to go out and maybe get lucky would be really advantageous with the loss of salmon and the low moose populations people in Eagle are pretty desperate right now so, please keep that in mind. I know we worked long and hard to get that hunt put into place for years like this, and we just need the caribou to cooperate. But thank you, I think that answered my question.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Now I just want to say really quick that at the last meeting Mr. Donald Woodruff was selected to be the Eastern Interior's representative on the Fortymile Coalition. Just so that you guys know and it's on the record, and we really appreciate your -- you coming and giving a report today and it means a lot. Go ahead, Don.

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Charlie, this is Don. You don't have any metrics to share with us today?

MR. GROSS: Mr. Wood -- member Woodruff through the Chair. I can answer individual questions if you want, I don't have any handouts or anything specific right now. Did you have anything you're wondering about?

MR. WOODRUFF: I'm, yeah, I'm curious about the three-year-old parturition rate. And if it's going up or down or stable or what direction we're going with that?

6

8

1 MR. GROSS: Yeah, I'll just kinda try to work through some metrics here. The three-year-old parturition rate, it's continued to stay low. It's come up a little bit, but nothing significant. In addition 5 to that, our survival rates have remained low. Even though when you look at the animals in the fall and even last spring the body condition wise they look much better than when they were at peak. But the actual monitored survival rates haven't improved. In fact, this past 10 winter we saw the lowest adult cow survival rates that 11 we've ever documented in the Herd, which was very 12 honestly, very surprising. But, I mean, it is surprising, but it's not because we know with caribou 13 14 herds, when they decline, they decline. And obvious 15 [sic] that means lower survival. So, we'll continue to 16 monitor those right now, you know, now that we got the 17 composition survey done this week, we'll be able to take 18 a look at our bull-cow ratio. That's obviously something 19 that is pretty important when we have a bulls only 20 season, we're trying to maximize harvest. We obviously 21 want to maintain a certain bull-cow ratio. But that will 22 something we'll be discussing at the Coalition 23 meetings. Reproduction, even though the -- in addition to the three-year old's not performing very well yet 24 overall, the reproduction is [sic] continued to stay 25 26 fairly low for all age classes. So, low production, high 27 mortality. We're not out of the woods yet. I don't anticipate that we're gonna stabilize just yet. I don't 28 29 think we're at the bottom yet. So, wish I had better 30 news, but that's the facts.

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

MR. WOODRUFF: And one more comment, I was able in August to listen to the Federal Subsistence Board meeting, and there was some discussion about the tribes in Canada requesting the Harvest Coalition meet. And so, I think that they're very integral in the Harvest Management Plan, and I think we should invite them back, thank you.

38 39 40

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you so much, any more questions? Okay, go ahead, Amanda.

41 42 43

44 45

MS. POPE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just had a quick question. How many non-residents are participating in that -- in the hunt in the past year, like how many have been harvested by non-residents?

46 47 48

49

50

MR. GROSS: Amanda Pope, through the Chair. I don't have exact numbers, but kinda going back to some data I put together for a presentation to the

Board of Game last spring to address a proposal to 1 eliminate non-resident -- to eliminate non-residents from the road accessible zones. That was submitted by the RAC or the Resident Hunters of Alaska Organization. 5 We did -- we have seen increased participation by nonresidents along the Road System in the past 4 or 5 years. There was a year or two where we were -- where we saw 8 in recent years, over the last few years as the quotas 9 has declined. Around 20% of the harvest was taken by 10 non-residents along the Steese Highway in a year or two 11 or a couple of years there. Whether or not that will 12 continue, we don't know. But we did present that to the 13 Board, the Board chose to continue allowing non-resident 14 hunting. And so, that's kind of where we're at right 15 now. I haven't crunched the numbers, I guess this -- for 16 this fall, so.

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

MS. POPE: A follow up question, thank you, Mr. Chair. I am very -- for the record, my name is Amanda Pope. I am very concerned for this herd, and I'm glad that the Coalition meeting is gonna continue. I really support that, and getting the locals involved with the management efforts for that herd. As far as my concerns go for the numbers that we have now, and continuing for it to be open for non-residents is very concerning cause a lot of people obviously within the region are not being able to harvest anything. And even with subsistence, it's very hard for people to even see a caribou anymore. Even when the hunting isn't going on, it's very hard to fathom that there's not really much caribou anymore. I wouldn't want any other areas within the region or any part of the State to have the numbers that we have. But unfortunately, it had happened in the past where the harvest numbers are -- from non-residents are pretty high and the numbers for the quota have been going -- it had been contributing to the lack of animals that we have, and it's just concerning. But I'm glad the Coalition meeting is gonna continue, thank you.

38 39 40

41

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions?

(No response)

42 43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

I think in times of low numbers and hearing people talking lately about the low success for the qualified users I think that something needs to be done, and I too am really happy to hear about the Coalition coming back so we can talk about these things and adjust maybe in the future. People are having a hard time, people in the villages and people who rely on that

meat. So, we need to start thinking and trying to take 1 care of them first. The people that -- Alaskans that really survive off of this resource, the lack of fish in the Yukon River is taking a huge percentage of their, 5 their livelihood I'd say, their food, the way they 6 survive, so. I look forward to your report. I look forward to maybe being at this next Coalition meeting 8 to talk. And I really appreciate you coming here today. 9 It means a lot, thank you very much. 10 11 MR. GROSS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 12 13 (Pause) 14 15 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: We're gonna give you 16 guys a two-minute break, cause [sic] I know that'll be 17 ten. 18 19 (Off record) 20 21 (On record) 22 23 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: We have a really big 24 agenda. So, let's try to find our seats, please. Thank 25 you. 26 27 (Pause) 28 29 getting started Okay, again Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. Cory Graham got 30 31 the floor, sir. 32 33 MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chair, members of the 34 Council. My name is Cory Graham. I'm a Fisheries 35 Biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. 36 Materials relevant to this presentation can be found on 37 page 260 of your Council book. This is an action item, 38 at the end of my presentation, I'll ask the Council to 39 approve the list of Priority Information Needs for the

40 Yukon Region. But before we dive into the Priority 41 Information Needs discussion, I'll briefly introduce the 42 Monitoring Program. So, the Office of Subsistence 43 Management administers the Monitoring Program, which funds research and monitoring projects that help manage 44 45 subsistence fisheries in Federal public waters of 46 Alaska. The Monitoring Program is also directed at 47 meaningful involvement supporting in fisheries 48 management by Alaska native and rural organizations, and 49 promoting collaboration among Federal, State, and Alaska 50 Native and rural organizations. I really want to

highlight this point because yesterday Andy had 1 mentioned how collaboration and partnerships would be necessary for the PIN meta or the meta-analysis PIN. But as far as the Monitoring Program goes, we believe 5 partnerships are necessary and important for all 6 projects. The Office of Subsistence Management will announce the 2026 Notice of Funding Opportunity for the 8 Monitoring Program later this winter. So, the first step 9 in the funding process is for Regional Advisory Councils 10 to identify Priority Information Needs for each region and Priority Information Needs identify issues of local 11 12 concern and knowledge gaps related to subsistence 13 fisheries. In addition, they guide the Monitoring 14 Program by determining the type of projects that are submitted for funding and providing a framework for 15 16 evaluating and selecting project proposals. In August, 17 volunteer members from the Eastern Interior, Western 18 Interior and Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta Councils met to develop a draft list of Priority Information Needs for 19 20 the Yukon region.

21 22

23

24

2526

2728

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

We had really great turnout from this Council so, members from our - volunteers from your Council included Andy, Charlie, Eva and Dorothy. So, that's the brief intro to the Monitoring Program. The draft Priority Information Needs for the Yukon Region again, are listed on page 260 of your book, and note that the bold language represents changes or additions to last year's -- or last cycle's Priority Information Needs. So, I'll go through -- I'll read the draft list and then ask the Council if they have any comments on or just to approve the list. So, the list of Priority Information Needs developed by the volunteer group for the Yukon Region included impacts of climate change to the harvest and use of fish, and impacts of climate change on fish for example, impacts to fish migration, spawning, and life cycle. Knowledge of population, reproduction, and health of spawning habitat for Bering cisco and humpback whitefish. Estimates of chinook, summer chum, fall chum, and coho salmon escapements and or harvests. Distribution, abundance, condition and survival of juvenile and out-migrating salmon in the Yukon River drainage. Increased understanding of the abundance, distribution, migration patterns, spawning locations of chinook and chum salmon in the Innoko River. Non-lethal estimates of quality of escapement for chinook salmon for example potential egg deposition, age, sex and size composition of spawners, weight and girth of spawners, percentage of females, percentage of jacks and spawning habitat usage with an

50

```
emphasis on Canadian origin stocks. Community-based
1
    monitoring of salmon and resident species presence,
    abundance, life history patterns, harvests, genetics and
    age, sex, length composition, incidental and delayed
5
    mortality from entanglements and dropouts, habitat
 6
    restoration needs, and or environmental variables in
    tributaries to better understand fish and keep users
8
    engaged during years of limited fishing opportunities.
9
    In-season estimates of genetic, stock composition of
10
    chinook, summer chum and fall chum salmon runs.
    Traditional Ecological Knowledge of fishes, for example,
11
12
    to identify salmon spawning and or rearing locations to
13
    expand -- and expand the waters, Anadromous Waters
14
    Catalog, excuse me. Advanced genetic baselines for
15
    chinook, summer chum, fall chum and coho salmon by
16
    screening additional populations and novel genetic
17
    markers to improve the accuracy, precision, and scale
18
        stock composition estimates to inform
    assessment for Yukon River fisheries at the tributary
19
20
    level. Funding to facilitate interagency, tribal, and
21
    stakeholder forums for gathering and sharing input on
22
    fishery
               management
                             issues,
                                        including
23
    jurisdictional and co-management of salmon. Seasonal
    salmon life stage usage of tidal tributaries draining
24
25
    the Yukon Coastal District through an interdisciplinary
26
    approach documenting Traditional Ecological Knowledge
27
    and biological surveys in order to update the Anadromous
28
    Waters Catalog and improve management's understanding
29
    of salmon in these streams. And finally, meta-analysis
30
    of existing information and research examining the
    relative importance of freshwater e.g. predation,
31
    stranding, heat stress, reduction in marine drive
32
33
    nutrients; and marine e.g. environmental conditions,
34
             interception, migration routes,
35
    production and competition factors in causing declines
36
    of Yukon River chinook and chum salmon and or resident
37
    species to present at relevant Regional Advisory Council
38
    meetings. So, that concludes the draft list. Again, this
39
    is an action item we're requesting the Council to comment
    on and approve the list of Priority Information Needs
40
41
    for the Yukon Region. The Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta and
42
    Western Interior RACs met and approved the list as
43
    presented to you. So, that concludes my presentation.
    I'll stand by for any questions you may have, thank you.
44
45
46
                    CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you. Go ahead,
47
    Andy.
48
```

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, thank you. I'm wondering -- I'd like to make a motion, but before we

do -- well maybe -- do you want me to make a motion for passing it right now to take -- get it on the table for discussion or? Sorry.

 MS. MCDAVID: Before motion, we do have one written comment from the SRC so, I think it would be appropriate to read that now. So, the SRC did review the draft priority information needs for the Yukon and suggested adding an additional one to study the effects of in-river predation on salmon as they migrate upriver. It is important to have information about factors that can impact whether fish are getting to the spawning grounds. Thank you.

(Pause)

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Andy, you're first.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Mr. Chair, would you like a motion just to get this on the table for discussion, or, how do you want?

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yeah.

MR. BASSICH: Okay. I make a motion that the Eastern Interior RAC adopt the 2026 Draft Yukon Priority Information Needs with the addition to -- from the SRC as given to us. Thank you.

MS. ENTSMINGER: Second.

 MR. BASSICH: Thank you. Speaking to the motion. I think I'd really -- if it's appropriate for the OSM office and the people working on this, I would like to add to our motion and to our approval, highlighting maybe the top three or four that we would like to see. So, doing a little bit of a priority. Yeah, go ahead, thank you.

MR. GRAHAM: Member Bassich, through the Chair. So, you can do that. However, how the scoring occurs, there's no mechanism in place to really consider that. So, it won't have a lot of weight during the scoring process. If you want to do that and to highlight what you think is really important, you can do it. It just might not come through when it's being scored. So, thank you.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you for that clarification, that's completely understood. But I think

it's really important to get on the record some of the 1 top priorities that this RAC has in regards to that. I mean, this covers a pretty broad spectrum. And so, I think narrowing it down specifically to some of the needs 5 that we feel in our region is maybe good to get on the 6 record, and it may carry some weight in the decision making. So, that being said, I've gone through some of 8 these, and I would just like to pick out the top four 9 that I think are super important. The first one is the 10 very last bullet, meta-analysis of existing information. My second highest ranking would be estimates of chinook, 11 12 summer chum, fall chum, and coho salmon escapements, but 13 I'd also like to add the wording of discrete stocks for 14 chum salmon to that. I think we missed that in our 15 discussions when we were in a group formulating that, 16 but it's become very apparent that we need to have a 17 better understanding of the discrete summer chum and 18 fall chum stocks and their productivity. I think we have 19 pretty good information on chinook salmon.

20 21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

Pardon, Mr. Chair. I think we really start understanding the discrete stocks productivity. My number three would be non-lethal estimates of quality escapement and that paragraph and in particular highlighting weight and girth. We have baseline from that through the Yukon River Panel projects. I can't remember the year, early, mid 2000, somewhere around there. And I think it's appropriate at this point in time with the phenotypic change in fish to get another reading on that. I think that's super important right now to understand the condition of our fish right now and how it's impacting their productivity under current management strategies. And then the final one is funding to facilitate interagency, tribal and stakeholder forum for gathering and sharing input and information including cross-jurisdictional and comanagement of salmon. That's been a big topic at this meeting, and I think that's -- as I spoke earlier, that's one of the foundations of how we're gonna have to build for success. So, I think that's super critical. That concludes my priorities, Mr. Chair, thank you.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

48 49 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I really appreciate that, Andy. Because we're in an agreement right now and we're not fishing and people are hungry. So, I think we need to try to prioritize and figure out the best way going forward to get more fish on the spawning ground and onto people's tables. So, whether or not it means anything, we're gonna put it on the record. I appreciate

you, Andy, for that. Thank you. Anybody else? Go ahead, Donald.

 MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you Chair, this is Don. I know I don't want to throw a wrench in the fire, but we can't keep doing things the same way, is what I'm -- where I'm coming from. And what you said is, it won't carry much weight. But like Charlie said, we're desperate, we're beyond desperate, we're hungry and when people are hungry, they get angry. And they won't follow any kind of rules or regulations. And so, we need to do better. And everyone in the room realizes that we can do a better job if we just have the will, thank you.

MR. GRAHAM: So, I would like to respond, through the Chair. So, I probably shouldn't said have much weight. The important part -- that would just be during the scoring process but it is important to get this on the record because people are listening in, people that are gonna be developing projects so, it will hold weight with them. So, I probably didn't say it as I should, but it is important because people are listening, they're gonna develop projects, they know what's important to you, so, thank you for putting it on the record.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you so much for that. And anybody else have any more questions? Okay, go ahead, Linda.

MS. EVANS: I don't have any question, but I think it's really important to put on this document that the local peoples need to be included on this, traditional knowledge. Thank you.

 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: And I agree that the -- and I think the community-based monitoring is gonna be a big part going forward on what people are doing and the good counts, we need that cause without the community-based monitoring there's not a lot of other ways to keep count and a good count. So, I think we can add that to number five and what Linda said.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, I have a question. When you -- can you do a very brief overview of the process now that you have this document. The process that takes place within OSM for selecting projects, is this just a call that's gonna go out for proponents to then put in for proposals and funding? Okay. So, maybe that said thank you very much for the shake of the head

in the affirmative. I guess in my view, there's also been a lot of discussion, thank you for bringing that up, Linda, that community-based monitoring is an essential part of how we want to move forward. So, in your call for proposals, if there could be some language in that to highly encourage any proposals coming in to show how they are gonna work with local communities where possible. And I don't know if that language is in there, but I think -- I would assume it probably is, but I want to highlight that that's super important moving forward on all projects, whether there through OSM or ADF&G, U.S. Fish and Wildlife. That's what the people want and what the people need. Thank you.

MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chair. I would just like to point out all proposals are scored on like rural capacity building, working with local communities, partnerships. It's a fundamental aspect of the Monitoring Program. So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions?

Go ahead.

MS. MCDAVID: I just have a process weigh-in here cause [sic] I know you guys love when I get in the mix with Robert's Rules of Order. But since the motion was to adopt the -- with the addition from the SRC, and we have since discussed one addition that we want to make to one of the bullets and then the highlighting of the most important topics for this RAC, you might want to amend the motion, thanks.

MR. BASSICH: Mr. Chair, I'd like to amend my motion with the approval of the second.

MS. ENTSMINGER: You have the approval.

MR. BASSICH: Okay, thank you. I'd like to amend the motion that the Eastern Interior RAC approve or support the 2026 Draft Yukon Priority Information needs. That would -- it would also include a prioritized ranking from the Eastern RAC and include the additions of -- can you speak to the effects? Thank you.

 $\,$ MS. MCDAVID: Sure. So, there was an addition to the third bullet down to add discrete, the wording discrete stocks for chum salmon.

MR. BASSICH: Correct, thank you.

1 Discrete stocks and that community-2 based monitoring be highlighted in any call or any -in the call for proposals for proponents on these topics. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 6 MS. ENTSMINGER: Had concurred, okay. But 7 it's not really an amendment, it's just the motion, 8 right? 9 10 MR. BASSICH: Yeah. 11 12 MS. ENTSMiNGER: Yeah, thank you. 13 14 MR. BASSICH: Do you want me to support 15 that, I guess I'll just reference the earlier comments 16 in our discussion for support of this motion, Mr. Chair 17 and look forward to seeing some of these projects done. 18 And I'm really hoping that funding can be increased to 19 do more, thank you. 20 21 MS. ENTSMINGER: Call for the question. 22 23 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Oh, excuse me. This 24 is Charlie, seeing how we all agree here I'm gonna ask for unanimous consent. Anybody against that? 25 26 27 (No response) 28 29 Passes, thank you. 30 31 (Pause) 32 33 We are now at -- on the agenda, the 34 annual report and the Council Coordinator will take over 35 now. 36 37 MS. MCDAVID: Thank you very much, Mr. 38 Chair. So, your Annual Report Reply from the Federal 39 Subsistence Board for your last year's Annual Report is 40 included in your binders under tab one. And if you 41 remember, I think you guys won the award for longest 42 Annual Report. Thank you for that work you made me do. 43 But before we get started, I did wanna [sic] -- cause I think when we talk about last year's Annual Report, some 44 45 ideas might come up for this year's Annual Report. So, 46 before we get into further discussion of the Annual 47 Report we do have some recommendations from the OSM 48 leadership team and the Board about how to make the 49 Annual Report process a little more efficient. And by

that, I mean, it's a lot of work on the back end to get

6

8

9

1 those replies to you. And so, I know at our meetings in the past, we've also kind of weighed sometimes what is more appropriate to send as correspondence versus what is appropriate to include in the Annual Report and sometimes you've done both. And so now we're being asked to maybe not do both because then it creates the need for two replies. And so, some of the direction we've gotten is, if there are issues that you want the Board to elevate to the Secretary of Interior and the Secretary 10 of Agriculture and or, do those as correspondence. You can mention them in your annual report that you're 11 12 sending correspondence on that topic, but there's 13 currently not an avenue to elevate the topics in the 14 annual report to the Secretary. So, for the meantime, 15 sending those things that you wish to be elevated as 16 correspondence would be great. The other main thing that has been asked is, if it is something that is outside 17 18 the Board's jurisdiction but could be perhaps addressed 19 by one of the agencies represented on the Board that we 20 send correspondence directly to that agency. We can let 21 the Board know that we're doing that in the Annual 22 Report, make them aware of the issue but it would be 23 more of an informational item for the Board. I guess on that note too, there's -- the request is too to be very 24 clear about -- within the topics that we include, which 25 26 ones are informational for the Board. We're letting 27 them, you know, become aware of your concerns and issues. 28 But if there are requests for specific action from the 29 Board to be very specific about what we want, and I know 30 we've been trying to do that, but this -- just wanted 31 to reiterate those points in requests we got from the 32 leadership team, so.

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I want to also add that we have an extra day here, but still there's a lot more work to do. Our agenda is huge and we've been very lenient with letting people come and talk. But right now, we need to get to action items first, and if there's time after that, we'll let people talk for sure. But we're running out of time, so we need to push it a little bit. And we appreciate your patience with us, thank you.

41 42 43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. MCDAVID: Thanks for saying that, Mr. Chair. We do have one request for a public comment on the Annual Report. But I'll leave that up to you if you would like to allow that before or maybe after you guys talk through some of this stuff. The first thing we were gonna do is look through the replies from the Board, from last year's report and then following that, talk about topics for this year's report. And I know you guys

50

1 have all gotten to see the report in the binder, would you like me to, like, quickly read through these? Do you want to talk about some of them? I'll take direction from you about how you'd like to review the replies from 5 the Board, thank you. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I'm gonna leave it 8 up to you, Council members. 9 10 MS. ENTSMINGER: I think we could take 11 two hours on this, and I don't think that's real productive. I believe it was -- it's important for anyone 12 13 of these subjects, if there's a question about the 14 response, that would be the way I think we should handle it. 15 16 17 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Sounds good to me. 18 19 (Pause) 20 21 MS. ENTSMINGER: I do have a question. 22 Brooke, did I mishear you? Did you say you were gonna 23 describe how we could do it better? 24 25 MS. MCDAVID: I don't have a suggestion 26 other than the quidance we've gotten from leadership 27 about how to choose correspondence, including topics of 28 concern as correspondence versus in the Annual Report. 29 So, if we would like to address directly to agencies 30 that are represented on the Board, like say there was 31 something we wanted to work with Fish and Wildlife on, 32 we could write to Fish and Wildlife Service but just let 33 the Board know, informationally that we did that in the 34 annual report so the Board wouldn't be the one replying 35 to your concern. If it's a concern that's directly related, like with the wildlife refuge or something like 36 37 that, thanks. 38 39 MS. ENTSMINGER: So, I'm gonna interpret 40 that means maybe we should be writing more letters. Okay, 41 thank you. I think we all need to remember that cause 42 [sic] when -- I've been on this thing, what? 23 years 43 and the -- it is a little confusing what they're looking 44 for on this Annual Report. So, if we need to write 45 letters, then we should need to write letters, so, what 46 should be in the Annual Report then, exactly. Is there 47 a little report on that?

MS. MCDAVID: Yes, there is, Sue. I believe in your books, there's actually the meeting

1 report guideline or the Annual Report guidelines, we always include those. And let me find what page those are on. So, you can also reference those. I'm sorry, I should have -- 201. Oh, 261. Yeah, close, very close. 5 Yeah so, 261 in the book. There are guidelines in ANILCA that establish the authorities of the Council and the 6 Annual Report. What -- quidelines for what to include 8 in the Annual Report. And so, the Secretary did delegate to the Board the responsibility to consider the report 10 and recommendations of the Regional Advisory Councils. And that middle section under report content, there's 11 12 some bullets there about Annual Reports should contain 13 an identification of current and anticipated subsistence 14 uses of fish and wildlife populations within the region, and evaluation of current and anticipated subsistence 15 needs for fish and wildlife populations within the 16 17 region. A recommended strategy for the management of 18 fish and wildlife populations within the region to 19 accommodate such subsistence uses and needs. And lastly, 20 recommendations concerning policies, standards, 21 guidelines and regulations to implement this strategy 22 and those -- that can be found in ANILCA Tile 9, section 23 805A.

2425

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Sure, Andy.

26 27

28

29

30

31

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, thank you. Member Bassich. I guess I need a little bit of clarification. So, are we at the point where we want to discuss the responses or are we at the point where we want to develop additional or next year's Annual Report? I'm a little bit lost here.

32 33 34

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Me too, but....

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

MS. MCDAVID: Well, first on the agenda was reviewing the replies from the Board from last year. And so, if there's any updates on any of those you guys would like. I do have one thing that's happened since this reply was written, and it's about the subsistence uses of cabins that you guys included last year. The Board -- the Federal Subsistence Board, discussed that during their work session this past August and the interagency staff committee is working -- is creating a small working group to further discuss about how the different agencies can look at all the varying regulations about cabin use and maybe come up with some paths forward to make use of cabins easier for subsistence users. But that's still in very early

stages, I would expect we would hear more back in the future once they've had time to have more discussions.

3 4

5 6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, thank you. Member Bassich. I guess from my perspective as far as the Annual Reports go, these are ongoing. Most of the ones that I see here are ongoing concerns, especially in regards to the fisheries and the Fortymile Caribou Herd. And I don't see in the report, I mean, obviously they're concerned they're gonna do everything they can, but these are just ongoing issues that we want to keep highlighted for the Federal Board. So, I guess I'm a little bit confused because the Federal $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ I $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ it seems to me like the Annual Report is something to alert the Federal Subsistence Board of our concerns and then, where they can take action, hopefully they will. But oftentimes these topics are so big and so broad and involve so many different entities that there's no definitive action ever really taken. And I think that's -- in the past we've had a lot of discussions about what's being done, and I think that's the reason why it's not their purview a lot of times to say, this is what we're gonna do. So, just recognizing that I -- other than reading their response and saying, okay, they're working on it, I don't know there's much more response that we have to in other than acknowledging that they have acknowledged it for lack of better terms. So, I just wanted to get that on the table because I, like Sue said, we could spend two or three hours talking about all these and get into the weeds about every single one, and then be right back to where we're starting from. It is an ongoing concern. So, maybe a better use of time would be to think about if there are any additional things that we want to add in next year's or this year's, I'm sorry, Annual Report or maybe some recommendations or asking for definitive action. That's -- as far as the fisheries goes that's where my mind is that I wanna see some definitive actions by managers and -- on some of these topics impacting salmon, both in stream and marine. So, and I think we have some suggestions. So, I'll leave it up to the Chair. But that's kind of my take on where we're at right now, thank you.

46

47

48

49

50

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I do what you ask Andy, I agree. It's -- we're a Council here, and we work together. And I agree that we weren't getting any response, and before and this is not the real response that I wanted, was to be limited. But we'll do what it takes to do the best we can. And I agree with you in your comments.

MS. ENTSMINGER: So, right now, Brooke said the things that we should try to keep it to and I'm -- now correct me if I'm wrong, I feel like I have a logical, sensible brain, but if we are to write letters, maybe we need to go through these 14 topics and see where a letter could be written. And we don't have to do that at this meeting, I don't think we have the Annual Report and the Annual Report is on the agenda next meeting, correct?

MS. MCDAVID: Yes. So, this is the meeting where you have to select your topics that you want in your annual report for next year, at the winter meeting, this winter will draft the Annual Report and you'll review it at your winter meeting next year. So, in the spring you won't be able to add additional topics at that meeting. It'll just be editing.....

meeting.

MS. ENTSMINGER: So, the topic for this

MS. MCDAVID: Yes. So, the topics are —this meeting, Sue. I actually like your suggestion. I think we can go through a list of topics of concerns. You guys can bring them up, we can decide, okay, does that go in the Annual Report? Do we want to do a letter? And just go through it like that, I think it would be fine to do it that way.

MS. ENTSMINGER: Well, I guess that's what I'm hearing and that could take some time, so.

MR. BASSICH: So, in my previous statement, I just said that all of these are ongoing concerns. So, if we're just identifying and we're gonna select our final draft in the spring meeting. Is it quite possible for us to just say we would like all of these topics to stay on because they are ongoing and then spend some time right now discussing a few additional things that we may want to put on that we can discuss and refine for our final letter in the spring, is that an acceptable option?

MS. MCDAVID: Through the Chair member Bassich. I think it's absolutely fine if there are topics that are ongoing. As you point out, that you want to include again, but we do need some discussion about -- I mean, what....

46 47

48

49

50

00044 1 MR. BASSICH: Why? 2 3 MS. MCDAVID: Yes, why again -- like what 4 has if anything, has changed. If you have a new -- if 5 you're asking the Board to do something or if again, 6 you're letting them know this is an ongoing concern. 7 8 MR. BASSICH: Yeah, forgive me. I guess 9 the point I'm making right now though -- that those 10 refinements will happen in the springtime. That has to 11 happen now? Okay, that's where I was a little bit 12 confused, thank you. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: At least a short 15 note. This is Charlie. Thank you, Andy. 16 17 MS. ENTSMINGER: And Amanda had her hand 18 up. So, excuse me, Amanda. I can see a benefit of a 19 group -- three or four of us getting together at lunch 20 and going through each one of these, say, hey we could 21 write a letter on this one to such and such. But if you 22 want to just do it, what you're saying. I mean, that's 23 a suggestion. Cause I do see some letters that could be 24 written. 25 26 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Amanda. 27 You were next. 28 29 MS. POPE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I am 30 very happy that -- I believe it was the top agencies 31 with underneath the secretary of interior who are gonna 32 be looking at this cabin permit issue. I'm glad there's 33 some action there and hopefully there's some success for 34 the subsistence users and also the other State users as 35 well. I just wanted to share that cause that's something 36 that not only I have been dealing with, but multiple 37 folks from other agencies in the Interior have been dealt 38 with as well and it's been hard for people to try and 39 go out on the land and have the okay to do so when a lot 40 of their ancestors have been doing it for many years 41 without that permission. Thank you. 42 43 44

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you, Amanda. So, we need to make a decision, are we gonna run through them right now or are we gonna do it at lunch?

MS. ENTSMINGER: I think we should just run through them now. I mean, it's -- we gotta do it, so....

50

```
1
 2
                    (Simultaneous speech)
 3
 4
                    CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay, let's get it
 5
    done then.
 6
 7
                    MS. ENTSMINGER: .....during lunch or not
8
    it's gotta get done.
9
10
                    (Simultaneous speech)
11
12
                    CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Let's do it. Yeah,
13
    let's do it. I agree.
14
                    MR. BASSICH: So, maybe as a format for
15
16
    doing this would be to just look at each topic and then
    decide if there is a letter that needs to be drafted
17
18
    into what entity that would be. And then if there is an
    action we would like OSM to do, identify that quickly
19
20
    for each one. Thank you.
21
22
                    CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Very good, thank
23
    you.
24
25
                    MS. MCDAVID: I can help walk you guys
26
    through if you'd like. The first topic was sheep
27
    population declines and the need for coordinated survey
28
    efforts. As I read to you earlier -- also in your report
29
    guidance for content, it does say you can have
30
    recommended strategies for management. And this will
31
    come up after your Annual Report, but Don did help draft
32
    some sheep management strategies
                                         for the Eastern
33
    Interior Region that you could attach to your Annual
34
    Report under this topic, if you're interested in doing
35
    that. So, I would just ask for a little guidance, if
36
    there's anything you would like to say about sheep or
37
    write any letters about sheep, thanks.
38
39
                    MS. ENTSMINGER: I wasn't at the meeting,
40
    I apologize -- the last meeting. So, everyone's
41
    concerned about sheep that knows anything about sheep.
    So, and this is pretty lengthy what you wrote about
43
    sheep, pretty good job there. So, in short, you know how
    I am with the KISS principle, you want to have a
44
45
    management plan. Was that the intent of bringing sheep
46
    up? Okay. And I believe that we could write a letter on
47
    this one because this has to be -- you know, opening
48
    meetings, we talked about how we want to work together,
49
    everybody has to work together, in the State and the
```

Federal managers have to work together. And this is a

place where it has to be all people working together. 1 And I'll give you an example, my husband was on the AC at Upper Tanana Fortymile Advisory Committee when the caribou herd -- the Fortymile Caribou Herd, was down to 5 6,000 or less and he, through Canada, got that coalition started. It took years to build the herd and it did get built up to 80,000, and now it's coming back down. So, 8 those are -- I think we need some kind of a coalition. 9 So, I think we need to write a letter to someone and say 10 we'd like to see a coalition on sheep. 11 12 MR. BASSICH Yeah, thank you. I agree with you, Sue. I guess maybe in my mind, the first thing 13 14 to do is identify the parties that would need to be 15 involved in that. So, National Park Service would be a 16 part of that. I would assume some of these lands are BLM 17 lands and then ADF&G, are there other any other entities? 18 19 (Distortion) 20 21 Fish and Wildlife Service and then TCC 22 in the -- in our range anyway, so..... 23 24 MS. ENTSMINGER: All the players. 25 26 BASSICH: MR. Right, that's really 27 important. That's what we have to start doing, is 28 bringing in all these people and ask them. 29 30 MS. ENTSMINGER: And we might miss 31 somebody, so.... 32 MR. BASSICH: Yeah. 33 34 35 MS. ENTSMINGER: But it has to be the 36 users too, all players. 37 38 MR. BASSICH: So, maybe drafting a letter 39 with the topic of -- a letter to go to all these different entities -- agencies, and entities that given 40 41 the sheep declines throughout the State, we would like 42 to form a coalition of agencies to work on sheep 43 management -- long-term sheep management strategies for 44 management.... 45 46 MS. ENTSMINGER: And users. 47 48 MR. BASSICH: Pardon? 49 50 MS. ENTSMINGER: And users.

46

47 48

49

50

1 2 MR. BAASICH: And users, yeah. I'm sorry. 3 We could say ACs, would that work? 5 (Simultaneous speech) 6 7 MS. ENTSMINGER: Acs and different 8 entities. 9 10 MR. BASSICH: To work on strategies for 11 long term sheep management strategies. In -- within our region. So, within the Eastern RAC Region. Does that 12 13 sound -- I'm sure we can modify that, but that would put 14 the notice out and get a response and then start the 15 process, maybe. 16 17 (Distortion) 18 19 MS. MCDAVID: So, we can do one motion 20 at the end if that's suitable for everyone. So, next up 21 is management of Fortymile Caribou Herd. After the last 22 meeting, you guys did send a letter about the need for 23 the coalition to meet and we heard some great response 24 today from Fish and Game about the progress on that. So, 25 that was a very positive action that's come out of your 26 efforts and the efforts of, you know, other folks on the 27 ACs and such. So, is there anything in your Annual Report 28 you would like the Board to know about Fortymile, or are 29 there any letters you would like to write about 30 Fortymile? 31 32 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Andy. 33 34 MR. BASSICH: Yeah, I think maybe 35 identifying some of the concerns that Amanda brought up 36 about non-resident hunters being allowed to hunt during 37 low periods of productivity, low abundance, might be 38 appropriate just to alert the Federal Subsistence Board 39 of that concern and then maybe letter -- along the same 40 regards, a letter to ADF&G, because they're the ones 41 that are permitting that correct? 42 43 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: And our concerns going forward, should we add sustainable management, I 44 45 think because that's important going forward to keep

saying that over and over, I think. Thank you.

resident hunting of the Fortymile Caribou and some of

the places and -- this is ADF&Gs thing, they could

MS. ENTSMINGER: Just regarding the non-

50

1 actually do a draw for that instead an open, just like for residents. They do that on other herds, you know, like even in sheep there's 10% draws in these management areas like the Tok management area and the Delta Control 5 Use Area, it's down to 10%. So, there's ways they can 6 do it. 7 8 MR. BASSICH: Yeah, so, I guess my 9 suggestion would be a letter to ADF&G in regards to that 10 on this topic and an alert to the Federal Subsistence Board of our concerns of that topic. And I think that 11 12 would cover what I've heard in the meeting from testimony 13 from people as far as our own concerns. 14 15 MS. MCDAVID: Mr. Chair, just clarifying question. So, for the letter to Fish and Game, 16 we would like to let them know that due to low numbers, 17 18 we're concerned about non-resident hunters and you all 19 feel there's a need to either reduce their harvest 20 through a draw hunt or other options. 21 22 MR. BASSICH: Excuse me. It's mainly in 23 non-resident hunters hunting reference to 24 (distortion). Yeah, so. 25 26 MS. MCDAVUD: Sorry, I did not mean non-27 rural, I meant non-resident. Thanks. 28 29 MR. BASSICH: Yeah. 30 31 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Linda. 32 33 MS. EVANS: I think it would be important 34 to put in there to get the community-based input too, 35 you know, like Arctic Village. They need to hear from 36 them or people in Eagle. 37 38 MS. MCDAVID: And is that specific for 39 Fortymile Caribou or do you mean in general. 40 41 MS. EVANS: In general, or, yeah, in 42 general. 43 44 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: In (distortion), 45 the communities nearest those herds would be kinda the 46 way we do that. Porcupine is Arctic Village and no 47 Fortymile is a little bit more out, right? How does that 48 work? You guys are the ones on the ground over there. 49 So, you tell me.

2

5

MR. BASSICH: So, Mr. Chair, I think one of the things that's always been really great about the Fortymile coalition is that a lot of these more detailed things are things that we flush out in the management plans and strategies. So, I don't think we need to get into the weeds too -- in too much detail, because I think all these topics will come up in discussion with the coalition. So, that would -- my suggestion is just highlighting our concern, thank you.

9 10 11

12

8

MS. MCDAVID: All right, moving on. This is a big one, the ongoing Yukon River chinook and fall chum salmon crisis.

13 14 15

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Andy.

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, thank you. beginning to think I ought to just leave my mic on all the time. And I apologize, I don't mean to dominate the conversation, but I just want to keep us moving, thank you. So, on this -- looking at the report content requirements, bullet three says a recommendation -recommended strategy for management of fish and wildlife populations within the region to accommodate such subsistence uses and needs. In regards to fall chum salmon, I would like to see our federally -- our Federal manager begin to be more protective of fall chum. We've heard we only had 16,000 pass Eagle into Canada this year and only one drainage may make escapements. So, I think the protection of fall chum, and I stated earlier in some of our deliberations that I believe that fall chum should be protected as soon as they're detected genetically in the mixed stock of summer and fall chum. So, that's a strategy, a recommendation to our Federal manager to enforce a more conservation-minded management strategy. And moving forward, I think it is quite appropriate for both the State and the Federal managers to begin to develop a strategy for using summer chum to feed the people in the Lower Yukon in the middle GASH region, where those fish are still viable for human consumption, and to shut fisheries down for salmon and make all conservation measures for fall chum to rebuild. And then once they are rebuild, to create windows so that fall chum can be dedicated more for the upper river communities. That's gonna take some time to do that. We're obviously not there for fall chum right now, but I think that is a sound, long-term strategy for meeting the needs of subsistence users in the different regions in regards to the resource that is most abundant or the only resource in their areas. So, that's a strategy and

-- so I guess, I don't know if we should be putting that in a letter or whether we should be doing that as a topic, but I think that needs to be included in bullet three here moving forward. Thank you.

MS. MCDAVID: I -- Mr. Chair, I have a suggestion. Andy, I think it should be both. You can let the Board know informationally that you're recommending these strategies, but really, it's gonna be Holly and the Federal, you know, team -- management team that will be making the decision. So, sending that letter to her, I think she's looking for feedback from, you know, the Council. And I'd also heard you guys bring up a lot about four-inch mesh earlier. So, if you'd want to include anything about that in your recommended strategy, you could bring that up again or reference that.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, thank you. I'm actually working on some language for proposals for four-inch mesh net, but I -- understanding the management capabilities I'm not sure if they'll accept your proposal on a specific regulatory gear change, because managers have a pretty high level of latitude in how they manage the fisheries with gear and time. So, I'm not sure how well that would be expected. But during this seven-year closure, I think we need to get some hard regulations or some hard management. My one concern is with this delegation of authority to the manager. I quess maybe that's what I want to highlight. If our manager is not willing to do some of the measures that I just outlined, I would like to see the Federal Board step in and make sure that those conservation practices happen. I really value the delegation of authority because I think it will meet the needs of making quick changes. But then again, it also leaves most of that authority to one person who maybe has different views on how management should go. So, that's my one concern with that but I do applaud that delegation of authority, I think it will benefit us. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: (Distortion -

43 indiscernible)
44

MR. WOODRUFF: In reference to the -this is Don, to the four-inch mesh. I really don't want
to see us go back to the old process of like eight and
a half, seven and a half, six and a half, five and a
half, three and a half. Because it's a hardship on the
fishermen, and I can't see a significant difference

whether we're catching chinook in a three and a half, or a four and a half, or four or three, they're being intercepted. And so, we need to get that wording a little bit straight in the proposal, thank you.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, that's exactly what I'm trying to do, it's more where you can fish. And then you only limit it to four-inch mesh or smaller. So, there are techniques and there are places where people can fish without intercepting salmon. And we've heard many people testify in all the RACs that going for non-salmon is the only choice now, and so, that's what we have to focus on, is educating people and creating regulations that allow people to still maintain active participation in the fisheries without doing harm to the salmon we're trying to protect. So, that's the goal. And I think that's achievable with the help of managers.

MS. MCDAVID: So, I also heard, I mean, in our past reports and letters on this topic, there's also been a lot of talk about the need for ecosystem-based management across jurisdictions, including in the marine environment. And is that something you would like to continue to stress in this year's topic?

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Well, you know, I'm gonna reference all the comments that we had yesterday in regards to our discussions on fisheries. The major concern for marine bycatches, both in the Pollock industry and Area M and I really, at the Federal level I want to stress hatchery production. We have to begin to address that. That is the foundation that is necessary for forage to be out there for fish to grow, and not just from our region. That is, in my opinion, the most important thing we can do. And that's gonna be one of the hardest, because there's nobody other than the Board of Fish saying you can't take so many eggs. There's nobody that can say hatcheries have to shut down, and the State doesn't want the hatcheries to shut down. In fact, they even talk about trying to build more of them. So, you know, we need to go to the Federal level, and we need to begin to work on international dialogue. And we're gonna continue to work on that in State. So, yes, those are topics of marine environment, life stages of salmon are really important, I'm not really sure writing the letter or you know what the process is. But especially with hatcheries, I think with Eva on Board here addressing a lot of these bycatch issues, she'll be on top of it and she'll be able to keep us informed and do the right -- the things that we

5

6

8

9

need to do, she's brilliant in that. The -- my biggest concern is a strategy for trying to get hatchery production under control. That's gonna be our biggest challenge. So, I'll leave it up to those who understand the process, who we need to write that to and what entity but my understanding is, the Board of Fish and the take of eggs is about the only control we have on hatcheries within the State, unless the Governor and Commissioner want to take action. That's understanding.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

MS. MCDAVID: Through the Chair. So, you did include hatchery as -- hatchery salmon as a separate topic of concern, separate but related. And so, my suggestion would be, like you mentioned, with the international hatchery dialogues that need to happen, writing a letter to the Board and asking them to elevate that to the Department of State. Because that's out of the Board's jurisdiction and I think that would be the best avenue.

202122

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

MR. BASSICH: So, member Bassich again. So, yes, on hatcheries, and we were gonna get to that, I brought it up. But as far as the fall chum and chinook salmon on marine bycatches a letter to the North Pacific Management Council is -- with our concerns on that which we have been doing, it's ongoing. And I think a letter to the State Department along those same lines, because I think it needs to be elevated to Washington, D.C. I mean, these are -- the things that are the fundamental problems for our salmon management. And the State has some jurisdiction, and some say we're not getting a lot of cooperation in some areas, some areas we are. But I think Washington needs to be very much aware of what we're dealing with so that at the Federal level with NOAA and with North Pacific Fish Commission -- Council, sorry. Maybe we can get a little bit more pressure and a little bit more action to take place, but, you know, it's all things we're working on. I guess all we're doing is throwing another stick on the fire here, you know, trying to keep it burning. I don't know what else to say.

42 43 44

45

46

47

MR. WOODDRUFF: Thank you, Brooke. I think in that letter as far as chinook and bycatch go, I think that we should emphasize this conservation corridor that we were hearing about. I think that's a step for the future. Thank you.

MR. BASSICH: So, member Bassich. The other thing -- thank you, John, for bringing that up. The other thing, maybe the direct ask is for more funding and collection of genetics in Area M. Area M chinook and Area M chum, we need much better genetic analysis on that. And there was a lot of talk earlier in the year during the Board of Fish meetings, we need a lot more observer coverage.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Last spring, the commissioner said that they were moving a mobile DNA center over there to the Area M. So, I'm very interested in what that outcome was of those tests and looking forward to seeing them. So, I don't know how in-depth they went, but that's gonna be interesting to see that report.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, but we heard in testimony during this meeting that a lot of genetics -no genetic sampling really took place on chinook and it's ongoing somewhat with chum, mostly summer chum. But I think from my perspective, what I'm interested in is we're -- what's happening to the fall, chum. I've asked many scientists, I've talked to people at the university, and it just seems like it's a giant bubble of invisibility. Nobody really knows where the fall, chum -- what's happening to them, why they're not coming back and whether they're being intercepted, or whether they're just not being productive in the spawning, we don't know. But in the marine environment, nobody seems to be able to give me any way a good answer of where they are, if they are being intercepted, where they're being intercepted. And that's where we need much finer resolution and genetic testing in some of these bycatch areas, especially Area M.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I think that's gonna be pretty tough cause they don't know where the summer chum went in-river, and the Bering Sea is quite a bit bigger the ocean, so it's gonna be an uphill battle for sure.

MS. MCDAVID: I just wanna make a clarifying question, make sure got everything in order here. So, I've heard we want a letter to the State Department about hatcheries, international hatcheries. And then, was there anyone else you wanted to write to about hatchery or just letting the Board know you're....

 MR. BASSICH: The Department of Fish and Game in Alaska, because they're ultimately the ones that will be the players to make it happen. Oh, I'm sorry, the Board of Fish. That's super important cause what we want is a reduction in egg take for the hatcheries. And I've heard the number 25%, but I've also speaking with Virgil, and he's been on this for two decades or more, three decades. His initial proposals, which I think was in proposal 46 last year that the Board of Fish meeting, I think that was going back to pre-19 or pre 2000 numbers for hatchery production and egg take. So, I think in terms of what we're asking for, we need to go low and hope that we hit the middle somewhere.

 MS. MCDAVID: Thanks for that clarification. And you have sent as a Council previous letter to Fish and Game about the -- to emphasize the need for funding for the collecting genetics. Would you like to send a letter to them again to reemphasize that.

MR. BASSICH: Member Bassich.

22 Absolutely.

(Pause)

MS. MCDAVID: And I don't know -- I did want to let you guys know that Fish and Wildlife Service in regards to this topic -- salmon and the North Pacific Fishery Management Council and your requests in the joint Council letter that you wrote to the Board about all of these issues after the all Council meeting in the spring, Fish and wild -- you know, we heard from Eva that they did hear your concerns and they are working to have a person staffed on to fill the seat on the North Pacific Fishery Management Council. And that's gonna continue to help elevate the voices of the Councils and subsistence users. So, that was one -- a very positive thing that came out of -- of you all's actions and requests. Anything else about salmon before we move on? You all did have topic number four, about OSM comments to Board of Fish and OSM said that they -- that -- I'll just read a sentence. OSM Board comment practices are consistent with Federal subsistence management program authorities, and that the comment in question contained a sentence that was phrased incorrectly. They did apologize for that inaccurate characterization they made in the comment that you guys raised concern about in the past. So, I don't know if you want to say anything more about that.

MR. WOODRUFF: Since OSM sort of shot us in the foot with this issue, I think that they got the message loud and clear that we need to communicate a little bit better. And one way to do that would be if they have a position that they wanna take to the Board of Fish, they should run it by our Council Chairman. So that there is no miscommunication.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ MCDAVID: Thanks for that feedback, Don. Anyone else?

(No response)

 Okay, not hearing any. Moving on, topic six was the need for updated moose counts in 20F. We did hear a report from Tony Hollis today about moose in 20F, but he did say that surveys had not been done. So, I don't know if you wanna say anything else about that?

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Seeing how the moose count is really old, it would be nice for them to try to get an aerial -- a little bit better count or average. People there are really struggling to find a moose. Rampart two moose again this fall for the community and I know Tanana might have got just a couple more than that, but it's tough out there. So, I think it's really important to have a count. It's been a long time since they've done an actual or if they have, I don't know, I didn't hear that. So, I appreciate the effort so far, and I think we should keep it up and do a little better. Try to get an aerial count, but that would be my suggestion. Thank you.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, I'm gonna build on that, you know, in science you always want baselines and then you want to follow up a number of years. Sometimes it's a few years, sometimes it's ten years. But you always — what's the point of taking a baseline if you don't follow up on it. And it's the same thing with the changing size of fish. So, I think it's a pretty important thing. I think that what I heard from the manager was that it been — hadn't been done in decades, 30 years. I think it's time, and I think that should be made a priority, even if it's not as accurate maybe as they would like. It's gonna get you a ballpark and at least it's gonna give you another data set. And that's super important in wildlife management.

50

1 MS. MCDAVID: Through the Chair. I think 2 it would be good since this is something that's outside of the Board 's jurisdiction to send a letter to Fish 5 and Game, let the Board know that you're doing that and 6 encourage those aerial counts to be done. 7 8 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I agree, thank you 9 so much. 10 MS. MCDAVID: All right, moving on. The 11 12 next topic was about food security (distortion). 13 14 MR. BASSICH: Just very quickly glancing 15 at the map, and it also looks like there is BLM land within 20F. Is that correct? So, the letter should go 16 17 to ADF&G and BLM, excuse me. 18 19 MS. MCDAVID: Thanks for noting that Andy 20 appreciate it. So, the next topic was about food insecurity and the need for food sovereignty. This is 21 22 kinda one of those big topics that doesn't really fall 23 with completely, you know, within the jurisdiction of 24 the Federal Subsistence Board, you guys did provide a 25 lot of good information to them about your experiences. 26 27 MS. POPE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the 28 record, this is Amanda Pope. This kind of goes with 20F 29 as well. Just to say again on record that it's very 30 important to get the locals perspective when doing these 31 surveys and trying to get them -- at least offer the 32 chance for them to be involved and try to get their 33 perspective of maybe conducting these surveys in a 34 different manner. Just to collaborate with the locals 35 more is very important with not only getting better 36 numbers for multiple species all over the region, but 37 to provide that chance for food security to be present 38 for the people of the region. Thanks. 39 40 MR. BASSICH: Yeah, thank you for that, 41 Amanda. I just kinda -- something popped in my head, you 42 know, I think it's appropriate maybe to address salmon 43 replacement programs. That's part of what we're gonna 44 have to do in Gravel-to-Gravel and I wish Gale was here. 45 Maybe we can check back with her, and Eva has been very 46 instrumental in this, developing some fish replacement 47 programs on the Yukon River. It's -- we heard TCC has been donating a lot of money, but I think going to the 48

Federal -- at least alerting the Federal Subsistence

Board that this is a top priority during the seven-year

1

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

16 17

agreement to get some salmon replacement. And I think we'd have to check with a few other people about what agencies might have funding for that. But I think those are gonna be really important programs temporarily for the next seven years. Maybe only five years, who knows where we'll be. But I think that's a topic that -- the biggest problem in most of these issues is funding. As we know, it's funding to either bring people together or funding to transport. Last year there were some donations that were offered, but it still cost money to get there, get it here and get it distributed. So, maybe alerting the Federal Subsistence Board that this is a top priority on the Yukon River and that within those agencies that make up the Federal Subsistence Board, any 15 funding that could be delegated collaboratively, maybe is the way to do it would be to -- would be a great assistance for food security during the seven year closure.

18 19 20

21

22

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I agree with you, Andy. There's -- the places that have low density and scarce animals, natural resources is struggling. So, I agree with that very much, thank you.

23 24 25

26

27

28 29

30

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you all very much. The next topic, number eight last year's topic was the need for more co-stewardship and co-management. And I think that also goes in line with what Amanda just said about the need to collaborate with communities and tribes and folks that are out there on the land to do some of this management and monitoring work.

31 32 33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

MR. BASSICH: I think here again, a letter to the Department of Fish and Game in regards to the co-management that happens on the Kuskokwim River. We need to -- that has been incredibly successful, and we need to bring that to the Yukon River. And I think it starts with co-stewardship but I just want to point out that the program and the Kuskokwim has been very, very successful. The tribal collaboration with U.S. Fish and Wildlife on Federal lands, unfortunately on the Yukon we have a patchwork. So, we also need to get ADF&G, so, a letter to the Federals to fish -- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. I know people are working on this, that's what the Inter-Tribal Fish Commission, a lot of people are working on it. But I think a letter coming from the RAC just in support of that would be -- go a long ways [sic] to hopefully bring that to the Yukon a little bit faster.

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

00058 1 MS. MCDAVID: One suggestion might be, 2 so you had said previously you want to write a letter to the Yukon Fishery Federal manager. I would suggest maybe writing it to both the Federal manager and the 5 State management team, including your recommendations 6 that you previously mentioned, and also this one. 7 8 Anything else you want to say about co-9 stewardship or co-management, in your report last year 10 you did specify the need for co-management or costewardship regarding 11 the Arctic Village Sheep Management Area. And I didn't know if you want to re-12 13 emphasize that again? 14 15 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Linda. 16 17 MS. EVANS: On the first one that we did 18 for dall sheep, didn't we talk about coalition? 19 20 MS. MCDAVID: We did, Linda. 21 22 (Simultaneous speech) 23 24 MS. EVANS:for this one. 25 26 MS. MCDAVID: Yeah, we could add to that

since it's specific to sheep, that's a good idea, thank you. Okay, moving along. Topic nine was about Hunter Ethics Education.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I think it's very important that we join up with other entities on this as one -- main one would be Tanana Chiefs. And like what Andy said earlier, it's always something about funding. So, I think that we need to look in other ways, try to help each other, and maybe Amanda might be able to help us with that also in the future with her program. I don't know if it goes into that but thank you.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, I think the main message I'd like to get back to the Federal Subsistence Board is our appreciation for their support in developing -- helping us to develop Hunter Ethics and asking for continuous support, that we are in a position to start making some progress and refining. I think we're gonna be having some discussion a little bit later in the meeting today, maybe, on this briefly. So, basically just thanking them for their support and letting them know we're continuing to seek their support cause we're gonna need some financing for meetings and things like

that in the future, probably, or maybe some of these programs. So, just giving them a heads up more than anything, and thank you.

4 5

6

8

10

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I'd like to say that going forward, all of our natural resources are dwindling, and I think that this could be added into all of our letters, the Hunter Ethics part of it, just to keep poking awareness, adding it and letting it be seen more and more times you hit something that'll bend, you know.

11 12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BASSICH: Member Bassich again. I hate to bring this up, but I'm beginning to think we need a fisher's ethics too. You know, we've heard a lot of testimony, and people are doing well, and we're trying to do outreach and communications, but we're at the point where when you're doing this much conservation, you need to also encourage the ethical taking of fish and that goes to the bycatch out in the ocean. It's unethical what's happening out there. It's happening in Area M, and it's happening to small extents on the Yukon River still. And so, I know we have our hands full internally or region wide with hunter ethics, but it may not be a bad topic to include in fisheries letters correspondence. Thank you.

262728

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Amanda.

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. POPE: Thank you, Mister Chair. This is Amanda Pope, for the record. I just want to emphasize that Hunter Ethics is an ongoing thing, and the topic of getting the educational efforts out there is to have people from the region involved and to educate the folks who are coming into the area, such as the hunter liaison efforts that CATG has been doing over the years in partnership with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. I think that program is a really positive program that can be -- that can help all of the region. And I just wanna encourage folks to look at the way CATG had approached it because they have made a difference. And I think it helps to the education -- educational efforts that a lot of folks don't know about Alaska in general, but the private lands that we have in conjunction around the Federal and State lands trespassing and the need for the regulations to be done in efficient manner is very important. The Federal regulations come out way too late. And I feel like it should -- like there's a timeline on the Federal regs, and it should be -- it shouldn't go past the timeline that people share. And I

know that the previous regulations go -- that are in effect until the next book come out. But a lot of folks don't know when that book comes out and sometimes it's a half a year later. I just would hope that timeline is done in a better timeline for the people who rely on the Federal regulations.

And I think just in general the need for hunter ethics is very important because it helps with a lot of the issues that have been brought up. Not only in this meeting, but in previous meetings as well. And just educating, educating, I can't harp on that too much. It's just so important to educate not only the people who come into the area from outside the State, but the people who come in within the State, within an area as well. So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Hey, I had problem on my private property in the Nowitna Wildlife Refuge with guides. When I go to my camp, one particular time, there was a guide service set up in my cabin, their four-wheelers were parked right under my no trespass sign. I'm not kidding. And they moved into my cabin. So, when somebody doesn't report, they don't get to hunt the next year. So, I think that something needs to happen with the trespass, like if they're caught trespassing, parking their four-wheeler under my no trespass sign that they should lose their ability to guide and hunt the next year, this is hunter ethics. They know where they're at, they can read. So, that's -- trespass is serious and they laugh at us when we tell them, you know, I don't want you coming back here again. Now, they made a trail around my property that's legal but moving in, and that's just terrible and nothing's -- I could've took pictures, I could've done more. I asked them not to come back again, and he hasn't, as far as I understand. But it's serious thing, trespassing. And it has an effect -- he's back behind my cabin hunting in my lake where I hunt every year. Took the couple big moose out of there before I got there. I wait cause of work, I had to go later in the season. So, then I had to go somewhere else to hunt because I was trespassed on. That's a hardship. I have the means to go somewhere else, thank God and I did, but not a lot of people do. Thank you.

MS. MCDAVID: Mr. Chair. I'm glad you brought up the trespass thing again and something that came up earlier. Dorothy brought this up in previous meetings, and it was mentioned earlier. Was the need to

have on the maps Native allotment and Corporation land. It's currently just whited out and lumped into nebulous, non-Federal lands. So, I would suggest that you also add that as a note in your report.

 MR. BASSICH: I didn't really want to get into too much detailed discussion on Hunter Ethics right now, cause I know we'll be talking about it, but I think maybe in the letter, you know, when we're thanking the Federal Subsistence Board and the agencies, it's very apparent to me that probably the most effective hunter ethics that we've done so far is a community liaison. And so, it might be time to look at hiring a position for the bridge at the Yukon where a lot of people are putting in. If they're heading upriver into private lands, like we heard in the testimony yesterday. So, I'll bring that up again. But just a heads up, I think that's gonna be one of the solutions asked for by TCC and some of the members.

MS. MCDAVID: All right, thank you all for your discussion about Hunter Ethics. Topic ten was about climate change. And in the Board response they did note some of the ways that several agencies are monitoring climate change.

(Pause)

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Climate change is not going away right away for sure. So, we have to keep that on the list and keep pushing on that. It's got effect -- I was really happy to see a little colder summer this year. Sure, it helped with the salmon and making things a little more normal like they used to be. Some places moose were actually in rut now. First time I seen that in a while during the season in my area, so I think that's a -- but the impacts are still there, the storms are stronger, the rain is harder, rains more, places are flooded. That really makes it hard to travel in the wintertime on lake systems that fall in on you in the winter. So, it's -- things are definitely changing. We can harp on it all day long, but I think that it just needs to be kept in mind and online. Thank vou.

MR. BASSICH: Mr. Chair, Member Bassich. My biggest concern moving forward with climate change is the melting of permafrost, the release of heavy metals, mercury and other contaminants into the waters, a lot of documentation of that. I think for the

priorities needs that we just saw. There were some topics there on -- under the salmon or where they travel and habitat and I think some studies or some baseline information on some of our spawning streams for both chinook and primarily chum salmon within the Yukon Drainage would be really valuable in the future to get some of that baseline data. So, I would suggest that that be elevated in our Priority Information Needs. And I'm also worried about -- I mentioned it earlier, the changing permafrost effects, melting permafrost effects on substrate of spawning habitat, in particular chum salmon because they need such specific types of spawning habitat. So, I guess that's both to the Federal Subsistence Board but also to OSM. When they start putting out their call for Priority Information Needs. Thank you.

MS. MCDAVID: And through the Chair member Bassich. One thing we could do is, I can look and see if folks are doing sort of some of that water monitoring currently or already, and we could always try to have someone come and report on that at a future meeting if so.

 And you have iterated to the Board in the past recommendations for Fish and Wildlife management because of climate change, noting that populations must be managed on a long term plan and not a year, to year harvest plan, a management needs to stop being based on maximum sustained yield. And because the impacts of climate change are largely unknown and evolving, management must be a lot more cautious now than ever before. So, something you might want to reiterate.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace.$ BASSICH: I agree with that. Thank you.

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you all. Topic 11, support needed for subsistence education in culture camps. And the Board did reply and I believe we have one of our authors for this reply in the room. If you guys have other questions that — the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program, it can only be used for fisheries monitoring projects. However, the partners for Fisheries Monitoring Program, which I know, those names are very similar, I get them confused a lot too but we also — we call it the Partners Program. That is a potential vehicle for youth — funding youth and — youth science in culture camps. So, I'll just read a little bit about

the Partners Program because I know some of you are very interested in this. The Partners Program was designed to strengthen Alaska native and rural involvement in Federal subsistence management. Funds from the program can be used to support locally developed opportunities for students to connect with subsistence resources through science and culture camps and paid internships. These science and culture camps are not required to be tied to a research project, but they must be somehow tied to Federal subsistence fisheries, so there will be an opportunity to apply for funding through this program either later this fall or early in 2025. And we'll make sure that the Council members get information about that, and I believe they send it out to -- the information out to tribes and rural residents as well.

MR. BASSICH: Sure. Yeah, I'm very interested in that. I'm working at the Yukon River panel level and then speaking with YRDFA staff yesterday. This is something that we really want to develop long-term on the Yukon River. So, even if we can use some of this funding to get programs started. So, yeah, please stay in contact with me on those, is really important. It's a strong direction we're trying to move in. Thank you. I'm encouraged to hear that there's some funding for this. I know sometimes it's hard to allocate for that type of funding, but this is really, super important for the younger people. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: I've been running culture camps for quite a while, and they have a great effect on the youth that had no opportunity at home or — I stated that yesterday when Chief Ridley was here. Tanana Chiefs is also offering funding for culture camps and they just started that up again, that's really wonderful. The people and grownups even, that didn't have a chance to grow up in fish camp are even coming in it has a great effect on the youth. So, I think it's very important going forward like Andy said. Thank you.

MR. VICKERS: For the record, Brent Vickers, OSM. I just wanted to note that Liz Williams and -- OSM anthropologist Liz Williams is one of the leads for the Partners Program and does have a brief presentation on the Partners Program if given the time. If you have questions about it, she is definitely the person to speak to. Thank you.

MS. MCDAVID3: Thanks for that reminder, Brent. Yes, we do have Liz to give us a brief update on

that later in the meeting. So, moving on to number 12, use of cabins on Federal public lands for subsistence activities. I did let you guys know about that, for lack of a better word, working group that the ISC has formed to address this. My suggestion, obviously it's up to you guys, would just let the Board know that you'd like to receive updates as that effort moves forward and perhaps give an update that we can work with to get an update at your winter meeting too.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you for that. I know Amanda was looking forward to this part of the report. You have anything to say or any questions or anything? Okay, no problem. Okay, Donald.

MR. WOODRUFF: I know that BLM gets a lot of requests, and they mention that at their Board meeting from trapper organizations. And it kinda disturbed me a little bit because we were talking about subsistence use of cabins, and then they're talking about a commercial process of the Fairbanks Trappers Association requesting permits to use, you know, abandoned cabins. And there's a distinction between that, that I think is being missed. Thank you.

MS. POPE: Thank you, this is Amanda Pope for the record. I'll just share my experience. That my process within the National Park Service, they had offered the opportunities for a cabin permit to subsistence users before they had offered opportunity to all residents of Alaska, which I thought was awesome. Because I believe the subsistence priority should be the priority when doing these permits before it's offered to people who come into the area. Because the people who are within the area obviously, they use it more than people who have not really been there most of the year. I just wanted to thank the Park Service for that effort, I would hope other agencies, State and Federal, would follow that lead. Yeah, I just wanted to share that.

MS. MCDAVID: Thanks to Don and Amanda for those additions, and we'll be sure that gets included in in this next draft. If there's nothing else about the cabins at this time. Moving on to topic 13 was about the impacts to wildlife by the increase in trucking. Because of the Kinross Mine near Tetlin. So, I did reach out to the wildlife troopers about this, and they actually just got in touch with me during this meeting. That's — that one day I had to walk out and take their call. The trucks

haven't been running for very long right now. But they do monitor all collisions, including wildlife collisions. They weren't able to give me numbers of —they didn't give me the actual numbers of total wildlife collisions for the year. But they did say there was —there's only one incident involving a Kinross truck that they're aware of, but that collisions with passenger vehicles are way more common than semis in general. And so, there's — I just wanted to pass on there's been one incident so far, thanks.

MS. ENTSMINGER: Question, was it with the animal or -- it was with an animal?

 MS. MCDAVID: Well, there has been an incident with -- there was a crash recently involving another vehicle, but your request was specific to the impacts to wildlife. So, they did say there was one wildlife collision. They were aware of....

MS. ENTSMINGER: Moose.

MS. MCDAVID: And they didn't tell me what species so, I would assume moose, but....

MS. ENTSMINGER: Well, I just wanted to report because of some -- my husband's health issues, we've had to run back and forth to Fairbanks a lot in the last several months. And our stuff to keep awake for driving is to count how many trucks we passed and it's been as low as eight or, I don't know, maybe even a little lower than that, but as high as 12 or 13. And it's interesting cause, you know, we like to drive a little quickly to Fairbanks and we're not really zooming around passing very many of them. And it just the impact that I'm seeing isn't much different than other traffic. So, it -- I just wanted to report it, it is interesting that it's -- and you know, and then the trucks have all their tires down when they're going in, and then they bring a bunch of them up when they're coming back out. Yeah so, thank you.

MR. BASSICH: I can build on that, I've been driving a fair amount too this year and I was actually pleasantly surprised at how courteous they are, how safe they're driving. I know they're probably being told no mistakes cause there's a lot of zoom lenses on them right now, but I've experienced the same thing too. I thought it was pretty courteous, they're good at turning their lights down when they see you coming in

the nighttime. And I tend to like to drive at night cause usually there's less traffic. But yeah, I don't see it as an issue, my biggest issue is I'm just wondering how the roads are gonna hold up to the weight. But I guess what's happening is it looks like they're just rebuilding the highway, you know, slowly they're just improving things and so, maybe in the long run, it might be good for us, thank you.

MS. ENTSMINGER: Yeah, and I just wanted to add to that, that the amount of tires to carry that weight is a lot less than a semi. So, you know and I.....

(Distortion)

Yeah so, you got your entertainment by counting tires. Good, thank you.

MR. WOODRUFF: I don't think that that should be a topic in our annual letter, we can reduce that.

MS. MCDAVID: Thanks, Don. I was gonna ask that next, if you felt it needed to still be included. We can always — because it's something that the Board doesn't deal with. If you guys, you know, in another year or two after the trucks have been running, if you would like me to reach out again to the troopers and see if you know, any more collision incidents or whatever, we can definitely do that. So, the last topic in last year's report was request for updated technology to be used at Council meetings.

MR. BASSICH: You know, I think I said it at the Venetie. I was attending via remote at the Venetie meeting, and there were a lot of problems. And thank God we had a great communications person there. But, you know, as a person who's had Starlink now for over a year or whatever, I just don't see why the investment isn't being made. You can take my -- I can pack up my Starlink, it goes into a pelican case, and I can take it anywhere in the State, start up a little generator or plug it in and I have communications. And I don't understand why we don't have that for every RAC meeting. It's that simple, it could be set out right there, right now and we wouldn't even have the problems with communications that we're having during this meeting. So, anyway, I know the Federal government moves slow, but there's no excuse for any community or anybody in the state of Alaska not to have good comms now,

through the internet. It's been my experience, my --when I communicate through that and I spent -- that's basically what I use my Starlink for, is just phone calls and meetings, and such, and it's like I'm in the room. So, whatever I -- you know, I know the Federal Government moves in slow and -- you know, slow, painful moves at times. But that's a pretty simple solution in my mind.

MS. POPE: Thank you, sorry. This is Amanda Pope for the record. Using Owl, like, the little video, little device, that kinda -- it's a sensor that detects voices, sound so, I think that's also, like, a good resource as well. I've been to meetings that some folks have used those and it worked great. So, just to share that.

MS. MCDAVID: Thanks for that additional suggestion, Amanda. And we did for Andy's knowledge at the OSM leadership team on Monday, they did discuss purchasing Starlink and moving forward with that. And so, the team is looking into it and hopefully by this winter next cycle, we'll have at least one portable unit, maybe two, that we can start using.

 $\,$ MS. ENTSMINGER: So also, the Microsoft Teams or Zoom is -- do you plan to use it?

MS. MCDAVID: So, we do use that now in addition to -- so, we send out the teleconference number and the Teams link for folks that -- so, they can choose to join either way cause it's all part of one -- it's all tied into Microsoft Teams, the phone number and the link so, folks can join online. We haven't moved forward with the video capabilities yet because of -- typically we have bandwidth issues even with just the audio. So, if we do move forward with the Starlink, that's something we might be able to test out once we have that.

MR. BASSICH: You will definitely be able to, and I guess, I don't know, I'm assuming is there a new contractor for who is doing our minutes and recording? So, it just seems to me like that should be a part of the contract that they provide the Zoom or the Starlink connection because if we're gonna go to remote villages, it's gonna have to -- it's gonna have to happen there, right? And so, if they're going -- if they're serving the Federal program, it should just be something that they purchase and as -- put in as part of their contract to provide that service -- video. In this day

1 and age, there's no reason why you shouldn't be able to link up Zoom anywhere in the world, in my view. But my view is sometimes jaded. And no, I don't get residuals from Elon Musk for promoting Starlink right now. I do 5 say I have a little bit of concern that one guy has got that much control over communications in the world, but 6 7 I'm not getting residuals, sorry. 8 9 MS. MCDAVID: Okay, Council members, that 10 was the last topic from last year's report. And so, I guess the question now is if there are additional topics 11 that you want to add. We also, yeah, you can always add 12 later in the meeting if something comes up an additional 13 14 topic as well. So, okay. So, if you want to move on, I 15 hear lunch might be in order. We would -- you probably 16 would want to make a motion to -- I don't know, what would -- to accept the annual report topics that were 17 18 discussed, and to send the letters that were discussed. 19 Do it as one motion to make it easy. 20 21 MR. BASSICH: Yeah, Mr. Chair, Andy 22 Bassich. I'd like to make a motion that the Eastern 23 Interior RAC acknowledges the responses of the annual 24 report from the Federal Subsistence Board, and that we 25 would like to forward the -- another annual support to the Federal Subsistence Board for containing the topics 26 27 that we discussed in this previous dialogue. That work? 28 letters to agencies identified in our And the 29 discussions. 30 31 MR. WOODRUFF: I'll second. 32 33 MR. BASSICH: I'm gonna call a question, 34 unless there's..... 35 36 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you for all 37 the hard work, Andy. We're asking for unanimous consent. 38 Anybody don't -- disagree with that? 39 40 (No response) 41 42 Passes. Lunch time. Is 12:30 so, 1:30 43 people. Thank you. 44 45 (Off record) 46 47 (On record) 48 49 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Call the meeting 50 back to order at 1:38. And this is -- meeting is all

about the people. So, we have to allow a couple more people to testify. And the first one would be Jim Simon. Good afternoon, everybody.

4 5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

MR. SIMON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And members of the Council. For the record, my name is Jim Simon, and I'm providing public testimony on behalf of the Ahtna Inter-Tribal Resources Commission with a request for the Council's consideration for including something in your Annual Report. And Brooke earlier mentioned, you know, from title 805 of ANILCA, you know, the four items that are to be addressed in the RACs Annual Report to the Secretary, it's also in your the identification of current charter. And anticipated subsistence uses, then an evaluation of a recommended strategy to subsistence needs and accommodate those subsistence uses and needs, and then recommendations concerning policy standards, quidelines and regulations to implement the strategy. And the Ahtna Inter-Tribal Resources Commission, hopefully, Karen and I have provided you a lot of information with respect to Copper River Salmon management. And what I failed to mention is that you also have communities and unit -game management Unit 20D that have customary and traditional uses of Copper River Salmon. And so, what we would like to suggest you consider is including in your Annual Report an identification of the current and anticipated subsistence uses of salmon of the Copper River, as well as the fact that those needs have not been met based on the ANS evaluations that that we provided you, I believe yesterday, maybe the day before and in the absence of the Federal Subsistence Management Program establishing Federal subsistence use amounts that Executive Director Karen mentioned briefly, we would like you to consider establishing an interim Federal subsistence use amount for Copper River salmon, least for that portion from Gakona up-river, including Batzulnetas being in the interim, the States amount necessary for subsistence finding while -- and then under D4 in your charter recommended Concerning policy standards and guidelines and regulations to implement these -- this subsistence needs issue to then also request that the Federal Subsistence Management Program begin developing a process by which Federal subsistence use amounts for Copper River Salmon could be established.

46 47 48

49

50

So, again, just as a reminder the -- since the Board of Fish adopted an amount necessary for subsistence finding in 2006 for Copper River salmon from

5

6

8

9

10

11 12 the mouth of the Gakona River, up-river of 12,000 at the lower end to 12,500 salmon that has been reached only twice since 2006. And again, as a reminder, in 2023 there was just above 2,000 salmon harvested, despite the fact that in 2003 the sonar passage was 71% above their management objective. So, you know, how do we solve this issue? You know, that's going to be a process to try to figure that out. But I think a good first step from the Ahtna Inter-Tribal Resources Commission perspective is to adopt for the Federal subsistence use amount, the States amount necessary for subsistence finding as an interim objective metric as the Federal program develops, the Federal subsistence use amounts.

13 14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

I know this is something that dates back to the beginning of the Federal Subsistence Management Program, I had the benefit of being trained when I went to the Department of Fish and Game Division of Subsistence 22 years ago by Terry Haines, who actually used to live in Tok and then Fairbanks and actually did all the customer and traditional use determination background research for all of those upper Tanana game management Unit 12 and 20D communities to establish their Federal customary and traditional use of Copper River salmon. And what he told me at that time is that there was a sort of informal gentleman's agreement between the State and the Federal Subsistence Management Program that the Fish and Wildlife Service would not establish Federal subsistence use amounts and just rely on the ANS, because at that time there was still an expectation that the State would eventually implement ANILCA Title 8 themselves. I think we've known now for 10-15 years that at least when I was still at the department that there -- because of ninth Circuit Court decisions, etc., that the State had has no intention of trying to implement ANILCA Title 8. I don't know what the current policy perspective is, but I think after 43 years of of ANILCA being on the books and as Brooke put on the record that this is identified specifically in the law as well as your charter -- your renewed charter, that it's probably time to get this part of the Federal Subsistence Management Program started and and you establishing an interim Federal subsistence use amount for that portion of the Copper River might help get this ball rolling. Thank you very much. Happy to answer any questions.

46 47 48

49

50

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any questions for Jim? Seeing none. Appreciate you. Thank you. So, I want to I want to say we're making room for testimony right

45

46

47

48

49

50

00071 now and we'd appreciate it if it's done fast, because 1 we have we're going to try to finish today and we have a lot more on the agenda. 5 MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 6 Christopher, if you are still online, we would welcome 7 your testimony next. You can press star six to unmute 8 your phone. 9 10 SHERRY: Thank you, Tanya. Good MR. 11 afternoon, guys. I just want to comment that there's 58 wood bison at Paul Esau Slough just south of Kantishna 12 13 River. They're awaiting release pending Board of Game 14 approval of a site-specific management plan that was 15 drafted by the Wood Bison Planning Team. And Chairman 16 Wright, if you remember, I was able to get the group to adopt language that asked for an expedited C&T finding 17 18 and ceremonial use upon the events of a harvestable 19 amount of bison. And I would just appreciate your consideration and communicating to the Board of Game 20 21 that it's important that they adopt those with 22 everything in the plan drafted. 23 24 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. But first, I 25 want you to state your full name and affiliation, please. 26 27 MR. SHERRY: Yeah. Christopher Sherry. I 28 belong to Minto. 29 30 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you. This for 31 the record. I appreciate you. And thank you for calling 32 in and testifying. And we appreciate you. Thank you. 33 34 MR. SHERRY: Thank you. 35 36 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: We have a -- we have 37 a question for you, Chris. Hold on. 38 39 MR. WOODRUFF: This is Donald Woodruff. 40 Do you have a traditional use for this resource? 41 42

MR. SHERRY: Well, that's just it. It was an unusual to request an expedited C&T finding, which I don't think is probably been done before. I've spent vast time researching the history of the animal in this State. It all comes from the people in Wildlife of northern North America. Published by UAF and Craig Gerlach, professor of anthropology at UAF. He collected testimony from elders. We produced a documentary film about the oral history in the region. It's based on this

1 history that's been lost on most people that are people depended on this animal for probably millennia since the last glacial maxim. And so, I think that that's the basis for the traditional use finding. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you so much. 7 Any more questions? Thank you so much for your testimony. It means a lot. Good information. Thank you. 8 9 10 MR. SHERRY: Thank you. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Chris, are you still 13 there? 14 15 MR. SHERRY: I am. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Can you restate your 18 ask just one more time so it's clear here? 19 20 MR. SHERRY: I'm a little bit new to the 21 comment process, but it's my understanding that you guys 22 have lines of communication with the Board of Game and 23 all appropriate parties that were to approve this plan. 24 It's my understanding that the draft is -- has to go 25 through the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to ensure 10J 26 compliance. You can include those communications to 27 them, I suppose, as well as the Board of Game. 28 29 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: All right. Thank you 30 so much for clarifying. 31 32 (Pause) 33 34 MR. BASSICH: Can I get a little bit more information on the timelines that this would be 35 36 necessary? Is that something necessary to do at this 37 meeting, or is that something that that we could push 38 to our spring meeting so we have more time to digest it 39 and come up with a..... 40 41 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Think there's ample 42 time before the -- any hunts will be done on these 43 animals. So, I think coming getting it on the record and 44 working on it on our next meeting will be real good. 45 Thank you. 46 47 MR. SHERRY: If I may, if I may, Charlie. 48 understanding these -- yeah, it's 49 understanding that these animals will be released within 50 a roughly eight-month time period. And it's just I think

it's important that you know, we do need to consider this historical event and all that it all that it entail.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. We have a question.

MR. BASSICH: I guess my question is, when would the Board of Game be taking this up? Because that's he's asking for us to make a statement to the Board of Game as my understanding of the ask. So, do we know when the Board of Game will be taking this up? My preference, Mr. Chair, would be to take this up at our next meeting if there fits the timeline so, we have more time to understand the situation. Thank you.

MR. SHERRY: If I may.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: You may.

MR. SHERRY: I had a -- okay. I had a discussion with Darren Bruning, the Region 3 Manager. This was maybe a month and a half ago. I asked him for an update on where the plan was at and the process for approval, and he explained that it was with the Fish and Wildlife Service for 10J compliance. And I'd imagine once that everything seems good with them, that the Board of Game will receive their plan.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay, thank you.

 MS. MCDAVID: So, council members, I guess if you wanted to take action at this meeting, you could write to the Fish and Wildlife Service and recommend they include this as a customary and traditional use based on some of the info that Chris shared with us or you can always at future meetings take this up again.

MR. BASSICH: I guess from my perspective maybe I'm not fully informed, but just because they release doesn't mean there's a harvestable surplus. So, there's going to be a number of years before there's a harvestable surplus. And that's why I would at this time, probably like, to just push it to another meeting. So, we have better understanding and maybe a little bit more reporting from those in Fish and Wildlife. But I don't understand why there's such a quick need for a C&T determination on this particular resource, I guess, is what I'm concerned about.

1 2 3 4 5	MR. SHERRY: One more thing I didn't take note of, everything I'm hearing is that the State has an interest in developing this as a resource and has it is amongst their priorities to do so.		
6 7			
8 9	MR. WOODRUFF: No, this is for the		
10	Council. Perhaps we can elevate this to an Annual Report		
11	concern in our future Annual Reports. That way, the		
12	Federal Subsistence Board will have it on their radar		
13	that this is coming down the pike. Thank you.		
14			
15	(Pause)		
16 17	MD DACCICIL That for the record I		
18	MR. BASSICH: Just for the record, I		
19	guess I would like to see this table to a future meeting and put on the agenda for the future meeting. Thank you.		
20	and put on the agenda for the facult meeting. Thank for.		
21	CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay, Chris. You've		
22	been heard, and everybody here is not informed enough		
23	with the conversation I'm hearing. But we have it on our		
24	list, and we'll put it on the next meeting's agenda.		
25 26	Thank you.		
26 27	MR. SHERRY: I appreciate I appreciate		
28	you, Chairman Wright. You guys have a great day.		
29			
30	CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: You, too. Thank you.		
31	Hey, Chris. Please reach out to us with more info as it		
32	comes.		
33	MD GUEDDY Ober		
34 35	MR. SHERRY: Okay.		
36	CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: That way we can		
37	stack it up for you. Thank you.		
38			
39	MR. SHERRY: Will do. Thank you.		
40			
41	CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you so much.		
42 43	And right now, we're going to let Elder Sarah James come up and testify and be mindful of time for us. Please,		
44	Sarah. Thank you.		
45	baran. mank you.		
46	(Pause)		
47			
48	MS. JAMES: Okay. I'm sorry I was late.		
49	I was here a couple of times, the first day and the		
50	second day, but I was just kind of feeling when the		

1 issue would come up that I want to talk about. But finally I got to speak and you got to understand that English is my second language. And I'm now an elder of 80 years old, and I'm really a story teller. And what I 5 want to bring up is part three different things. I hope 6 I don't take too much time because so, much has been covered, I see that. And I saw that last meeting and 8 also a meeting before that. So, I'll try to -- well, 9 anyway I'm kind of watch on news on what's going on. Oh, 10 yeah. My name is Sarah James, and I'm a spokesperson on Arctic Refuge for my tribe which is Native Village of 11 12 Venetie Tribal Government. And I am a tribal member to 13 Native Village of Venetie Tribal Government and Arctic 14 Village Council so, I do -- and Arctic -- and Venetie so, village of Venetie and that's who I represent on 15 16 Arctic Refuge. As a spokesperson, as an elder. So, that's 17 where I'm coming from. And I speak all over the place 18 because some people just make me do it sometime because 19 -- and or they don't do it. So, that's with the one of the reason I'm here today again. I've been listening to 20 21 news. I've been follow Facebook mainly and news and I 22 don't know. They blame in climate change, but I think 23 the way I see it, I'm 80 now, I can remember very clear 24 from 1950, and I was taught in a traditional way. I live 25 along the Yukon because my father is from the Yukon Flats Birch Creek and we fish out in the land out in the 26 27 Yukon River, maybe three years in a row down there, 28 because we had to go to Fort Yukon to go to school, or 29 else they'll take us away.

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

So, and then we did trapping for three years or more out in the land up at Salmon River. So, I do have allotment in Yukon Flat. And so, I do have lots of relatives. The whole Birch Creek is my relatives and Fort Yukon all along the Gwich'in villages, I got a lot of relatives. So, and most of them, you know, they call me or they see me and they say, why don't you bring this up and that kind of stuff. So, this year I guess earlier Tonya and RaeAnne came, are my leaders from Arctic Village mentioned plane has been flying low. It's not only from Arctic Village, it's not only the whole Yukon Flats complain the spring as soon as over, even before sport hunting open hunting season open. Plane has been flying all over the place low. I don't know where all the patroller are at, but I've been getting a lot of complaints about that. So, I checked at the airport. All the floatplane and all the small planes are not out there. There's lots out there in Fairbanks. I see they're not out there, so they're out there on the land. And I seen that, and that's what I heard. And about 30 years

ago or 40 years ago, we had a complaint about that in 1 Arctic Village because they were buzzing the Porcupine Caribou Herd. And it's not only Fish and Wildlife or other people, hunters -- sport hunters. It's also people 5 that study the caribou. There's people a whole lot of 6 people other country, oil company, state, other state. They study these animals our animal that's out in the 8 land. And they got permit to do that. And these are some 9 of them because we had a huge meeting in Arctic Village 10 at that time. A local hunter came in with broken legs 11 and broken antlers and you name it. There was a lot of 12 broken bones that they find from the animal that they 13 hunted. And I know it's going to be like that soon 14 because they're not out there to be afraid and run and 15 run for the safety. And I think there's more and more 16 is happening now because it is here in Yukon Flat. And 17 so, I just want to report on that.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

The action of sport hunting is getting to be more problem. They leave meat behind because hind quarter they can bring home as an edible part, they don't even have to bring that meat. I mean, they don't even have to bring the bone. They could bring the meat because the edible part and that's a State regulation and nobody talks about it. I bring it up and people just ignore me. And I don't think that's even fair at all, because the way I grew up, traditionally, I learned how to use every part of our Porcupine Caribou Herd. When we get the caribou, we use every part of it with the only thing maybe we throw away is a bone. I said this before the cleanup bone from chewing up on it, or the dog chew up on it. When we leave the camp, we put that in the pond or lake or creek, because it doesn't give out scent, but it's good for fish. That's how carefully we are. We -- I grew up with no trash. I -- we don't have trash. I don't -- we don't have that brown paper bag. But I know how it sounds because we live in Fort Yukon before an Arctic Village, I see. And this one plant where they grow and they go dry and wind blows it, it sounds like paper. And I look at it and there's civilization to me hearing that. That's how I grew up. And we use every part of it. And that's our traditional way of life. We call it (In Native). And (In Native) means mean way of life, subsistence. We don't say subsistence. We say that's our way of life (In Native). So, those are very important to me. And there is a pack of wolves out there. They always said, oh, the wolves have to eat. They leave all this meat behind and that's why there was a lot of pack of wolves out there. And I think how they made these regulations is through Fish

and Game. And it's an old, old regulation from back when 1 Fortymile Herd was healthy up to 800,000. Now today is 40,000. They fed it to the steamboat self-serve as a delegate and or turf. And also, they have first class 5 on those steamboats too. And the wood cutter, they feed caribou to them and they rushed to build a highway. So, they -- Alaska highway. They fed them caribou and 8 railroad. That's where Fortymile went to maybe 18 or 9 more than 20 villages depend on that Forymile Herd in 10 those days. My dad is from there, and my mom said she spent the first year over there with him, and she was 11 12 scared when she heard those Fortymile hurt on stampede. 13 It's just like even the earth is shaking. And that's a 14 law that they use to shoot down -- the military shoot 15 down with -- Sandy Roberts when he was alive, he was 16 telling me what went on then and Circle that they come 17 up at Eagle Summit and they shoot them down with machine 18 qun and take them outside before another batch come in 19 because sometime in between stampede 10 or 15 minutes 20 and there's no stampede nowadays, even though Arctic 21 Village Porcupine Caribou Herd is increasing pretty 22 fast, healthy, and the rest of the caribou is going 23 down, some of them are going down fast now.

2425

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

And killing the caribou, there's so much angry through that Facebook, through the people talking to me about what these hunters leave behind. The whole moose and I say, I'll do what I can say. It's a bag limit that we can all can work on it as a tribe, and that's the only way we can change it. It's not a law anymore. It's just some to feed people in those days. We don't have to feed those people anymore. So, I just want to bring that up, that bag limit that goes for the sheep and that goes for the moose, and that goes for the caribou. And then this fall, there's I think it's about 6 or 5 hunters went up to Red Sheep Creek. They came back. They didn't get anything. Neither they'd been to where they were before, and they followed the sheep track. And I just want to think that we're going to Red Sheep Creek sheep is safe for maybe another four years until they bring up again and I understand the rest of the dall sheep is going down, most of them are going down and most of them are not there and they're -they're talking about sheep coalition, which is cool to do and to bring back these sheeps. But Red Sheep Creek is unique and different. It got red streaked in the back of the coat, and there's no other sheep like that. It's going to be protected for four more years. But what -how do we gather with these hunters going? They're all good those hunters, they went up there. They've been

1 there to some of them. Been there three times or four times. So, they know what they're doing. But they didn't get sheep. There was a lot of sign of sheep, but they're not there. We figured that they must have been harass, 5 you know, buy the plane or however to get them to the 6 other area so they can get to shoot them. I -- we don't know because we're not there. We're -- we don't get a 8 report from the whoever patrol those places. So, those 9 are my concerns. And sheep is sacred to us, sacred enough 10 that we bring it in from the back door of -- the back door, never be used unless for emergency. And a caribou 11 12 skin hut where we used to live in that during the when 13 we were nomadic people. To honor it, they bring it in 14 from the back door and they go. That goes for the same thing for the king Salmon. And they also do it for old 15 16 John Lake -- lake trout. These fish takes a long time. 17 They be big and huge and yummy and they honor that. 18 That's our way of honoring and not to overharvest. That's 19 how we honor these animals. That's our way of life. 20 That's our subsistence. That's our -- (In Native). So, 21 I just want to mention all that. That coalition sounds 22 good, but Red Sheep Creek is unique and need to be 23 protected. And that's all I can gather from the -- Red 24 Sheep Creek that I want to talk about. And then I want to talk about helicort. Helicorp? Helicopter yeah, it's 25 26 an oil company. They don't they're kind of not a very 27 good. They're not like other oil companies that they 28 just about do what they want out on the land. And now 29 they're in Alaska. They're all over Alaska. And just 30 yesterday, I heard a news and the news that EPA got 31 after them. I was wondering who is watching them, who 32 is going to make sure they, you live up to protect the 33 environment, protect the locals and the traditional way and all that, because we got to have our own expertise 34 35 to deal with that.

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

And so, I was glad that EPA got after them. It was on the news yesterday on some of the stuff they did already in Alaska, but they are out there. They are in Yukon Flat and the Yukon Flat Fort Yukon I know they're very concerned about the oil. They're going to do oil development with Doyon and it'll be around Birch Creek area, all that kind of stuff, and they're very concerned. I just want to let you know that this is what I know, and this is what I want to say. And climate change is real. It's a science. It's not something we made up. It's a science. So, and then a lot of times it's due to climate change things have been changing a lot. But this buzzing animal out there with the airplane. That's not a climate change. So, we have to -- I think

1 we need to have more people be concerned about that. And Porcupine Caribou Herd we're not only talking about protecting the Porcupine Caribou Herd. I see that many times to -- even the coastline is like one tenth of the 5 coastline will be protected once coastal plain, the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge protect and the rest is 6 into development already or will be with that will or 8 will be so those are very important part of my life because like I say we did fishing in Yukon. My father 10 did in the summertime. We lived out with a fishwheel the whole family along the coast. And my mother, you know, 11 12 experienced Birch Creek. And I heard all that story. And 13 then into Salmon River, we lived just us family 50 miles 14 from the nearest neighbor. We were out there. That's 15 where I grew up most of the time. And then we had to go 16 back to Arctic Village because again, because of school 17 or also as kids going there, going to take the kids 18 away. So, since then we've been in Arctic Village and 19 my family, if we could do it, we go out sheep hunting. 20 Maybe sometime we'd be out into the mountains three 21 months, then we come back and get some more for winter 22 and make it back to Arctic Village before school starts. 23 And we share what we did and along with the sheep. It's 24 very hard to get. So, we had we that's why that's how 25 we share our sheep, Red Sheep Creek. So, I just want to 26 share all this again. Many times I explain these things 27 and there's hunters that went out. They didn't just get 28 up and go. They got to have supply. They got to have 29 engine. They're racking up their engine. They walk, they 30 pack. It's not an easy trip, but they do it so they can 31 get into the land and get some sheep and share with the 32 elders.

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

And I'm just more concerned, even though it's protected now, I think. Thank you to the sport. And so, I see they put pictures on Facebook. About how -what they took from that moose or what they took from caribou. Just a hindquarter a lot of times I'm at out in the land, I see them come in with just, you know, that bag from Safeway or a Fred Meyer? They get four bags of that, that's it. That's all the meat they come back with. It fits just right in those three-four bags a hind quarters, two hind quarters and two bags. And that's all they come back plus trophy and I, we saw on the on Facebook everybody comments and very angry about how many trophy came back into Delta Airport and no meat. And it was in the picture. It's in the picture here. So, I just want to bring out those three. And I said, I will and I hope I don't take too much. And then I put you guys' meeting in the, in the Facebook so people

1		ablic comments and so, I can get		
2	people to say what they need to say. But I hope they			
3	did, because I'm not here all the time so I so, that's			
4	-	what I want to really these are the things that I		
5	really want to talk abo	ut.		
6				
7		RSON WRIGHT: Well, we appreciate		
8	you coming. We really a	ppreciate you coming and sharing		
9	that with us. So, and i	t's duly noted.		
10				
11	MS. JAM	ES: If there's any question I can		
12	try to answer the quest	ion.		
13	1			
14	CHATRPE	RSON WRIGHT: Any questions for		
15		dy? No, we appreciate you coming.		
	And they got you all no			
16	And they got you all no	ted. Oil, go allead.		
17	MO TAN	ATIC Ole Missel Constitution		
18		MES: Okay. Thank you for giving		
19	me this time.			
20				
21		E: Oh, I just had a comment. This		
22		record. Thank you for testifying.		
23	I really appreciate yo	ur insights and the information		
24	you shared. Last year,	I put in a proposal for the State		
25	for the caribou for	25 unit 25, for caribou, for		
26	the front quarters, hind quarters and ribs to be taken			
27	out on the bone prior to October 1st. And it was passed			
28	_	ly that will help alleviate some		
29	wanton waste.	.,		
30	warroor was so.			
31	MG .TAM	ES: Yes.		
32	110: 0111	10. 103.		
33	MC DOI	OE. I dust wanted to above that		
		PE: I just wanted to share that		
34	with you.			
35		_		
36	MS. JAM	ES: Exactly.		
37				
38		RSON WRIGHT: We really		
39	appreciate your testimo	ny and your time today and we're		
40	sorry we had to make yo	u wait so long. We had		
41				
42	MS. JAN	MES: Oh, yeah. I know because I		
43	have a busy schedule to	_		
44				
45	CHATRPE	RSON WRIGHT: Well, we appreciate		
46				
47	your time and we heard you and we have you all your good points written down over here recorded. But thank you			
	-	-		
48	for your testimony toda	у.		
49 50	MS .TAM	ES. Thank you		
111	VI ← . I Δ IV	TO THATIK VOII		

1 MS. POPE: Thank you, Sarah.

3 4

5

6

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you so much. Hey, we're down to agenda to -- oh, where did I where was I? Right here. Wrangell-St. Elias National Park SRC, Barbara Cellarius.

7 8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

MS. CELLARIUS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. There is a handout in your meeting book for this agenda item. It is an action item. It's on page 262 and it has a photograph of our SRC on the bottom. We had nine members that are in -- which is our full complement of members at our meeting that we held in the spring. So, that's a picture from that meeting. I put together the handout for folks who are new to what the SRC is. So, I just want to run through that really quickly. And I'm actually going to be presenting on both the Wrangell-Elias SRC appointment and the Denali appointment. Amy Craver has a conflict and wasn't able to be here. So, the SRC, the Subsistence Resource Commission provides a venue for local subsistence users to have input into the management of subsistence resources in Wrangell-St. Elias National Park. It also has been making recommendations to the Federal Subsistence Management Program, the Federal Board, and the Regional Advisory Council since the Federal program was created. Regional Advisory Councils appoint three members to the SRC, these members provide an important link between the SRC and the Federal Subsistence Program. Regional Advisory Councils that address issues in Wrangell-St. Elias are Southcentral, Southeast, and Eastern Interior so, each of those three racks appoints one member.

343536

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

And then there are also members who are appointed to the SRC, three by the Governor of Alaska and three by the Secretary of the Interior. Sue Entsminger of Mentasta Pass is currently the Eastern Interior RAC appointee to the Wrangell-St. Elias SRC. Her term expires in November of 2024. So, at this meeting, you have an opportunity to take action on this appointment according to ANILCA section 808, RAC appointees must either be a member of RAC or a local AC and have a history of subsistence uses in the parks. So, there's kind of a small pool of candidates. And -- yeah. So, since Sue was interested in reappointment, I didn't look for other candidates. We can talk about who else might be eligible if you're interested, but I think Sue -- Sue's been -- done a really good job. She is currently

1 also serves as our Chair for the SRC. So, like I said, this is an action item for the RAC. It would, it would be a three-year appointment. So, I'll turn it over to you unless there's questions. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any questions? 7 8 MS. EVANS: Yeah. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: You have a comment? 11 12 MS. EVANS: No, I'll make a motion. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. 15 16 MS. EVANS: I like to make a motion to 17 reappoint Sue to this Wrangell -- this position. 18 19 MR. WOODRUFF: I'll second. 20 21 MR. BASSICH: The only thing I wanted to 22 offer is Sue has done an amazing job for us in this 23 position. Ever since I've been on the RAC. And I have 24 the utmost confidence that she will continue to do that. 25 So, thank you, Sue, for the service. You really do a 26 great job with that. 27 28 MS. ENTSMINGER: Thank you guys, I 29 appreciate it. 30 31 MR. BASSICH: I call question. 32 33 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you. Knowing 34 that Sue does a great job and hearing other comments in 35 the room here. We'll ask for unanimous decision. And if 36 anybody is against that, please speak up now. 37 38 (No response) 39 40 Seeing and hearing none. Passes Alright, 41 Sue. 42 MS. CELLARIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And 43 44 I can't remember for the court reporter whether I 45 introduced myself as being Barbara Cellarius from 46 Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve. So, just 47 so we have that on the record. And so, the next one is 48 the Denali SRC appointment from the Eastern Interior 49 RAC. And Charlie Wright is the current appointee. His 50 term on the Denali SRC has expired. And so, the Denali

1 National Park would like to request that the Eastern Interior RAC reappoint Charlie to serve three more years on the Denali SRC. Again, this is an action item and so you'll need to have a motion to take action on this. 6 MS. ENTSMINGER: I make a motion that we 7 appoint Charlie to continue to serve on the Denali SRC. 8 9 MR. BASSICH: I'll second. 10 11 MS. ENTSMINGER: He does an outstanding 12 job. 13 14 MR. BASSICH: Only comment I'd add is 15 just how many hats can you wear? You only have one head. 16 17 (Simultaneous speech) 18 19 Okay, we're going to get you a hat that 20 has a patch on every sector. And you can just kind of 21 turn it. I call question, Mr. Chair. 22 23 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: All right. Do I have 24 to -- can I do my own vote? Okay, well, we'll go with what we heard. We'll go with another unanimous vote. And 25 26 if anybody is against that, please speak up now. Seeing 27 or hearing none. Passes. Thank you so much. 28 29 (Simultaneous speech) 30 31 MS. CELLARUIS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, 32 Council members. 33 34 (Pause) 35 36 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Draft 37 Interior Dall Sheep Management Strategies. Council 38 Coordinator and Donald Woodruff. 39 40 MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll 41 get us started off, and then I'll turn it over to Don so he can speak more to this. So, as was mentioned 43 earlier when we went over Annual Reports, it is the 44 Council's -- within the Council's authority to recommend 45 management strategies to the Federal Subsistence Board 46 and the agencies in the region. Don put together this 47 first draft for us to look at and to get everyone's 48 feedback on. And I believe you're we're -- he would be 49 interested in hearing feedback from any agencies or any 50 folks. So, this is the initial draft. There's potential

to talk about this more this winter, if a little working group wants to get together or something, we could come back at the winter meeting and finalize it along with your Annual Report so that could be attached. But I — and Western Interior is doing a similar process for their region. And just to let you know our fall meeting last year, Jack had brought up the idea of wanting to do a statewide working group and then kind of backtracked a little bit and decided focusing on regional efforts might be a little more effective in a — not as big of a coordination effort. So, that's where we're at now. And I'll let Don speak to the draft strategies. Thanks.

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Brooke. For the record, this is Donald Woodruff. My prime -- excuse me. My primary inspiration for putting an Eastern Interior Sheet Management Plan together was because statewide, the sheep are in decline on most every population. And I want to throw something back at Brooke, and that at the All Council meeting she said, you know, how can we be more effective Council members? And so, I went home and I thought about it quite a bit. And then I remember my discussion with George Pappas, it says -- I asked him, how can we help the State work together with us for wildlife and fish management? And he had no clue how to get the State to help us or us help the State. And so, this is a tiny little step towards co-stewardship. And with Andy's help, the AC passed this and we incorporated it into our whatever you call it -- our lexicon. And this is a draft. And I'm not saying that there's not mistakes in this, but I think we can work out a usable format that we can go forward with, But everyone's help, Sue's help, Andy's help, Wrangell-St. Elias help, Upper Yukon Charlie's help. And I've gotten a lot of feedback, and I think that this is just a small step forward. And I think we can do this. Thank you.

MS. ENTSMINGER: I have a quick question. I think I heard you say, Brooke earlier at a break that you can't do management plans, you just have to have strategy.? We, the Council.

 MS. MCDAVID: Through the chair. That's correct, Sue. I'm -- we can't have a management plan. And I keep using the word strategy and recommendations, but it does say -- well, it's a recommended strategy for management of fish and wildlife is -- what is in the wording in ANILCA and your Council charter. So, yeah, strategies I guess for management is the best way to phrase it.

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

2425

MS. ENTSMINGER: Okay, thank you. Well, as a lot of you know that each of us bring different things to the Council and for me, my love, my life, is to be in the mountains. That's probably why we live in the mountains. And I have the -- and I've spent a great deal of time in the mountains hunting sheep and viewing sheep. And actually, I can -- in the spring when the sheep come down low, I can set up my spotting scope and look out the window and start watching sheep. And I will say that the numbers are way down from what they were 50 years ago. But you know, and even some -- we've watched them rut, not every time, only a couple times in those years have they rutted that low down. And we're able to see a little bit of what's happening when the young ram tries to sneak in on a ewe and the old ram gets rid of it, fighting. And also, things that I've done over the years is back in the 70s, the Department of Fish and Game built an observatory cabin on a sheep lick, a natural sheep lick, and they started netting sheep instead of darting them. They had a 4-points with nets on top, and then when a bunch of them would get in there, they dropped it and they were able to go and collar a bunch of sheep. They'd collar all the different like young rams, young ewes and -- ewes and older rams so they could watch them.

262728

29

30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

And that that continued for many years. And I was asked to help and eventually they shut down that -- the whole research that they were doing. But it was really interesting to see you could, you know, go and count the lamb crop. And it was interesting how when the moms came in with their lambs, the lambs would all lay in one area, and then the moms would go to the lick and eat. And then and then they would when the lambs got a little rowdy, they'd get up and start butting heads. It was just the cutest thing to watch them. But over the years, you could just see these collared animals and how it was doing. And eventually I think the Department took shut down that research because they thought full curl would solve it. And so then, they don't have all this research of what's going on. And you know, my observation was one of the things missing in this information is predation. They're those lambs -- those golden eagles would swoop down on them and (indiscernible) them and then come back and pick them up because they -- just like a shot through the lungs for your moose. They kill (indiscernible). And they didn't weigh that much at really tiny, they said 7 pounds, 7 to 10pounds. So -and I think now this is probably anecdotal data, but I

believe now when the sheep block populations get low and I'm talking to other people across, you know, like Wrangell mountains and south Wrangell, north Wrangell, there's a lot more golden eagles out there taking sheep.

it's it Ι think could So, exasperated. And I witnessed black bear killing a ewe on the old road bed where we live, and we went up and checked out the ewe, and the bear had eaten the whole gut area out of it. So, it was in the spring, and it was probably a lamb in there that that might have been its nutritional value is just to eat that lamb out of that ewe. We knew that ewe; she had a crooked horn and we called her crooked horn ewe and -- but she didn't have a lamb that year. It was an old sheep, I will say. And then there's wolves will chase sheep off cliffs and stuff. And we've had many people -- I mean, I found one right on this old road bed right where we live, where the wolves chase the sheep off the hill and was taking it. And those are -- these are things there's a lot of information missing here I can see. And then I -- one of the things that was said is that -- their sheep rarely move over 6 to 12 linear miles. Well, when those collared animals at the lick, they've had them come in as far as 50 miles to come to the lick.

There are certain places where they do move and then big open valleys they move huge distances from there where they are in the summer and when they go down to the new green feed, they it's probably more than 6 to 12 miles. So, I think there's a lot of things here that we — there's a lot of additional information that could be added. And I think it's important to work with all of the groups like we mentioned earlier, this has to be everybody working together, all the groups working together. And so, I get there's a lot of things here that I feel like I have more to say. But so, the intent is to have groups work together and come up with a recommended strategy. How soon do you think you want to get this done?

And actually, we already kind of voted for a to push a letter with a coalition which might be -- get a lot done. Except I will tell you when we had that sheep -- remember when we had the sheep working group together and the State put it together and the fellow that coordinated it, he touted that he can get people to compromise. They never compromise. So, the sheep working together -- with sheep is going to be a challenge because it ended with nobody coming up with a

solution. No, we can't quit trying. But I'm just saying it's a little bit tougher. So, yeah. There's a lot of things that could be added to it so, I don't know how to work with it, Don.

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

27

28 29

30

31

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Can I get just a little bit of clarification on what the ask is then? Are we asking to try and form a coalition amongst these groups and agencies? Is it -- is that the ask here? I'm not really sure. No, I'm just from the document here and everything, we're here talking about it. What is the ask or what is the action item that we're trying to do? I'm a little bit unclear. I hear that, you know, a coalition, but and I I'm all in favor of that. I'm not sure the process of getting that started may be the letters to the particular agencies informing them that we'd like to form this informally maybe, just to have some dialogue. It doesn't take a lot of money nowadays with zoom and other things to get a few people together and do a little brainstorming. That seems like maybe the first step. Try and set up a time and a date for agency and concern public to have a discussion. So, if that's the case I'll make a motion. The motion would be that Eastern Interior RAC is very interested and concerned with declining sheep populations. As such, we would like to write a letter to the Department of Fish and Game, U.S. Fish and Wildlife, BLM, and other entities who are -- have regulatory authority over sheep in our area and inform the public to try and form a brainstorming group to develop strategies for long term management of sheep. That's kind of long-winded, but....

32 33 34

MR. WOODRUFF: I will second that. Thank

35 36 you.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ BASSICH: And just to support that motion, I'll just reference the comments we just heard. Thank you.

39 40 41

42

43

44 45

46

37

38

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you. This is Brooke. So, we did have a very similar motion earlier, and I guess it would be good to get clarification if we're doing something different this time around, or if we want to just ensure that when we send out that letter about the coalition, that there is a draft that's just a starting point to help people get talking about these

47 48

management strategies and issues, and we could always include that in an invite to a meeting.

 $\,$ MS. ENTSMINGER: I see it as two. I see a letter going out, and then I see working with this motion that was just made.

 MS. MCDAVID: So, is the coalition that we talked about earlier going to be reviewing -- helping review this and make edits to a draft management strategy or do you all want this to be -- this is an Eastern Interior RAC strategy and you can get feedback not just not from the coalition, but just by asking for feedback on this document? That's what I'm trying to sort out. Thanks.

 $\,$ MS. EVANS: Who's making up the coalition or bodies.

MS. MCDAVID: This is Brooke again. What was noted earlier was all the management agencies. Well, I mean, it will be voluntary. So, if folks agree to join — you're inviting them, Park Service, BLM, Fish and Game, Fish and Wildlife, TCC, ACs, RAC — yeah, the RAC and the region. Okay, so that's the — so, maybe let's step back. So, we the coalition that came up earlier as far as review and moving forward with this draft management strategies, that's in the book. How do you want to do you also want to do like a coalition type thing for this or is this more a RAC document that you're looking for feedback on before you finalize it?

MR. BASSICH: If I may. My preferred way to work on that is use the draft that has been put before us here for review as a starting document for a coalition to work on developing that — those strategies and management actions. So, it's as Don said, it's a good start. It needs some polish, it needs some editing, it needs some input from the various user groups and agencies. It's always good to come to a meeting with something in hand to start from, rather than trying to create from scratch at the meeting. So, for whatever that's worth. I think I'd defer to Don and Sue, they are the people here that are really working on this and are passionate about it, so.

MS. ENTSMINGER: What I'd like to add is, you know, I think this did come from Jack Reakoff and his concern about the sheep. And we all have it, of course, but I think one of the things that has to happen is I think we need the State managers, they manage the whole State for everything except the Subsistence Board.

And we need to know what they have on the books right now for management of sheep. I don't -- I think that was one of Jack's complaints that there isn't much out there. And I think it needs in that letter, I think I would push the State to find their management plans for sheep.

MR. WOODRUFF: I can help you with that, Sue. When the Federal Subsistence Board discusses or has discussed the sheep management strategies, whether it be Western Interior or Eastern Interior. Their fallback discussion is that we manage for full curl harvest. Yes, the State's talking at this point because at a Federal Subsistence Board meeting the State gets to weigh in every time. Okay, and to me, that's an antiquated method of management. There has to be more organized effort to communicate a step forward for the 21st century management of these sheep. Because climate change is a big issue now. It's a big issue on sheep. And it hasn't been in the 50s and the 60s and the 70s. And that's very important to me. And I want to point out that I got this folder from Park Service today, and it's full of management strategies and ideas. And so, this is another step in communicating this whole issue about the sheet management in the State and especially the Eastern Interior. And.....

MS. ENTSMINGER: Is those all sheep start -- strategies?

MR. WOODRUFF: Yeah, and some publications that they wanted me to review or look at. And I appreciate any feedback I get from anyone about this and especially about my spelling because I wrote it out -- I hand wrote it out and I gave it to Brooke and she had the Wildlife Division type it up. And I'm so grateful because I didn't have the means to do that at home. But I have a lot of ink in my pen. Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ ENTSMINGER: Well, I have a lot of ideas, but I think it has to go to the motion. It has to be worked on.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, I guess I'll just reiterate. I really think the starting point is a letter communication. And what we need is a lead -- asking these agencies to take the lead on getting a meeting together -- brainstorming meeting. That's all we really need to start with. And then let the ball start rolling from there. So, I don't think it's that big of an ask, but it's a matter of whether it be Park Service or BLM

1 or Fish and Wildlife Service just to take the lead to organize a meeting, get it started and try and develop some strategies or roadmap for moving forward. And I guess if there's no more commentary, I guess I would 5 just ask question on the motion, Mr. Chair. 6 7 Vote on the motion. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you. We all 10 know that sheep in bad shape, and sounds like everybody has the same idea that we need to help them as much as 11 we can and work on this. So, I ask for unanimous --12 13 unanimous consent. Anybody against it, please signify. 14 MS. MCDAVID: I think the gist of the 15 16 motion was that we're going to convene the similar group 17 as the coalition to review and make edits to the draft 18 management strategy. 19 20 MS. ENTSMINGER: Do you need...? 21 22 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: After all 23 That's it. So, we'll do it again. No. I'm -- are you 24 satisfied? Did you get your answer? Okay, okay. Okay, 25 we'll ask for unanimous consent. Anybody against it, 26 please signify now. Hearing and seeing none; passes. 27 Thank you. 28 29 MS. ENTSMINGER: So, do we need 30 volunteers or just start the letter process? 31 32 MS. MCDAVID: I think anyone that's on 33 the Council that wants to be involved, we can -- you can 34 be.... 35 36 MS. ENTSMINGER: Well, there's two of us 37 right now. Okay. 38 39 MR. WOODRUFF: I think -- excuse me, this 40 is Don. I think that at our Wildlife -- next two sessions 41 will be able to hash out a bunch of stuff about sheep. 42 43 MS. ENTSMINGER: But Don, we need to zoom 44 each other or something because I'd like to talk more 45 about this. Yeah. 46 47 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ENTSMINGER: So -- oh, 48 this is Sue. I'm filling in for Charlie. Important phone 49 call. Next is the future meeting dates. Page 269 and 70. 50

1 MS. MCDAVID: So, Council members you 2 previously selected for your winter meeting. That's coming up next February 19th and 20th here in Fairbanks. And that is a winter meeting since you won't be -- you'll 5 be developing wildlife proposals at that meeting, you won't be making recommendations. So, it typically takes the meeting can be a little bit shorter. So, that's why 8 it's two days on the calendar. But how do folks feel 9 about those dates of February 19th and 20th? 10 11 MR. BASSICH: I'm fine with that. I'll 12 be flexible. 13 14 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ENTSMINGER: I'm fine too. Yeah, let's see -- there's five of us here, we have 15 a quorum. Yeah, is there any objection? 16 17 18 (No response) 19 20 Okay. In Fairbanks? Okay. Brooke, next 21 is the.... 22 23 MS. MCDAVID: Yeah, and next is -- next 24 year at this time in the fall you previously selected your dates as October 7th, 8th and 9th in to be decided 25 Manley Hot Springs or Tok. Since Charlie and Eva are not 26 27 in the room with us currently, I will say they were 28 discussing this a bit off the record and suggested that 29 maybe Tok would be a good location because of the 30 Fortymile Caribou issues that have been coming up. And 31 they were also curious if we might want to shift our 32 meeting towards the end of that week to not overlap as 33 much with the Western Interior meeting but that's up to 34 you guys. 35 36 MS. ENTSMINGER: Probably a good idea, 37 huh? Because of the.... 38 39 MR. BASSICH: Yeah. I guess from my 40 perspective, I'm probably okay with that. If the road's open, that'd be great. If it's not, it kind of is not 41 42 so great for Don and I. But again, I'll stay flexible 43 and neutral and work with whatever works for other 44 Council members, and we'll figure it out as we go. 45 46 MS. ENTSMINGER: Anyone else? Tok. 47 48 MS. MCDAVID: So, it sounds 49 everyone's in agreement for Tok. Are you also in 50 agreement about shifting it one day, starting on October

1 8th instead of the 7th? Okay, I'm seeing nods of agreement. 4 MS. ENTSMINGER: Anyone opposed? 5 6 MS. EVANS: The reason for not keeping 7 it as we had already planned? 8 MS. MCDAVID: Thanks for the question, 9 10 Linda. Because it completely overlaps with the Western Interior RAC meeting at the same time, the little green 11 box on 7 -- October 7 and 8, that's the Western Interior 12 13 meeting. And the Eastern Interior meeting is the blue 14 box below. So, a lot of our staff will need to be at both of those meetings. And also, some of the issues 15 16 that that you guys might be discussing might overlap regions. So, you might want to listen-in to their meeting 17 18 and they might want to listen-in to yours. 19 20 MS. ENTSMINGER: Everyone in agreement? 21 Okay. Yeah, to -- yeah. We're moving it to 8, 9, 10 and 22 Tok. Everyone in agreement? Thank you. All right, I feel 23 like we have that all taken care of on this page, Brooke. 24 Because the last two are already testified or gave 25 reports to us. 26 27 MS. MCDAVID: Yes. So, next up on the 28 agenda, the next report that we have not yet gotten to 29 is Wildlife Conservation Society report about the 30 Wrangell-St. Elias bison. And I did just see Martin step 31 out, and I might need a second to get the projector 32 warmed up for his presentation, so. 33 34 MS. ENTSMINGER: So, let's take a ten-35 minute break. 36 37 MS. MCDAVID: Sounds good. 38 39 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ENTSMINGER: And 40 we'll keep it ten. Thank you. 41 42 (Off record) 43 44 (On record) 45 46 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: All right. Wildlife 47 Conservation Society. (Indiscernible) Yeah, you have the 48 floor. 49 50

MR. ROBARDS: My name is Martin Robards. I work, as you said, for a Wildlife Conservation Society. We're an organization that's science based. And we spend a lot of time working in the co-management or costewardship space. Much of my experience over the last 20 years has been with marine mammal hunting organizations like the Eskimo Walrus Commission or the Whaling Commission providing science capacity and some engagement with policy. And I'd like to introduce my copresenter here, Dr. Taylor Stinchcombe.

 DR. STINCHCOMB: Yes. Hello, Mr. Chair, members of the Council. And my name is Taylor Stinchcomb and I am a social scientist and co-production specialist with the Wildlife Conservation Society. We're based here in Fairbanks and so, I work a lot in weaving together local and indigenous knowledge with Western science data. So, a lot of community engagement work as well. And I'm here for support today.

 MR. ROBARDS: I'm going to talk about a project that we've been ramping up with National Park Service on bison in the upper Tanana. So, next slide. So, just to get familiar with where we're working, and the right hand of those two circles is sort of our area of interest. It covers three bison herds that are in existence; the Aishikik Herd across in the Yukon. That's on the side of the Alcan around Kluane Lake area. And then the two plains bison herds, the Chitina and the Copper River Herd. We're also through support from BLM doing some work in the Innoko, Tom Seaton was in this morning talking about snow and we'll get into that a little bit about why that's useful to this project in the upper Tanana.

 Don't have to read all of this, the justification for this project was — there's been a variety of interest in bison in the Wrangell-St. Elias area. Those include the herd — the Aishihik Herd that I just showed in Canada is about 2,000 animals now. And as the habitat changes, you know, there could be a situation where they move over to the Alaska border into Alaska. There's been individual animals coming in, but what would be the likelihood of larger movements of animals into the Wrangell-St. Elias-Tetlin area and what would that mean, you know, for habitat and subsistence. There's also interest from people like AITRC in the plain's bison herds and how's climate change going to affect their habitat, are they going to expand and do less well, better and things like that. And so, Park

Service wanted us to look at sort of the ecological conditions moving forward. How is that going to change? What does that mean for bison plains and wood bison? And then more importantly, to talk with communities in the Wrangell-St. Elias, upper Tanana area and get their perspectives, you know, is bison a good thing or a bad thing? Is it something that they would be interested in working more with? Is it something that would be a benefit to food security? Things like that. So, we're coming in basically putting information on the table on these topics. Next slide.

So, just to reiterate the two things and Tom talked about this a bit this morning. Vegetation and snow are driving bison distribution and their ability to -- ability to survive. And I'll show that in a sec with the snow. The landscape is changing, and what does that mean for food security and conservation in the upper Tanana. And you'll see in the area that I pointed out that included Wrangell-St. Elias and into the Yukon, it's an area where there's numerous things going on. This isn't just affecting bison, caribou, the Nelchina Herd, the Chisana Herd have habitat conditions that are changing in that area. Sheep as well, and we were just talking about sheep. And certainly, the Yukon is reporting the same declines in sheep populations. So, the information we get on this project is focused on bison but will have relevance to other species as well.

 And then finally, in our role we work with State like, Fish and Game. We work with the southern Yukon wildlife biologists. We're working with Federal and with indigenous partners so we can sort of bridge across that and provide a sort of a one stop, you know, here's a synthesis of what everybody is thinking and how we can move forward productively.

So, quickly on the Innoko. Tom talked about these winter die offs. And you see there in 17 to 18 and 22 to 23, these large reductions in the Innoko population that really is driven by snow conditions, you know, and he talked about that — those icy layers coming in the snow. And so, what we want to do is get at across these broad landscapes, what snow conditions are causing these mortalities, you know, bison, caribou and the likes. And I'll talk a little bit about the modeling on that. Of course, when we look across at the Aishihik Herd, that's the numbers on the y axis, you know, and the years since 1997 to present and the blue line there is the population, and you don't see that variability

8

10

11

that you see in the Innoko population. You see a population that's gone from about 500 animals to close to 2,000 now. Pretty big increase. The orange line is pretty hard to see on there, but you've gone from basically no harvest to about 300 animals a year being taken out of that population, and the population continues to grow. So, you know, again, you know, that environment is clearly a good one for bison to thrive in and actually looks a little bit similar to what we're seeing for those Chitina and Copper River Herds, which aren't thriving right now but the conditions in the for the Aishihik Herd are somewhat similar.

12 13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

2728

29

30

31

So, what are we going to bring to the table on this? Now I'll talk a little bit about the ecology and then to the social engagement piece. We're working with Colorado State University who have a program called Snow Model. We're going to do work right now, this is the BLM funded part of the work. For the Innoko herd. And what you see there in black is all the bison locations. And they'll be basically modeling for us at 100-meter resolution snow conditions that will include those icing layers. It'll include depth of snow. And then we can take that and look at those mortality events and go, when we have these snow conditions, this is what's causing mortality for these animals. We can then go to the Wrangell-St. Elias or the upper Tanana area, and we can lay that across that landscape and go, which areas are going to continue to kill wildlife like bison, and which areas will be sort of safe havens if you like. And that would be something that we'd want to pass on as sort of management relevant information.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

The vegetation piece is we're working with University of Alaska Anchorage with Tim Nawrocki. I think some of you probably know him. I'll go through these a little quickly because I know we're short on time. They have upgraded their foliage cover work. This is basically a much greater resolution of the plants that are on the landscape, and it's sort of verified through aerial surveys and on the ground surveys that allows us to look at the plants that the animals are actually eating. This just gives a basically an overview of where the data is really good. And you see in your area in the Eastern Interior, it's pretty well covered. All of those red dots are aerial surveys and all the blue dots are on the ground surveys. There's work going on in Tetlin right now to fill in some gaps. And then that yellow area is an area that we're proposing to have money come in to, to work on in the Yukon. Again, getting

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

those transboundary species like caribou, sheep and bison. To show you what this looks like -- good job. I haven't told them to change the slide. On the left there, you can just see a satellite or an aerial picture of a riparian area in the Kuskokwim. And on the right, using that vegetation, you can model where a moose with calves are being. So, the red is where the moose are tending to want to be. Next slide. By doing some of the modeling on this -- we can get a which types of species and which -- together that foster good moose habitat. And so, this is work that's being published by Tim and colleagues, showing that willows greater than 50cm tall is what the moose are looking for. They like areas where there's different species of willow to browse on, and they avoid all of those other areas. So, this is kind of what we'll be doing for bison. So, we'll have a better understanding of where bison are going on the landscape. And then with snow, which areas are -- cause mortalities.

18 19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

We have a pretty ambitious community and partnership outreach, and there's two parts of this one in the Wrangell-St. Elias people have lived with plains bison for a long, long time. You know, they've been out on the ground for five decades now. And so, talking to people, getting a better understanding of where they've seen mortalities of bison, whether that's crossing rivers or struggling with deep snow. We're also piggybacking off what Barbara Cellarius has been doing on a food security project, and going back to villages that have expressed an interest in talking more about bison. The ones that are in red here are places that we've gone out to already, and slowly working through the other organizations that you see on the screen. And I do want to put a big thank you to AITRC's Sterling Spilinek and Kelsey Stanbro who've given us a lot of help as we start to look at this, you know, they're wanting to do the same work for the Copper River Herd and look at habitat selection and potentially habitat improvement for that herd. This has a lot of relevance to what they're doing and how can we partner and come out with sort of a mutual win on this. From outcomes -from the projects, I think we'll put new knowledge about how snow and vegetation drive distribution of large mammals in this area, particularly bison. We'll have a better understanding about how these wildlife species are going to change through time, and we'll have some guidance and visioning for managers and for communities looking at their long-term food security. So, with that, I shall wrap up. Thank you.

47

48 49 50

1 MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you. Charlie, this 2 is Don. I have one question and that is are you using remote sensing for your snow and why don't we use that for sheep? 5 6 MR. ROBARDS: To be really honest, I 7 mean, I think the stuff that's coming out from snow 8 model is pretty new. They've been using it a lot in the North Slope for a polar bear denning and identifying 10 those areas. This is something that is only developed over the last -- last 5 or 10 years. It's super exciting. 11 And I think for something like sheep, it's going to 12 13 require going -- what are sheep looking for with snow 14 conditions? And then working with that Colorado State 15 University team to put that model of that out as a layer. Yes. And yes, they are using satellite imagery to get 16 17 that but also ground truthing of those snow at 18 conditions. 19 20 MR. WOODRUFF: For sheep and caribou the 21 spring green up is a critical time for calving, and 22 remote sensing could be very effective for that. 23 24 MR. ROBARDS: Absolutely. And I think 25 this stuff I mean, I'm sure you guys have talked to 26 Brent Jamison out of Tetlin. He's doing a lot of work 27 with the snow model and the vegetation folks to kind of 28 test some of this because that green up, what they're 29 looking to get at is to take the density of those plants 30 on the ground which will give you a carrying capacity 31 for wildlife in those areas, you'll not only be looking 32 at snow that kills animals but also, you know, how many 33 animals can you keep on the environment? So, it's super 34 exciting. Yeah. 35 36 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions? 37 Seeing none. Appreciate you. Thank you. 38 39 MR. ROBARDS: Thank you, Chair. 40 41 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Next is Tetlin 42 National Wildlife Refuge, Brent. 43 44 (Pause) 45 46 Are they online or are they supposed to

Are they online or are they supposed to be in person? Brent Jamison. We can move on to.....

MS. MCDAVID: Mr. Chair, I don't see them online. They did give a report for -- that's in your meeting books on page 283 for your reference.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay, then we'll move on down the line to Yukon River Salmon Management update with -- oh, we already done that. Okay, moving down to here then. We jumped around so, much on this agenda, it's kind of hard to figure out where we're at. So, bear with me. Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Thank you. Here I thought I was on Mars.

MR. MERRILL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Council members. This is Clayton Merrill, the Subsistence Coordinator for Arctic Kanuti and Yukon Flats National Wildlife Refuges, for the record.

MR. SMITH: And my name is -- oh, there we go. Thank you. Cody Smith, law enforcement officer for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service based here in Fairbanks.

MR. MERRILL: I wanted mostly to take this opportunity to introduce myself to the Council. I hope to work closely with all of you in the future. I'm the new subsistence coordinator at Arctic Kanuti and Yukon Flats National Wildlife Refuges. This is Vince Mathews old position, if you were familiar with him. Brooke has some of my cards to hopefully hand out to all of you. So, if you have any needs or need to contact the Refuges over subsistence, feel free to reach out to me. We just have a brief update on Arctic and the activities on Arctic. Merben, the Refuge Manager met with Arctic Village on September 27th to listen to concerns about traffic -- aircraft traffic, air transporters overflights and operations at low elevations, there are scaring the animals away from Arctic Village, which we've heard about a couple times already. I don't know if Cody wants to elaborate some more on.

MR. SMITH: I have heard from members of the communities that there -- I believe this has come up the last couple days here, I wasn't able to make it in person. This is a big issue, and I was up there in person -- well, some of the reports were coming in from Arctic Village I was in a tent not too far away from there dealing with some of what was related to these reports. So, the only thing I can really say at this point is that I'm working on it, and it is a very big issue that I'm dealing with. And I would hope by the

next meeting that I'd be able to provide more detail to the Council. But that's about all I can say at this point. Thank you.

4 5

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Andy.

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, thank you. I'm not really familiar with air taxis and the process for becoming a transporter. I'm assuming most of these complaints are more air traffic rather than guiding operations or whatever -- air taxis, I should say. But I'm just wondering what the process is for air taxi operation to get permitting to do that. And what kind of what kind of communications or what kind of outreach could be given to those entities to maybe encourage them to be on the guard, not to do these types of things, you know, it just seems -- I can remember talking a lot about this ten years ago or 15 years ago, there were a lot of issues along this line and it just seemed like there were a lot of cowboys out there kind of doing their thing. And I'm just wondering if that's still the case or whether things are being reined in, regulatorily or yeah -- maybe the process. Because it just seems like that's something we need to address, maybe not this RAC directly, but the state needs to address that. And I'm just trying to figure out how we can do that in the most efficient manner, you know?

272829

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

MR. SMITH: Yeah, absolutely and thank you for that. I think it is a cooperative effort to make sure that these issues don't continue to happen. We're Arctic Refuge management law enforcement, we're going to be collaborating over the winter to talk about steps forward and things we may be able to tweak and special use permits and that sort of thing to help this down the road. The -- to speak to the first part of your question, there is a pretty extensive process for these folks to gain a special use permit to be able to do operations up there on the Refuge. And so, there is a vetting process. There's a pretty extensive list of permit conditions they're supposed to adhere to. One of the problems, I think is, as everybody in the room probably knows, is a lot of people when they get away from town and away from the paperwork, it's easy to feel like you can do whatever you want up there, so.

45 46 47

MR. BASSICH: But I would think that there's only a few places to get fuel.

48 49 50

MR. SMITH: Correct.

000100 1 2 MR. BASSICH: So, those might be areas to focus on. Personal contact or personal -- yeah, personal contact with those people, whether it be 5 through law enforcement or some sort of a liaison like 6 we had with Hunter Ethics Program or something like that, that can't fly without fuel. And none of those planes 8 can fly very long without getting fuel. So, that might 9 be a really good place to start. 10 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions? 11 12 Go ahead, Donald. 13 14 MR. WOODRUFF: I'd just like to point out that we had two individuals testify about harassment by 15 airplanes in Arctic Village, and one was during a 16 17 funeral. And I would appreciate it if you would

18 communicate with those folks and tell them what you can 19 do to help with that process. Thank you. 20

MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. And just for the record too, I did actually travel to Arctic Village and interviewed people regarding that specific issue. And so, I get to hear firsthand what happened on that day. And the -- was able to get a photo and a tail number of the plane that actually flew over the funeral that day. So, it is -- those are the types of issues I do take great interest in. And even if that specific part of it may end up falling under jurisdiction of folks like the FAA, that -- the pilot and operation that's doing things like that is probably something I need to be interested in as well, you know. That's all. Thank you.

32 33 34

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions? Thank you so much.

35 36 37

MR. MERRILL: Thank you.

38 39

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Next is Yukon Flats.

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49 50

MR. FOX: Mr. Chair, members of the Council. Jimmy Fox, Refuge Manager Yukon Flats National Wildlife Refuge for the record. We provided an Annual Report should be in your packet. I'm essentially here to answer any questions you may have, but I was asked to come and speak to public comments from yesterday regarding a compatibility determination for oil and gas exploration on Yukon Flats National Wildlife Refuge. So, I can speak to that. And so, I've got some notes here just to keep me on track but essentially there's a law

that requires that any public uses of National Wildlife Refuges be reviewed for their compatibility. And essentially the question is, would this activity materially detract or interfere with the achievement of the Refuge purposes and the mission of the Refuge system. And I've communicated with the Council in the past few years that we at Yukon Flats reviewed a number of existing uses because we're required to review existing uses for compatibility every 10 or 15 years.

In 2021, we were approached by Hilcorp and they had an agreement with Doyon to potentially explore for oil and gas within the boundaries of the Refuge, but on corporation lands within the exterior boundaries of the Refuge. And at that time, they asked us what the process would be if they requested a permit to conduct those exploration activities on the Refuge. And I explained the compatibility determination process. And so, as a part of our review of these other existing uses, we went ahead and began a draft process to review oil and gas exploration on the Refuge in anticipation of a permit request from Hilcorp. That request has not come to us. And so, what I understand their activities are exclusively on Alaska native corporation lands on the Yukon Flats. So, due to a lack of a request again, it's been a number of years ago and also a lack of staff in the regional office and also at Yukon Flats staff. We've postponed going through with that review, that compatibility determination to answer that question if oil and gas exploration is compatible on the Refuge. So, I hope that addresses the comment from a member of the public yesterday.

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you for all your research on the climate change, and for the past say, six years, I've been reviewing that and it is so much like what's happening up in Eagle that I think that we can use that data for our environment up there. And I appreciate your work on that.

MR. FOX: You're welcome.

MR. FOX: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Next is BLM.

5

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

MR. HERRIGES: Mr. Chair and Council members. I'm Jim Herriges, Wildlife Biologist with the Eastern Interior Field Office at BLM. And I'll Take just one second to introduce our new assistant field office manager, Angela Yemma here, who's been soaking all of this stuff up for the last three days. And I'll just go through some stuff to highlight real quickly. The -- we did have a written report that's in the supplementary materials for more details. And I'll just kind of summarize some information about the Fortymile Caribou hunt. Last regulatory year that a total of 935 caribou were harvested, mostly bulls and that included 45 taken under the State's draw hunt. And in looking at the harvest records for the registration permit, 54 bulls were harvested by people who listed their residences as rural in both hunts. And so, this ended up this amounts to basically 6% of the overall harvest that year of 935 caribou. So, a fairly small proportion which is likely to increase as the quotas inch downward. And so, in comparison, the quota set by Fish and Game this year was 425 compared to 935. So, you can see which direction we're heading. And as the Federal hunt has been the last few seasons limit of -- harvest limit of two bulls. And that was done to limit the harvest of the productive cow segment, but also provide for greater opportunity for subsistence users to harvest caribou. This last fall season, this summer, the Federal season opened August 1st and the State season August 11th. The State harvest occurred primarily in the first, I think, four days of the fall season in the Steese Highway Zones 1 and 4, after which that season was or that season was closed for the rest of the season. Meanwhile, the subsistence harvest, the subsistence season remained open for the duration all of August and September. And most of the harvest occurred in the Steese Highway area.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

Some next actions. We're going to be having a consultation meeting to discuss the Federal winter season, primarily the harvest limit soon. And we'll be including Charlie in that discussion, but we're always open for input on those management decisions. You can reach out to me if you have any. And we're also looking forward to hopefully helping Fish and Game in the process of crafting the new Harvest Management Coalition plan.

45 46 47

48

49

50

I'll mention our -- because of your interest in the Hunter Ethics and enforcement during the Fortymile hunt. I'll just give an update briefly. Our office again applied for and received funding for

5

additional law enforcement. And that basically facilitated a substantial cooperation between BLM and Fish and Wildlife Service. They provided extra staff as also [sic] equipment and materials for our enforcement effort this fall. And in which four officers were patrolling for -- during the first ten days of the youth and Federal seasons And in the early period of the State season, there were six Federal enforcement officers out and about.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

8

And then the dall sheep our input into the -- into the response to your -- to the Council's letter about various issues didn't get included. So, I'm just going to mention it really briefly that Eastern Interior field office has been collaborating with ADF&G since like 1983 with telemetry study in the White Mountains for that population. And BLM has also begun supporting or conducting nearly the annual minimum counts of White Mountain sheep. Since then and or since the early 90s, I should say and then that has become a collaboration between Fish and Game, BLM and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on annual surveys. So, we have pretty good collaboration. We also did a GPS telemetry study of White Mountain sheep that involved BLM, Fish and Wildlife Service, Fish and Game and the Park Service. And then also to mention Glacier Mountain, on recent years Fish and Game has been long surveying that population. And in recent years we've BLM has provided funding to help support that and ensure that it happens on an annual basis. This year at Glacier Mountain the -- not much change in the count. A total of 13 sheep observed, which is similar to the 14 and 12 seen in the previous two years. Three lambs to the seven years. And interestingly, two sublegal rams were observed that weren't observed last -- the previous year indicating that there were either a couple rams missed or they immigrated from outside of the of the survey area. In the White Mountains, dall sheep, the total count was 167 sheep which is a whole 13 more than the 154 observed the previous year. So, that's just a quick summary.

40 41 42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

And lastly, I have heard several mentions at the meeting of the Gravel-to-Gravel Initiative. And so, I thought I'd mention our field office's contribution to this initiative, which has been a pretty large effort the last two years. And it is focused on the active rehabilitation of aquatic habitats and placer mine streams, including the Wade Creek and the Fortyile drainage and Nome Creek. And this work includes developing and implementing techniques and also

1 evaluating and monitoring success of rehabilitation. In 2023, the work focused on using heavy equipment to reform the stream channels, create structures using large logs and rocks to create pool 5 habitats and transplanting planning chunks of rooted shrubs to basically create instant stream banks, which is pretty amazingly effective how fast that can have an 8 effect. And then this last year, the work focused more on smaller scale efforts that can be done by hand, which 10 is more designed to begin the process of natural recovery. And also, this year, there was a large effort 11 12 focused on evaluating success by inventorying and 13 monitoring the aquatic habitat quality and 14 vegetation. And that will be a long-term effort. So, 15 basically the whole process is kind of a method -- a rigorous method to do work and also evaluate it and 16 17 improve that work with the idea that it could be expanded 18 elsewhere. And that's all I have.

19 20

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: (Indiscernible)

Andy.

212223

24

2526

27

28 29

30

31

32 33 MR. BASSICH: Yeah, thanks for that report. Look forward to working with you guys on the caribou issues. I'm just wondering, in some of the stream rehabilitation are you taking water samples, looking for heavy metals or any other effects of permafrost melt? I'm just curious if that's being done. If it's not, it might be something again, to get a baseline on some of these streams as we move forward in climate change. So, I don't know if that's within your purview to do that, but if you're working in those streams doing habitat reconstruction or whatever that might be a really valuable data set to have, if that makes any sense.

343536

37

38

39

40

MR. HERRIGES: Yes, it does. I'm not sure if we're actually doing any water quality monitoring other than just probably turbidity. And -- but I know that there has been discussion about the melting permafrost issue and so, that may be something we'll consider in the future.

41 42 43

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Donald.

44 45

46

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Charlie. This is Don. Did I hear you right and you said that Wade Creek is an anadromous stream?

47 48 49

MR. HERRIGES: No, I didn't say that.

50

49

50

1 MR. WOODRUFF: It's just a stream that 2 you're rehabbing. 3 4 MR. HERRIGES: Yes, yes. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions? 7 8 MR. BASSICH: Point of clarification. You 9 know, the comment was made in kind of talking about 10 salmon and salmon rehabilitation or the salmon issues on the Yukon. But I just want to point out that there 11 is evidence -- historical evidence that salmon traveled 12 up the Fortymile River and spawned up there. It's been 13 14 decades and decades, but back at the turn of the century, 15 there were salmon -- it's well documented there were 16 salmon traveling up the Fortymile River, and it was a 17 spawning environment up there. But there also was an 18 awful lot of mining that took place during that time, 19 that may have been it. I also think that now salmon are 20 so small, they would have a difficult time getting past 21 what they call the rapids or the falls, which is about 22 ten miles up from the mouth. It's a class five heavy 23 drop in the river. And quite frankly, I don't know how 24 a small salmon would get through there anymore compared 25 to the big 80 pounders we used to have. So, just that's 26 more informational. 27 28 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions? 29 Seeing none. Appreciate you. Thank you. Okay, National 30 Park Service. 31 32 MS. FLEURY: Hello, this is Victoria. The 33 National Park Service. Can you all hear me? 34 35 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yes. Go ahead. 36 37 MS. FLEURY: Okay, great. Thank you, Mr. 38 Chair. Yes so, my name is Victoria Fleury, and I will 39 be providing you all with a short update on the NPS 40 Wildlife Rule that became effective on August 2nd of 41 this year. The National Park Service amended its 42 regulations for sport hunting and trapping in national 43 preserves in Alaska. The harvest practices at issue in 44 this final rule are specific to harvest on National 45 preserve land and to harvest only, under 46 authorization for sport hunting and trapping in ANILCA. 47 Just want to emphasize that none of these rules address

subsistence harvest by rural residents under Title 8 of

ANILCA. The public was invited to comment on the draft

environmental assessment and on the proposed rule that

published on January 9th of 2023 over the past two years. The National Park Service staff presented on the draft Wildlife Rule at all NPS Subsistence Resource Commission meetings and all NPS Nexus Federal Subsistence Regional 5 Advisory Council meetings and solicited your feedback. 6 All comments were reviewed and informed this final decision, and I'll just go over like what was finalized. 8 So, the first one is the 2024 Final Wildlife Rule, explicitly addressed two topics on national preserve 10 lands in Alaska, so it's prohibited -- prohibits bear baiting for sport hunters on national preserve lands in 11 Alaska, and it clarifies how a firearm can be used in 12 13 conjunction with trapping. So, bear baiting is 14 prohibited for public safety reasons. The concerns are 15 both immediate relative to bears defending a bait 16 and more long-term relative to station 17 conditioning.

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

Further, this Rule will lower the risk that bears will associate food at bait stations with humans and become conditioned to eating human produced foods, thereby creating a public safety concern. And then the trapping portion of the Rule applies both to those trapping under State regulations and national preserves, and to federally qualified subsistence users. Trapping in national preserves as well as in national parks and monuments open to subsistence. So, it -- the trapping portion clarifies existing regulations. So, that is that individuals may harvest a furbearer with a firearm under a trapping license only if the furbearer is one ensnared in an intact trap. Two ensnared in a trap that is no longer anchored so, like dragging a trap that has become unanchored. And three is mortally wounded by a trap, but the animal has broken free from that trap. So, free ranging furbearers may not be harvested with a firearm under a State trapping license on NPS managed lands. Rather, an open hunting season and hunting license would be required. And that's everything that we want to inform you of. And yeah, thank you for your time. Do you all have any questions?

40 41 42

(Simultaneous speech)

43 44 45

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ENTSMINGER: This is Sue taking over for Charlie. Did -- question? Don.

46 47 48

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you. When I read your report on the final rule, I saw that this final

49 50

rule does not affect federally qualified subsistence users. Is that correct?

MS. FLEURY: Let's see. And Barbara, if you're in the room, can you maybe assist me since you're much more expert on the subject than I am? Is Barbara Cellarius still there?

MS. CELLARIUS: Yep. For the record, it's Barbara Cellarius with Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve. And so, there's two basically two changes were made in the final rule and the provisions regarding the harvest of bears over bait only applies to nonsubsistence user hunting under State regulations, the clarification about when a firearm can be used under a trapping license applies to both people hunting under state of Alaska regulations and federally Qualified Subsistence Users hunting under Federal subsistence regulations.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ENTSMINGER: Thank you, Barbara. Does that -- any other questions? No questions. But as a bear baiter, I would like to say I don't agree with the some of the reasoning here about conditioning because I do it and I don't see a lot of this, but we fought that long and hard, and we lost. Thank you. Yukon-Charley Rivers National Preserve.

(Pause)

MS. OKADA: Good afternoon, Madam Chair and Council members. And my name is Marcy Okada. I am the Subsistence Coordinator for Yukon-Charley Rivers National Preserve. I have my colleagues here which will — they will introduce themselves later. I'd like to refer you to your supplemental packet, tab four. That's where our update is. And I'm just going to briefly share information about our Gravel-to-Gravel project to restore Coal Creek within the preserve unit. This is this project is in the very early stages of development — developmental phase. And currently we're doing we're performing baseline data collection. But we hope to share more information with you in the future as the project progresses. And then we have staff here that can share other updates. So, thank you.

 $\label{eq:acting chairperson entsminger: andy has a quick question.} \\$

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Thank you, Marcy. I was just wondering if there's been any work done on monitoring the Charley River for chinook salmon or -and or chum. I don't think any chum go up there, but there have been reports in the past of chinook so, I'm just wondering if there are any updated information or observations from people that are floating it, or those of you that are up there during the hunting season? I think it'd be really interesting to keep an eye on some of that, because we're trying to figure out where some of these salmon are going. And there's a preliminary theory that a lot of these fish are starting to run out of gas, and they're starting to seek other spawning sites prior to their natal streams. So, anyway, if there isn't, that's fine; if there is maybe keep an eye on it or inform us. And as a Yukon River panel member, I'd love to have that information if you ever have those observations. Thank you.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

MR. SORUM: Yeah, I can jump on that. For the record, I'm Mat Sorum, the wildlife biologist at Yukon-Charley Rivers. We did a -- we collaborated with ADF&G on a fish survey study in 2018 that surveyed the entire Yukon-Charley Preserve. And I can provide the results from that to the committee. Yeah.

252627

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ WOODRUFF: Thank you. If you could.....

28 29 30

MR. SORUM: And they do go up to Charlie.

31 32

33

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ WOODRUFF: Thank you. I appreciate that and look forward to seeing that.

34 35 36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. SORUM: Sure. So, I'm going to I'll touch on sheep right away because that was one of our more prominent subsistence issues over the last couple of years. So, we've been studying sheep since or we've been monitoring sheep since the initiation of or the development of the preserve. Last year we did a survey and found that we had a 78% decline in sheep population. So, that led to the FSB closing the sheep hunting, hunting within the preserve last year. And that was voted up this year to close it for the rest of the cycle, I believe and so that'd be this last year and then 2025. And then it'll be voted on depending on where the population looks after that. We secured funding to survey the Preserve in the summers of '26 or 2026, 2027, 2028 and so, that data will use that to help kind of quide when the season opens back up. Okay. A little side

000109 1 note here, I did a float survey this summer on the Charlie because I had easy access to get there and was able to count 54, 52, 54 sheep, which was higher than what we counted last year along the Charlie, which was 5 great. And then the ewe -- lamb ewe ratio was 52 over 100. So, I think we had really good lambing recruitment this year. Looking at surveys across the Park Service, 8 across Wrangell, Denali and Gates of the Arctic, we also 9 saw increases in lamb production across all those areas 10 as well this year. So, I think this was a good winner 11 for us. 12 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ENTSMINGER: I have a 13 14 question on sheep and looking at your surveys there it 15 was every year 97, 98, 99 and one year missed to 2001 and then again every year. And then it went to seven 16 17 years, six years, three years and five years. Why these 18 huge gaps in data? 19 20 MR. SORUM: Yeah, great question. This 21 preceded my time. So, I'm not going to take ownership 22 on that. 23 24 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ENTSMINGER: You 25 don't have to but why? 26 27 MR. SORUM: No, no, for sure. We did --28 there was a collaring study with ADF&G and BLM in that 29 area in the late 90s, early 2000. And so, during that 30 period, they did a bunch of surveys. And I think after 31 that they somewhat said, wow, the sheep are pretty stable 32

there maybe we don't have to survey them as often. And so, we kind of took surveys when we were able to secure funding -- when there was opportunities for funding. And then in 2015 we saw that crash and we monitored it. And then our plan was to actually survey it in 2021 like a three-year cycle. But then Covid and those years were just kind of -- it just kind of like threw everything in a mix so.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ENTSMINGER: You got Covid, huh?

MR. SORUM: Yeah. So, yeah.....

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ENTSMINGER: What are the years that you plan '25, '26 and '27.

49 50

48

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43 44

49 50

1 MR. SORUM: '26, '27, '28 and the closure 2 goes through '25 at this point. 3 4 ACTING CHAIRPERSON ENTSMINGER: Thank 5 you. 6 7 MR. SORUM: Yep. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions 10 for them? Thank you so much. 11 12 MR. SORUM: So -- oh, sorry. That was on 13 sheep. Sorry. Okay, and then wolves, I mean so, I have 14 -- I don't know if you guys see this, but I have the wolf update here. So, we've been monitoring wolves for 15 16 30 plus years in Yukon-Charley, which is pretty amazing. 17 If you look at the bottom of the update -- the Wolf 18 update, we have a graph there and that shows all the years we've been monitoring. And the black line is 19 20 reproduction, the number of wolves added to each pack 21 on average. And then the hashed line is the total number 22 of wolves are monitored. That's somewhat of a proxy for 23 how many wolves we have in our study area. Not all of the Preserve we study so, that doesn't represent the 24 whole Preserve, but that's our study area. If you move 25 26 kind of to the 2017, that's when we re-initiated the 27 study after we kind of closed it down during predator 28 control era. And what you can see is initially we had 29 really high reproduction and a really low population 30 size. And so, as the population has increased, you can 31 see the reproduction has dropped and continues to drop. 32 And so, in 2020 the population hit kind of a peak. And 33 then now has continued to drop and our reproduction 34 actually has continued to remain low. I think this kind 35 of points somewhat towards carrying capacity -- the wolf 36 population is that carrying capacity within the Preserve 37 and is actually going down. So, we are looking at a 38 study with collaboration with ADF&G, and we're trying 39 to look at factors that are driving wolf population 40 dynamics so, reproduction and survival. 41 42 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Most of the time 43 that's probably due to food. 44 45 MR. SORUM: Yeah I would imagine. 46 47 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Yeah. Lack of causes 48 that downhill thrust.

MR. SORUM: Yeah. And so, we're really curious about the population, you know, the Fortymile Caribou Herd decreasing. And so, we're also watching the wolf population decrease at the same time. So, we're -our eyes are on that with this next study. So, we're excited to collaborate with ADF&G and have that opportunity. Yeah. So, just last year we had -- just some of the notes from last year we have 22 collared caribou or caribou -- 22 collared wolves. Let -- 10 of those wolves died and 1 left, 4 of them died naturally and then 6 died from trapping or hunting. So, we -- it feels like an unusually high mortality rate for wolves. And so, it just it felt like there maybe were a bit hungry this last year looking for food. So, we also -we had fewer packs. A lot of our packs didn't make it. And so, we have fewer packs and it actually increased our pack -- the big packs lived with the small packs kind of did not is what we were seeing. So, those that are still in good habitat seem to kind of still be able to make it, although they still lost a few wolves. So, yeah. And then that ended up being about a 20% decline in population size over the long-term average, so.

Just a side note, we're doing we're continuing our GPS collar -- our video collar study and Chair -- member Sue, I think I'm hoping to answer some of the questions about predator and the influence on sheep with this study. We were able to get pretty good kill rate estimates from video collars. We get a 32nd clip every hour, 24 hours a day over the summer, and then over the winter. It's just during daylight -- daylight hours. But it gives us a pretty good rate. Allows us to identify kills pretty readily so far is what we've noticed. And so, we're hoping to take that information and kind of assess how wolves are influencing the sheep population among other populations out there. Yeah. So, I don't do eagles.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you. Member Bassich here, have a question for you. You were saying that some of the smaller packs have disappeared. Do you mean that they have assimilated now with other packs, or they simply are gone? Do you have any idea?

MR. SORUM: Yeah. So, often we collar or both -- when we get a pair, we collar or it both individuals if we can. And so, the last year we had three pairs; one individual -- two individuals were killed during hunting season. And so, that both those packs -- those pups didn't -- they denned, they had pups

and they raised them into the fall. And then they were both — two of them were shot. One of the breeders were shot in each pack. And then the pups and pack just disappeared, right. They weren't able to make it after that. So, we know that. And then another one just ended up dying naturally.

MR. BASSICH: Okay. I just sharing an observation from myself. I spoke earlier in the meeting, you know, the bear population has grown quite a bit in my area there. But I'm also seeing a lot of single wolf tracks around. I'm not seeing pack tracks. And it could be just their hunting strategies in the area or whatever, but I'm just noticing more and more singles than I ever have before. So, I'm, you know, when you mentioned that, it kind of perked me up, like, I'm wondering if these guys are either joining up with other groups or if they're just learning to live on their own.

MR. SORUM: You know, when you see dispersers, it usually means there's not enough food in that pack or that wolf has gotten old enough where it wants to go breed on its own. And -- but when you see higher than normal dispersals, at least from what I've been able to gather, it means usually there's not enough food and it's time to go see if you can make it on your own.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, that's why I live alone.

MR. SORUM: Yeah, exactly. So, I -- that's just anecdotal, though. So, I -- yeah.

MR. CAMERON: Hello, Mr. Chair, members of the Council. My name is Matt Cameron, Wildlife Biologist with the National Park Service presenting on our moose update which is the opposite page there. Our last moose survey was in the fall of 2022, and you'll see the last 20 years of survey data there on the graph on the bottom. And we're planning our next survey for the fall of 2025. So, next fall. This is the fifth year of our Collaring Project. We have 24 active collars on female moose in and around the Preserve. This year we had an 80% parturition rate. 80% of our females had calves and that's up from the long-term average over the last five years. And we had a 56% twinning rate, which was also above the average. So, it seemed like seemed like they were doing good this spring. Adult survival was right around average over the last year. And we had

50

our lowest calf survival from last year yet, which was 15%. So, we plan to finish monitoring for this winter of the calves, and then begin removing collars and wrapping up the project next year and 2026 with analysis 5 and which we'll share with you as they come out. And 6 I'll hand it off for a peregrine update. 7 8 MR. CASH: Hi. Good afternoon, Mr. Chair. 9 And the council. My name is James Cash. I'm a wildlife 10 biologist at Yukon-Charley. This summer, I was out with my supervisor Melanie Flamme, and others helping with 11 12 the peregrine falcon survey out there. This is a 13 continuation of a long-term monitoring project. We were 14 out there for about five weeks, split between two 15 different trips. So, we traveled from Circle to Eagle twice and we saw 35 occupied territories, which is a 16 decline from where they were at following their recovery 17 18 from DDT. So, we are concerned about that. And we're 19 going to be seeking to build some collaborations and some additional funding to look into that decline 20 further and see if we can understand what's going on. 21 22 23 MR. WOODRUFF: Mr. Cameron, I'm curious 24 about the twinning rate of those moose. 25 26 MR. CAMERON: What can I help with, Don? 27 28 MR. WOODRUFF: What is the twinning rate 29 for the Yukon-Charley moose just in the past few years? 30 31 MR. CAMERON: We have an we've had an 32 average over the last five years of 46%, and that has 33 ranged from as low as 22% which we saw in the spring of 34 2021 to a high of 63% which was last year. So, pretty 35 notable variability. 36 37 MR. WOODRUFF: And the twinning survival 38 rate past the first year. Do you have any data on that? 39 40 MR. CAMERON: For the survival of calves 41 in the first year? 42 43 MR. WOODRUFF: Yep. 44 45 MR. CAMERON: Our average is -- I missed, 46 I didn't write that one down, but it's been between 15% 47 and 42% so, hovering right around 25%.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. We're going to skip all over the place. I'm more interested in the peregrine

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

falcon right now actually. I opened -- you weren't here probably during our opening comments, but I'm in an area where peregrine falcons are fairly -- used to be fairly prolific. And I am concerned, I brought up the concern in my opening comments because peregrine falcons are heavy predator, and they are an indicator of other drops in species and or the prevalence of disease in those species. So, I'm going to be really interested to hear what you're able to find. And I'm wondering if there isn't some collaboration, I'm sure there's a whole network of people that are working on peregrine falcon throughout their migrations. I think it'd be really interesting if you can update us or keep us updated on what you're finding as far as bird flu influences on killing them. Those sort of impacts there, because I do think that that's a flare going off.

16 17 18

19

20

2122

23

MR. CASH: Yes, sir, I agree. Definitely interested in reaching out to researchers both here in the State and also down south where they're going to be migrating through and in their wintering range, and trying to get better understanding of how potentially Avian Flu and some other factors could be influencing them along that route.

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. BASICH: Yeah. And I you know, I've been thinking about this a lot. I -- my own personal thoughts are I believe avian flu is probably playing the largest role, but I often am beginning to wonder if these severe fire seasons in their migration routes moving south is setting them up for not making it through their migrations due to lack of prey as they fly through these areas. I don't know if they avoid them or if they have to fly through them. I don't know that much about how they migrate, but it seems to me they're burning a lot of calories on those migrations. And if they can't replenish, there could be mortality due to that as well. So anyway, I'm really interested in what you're doing, and you can stop by anytime and inform me. Really, it was nice having people stop by and talk about things, and those of us that live in the bush were -- how should I say it, were multi-pronged scientists many of us, and were really fascinated by how everything works and the interrelationships and oftentimes things like birds and bees and songbirds don't -- they're not a very sexy animal for studies, but they're super important because they tell us a lot about what's going on ahead of time. So, appreciate the work you're doing, all of you actually. It's really important, especially because you're in our backyard. It's really nice to take our

thoughts, our observations, and then hear your scientific observations and analysis and try and draw those connections. So, appreciate it. Thank you.

MR. CASH: Yes, absolutely. And we appreciate your input as well. I mean, you're being out there all the time and getting to see that country firsthand. And just the amount of time you get to see that is super useful. The information you shared with us. So, I enjoyed stopping and chatting with you this summer, and definitely plan on doing that again next summer when we're out there.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, and I guess what I'd like to get at. You haven't been in the meeting the whole time, but we're really trying to develop community-based monitoring. And so as always, I'm going to encourage agencies and those of you in the field, if there's observations that you would like to see to add to your data that you may not see during the time you're out there or you want us to be looking for. I'm happy to provide that. And I think most people out in the bush would be. So, it's just a matter of reaching out and giving them your laundry list of things that are important for you or for us to look out for. So, just I think that's a really good way to build partnerships. And I think we have a lot that we can offer, and it's free.

MR. CASH: Yes, absolutely I agree, thank

you.

MS. ENTSMINGER: I'm curious. There's four wildlife biologists in the Yukon-Charley with this Melanie -- how do you say that, how many fisheries biologists are there?

MR. SORUM: We don't have a specific fisheries biologist. Oh, sorry, this is Mat Sorum. We don't have -- sorry, we don't have a fisheries biologist. We have freshwater -- freshwater, we have a limnologist. And then we have a Tray, and a shallow lakes biologist. So -- and we do have, yeah, we have four biologists, actually five. We have at the moment, we have five.

MS. ENTSMINGER: That's a lot of salaries.

MR. SORUM: Yeah. You know, we do. We expand beyond Yukon-Charley Rivers will be working Gates

of the Arctic Yukon Charley. And then we work with the networks where the networks work beyond so, across Arctic network so, Western Arctic Park lands. And then we actually dip down into the Wrangell sometime.

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Charlie. This is Don. I'm curious if you have remote sensing on peregrine falcons like they did on Eagle Bluffs for a long time and the visitor center.

MR. CASH: I don't think that we have any cameras in operation right now. There was one that was upstream of Eagle that is no longer operable. But I know that there is some really interesting footage from that when it was working. And I look forward to looking into that in the future.

MR. WOODRUFF: One of the most remarkable video for me was that when the male peregrine landed on the nest edge, he would sit there and bow to the female over and over again before he came into the nesting area with yellowlegs. And so, my comment is, how are the yellowlegs doing? That's the primary food for Peregrine.

MR. CASH: You know, I don't have a specific answer to that. They're not part of our monitoring program. I do know that, like Andy and others have mentioned that generally it felt like the bird populations were down around the river. But we don't have a way to specifically quantify that right now. But I also agree with your observation about how interesting their behavior is. I really enjoyed watching that this summer as well, and how the males and females interact. It's pretty interesting.

MR. WOODRUFF: And one of the other features of that remote sensing was that you could see the females spreading their arms out and shielding the chicks from the sun. That was awesome. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions? You done now? Okay. Thank you so much. It was a wonderful report. Next is Wrangell-St. Elias.

MR. PISTER: Good afternoon. This is Benjamin Pister team lead -- well, my name is Benjamin and the team lead for resource stewardship and science at Wrangell-St. Elias. And I'm going to be giving the wildlife report today, which is on tab five. And

actually, our wildlife biologist Kyle Cutting is the -- also the coach for the little dribblers in Glennallen. And that is where he is at the moment. So, he typed something up and I'm going to be reading it to him, which is a little bit more informal. But as full report said tab -- tab five.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

5

So, okay my name is Benjamin Pister but he said introduces himself as Kyle Cutting as a wildlife biologist at Wrangell-St. Elias, this is about a page -- but a little more than a page, by the way; wildlife biologists at Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve. He's been the wildlife biologist for the last two years and feels very grateful to have been exposed to many wildlife species in different areas across the park. And he wants to give a brief update on long term monitoring efforts on important subsistence wildlife species including Mentasta and Chisana caribou, moose and dall sheep. These long-term monitoring projects are described in formalized monitoring protocols that have been developed by the NPS Inventory Monitoring Program, in conjunction with individual park units. All these surveys are collaborative in nature with different people and groups who are focused on wildlife conservation efforts. So, caribou, we'll start with caribou. Wrangell-St. Elias has two caribou herds, including the Mentasta and the Chisana Herds. Both caribou herds are small herds and subject to long term monitoring where population surveys, composition, surveys of age and gender ratios, and radio collaring of animals occur on a short-term basis. Both herds have different partners. For instance, the Mentasta Herd has been a long-term collaboration among Wrangell-saint Elias and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and recently the Ahtna -- excuse me, Ahtna Inter-Tribal Resources Commission. The Chisana Herd as international collaboration including the Government of Yukon's Department of Environment, Kluane First Nation, White River First Nation, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Tetlin Refuge and of course, Wrangell-saint Elias National Park. This past year, the Mentasta Caribou Herd was recorded at a population low since 2017. A total of 189 adult caribou were surveyed in June. Calf numbers were similar to the previous four surveys, with bull numbers decreasing this past year and collaborative -collaboration with AITRC and ADF&G, 10 additional Mentasta caribou were collared last week, with GPS collars bringing the total number of collared animals in the Herd to 29. The Chisana Caribou Herd is considered stable with slightly less than 600 animals. A

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

composition survey will occur tomorrow, Friday, on the Alaska side of the Herd's range, and this Sunday for the Yukon side of the Herd's range. Last week, a total of 15 new GPS collar -- collars were deployed on the Chisana Caribou Herd, bringing the total number of. Collared animals to 27. Kyle was involved as a darter, and both of those missions and Wrangell-St. Elias, along with our partners, received some funding. We received it -- we got word of it in 2024, but it will come in 2026 to evaluate historic changes in herd overlap across the three decades among the Mentasta, Chisana and the larger Nelchina Caribou Herds. This work will help inform future harvest management and conservation-based decision making that occur within Wrangell-saint Elias and adjacent lands.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

Going to move on to moose. I'm excited to report that a population survey of moose was conducted during the fall of 2023. This was the first survey that occurred since 2013. The survey occurred across a 2.5million-acre landscape, all within Wrangell-saint Elias, including Units 11 and Units 12, downslope of the north, west and southern flanks of the Wrangell Mountains to the Mentasta Mountains to the north, Copper River to the west, and Chitina River to the south. The objective of the moose survey was to evaluate spatial-temporal patterns, it's a big word, spatial-temporal patterns in moose and determine age and gender composition. The estimated population size in 2023 was at a record low, nearly 40% lower than the previous survey in 2013 and slightly lower than 2007 and 2010 population estimates. We also documented changes in the spatial distribution of total moose with pronounced declines on the north side of Wrangell Mountains with the west and south sides of the Wrangell Mountains showing less decline. So, there's more moves to the south than there is to the north. We are currently summarizing these data in a scientific paper that creates a decision-making tool to inform fixed versus recurring management decisions, using the changes in moose numbers.

40 41 42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

Moving on to sheep, we are excited to report that Wrangell-St. Elias and the Inventory Monitoring Program in support with the -- from the NPS Subsistence Advisory Council, surveyed sheep populations across a 2.5-million-acre landscape in the northeast side of Wrangell-St. Elias. Using a distance sampling strategy, we surveyed three distinct geographies including the long-term monitoring area south of Nabesna, along with the road accessible Mentasta

Mountain -- Mountains area and the roadless Nitosen Mountains area to the east. A total of nearly 1500 miles of survey transects were surveyed at elevations where distance sampling was used to estimate the sheep population. Preliminary results indicate that for the long-term monitoring area of the Nabesna, the adult sheep populations may remain at an all-time low, approximately 900 adult sheep. But the good news is that it appears to have stabilized, as adult sheep are at a similar low level that was observed in Interestingly, sheep numbers are similar between the long term Nabesna area and the adjacent -- adjacent remote Nitosen Mountains has approximately 950 adult sheep to the east, while the Mentasta Mountains have with approximately 550 adults, is roughly 60% of the population estimate of the other two areas. Encouraging news is that all three have similar lamb to ewe like ratios of 0.35, which is above the average for the long term Nabesna side of 0.3. And that concludes Kyle's report.

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Charlie. This is Don. Would you say that the Chisana Herd is that carrying capacity and that's why they're stabilized?

MR. PISTER: I don't know enough myself personally, to answer that question. I would probably have to defer it to Kyle.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. Thank you. Member Bassich. In regards to the community outreach and the funding that you receive for food security, can you elaborate a little bit more on where the where that moneys [sic] went, how it was spent, or what your plans are for that? Yeah. I guess what I'm getting at is I, you know, I see it was dedicated towards enhancing community subsistence, food security, resilience. Was that in the form of providing food or was that money then spent to try and shore up subsistence resources?

MS. CELLARIUS: Mr. Chair, Andy. I'll cover that in the Subsistence and Anthropology report.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions?

MR. WOODRUFF: Sue and I were just having a discussion about Chisana caribou, and she informed me that the Herd was much bigger in the 90s. So, is it predation or is it the habitat?

1 2

5

6

MR. PISTER: I simply couldn't tell you. I've, you know, been working at the park for a year and, I don't know the history of that herd. I have seen those numbers, so I know it was bigger in the past. I do know that, and I kind of have the same question. I think this coming year part of our work plan will be to dig into some of the data that we do have to start answering those questions. I couldn't tell you if we can answer that question, but it's on our minds.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34

35

8

MS. CELLARIUS: I'm going to introduce myself and maybe say a couple of things about the Chisana Herd before I jump into the other reports from Wrangell-St. Elias. So, for the record, it's Barbara Cellarius with Wrangell-saint Elias National Park and Preserve. anthropologist and the cultural subsistence coordinator, but I've been around longer than Benjamin. So, what wasn't mentioned and I don't think really anybody mentioned it today. We're getting very, very close to finalizing an updated version of the Chisana Caribou Herd Management Plan. Thanks to our colleagues in Yukon Environment, we finally got us together and did an updated version of the plan. And it does identify a number of research topics. And I think once we sort of get the signatures on it, which I think is going to happen in the next month or so, there's a variety of kinds of monitoring identified, I mean, you're right, the Herd was larger in the past. Exactly how much larger, I think there's some -- I've heard a range of numbers. But it was definitely larger in the past. But it does seem to be stable compared -- especially compared to the other caribou herds around. We did we do have a small hunt on that Herd. It was the only hunt, the caribou hunting that took place in the park this year. And we had a couple caribou harvested. It has a quota of six so, we don't expect to have a lot of caribou harvested.

36 37 38

39

MR. WOODRUFF: This is Don, again. I would be real interested in hearing more about this at our next Council meeting. Thank you.

40 41 42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MS CELLARIUS: Yep. We can do that. And we can take the questions you've brought back to the biologists. So, I'm going to jump into a couple of other reports. So, our fisheries report is on -- you can find that on page 319. It focuses on activities on the Copper River. I'm mostly going to let you take a look at that yourself. If you have questions, get ahold of us and we can get answers to the questions. We did operate the Chenega Creek Fish Weir this year, which is the one sort

of headwaters area monitoring that we do of the Copper salmon stocks. It's located adjacent to Katie John's fish camp. And so, we had a local crew this summer counting fish. I'm sure that there were more than 12,000. In the end of the season, there would have been more than the 12,000. There's a late run that comes in as well. But -- okay. So, turn you on your microphone.

MR. PISTER: This is Benjamin Pister. I just happen to know that they were up over 14,000 by the day, they end up having to take the weir out.

MS. CELLARIUS: And that's this is, you know, a small stream at the headwaters of the Copper River. But it gives us a sense of how many fish are getting at that farthest upstream. So, I'm going to just briefly and like I said, in the interest of time, I'm not going to go through Dave's report, but if there's any questions, we can answer them. And then I just want to give you a few highlights from the Subsistence and Anthropology report, which is also in tab five. And just a few things in part in response to concerns about food security. We're doing a lot of things. In addition to today's fisheries report, there's a lot of in various kinds of projects going on on the Copper River looking at health -- health of salmon, looking at salmon genetics to try to have a better sense of which stocks of -stock groups of fish are being harvested at different times. And one of the things we've done is harvesting the -- or harvesting surveying the communities of Chistochina, Mentasta and Slana about their harvest and use of subsistence resources. So, we're -- we've completed all the survey work and are doing a community review meeting with of the Slana data later this month. And then we'll be putting together a technical report that's a cooperative project with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and the Ahtna Inter-Tribal Resources Commission.

And then to the food security funding. So, as part of the Inflation Reduction Act, the National Park Service in Alaska has gotten about \$6 million to address food security resilience and sort of changing environmental conditions. And some of that money went to some sort of biological projects. And then we've — the — Benjamin and Amber, who's our other anthropologist, and I went on the road this spring and summer and we visited a lot of communities. I had it written down here. We met with 11 Tribal Councils in six communities to introduce the funding opportunity. And

we've gotten 12 project proposals. And the kinds of --1 so, we're looking for projects that support, that benefit a community of subsistence users that relate in some way to subsistence resources on -- found on Federal 5 public land and then have a nexus in some fashion with 6 environmental changes -- food security concerns that are related to environmental changes. And I think we talked 8 -- we've talked a lot at this meeting about what some 9 of those things are. So, we're trying to support 10 community food security resilience. So, we're not necessarily purchasing food to put in a food bank. We're 11 12 trying to help communities build capacity. So, one of 13 the things that has come up from several communities is 14 they have trouble, you know, we don't have caribou open 15 for harvest right now, moose are harder to get. People are more dependent on salmon. Can we improve their 16 17 ability to harvest salmon through things like community 18 fish wheels? Some of the communities have identified 19 having a community food processing facility. In one 20 case, it was specifically mentioned -- a couple of places 21 specifically like increasing capacity to efficiently use 22 roadkill. So, you know, we -- we're on the Road System, 23 people get phone calls like, can you come get this moose? 24 Well, what do you do with it if it's in the middle of 25 the summer? If there's a place you can take it, if 26 there's a trailer you can borrow to help move it. So, 27 it's more helping build capacity in that fashion rather 28 than $\mbox{--}$ rather than necessarily just putting food in 29 people's freezers or pantries. We've also gotten 30 projects that have wanted to build capacity -- so, the 31 kind of culture camps that you guys were talking about 32 earlier, that was another kind of project. If they have 33 a subsistence related culture camp to help teach kids 34 subsistence activities, maybe even subsistence 35 activities that they haven't, like they haven't heard 36 about before but might help build resilience within the 37 community. Those are the kinds of projects that we've 38 gotten proposals for. I'll stop there because see if 39 Andy has a....

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49 MR. BASSICH: No, I guess thank you for that, thank you for clarifying that. And I guess the bottom line is if the resource goes away so, does the resilience. So, you know, putting the money towards trying to make sure that those fish make it up to those subsistence users is a really good use for the money. Yeah. I'm just trying to understand because, you know, I know there's funding. It goes different ways. And I'm just trying to understand who's doing what, where and

and what's working. So, every place has very unique challenges.

3 4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

1

MS. CELLARIUS: And I would add that, you know, these we basically went to the communities and said we have approximately this much money per community. Is there something that we can do to help you that sort of fits these three criteria that help us pass the red face test with where the money is from? You know, we talked earlier about the Board of Fish Proposal #51. So, the person who took the lead on developing that proposal was funded with an IRA funded position. So, the -- we're doing like we have a bunch of things that we're doing that are sort of all trying to help support food security, resilience, you know, the what we've described in the Subsistence and Anthropology report is just a little piece of that. And I did want to mention a couple of other things. At long last, the ethnographic overview and assessment that looks at the Ahtna people and Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve is complete. We've just sent it to the printer. So, by your midwinter meeting, we'll have copies and probably within the month we'll have a virtual copy that can be downloaded from our website. There is a link in the handout for where you can download that, it's just not there yet. And then I wanted to mention the Copper River in-season teleconferences, which is a project that we're doing in collaboration with Ahtna Inter-Tribal Resources Commission, and it's modeled after the project on the the Yukon River that you folks are really familiar with. And so, that's the what -- we've got funding for four years to see how this goes. We got a little bit of a late start, but we had some really successful calls. It's an opportunity for people up and down the river to call in and talk about how fishing is going, environmental changes they might be seeing is, you know, is there high water, what are the fish look like? We also have had the biologists call in and give reports. So, it's been a pretty popular project this summer and will be continuing the next couple of years. And I'm just going to stop there, but we're happy to answer any questions.

42 43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Charlie. his is Don. I see that on page 321 and the bottom of the first paragraph, it says that all State users are required to closely attend fish wheels being operated so chinook salmon can be immediately released. On the Yukon, fish wheels have to be manned, which means if you're operating, you're standing on the wheel or

49

50

someone standing on the wheel to catch those kinks or divert those kinks back into the river. So, what does it mean to be closely monitored? That's kind of a confusing statement. 6 MS. CELLARIUS: That was a restriction 7 that was put in place by the state of Alaska on the 8 state subsistence fishery. That wasn't a that didn't apply to the federally qualified subsistence users. So, 10 I don't know that I have a response to that question, 11 although maybe Benjamin does. 12 13 MR. PISTER: I think it well, I don't 14 want to speak for the State. But I think what their 15 intention was closely monitored enough to return chinook 16 salmon back to the water if you caught them, whatever 17 that took. 18 19 MS. ENTSMINGER: I might add, they were 20 in -- there was requirements that there be some water, 21 I don't know if it was a requirement, but people were 22 having water in -- or they came so they could. 23 24 MR. WOODRUFF: And they're holding back. 25 26 MS. ENTSMINGER: Yeah. 27 28 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: On the Yukon we were 29 required to have a slide -- live boxes are not good for 30 fishing. So, you have to sit in there and when you see 31 a king coming to basket you push the slide over and it 32 slides right back in the river without landing in the 33 box. 34 35 MR. WOODRUFF: That's a diverter, right? 36 37 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Right. 38 39 MR. WOODRUFF: Okay. 40 41 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: And the fish wheel also have to be built friendly. We use a crab pot mesh 43 that's flexible and we hang it away. We don't nail it to the actual fish wheel, we hang it around. So, it's 44 45 like a net and they hit it and they bounce. And in the 46 shoot, there's a foam. We take the sleeping pad -- half 47 inch foam pad and we cut them to fit. So, when they hit that shoot it doesn't damage them. They get a little 48

cushion and they slide on down. So, we have no mortality.

And so, if you're protecting and fish that's the way to

do it all the way. And you can't put dead fish back in the river. Thank you.

3 4

1

MS. CELLARIUS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

5 6

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Subsistence

Management, Brent.

7 8

9 MR. VICKERS: Mr. Chair, members of the 10 Council, behalf of OSM. I want to thank you for your service and exceptional work that you do on behalf of 11 12 your communities and user groups. This is Brent Vicker, 13 OSM for the record. We value your expertise and volunteer 14 contributions -- volunteer contribution knowledge, experience and time to regulatory process. 15 16 Okay, first, the OSM administrative move at the request 17 of many stakeholders made during listening sessions and 18 tribal consultations across the State, Congress has 19 administratively moved OSM from the U.S. Fish and 20 Wildlife Service directly to the Office of the Assistant 21 Secretary Policy, Management and Budget. This move 22 became effective on July 15th of 2024. It is one of many 23 efforts that the Department of Interior is making to 24 strengthen Alaska -- strengthen Federal subsistence 25 policies and structure to ensure that federally 26 qualified subsistence users and Alaska native peoples 27 have an opportunity to meaningfully engage in the Federal Subsistence Management Program implementation. 28 29 Let's see. Our responsibilities, administrative support 30 remain the same, programs continue seamlessly, and the 31 regulatory cycle is going on without any interruption. 32 If you need to reach out to OSM staff, we now have new 33 email addresses that have been updated on our website. 34 The main contact phone number remains the same (907) 35 786-3888, and our main email address is now 36 subsistence@ios.doi.gov. Update on the Wildlife Final 37 Rule and the 2024 to 2026 regulations booklet. The Final Rule of the regulations -- for the Federal Subsistence 38 39 Management Regulation the harvest of wildlife on Federal-Public Lands in Alaska have been published in 40 41 the Federal Register and went into effect August 29th, 42 2024. I know Council member Pope brought this up. Just 43 in how slow these things can come out and how subsistence users don't know what's going on. I -- and this is one 44 45 thing we really hope is improved with our administrative 46 move on our side. We take care of these things, get the 47 Final Rule to get published and send it to D.C. as quick 48 as we can. We always have. And then it's just been a 49 waiting game and quite often just a black box for us. 50 And that's one of the things that we actually, in just

a short time of seeing some great work on, is cutting down on the levels that these things have to go through, and we hope to make these things a lot quicker in the future. It's been very frustrating on our side, knowing how frustrating it must be to subsistence users that these things get delayed. So, hopefully that does get improved. I just wanted to make that comment. Thank you.

7 8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

5

6

Let's see. Update on the regulations regarding the Federal Subsistence Board composition. Based on requests during the joint consultations, the Department of Interior and Agriculture are in the process of revising regulations concerning composition of the Federal Subsistence Board. In the proposed rule, the departments proposed to add three public members to the Board. Members would be nominated or recommended by federally recognized tribes -- tribal governments in Alaska and would be required to possess personal knowledge and direct experience subsistence uses in rural Alaska, including Alaska native subsistence uses. The proposed rule also defines requirements used to select the Board Chair and affirm the Secretary's authority to play, to replace Coard members and the Secretary's responsibilities and oversights regarding Board decisions.

252627

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

The Final Rule regarding these proposed revisions is expected to be published in the Federal Register soon and would become -- will become effective 30 days after publication. Tribes are welcome to nominate or recommend public board members for these three new seats anticipated in the Final Rule. Please direct any questions and nominations or recommendations to Raina Thiele at raina thiele@ios.doi.gov, and Sara Taylor at sara taylor@ios.doi.gov. Okay. An update more or less on Deferred Wildlife Proposal WP24-01, which was the proposal to sell the hides of brown bears. At its public meeting on April 3rd, 2024, the Board deferred its action on Wildlife Proposal 24-01 request to allow the sale of brown bear hides taken under Federal regulations. To make a long story short, our update is at ADF&G is not able to permit the sale of brown bears on behalf of the Federal Subsistence Management Program. If the proposal is based -- is passed as submitted as discussed by the Board. In other words, ADF&G cannot permit the sale of brown bears taken in units with one brown bear limits under Federal regulations. Therefore, OSM is exploring opportunities for federally qualified subsistence users to obtain permits from a Federal agency. We will update the board on this option at the

Fisheries Regulatory Meeting in February 2025. Yes, Council member Donald Woodruff.

3 4

5

6

1

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you. The way I read that -- that Fish and Game could not allow the sale of blackbirds where there was two black, I mean, grizzly bears where there was two bears on the harvest.

7 8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

MR. VICKERS: Thank you. So, let me clarify that the issue -- the main issue is that you can own -- the ADF&G who is in control of the, basically the sale of brown hair is only permits the sale for from units with two brown bear limits, two brown bear limits, which is, you know, says that there's basically enough brown bears there. And ultimately, this proponent wants to be able to sell the hides from the brown bears he harvests, where there's a one brown bear limit on Federal lands. And after consulting with ADF&G, they said that they're not going to be able to do that. So, basically we have to find a new way of allowing for the permit sales where there is a one brown bear limit. And we're hoping to be able to do that through a Federal agency. But we wanted to take the easier route first. And where there was already a system. So, now we're trying to develop a system under a Federal agency. Is that makes sense?

262728

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

 ${\tt MR.\ WOODRUFF:}$ Thank you. That clears it

29 up.

MR. VICKERS: Thank you. Okay. OSM staffing updates. I would like to provide some of the more -- most recent OSM staff updates since the All Council meeting in March. OSM welcomed our new acting or temporary director, Crystal Cissquq Leonetti. She will be an acting role until the end of November. In her permanent position, Crystal is Alaska Native Affairs Specialist at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Among her regular OSM director responsibilities, she's been leading OSM through the administrative move and has been doing an excellent job. OSM's director position was advertised on September 5th and will close on October 2nd, 2024. OSM had some departures including Theo Matuskowitz, who was our supervisor regulatory specialist for -- since the beginning, for over two decades. It's a big loss for us. We greatly miss his humor and in-depth knowledge. OSM Fisheries Biologist Justin Kohler has been acting in his place in the interim and has also been doing an excellent job of learning that and implementing things very quickly. The position

1

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

-- this position will be advertised for permanent hire very soon. Kayla McKinney, who was the OSM Subsistence Outreach Coordinator, had to leave for a job in another State. A vacant position for the Subsistence Outreach Coordinator and the Records Management Specialist will be advertised in the near future and we encourage all individuals with diverse outreach skills and record management skills and interest in work -- and an interest in working with the subsistence issues in rural communities to apply. And I guess we are also saddened to learn the departure of OSM's Deputy Director Amy Howard. She left the Federal service with a new job in Aleut Corporation as Director of Lands and National --Natural Resources. We greatly miss her. I personally miss her very much. It's a great loss. And Katya Wessels, who is a Council Coordination Division Supervisor, is serving as the acting so temporarily in her place as deputy director until the end of October. After that I'm going to act in that place for the next two months. Hopefully there's an advertisement for that hire relatively soon.

212223

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

Correspondence. Like I said, we're really hoping to get that improved. That's one of the big things we're hoping to see out of our administrative move, cutting through some of the red tape and getting to things quicker. And we've seen a lot of improvement. The Secretary's Office is reviewing the Council's letters and that were forwarded by the Board. The letters cover a broad array of issues such as financial compensation for Council members, salmon fisheries and transboundary mining, among others. Some of the issues are straightforward and will be resolved more quickly, while others are more complicated and will take some more time to address. Some cover multiple regions, and others are specific to 1 or 2 regions. The Secretary and her team are working on responses to these letters and the next steps forward for all these issues. As these issues are resolved the Secretary or her staff will provide responses to the Councils, including the next steps forward where appropriate. The Secretary thanks the Councils for their diligence and thoughtfulness in discussing these issues and continuing to elevate them. The elevation of OSM to the Office of Secretary, Secretary looks forward to continuing active engagement

45 46 47

on these and other issues affecting subsistence users. That's all I have. Let me know if you have any questions.

48 49 50

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Go ahead, Donald.

1 2

MR. WOODRUFF: I just want to state that I'm happy that we've made this move into the Department of the Interior and that we get a little quicker response from some of our serious concerns. And I'm glad that the transfer was somewhat seamless.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any more questions?} Thank you so much.$

 MR. VIKERS: Yeah. Thank you. I just want to add that the Office of Secretary, they have been extremely receptive. They've-- we've been having a weekly meetings with them. They've been helping in every way. When I say somewhat seamless, just little technical issues to work out. But as you know, it's been business as usual. We've been doing everything we can. Yeah. It's just been business as usual. Just figuring out little things with our networks and stuff like that. But they've been great. I'm very happy with the move as well. Thank you.

(Pause)

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Next on the agenda is Hunter Ethics Education and Outreach Initiative Working Group. Coordinator and group members.

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I think we've had a lot of discussion about Hunter Ethics in this meeting already, especially when it came up during the Annual Report. This Hunter Ethics Working Group for the EIRAC hasn't been able to meet since before the All Council meeting. When we did meet last time, we did identify a couple of actions some shorter and longer term goals that we would like to see. And I look forward to reconvening this winter to talk more about some of those things before our winter meeting. And I also look forward to sitting down with TCC and talking about some of the ways we might collaborate. So, yeah, if folks want to recommit to working on some of some of those efforts this winter, that would be wonderful. And I'll turn it over to any group members who want to say anything.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. This is member Bassich. Yeah. I think this is very important to keep adding sticks to the fire on. I think we were starting to get a little bit of momentum again after the long Covid issues. This meeting, we heard testimony from TCC

Chief Brian Ridley on some requests for Hunter Ethics.
And I would recommend that we make a letter inviting TCC to a group meeting to happen sometime this meeting. And I'll leave that up to our Coordinator to maybe find a time where people interested can participate. I don't - we don't need a motion or anything for that. So, in short, very important, let's keep the ball rolling. There's still a lot of great ideas, and there definitely is a lot of interest in this so, let's keep it rolling. Thank you.

MR. WOODRUFF: I have a question of you, Andy. Are you still pursuing the icon, hunt like an Alaskan?

MR. BASSICH: I think that was discussed at our last meeting. So, yes, I think that's still kind of a theme. Let's put it that way. There's so many aspects of hunter education to delve into. There's a lot of different envelopes that we need to address and a lot of different envelopes that we need to develop projects and methods. So, yeah. Short answer, Yes. I guess that's still kind of a theme. Thank you.

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Don -- this is Brooke, for the record. At -- after our last meeting that came up and we recognized the need for some outreach materials, like about what is Hunter Ethics and what does it mean to hunt like an Alaskan. And we were hoping to develop, you know, some like a one page like nice graphic or something that's easy to share, easy for people to digest. And there were some drafts shared around, we need to sit back down and talk about that. We will also need some help from like, someone that actually has a publication and communication specialties that knows how to use InDesign and all that stuff that I have no idea how to do. So, those are opportunities to collaborate with other agencies or organizations who might have folks that do more of that type of stuff. And so, yes, is the answer to that.

MR. WOODRUFF: In response to that I think that this handy dandy handout would be very beneficial for the Hunter liaison folks.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Nothing more to say? Moving on down to B, 805C Report Summary. Council Coordinator. On page 330.

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The full report -- your -- the cover letter and the report starts on page 330 of your book. But I passed out this summary table to you guys that I made because I think it's a lot easier to digest than reading through the whole report. And really what the 805C report is, it just tells you how the Board voted compared to how you all voted for the proposals at their last regulatory meeting. So, just very quickly, as a reminder, because these are all important actions that happened within the Eastern Interior Region. You all had put in a proposal to extend the marten season. That ended up getting modified to only apply in Units 20E and 25B som that season is extended now to March 15th in those units. The Board adopted it with your modification. You also put in a proposal to extend the moose season to October 15th in Units 25B, 25C and 25D remainder or actually, I think that was Amanda's proposal. So, we thank you for helping us with that. That was adopted by the Board. That C&T -- the proposal that someone put in from Kaktovik to remove the C&T for dall sheep in 25A for Kaktovik residents. You all took no action on that, and the Board ended up rejecting that so that C&T determination still remains.

And then there were three closure reviews that you voted on to keep all of them. So, those were the Arctic Village Sheep, the Chisana Caribou and the Mentasta Caribou. And then Brent just went over the statewide sale of brown bear proposal with you guys. That's -- we'll hear more back after the Board meets again. You guys did vote to support that with a modification to also allow the sale of black bear hides. I don't think that's really being discussed much at the Board level. So, if you're interested in still doing that, you could put in a proposal during the wildlife cycle next year. And I have that noted. I can help bring that back to you guys next spring and you can decide if you want to want to do that.

And then the last thing on the list was that the Western Arctic Caribou Herd, you guys voted to support that reduction in harvest and only allowing one of the four caribou to be a cow. At the All Council meeting, the four RACs, Western Interior, Seward Peninsula, Northwest Arctic and North Slope all met together to really hash out exactly how they wanted to make a joint recommendation to the Board, and the Board ended up adopting their modifications. So, that's a summary of all the hard work you did last year related

to proposals. And if you like this table form, let me know and we'll continue moving forward with that in the future.

4 5

MR. BASSICH: I formally request that you continue that format. Thank you.

6 7

MR. WOODRUFF: I like it a lot too.

8 9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. BASSICH: And I'd just like to add really quickly there are a lot of wins there for us, and I really appreciate that from the Federal Subsistence Board in OSM and all the people who put in the work for all these analyses and everything. When you come to these especially Federal meetings, meetings, everything takes a long, long time. And sometimes it's pretty frustrating to visit these things year in and year out. But when we get wins like this, it makes you go home feeling like, okay, that was worthwhile. And when we have discussions like we had yesterday with fisheries, it's the same feeling. So, anyway, thank you to the staff. I know it's a lot of work, a lot of times to do all these analysis and carry the water for us. But I very much appreciate it. I'm sure I'm speaking for the rest of the Council. Thank you.

252627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

MS. MCDAVID: And, Mr. Chair, I just did want to note for the record, the table that I had included -- I included this table in your meeting books. There were -- there was an error on that table. That's why I printed this new handout, and the new table also got posted to our website so if folks online ever go to look for that. Yes, occasionally I make mistakes, Andy. I'm just kidding. More often than you think. I hide them well. If it's okay with you, Mr. Chair, we'll move on. The next couple items are for me. So, the next thing I wanted to let you guys know about is the call for applications or nominations for Council seats. There hasn't been a formal announcement or call put out yet. They're working with DOI to think about that whole process. But you can turn in applications -- just so everybody knows, if you ever want to apply to be on the Council, you can fill out an application, get that to me and I'll hold I can hold that for you until the the window opens to apply. So, we want to make that as easy as possible and encourage as many people to apply to be on the Council as would like.

47 48 49

50

I did want to note we had -- we have three terms that are of Council members that are expiring

this year, Charles Jagow, Donald Woodruff and Dorothy Shockley. Charles Jagow decided not to reapply so his seat will be filled with the pool of applicants that's currently being considered by the Secretary. And then we'll wait to hear about Don and Dorothy -- the reappointment. And then next year, as a reminder for Council members Sue, Amanda, Linda and Eva's terms expire at the end of next year. And I did send all of you incumbent application. It's a little shorter application. So, if you can just remember (distortion) get those back to me at some point if you want to reapply. And you know, Amanda, we did hear that, that you are going to step down. But we also want to let you know how much we've appreciated the time that you've put in to this Council and all the expertise that you've shared with us, and especially taking the initiative to bring proposals to the Board during your first or your first term. That's really commendable. So, thanks.

MR. BASSICH: Good Job. I'd like to echo that. You came on to this Council in a charge. You lead — you led the charge on a lot of things, and contributed a great deal and made a lot of really great observations and actions, and that's really much greatly appreciated. And I personally am really going to miss you from not — from being on this Council. I've, I've always thought our Council was a pretty dynamic Council with a lot of different viewpoints, when that's what makes us a good Council, in my view. And you brought some really great perspective to our Council. So.....

MS. POPE: Appreciate that.

 MR. BASSICH:thank that. Thank you and good luck in whatever you -- well, I know you're working a lot on the Sentinel Programs, right. And I think that's maybe where we're going to see or continue into the future. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: You're always welcome to reapply in the future, you know, it might happen. Yeah. Just had to put that out there. We appreciate you. And thank you for your service.

MS. ENTSMINGER: I just wanted to say you should feel good because you came with your heart. And that's what means everything is you're here with the heart and for the best use for the user and the resource. Thank you.

MR. WOODRUFF: I was interviewed by BLM for my reappointment seat, and I was very pleased with the process. It was very efficient and thorough. Thank you.

6 7

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. Moving on.

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

MS. MCDAVID: All right. Thank you, Mr. Chair. The next item on the agenda is a Council Correspondence update. I did put together another summary table for you guys. It's on page 337. And I'll just remind you about the letters that you sent or -since the last meeting your Council individually sent in two letters, one was comments on the proposed rule for the Federal Subsistence Board membership. Brent just gave you an update on that. We should be hearing more about that in the future. And you can definitely encourage folks to submit applications or nominations for that. And you also wrote to the Board about the Fortymile Caribou Harvest Management Coalition, and it looks like there's some good traction there. So, two -two more wins to note. And then there were a number of joint Council letters, six of them that came out of the All Council meeting. Those all went to the Board. Pretty much all of them had requests to be elevated to the Secretaries. And those were about bycatch and salmon management, Council member compensation, correspondence issues, the ANCSA D1 land withdrawals. On that one the record of decision did come out from BLM and they -they're keeping them -- maintaining the status quo was the decision. So, the no action alternative. So, those protections still remain in place -- the subsistence protections on those lands. Your Council and YK Delta together elevated an issue about the need to revise the Magnuson-Stevens act. And then there was a letter sent about statewide caribou concerns. We haven't gotten [sic] responses back on these other letters, but as Brent mentioned, there are discussions happening now that we have moved into the Office of the Secretary. So, we hope for more updates in the near future and definitely by next Council meeting. Any questions about correspondence?

43 44 45

46

47

MR. BASSICH: Yes, on point five the Magnuson-Stevens act. Is there any kind of a follow up we can do with that or is -- is that -- do you know the status of where that's at or?

5

6

8

10

11 12 MS. MCDAVID: I do not know. I know there's been a lot of talk about the need to revise that, but I haven't heard -- there hasn't been like a public comment period or anything about that yet. Something that this Council could do because -- to my knowledge only -- so you and YK Delta signed on to this letter. I am not aware of other Councils that have recently sent letters requesting for this. You could potentially, you know, write to the other RACs and or, you know, just have me, have the other Coordinators share your letter with them and informally asking them if they would also, you know, send a letter of the of similar topic.

13 14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28 29

30

31

MR. BASSICH: Yeah. This member Bassich. I think that would be a good idea. I think the reason this came up is that as we're looking forward into the future for Gravel-to-Gravel development, revising that is going to be pretty important for us to make some headways in certain areas in the marine environment. So, I think maybe if the Council Coordinator could just reach out and share our letter and ask that any other RACs are interested in signing on to that, and it might be good to revisit Western Alaska as well -- Western interior, sorry, Western Interior. So, I do think that's another one of those fundamental building blocks that we need to begin to address. And it's probably going to take a long time. It's like the marine hatchery issues with foreign countries. Things are going to really move slow on things like that, but they're pretty critical in my view. And I think a lot of other people's view that are working on these fish issues.

32 33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Andy. We can definitely work as a coordination team group and get that letter in front of the other Councils to take a look at, at their winter meetings. Any other questions about correspondence since the last meeting? I'll have another long update on correspondence at the next time. So, it seems like we might have covered our bases there. Okay, I'm not seeing any -- anything else. There's also a -- there were a number of special actions that applied to your region this year. There's copies of all of them in your book. But I did put together another summary table for you. This one is on page 365, and I'll just highlight a couple of the big ones for you, and I'll switch my screen here folks listening online. So, FSA24-01 that closed the Yukon River to the harvest of chinook, summer and fall chum and coho, except by federally qualified subsistence users. Don helped put in a WSA24-

1

5

6

O1 to close sheep in Yukon-Charley. And you guys modified that at your meeting to also include the Glacier Mountain controlled use area, which the Board did approve that with a slight modification. That closure goes through the 2025 season next year. So, you could consider a proposal in the spring if you wanted to see that closure remain in place longer so, you wouldn't have to put in another special action request.

8 9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

There was the -- there were a special action to close Nelchina caribou also to all users. WSA 24-05 was just a kind of administrative special action about the regulatory changes because we didn't get the regulations published in time, it let them go into effect before they were published. And then, 24-04 -- sorry, those are a little out of order, was to extend the Unit 12 moose season by ten days in a portion of Tetlin Refuge in Wrangell-St. Elias National Preserve. That was approved. And then lastly, WSA24-06 was to close moose hunting to non-federally qualified subsistence users in Unit 13. The Board approved that with a modification to only close to non-federally qualified users in 13B. So, that's an update on the special actions if anyone has any questions or comments, we do have analysts here that can help address those.

252627

(No comments)

28 29

30

31

Not hearing any. So, that's it for the special actions. I believe we have a quick update from Liz next about Partners Fishery Monitoring Program update.

32 33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. WILLIMAS: Good afternoon, members. Mr. Chair and members of the Council, I'm Liz Williams, Cultural Anthropologist at The Office of Subsistence Management. and Brooke spoke earlier about the partners for fisheries monitoring program, And we're not just about culture camps. That's one dimension of what the partners does. And Brooke highlighted that because you asked about it in your Annual Report letter. The Partners Program is unique, and it meets a lot of the requests that you've made throughout this meeting about costewardship and even co-management as well as growing our own. There's a lot of turnover in government positions in Alaska. And one of the good things about the partners program is that it allows a rural organization or an Alaska native organization to competitively apply for Partners funds which can pay for the full time salary of a biologist, a fisheries

biologist, a cultural anthropologist, or an education 1 and outreach specialist. And so, this allows rural and Alaska native organizations to work on their own data collection, their own people doing the surveys in their 5 area. We have six partners right now. Two are in 6 southeast; there's Yakutat Tlingit Tribe, there's Hoonah Indian Association, Bristol Bay Native Association or 8 Sataqmute Native Council in Bethel, Native Village of 9 Napaimute, which is Aniak, Native Village of Eyak and 10 Qawalagin Tribe in the Aleutian Islands. And so, this is a great way for people to get experience and maybe 11 12 work in their home community. So, here in Hyer and I are 13 the coordinators of this program, the notice of funding 14 opportunity should come out in November, and we'll make 15 sure you get that. It is very competitive, but one of 16 the biggest dimensions of this proposal is capacity building. And we don't just look at capacity building -17 18 - is increasing the capacity of rural people and native 19 organizations, but also the capacity of federal 20 employees to work better in rural Alaska and learn from 21 rural Alaskans and Alaskan natives and understand the 22 worldview that is so different from the one we work from 23 most of the time. So, unless you have questions, that's 24 the end of my presentation.

25 26

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Any questions? Thank you. Thank you so much.

272829

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I have just a real quick something to bring to your attention and maybe get a little Council member feedback on. So, since moving to the Office of the Secretary, we have the opportunity to kind of reevaluate the way we do certain things and maybe try to make things more efficient. And one thing that we've been looking at is how we pay you guys your per diem for being at council meetings. And, you know, we've always done paper checks in the past, and then you have to wait around after the meeting to get another one in the mail. That is the remaining balance of your per diem after the meeting. And so, something that a lot of government agencies do now that work with volunteer Councils like yourself is council members are issued a debit card and your per diem for while you're here during the meeting is put on that card. You can go to the ATM and take out cash if you want, you can spend that at the store, at a restaurant, for a taxi however you'd like, and then following the meeting the remaining balance would just be directly deposited into your bank account. This would require you filling out some forms which I know you all

love to do with your banking information. But it would, yeah, potentially make it a lot more efficient, both on our end and maybe also on your end for you to receive your payments, your per diem more quickly. So, we wanted to get your feedback on that. It's not a hard set in stone decision yet. We're bringing this to all the councils to see what you think.

MS. ENTSMINGER: Well, I personally don't like debit cards. Just put it in my account and then I deal with it. That's how -- that keeps it way simple.

MR. BASSICH: I'd like mining gold.

MS. ENTSMINGER: Be very big.

MS. MCDAVID: You get one flake.

MR. WOODRUFF: I don't -- Gold's getting pretty, pretty -- gold's getting pretty valuable now. I don't care. It's still -- it doesn't matter if it's big or small, it still means the same. From my perspective, I'm just wondering if there's a possibility for OSM to have options for people or are they looking for an all or nothing type of solution?

MS. MCDAVID: I think in general they would prefer more everyone do -- be on the same system because otherwise it just makes it complicated keeping track of who needs who needs what. But -- and to Sue's point about the deposits. So, Sue, it might be that you could if they move to the debit cards, you could just wait and not use your per diem during the meeting, and then the full amount would be deposited after the meeting into your account. But you know, not everyone we're, you know, very cognizant that coming to town or traveling is expensive for people. And so, if you, you know, need to use those funds, they would be available on your debit card.

MS. ENTSMINGER: So, you're going to mail them so I can buy gas on the way in? I mean, that's when you need it.

MS. MCDAVID: Yes, so this would be a card that we would give to you. You would keep it with you at all times even after the meeting. It would be like a few days after the meeting is my understanding, like, kind of shut off until the next meeting. So, whatever balance was left on there that you didn't use

during the meeting would just get deposited into your account along with whatever remaining per diem that you were owed.

MS. ENTSMINGER: Just to be clear. So, you would be mailing them out before the meeting.

 MS. MCDAVID: If we do go to this new system you would get -- initially, we would probably give you a debit card at the meeting or mail them in advance. I'm really not sure. That's a good question. Like, this is why we're hoping to get feedback, to think through all of these things because -- but once you had it at whenever you would get your debit card for before the next meeting, you would still have your debit card with you because you would take it home after the meeting. And then it would -- that deposit would get put on there before you had to come to the meeting so, it would be available for your travel into town.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: It seems

Complicated, like how come you can't just use my existing account and send the money in there? And I could use my own debit card. Doesn't that seem like it would be a lot easier?

MS. MCDAVID: That sounds very simple to me, Charlie, but I'm not the maker of the system. I don't know if, you know, that's something we can note down to see if that is an option. And I don't know that we have anyone from our admin team -- would be the folks that would be helping with this. So, I don't think anyone's online that could answer this. We're going to note down all the concerns and questions and we're going to -- we can talk about this more at the winter meeting and try to answer some of those questions. And it might be that some people could opt-in if they wanted and some could still get a check if needed.

MR. BASSICH: But my guest, Mr. Chair, is there are quite a few members in other RACs that may be in a position in villages and maybe don't have the steady -- a steady income of any kind. And so that option probably works really well for them, what's being described. From my perspective, I'm pretty flexible. So, whatever goes, I'm fine with it. I still think we should do it in gold, though. Gold -- cold cards. How's that sound, Sue? Yeah. Gold cards.

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Well, I guess they got here for some reason. And so, whatever you come up with, I'm happy with to also. Thank you.

5

6

1 2

> MS. EVANS: Hi, this is Linda. I'd rather just have the money put into my account because I already have a debit card and, you know, two debit cards. Just put the money directly into my account.

8 9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

MS. MCDAVID: Sound like that's a common sentiment among folks. So, we'll definitely ask what the options are about that and find out more. So, thanks for all your feedback on that. Mr. Chair, there -- was before we move into closing comments, there was one kind of on the table thing that I wanted to make sure you guys get the opportunity to make a decision about. And that was -- it was brought to us in public comment earlier. If you wanted to add an additional topic to your Annual Report about Copper River Salmon and you know, that was discussed a lot here, we do include a lot of the Yukon salmon issues in the report. And currently, we didn't have a topic for Copper River Salmon. And in addition to the copper River salmon, it was also brought up about the need for a Federal for lack of better terms, ANS equivalent. And so, I'll turn that over to you guys to decide if you would like to make one last addition to your Annual Report.

27 28 29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38

MR. BASSICH: It's getting late in the day so, forgive me. Yeah. So, I guess I'll make a motion that the Eastern Interior RAC add the topic of Copper River salmon issues to the Annual Report highlighting the need to develop the equivalent of a ANS which the State uses but translated into the Federal program. So, the amounts necessary for subsistence in that fisheries for the federally qualified subsistence users. And in addition to that, it would be good to try to develop that for federally qualified subsistence users on the Yukon River as well.

39 40 41

CHAIRPERSON WIRHGT: I'll second.

42 43

44 45

46

47

48

49

MR. BASSICH: And I'm just going to reference the testimony from Mr. -- Dr. Jim Simon on this topic. I think in thinking about his suggestion, I think it's a wise decision. I think it may in the future give RACs and Federal Subsistence Board qualified users a little bit more leverage in demonstrating lack of resources and the impacts to the -- to those people.

50 I'll leave it at that, Mr. Chair. Thank you. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Okay. 3

4

MR. BASSICH: Question.

6 CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: All right. We're 7 going to vote. No, no other comments? Seeing none, then 8 we can have unanimous vote on this then. All those 9 against by saying no. Seeing and hearing nothing. 10 Passes. Thank you.

11 12

5

We're finally here, closing comments. I guess we go with the sun. And Andy, your first.

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. BASSICH: Closing comments. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good meeting. Thank you, OSM, for allowing us three days. Sometimes it's a little bit hard for people to give up their time but quite frankly, I think this was one of the more productive meetings that we've had, especially on fisheries issues, which is really near and dear to me. And oftentimes that just takes time to have dialogue. And so, having a three-day meeting facilitated that in a great way in my mind. And as I mentioned earlier, it's nice to leave a meeting feeling like you didn't get beat up, you know, being able to hold your shoulders up high and feel good about the progress we're making is really important for kind of the morale. And I want to just express that I really, I know Charlie's not here, Charles Jagow, but I'm kind of sorry to see him go. I really, really value when some of the younger people are getting involved in the RAC process. And I've said this before, there's a lot of gray hair at this table and we need to get a little bit more darker -- black hair at this table. But I just want to on the record say thank you to Charlie for his years service here. And I always really valued his perspectives, and I hope at some point maybe he'll come back on when -- it might be when he starts getting gray hair, you never know what happens. But I really do value that and I value all of the comments and perspectives from the people on our Council. I also, want to thank all the people that very, very patiently sat through our meeting. I know we spent a lot of time talking about fisheries, and a lot of you folks aren't fisheries people, but we cover such a wide range, I do want to thank you. I know it's hard to just sit and listen to something that may not be your field, but hopefully we can keep it a little bit entertaining from you for you from time to time. But I do value everybody, and there's so much work that goes on in your worlds to bring the

information to us so that we can hopefully make an informed recommendation to the Federal Subsistence Board. So, that's really key in us being able to perform what we're being asked to do here. So, thank you all for that. And thank you all for the OSM staff as always. Good job. Thank you.

6 7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

5

MS. POPE: Hello everyone. Amanda Pope for the record. I just want to say thank you for the people that supported me for -- in this first term (distortion) in the EIRAC. I'm glad to see OSM doing some training to the Board, and I hope that would continue further, especially if younger people are wanting to be on the Board. And, and going forward with that, I hope more training is done before meetings are conducted. It would really help the younger generation be educated. Thank you to everyone that's supported me. And for all the wisdom that I've learned from the folks on the Board. So, thank you. I think it was a good experience, very eye opener on multiple levels. And unfortunately, on some things it's still coming back into other areas of my life. So, I wish those who are still hurting from that experience that I'm continuing to as well. I wish you good luck. And I hope people can move forward. So, thank you.

252627

28

29

MS. MCDAVID: And, Linda, if you could please give a little Council member report to you since you weren't here the first day, we do need that for the record. Thank you.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. EVANS: Okay. Thank you. I really appreciate everyone here at the meeting and the audience, the presenters, the reports, I think, and the presentations really give us good information and having the Board packets with all the reports and information and everything in it ahead of time is really helpful also for me, it is and I really appreciate that. I appreciate Coordinator very efficient and just ready to do whatever it takes to get us to the meeting. I appreciate that. For my report on the -- since the last meeting my home area, Rampart, is still no fish, no berries, you know, it's just it's still no birds. I was there, just got back from there, I was there for a week. And able to just enjoy, enjoy the nice fall weather. I did -- was able to hunt some grouse, and that seemed like it'd been a long time since I had grouse in in Rampart so, I don't know if that's a things are coming back. I don't know, but I still feel really bad about the no fish, you know, because it's our way of life. And

I was -- this summer I was just fortunate to bring my 1 grandson, grandson, youngest one home to Rampart and he loved it. I mean, he -- it just the freedom, you know. And I just told him that, gee, that's the way the kids 5 grew up here, you know, they we -- it used to be a big 6 community, 75 to 80 people. I think maybe more. Yeah, more than that in the summer, I think just everybody 8 come around in the summertime. And the school was bigger, you know, they had two teachers and just kind of sad for 10 me to learn that, you know, there's not many students 11 there now. And you know, they the State gives them two 12 years to get their population back up, but they're down to six kids so, you know, that might have more effect 13 14 on our community in Rampart. But anyway, it was good to be home. It was good to be at this meeting and I 15 appreciate everyone's wisdom and sharing of it. It's 16 17 very helpful especially to hear from elders like Sara, 18 you know, who really lives her way of life no matter 19 where she's at, if she's in the city, you know, she still carries that way of life with her. And I -- it 20 21 just really makes me think as that's what we need to do. 22 That's it. Thank you.

23 24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you. Charlie. I know that sometimes we get a little excited about the fisheries issues because we're so passionate about them, but I have hope, maybe that's all I've got for the fisheries, but I want to say that it's a real honor to work with the Council and everyone's perspective. And I think that we've made some big steps and little steps. And one of the little steps was the sheep management for Eastern Interior, and that's a working document. And we can keep working on it. And I appreciate the staff and all the work that they do. The 804 analysis was just awesome reading. It took me several readings of it to comprehend it. Copper River is pretty confusing when you just first go through it, because it's such a long system. The wildlife biologist, I hope that they have a really good season in the future and that we get some good reports. And, yeah, I sincerely appreciate our reaching out to elders and getting their testimony. I think that they have some insights that we don't and a perspective that we probably will never have. And yeah, appreciate everyone here that contributes and respectful to each other. Thank you.

45 46 47

48

49

50

MS. ENTSMINGER: This is Sue Entsminger. I'm pretty bad about introducing myself every time. We didn't used to have to do that. So, I guess, is that something we have to do in the future? Anyway, I first

1 want to commend Brooke for how she organized this meeting. Oh, my goodness, the -- these great little notes here to how to make motions. That's just perfect. And all of that, how you put it all together, those graphs 5 or whatever you call it, you know, you can just see how we voted and how they passed. That's really, really 6 helpful because it's quick and easy to absorb in the brain. And then I deeply appreciate all the Council 8 members. We're volunteers, and it's a lot of commitment 10 on our parts, and I'm going to be missing you, Amanda and Charlie and Bill's seat was never filled so, we're 11 12 going to likely have three new members. And I hope that 13 we can get those young people we keep talking about. 14 It's there -- that's the next generation to be on here and make decisions for the future. So, yeah. Yeah, you're 15 16 pointing at young people right now. So, yeah. And thank 17 the staff for all the work and appreciate all of you 18 guys. And I really appreciate you, Charlie. You're getting it. It's doing a good job. Thank you. And I'm 19 20 really appreciate Eva. She completely blows me away how 21 organized and how she -- she gets it together and she 22 knows what's going on, and she must wear the same kind 23 of hats. You do multiple hats, man. Great -- great for 24 this RAC and I thank you all.

25 26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Thank you much so much, Sue. You've been a great mentor to me and I appreciate that. All your service. I want to thank Brooke. I can't do it without her. I wouldn't be able to, I don't think I could try. I thank you so much for all your hard work. I thank OSM, everybody that hangs out with us all the time. All through the meeting, I see a few faces that have been here the whole time and I really appreciate you all. I really appreciate the three-day meeting. We get to go more in depth and we get to cover more. We don't have to go as fast. We did have a jam session, but still that third day made it all happen and come together. And I like that part of it. I'm really proud of being a part of this Council. Definitely a well-oiled machine. Everybody does their part and has a part in there, and I'm proud of that. That's really good. Good working atmosphere and great people. Thank you all for taking time out of your lives to be here. This is so important work to all of our people and all of our places where we live. I wish everybody a safe trip home, a good fall and a good winter. I just really want to commend everybody for their hard work and dedication to the people. Thank you so much for being here. Thank you.

1 2	MS. ENTSMINGER: I make a motion to adjourn. Did I miss something?
3 4 5	MS. EVANS: I second the motion.
6 7	MS. ENTSMINGER: Okay. She seconded. Oh, question.
8 9 10	MR. WOODRUFF: Question.
11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Should I ask for a roll call vote?
14 15 16	MS. ENTSMINGER: Yeah, that's a great idea.
17 18 19	CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Seeing everybody wants to get out of here. I think we vote have a unanimous vote. This meeting is over at 5:36pm.
21 22	MS. MCDAVID: Do you want to oppose?
23 24 25 26	CHAIRPERSON WRIGHT: Anyone oppose us going home? Yeah. Thank you, guys. Meeting is adjourned. Thank you so much.
27 28	(Off record)
29 30 31	(END OF PROCEEDINGSO
32 33	
34	
35 36	
37 38	
39	
40 41	
42	
43 44	
44 45	
46	
47 48	
40 49	
50	

1 2 3	CERTIFICATE
4 5 6 7	I, Rafael Morel, for Lighthouse Integrated Services Corp, do hereby certify:
8 9 10 11 12	THAT the foregoing pages numbered $\underline{1}$ through $\underline{145}$ contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the EASTERN INTERIOR ALASKA SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME III recorded on the 9th day of October;
14 15 16 17 18	THAT the transcript is a true and correct transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by under my direction and reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and ability;
20	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party interested in any way in this action.
23 24 25	DATED at Isabela, Puerto Rico this 28th day of October 2024.
27 228 333 333 333 333 333 441 445 447 449 50	Rafael Morel Chief Project Manager