```
0371
 1
                    FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE BOARD
 2
 3
                        REGULATORY MEETING
 4
 5
 6
 7
                            VOLUME IV
 8
 9
                    LAKEFRONT ANCHORAGE HOTEL
10
                        Anchorage, Alaska
11
                         February 7, 2025
12
13
14
15
16
17
    MEMBERS PRESENT:
18
19
    Anthony Christianson, Chairman
20
    Rhonda Pitka, Public Member
    Charles Brower, Public Member
21
     Raymond Oney, Public Member
22
23
     Frank Woods, Public Member
24
     Sara Boario, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
25
     Sarah Creachbaum, National Park Service
26
    Erika Reed, Bureau of Land Management
27
     Jolene John, Bureau of Indian Affairs
28
     Chad VanOrmer, U.S. Forest Service
29
30
31
32
     Ken Lord, Solicitor's Office
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
    Recorded and transcribed by:
43
     Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
44
     329 F Street, Suite 222
45
     Anchorage, AK 99501
46
     907-227-5312; sahile@gci.net
47
48
49
50
```

0372	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	(Anchorage, Alaska - 2/7/2025)
4 5	(On record)
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Good morning everybody. Welcome back to the final day of the Federal Subsistence Board meeting of fisheries. This morning we'll start off with the public testimony on non-agenda items but before we do that we had an unfortunate accident out in the Nome area so the elder up here requested we have a moment of silence for the families.
16	(Moment of Silence)
17	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Alrighty, we'll start out this morning again, the first opportunity for the day, each day, is to allow the public to come up and comment on non-agenda items. This morning first on our list here was Donald Mike, but I'm not seeing Donald out here.
24 25 26	(No comments)
27 28 29	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: So we'll call on Jim Simon, but I'm not seeing Jim out there.
30 31	MS. LEONETTI: There he is.
32 33 34 35 36	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Oh, there he is oh, no, you don't want Jim's fine, no go. And she wanted to be second but you're first, Mary Hosteder. You have the floor Mary.
37 38	MS. HOSTEDER: Do I have to hold this the whole time just the one time.
39 40	Quyana, Mr. Chair. Quyana Board.
41 42 43 44	I did request to go second but I'm happy to go first.
45	(Laughter)
46 47	(In Native)
48 49 50	My Yup'ik given name is (In Yup'ik), my

4

5

(In Yup'ik) is Mary Hosteder. My family is Yup'ik from the Big Lake from Lake Iliamna and Bristol Bay. I'm here today representing myself as a tribal member of the Egogik Village Council, or the Egogik Tribe and a shareholder of BBNC. Also formally as a member of the Egogik Village Tribal Council.

6 7

8 I'm here to speak on the critical 9 importance of retaining the ANCSA D-1 protections 10 afforded by BLM administered lands in the Bay planning 11 area and throughout the state of Alaska as solidified 12 through a comprehensive review including a final 13 determination in August of 2024. The D-1 land 14 protections in Bristol Bay are essential for preserving 15 the cultural heritage and lifestyle of Egogik and other 16 Alaska Native communities. These lands provide 17 critical relatives, otherwise known as resources, for 18 traditional hunting, fishing and gathering which are 19 integral to our community's ways of life and cultural 20 identity. The intact ecosystems within the D-1 lands 21 which border Egogik on the east -- or on the west and 22 the south as well as all across Alaska support the 23 world's largest sockeye salmon runs which are crucial, 24 not only for cultural and community well-being, but 25 also for maintaining global biodiversity. The 26 ecological integrity of these lands helps sustain a 27 variety of wildlife species and natural processes 28 essential to the region's health and resilience. 29 Retaining these protections aligns with the legal and 30 moral obligations to uphold the rights and treaties 31 with indigenous peoples. This ensures that the lands 32 will continue to be stewarded in a way that respects 33 the sovereignty and stewardship of our Alaska Native 34 communities. Across Bristol Bay and Alaska there's 35 broad support among our indigenous groups and 36 communities, environmental organizations -- oh, no, I 37 lost my place -- and the local community for 38 maintaining these protections. This unified stand 39 reflects a collective commitment to conservation and 40 the recognition of this areas immense importance. 41 environmental impact statement leading to the final 42 decision in August 2024 involved a rigorous, multi-43 stage evaluation that extensively analyzed ecological, 44 social and economic impacts. This comprehensive assessment integrated vast amounts of scientific data 45 46 and community feedback ensuring a well-rounded 47 understanding of the potential consequences of 48 different managed styles across Bristol Bay. The EIS 49 process exemplified transparency and inclusivity

involving extensive consultations with local communities, indigenous groups and various stakeholders, Egogik among them. These consultations ensured that diverse perspectives were considered, particularly those of affected populations enhancing the credibility and depth of the final analysis.

These lands and waters as they are now mitigate climate change. The natural buffers provided by the intact habitats are vital for adapting to environmental changes that we're all experiencing and ensuring the sustainability of both the community and the wildlife they depend on.

In light of the broad support from the public and numerous RACs and other Federal Boards we are respectfully requesting the Board take the steps they see appropriate to evaluate this issue to the Secretaries of Interior and Commerce, including the submission of all past letters and actions on D-1 lands as these protections are critical to ensuring this ecosystem which provides for our communities, our relatives and the entire world continues to sustain us for future generations.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{My}}$$  family have lived on these lands for over 8,000 years.

Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Appreciate you taking the time to testify, any questions this morning.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Appreciate it. Oh, Frank, you have one.

MR. WOODS: Mary, thank you. Public Member Frank asking questions on -- so you're from Egogik, your time and dedication of study science traditional assessment data, EIS you mentioned, an environmental impact statement, habitat and wildlife ecosystem studies, this Board operates off those studies, not only from Staff but generally from the public has a real huge impact on testimony. Bring it back to your village that this place is not only tribally -- we need public input from the tribe but

```
0375
     also corporate and Alaska Native Claims land issues
    that are really impacting our region. I think your
    generation has grown up to the fact that we want to be
    engaged. And you pointed it out that you're doing the
    work is revolutionary for each village along with
    Ahtna, the young people, that that work is getting
 7
    recognized. So I appreciate your work here, your
 8
     testimony and I'd like to see you again testifying
 9
    before this Board.
10
11
                     Thank you.
12
13
                     MS. HOSTEDER: Maybe then I can go
14
    second.
15
16
                     (Laughter)
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: We'll bring
19
     that up with Donald Mike.
20
21
                     (Laughter)
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: We got a
24
     question for you Mary.
25
26
                     MR. ONEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
27
    Not a question -- yeah, a question to the Board and
    maybe to Staff. When someone comes up and provides
28
29
    testimony like this what's the next step, do we gather
30
    that information and is that like a marching orders for
31
    us? Thank you.
32
33
                     MS. HOSTEDER: Thank you.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yeah, good
     point, Raymond. So who's in -- Robbin, I guess we have
36
37
     -- like Frank said and he's alluding to we have a lot
     of Staff to help you start formulating those needs and
38
39
     we have FRMP and information needs, to apply for and
     stuff, so the system here is geared around trying to
40
41
    gather information through a funding mechanism and I
42
    believe that cycle is -- if the Staff could open it up
43
    for discussion. When that cycle is and when they're
44
     asking for information -- I mean to build proposals.
45
46
                     MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
47
     Through the Chair. This is Robbin LaVine, Subsistence
48
     Policy Coordinator. And I would note that the
49
     discussions that you have here in our Board meetings
```

are recorded and noted. The issues that you bring forward during tribal consultations and daily testimony is heard by all present. We have Council Coordinators who are responsible for passing on information to the Councils. We have Staff here, the InterAgency Staff Committee that is responsible for informing their Board members. All the issues that rise up during these meetings become discussions and points of action. If we hear enough voices for one or if that particular testimony is incredibly persuasive. So one of the things that we ask people to do is to also work closely with their regions to make your Councils known -- your interests known to the Councils. Our burdensome and rather lengthy process of documenting regional annual reports or letters from the Councils become part of our public record and it's available to all the public to track these issues.

If you are looking for specific action you've prepped the Board here and you can work closely with your Regional Advisory Council to make sure that they are the weight behind your words.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MS. REED: Mr. Chair.

MS. REED: Hi. Mary, my name is Erika Reed and I am the State Director for the Bureau of Land Management. When you're talking about the D-1 withdrawals, you're talking primarily about the 1971 era withdrawals that are on BLM managed lands and I think the Board has heard your request for past letters and support for the retention of those withdrawals, I just want to note that BLM simply makes recommendations on those withdrawals, it is ultimately the Secretary of Interior that makes the decision on how the withdrawals are -- whether or not there's a revocation, partial revocation or retention of the withdrawal. So I just wanted to make that point of clarification.

MS. HOSTEDER: Yes. Quyana.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Thank you for the Board for adding some context to her question, appreciate that. Next we have Oliver

0377 1 Peterson.

2

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

MR. PETERSON: My name is Oliver Peterson. This is testimony on the issue regarding the hatcheries cap. I know it's not something that this Board is directly in control of but I just wanted to help raise awareness for the issue. I spoke with -- I forgot his name -- the representative from the Eastern Interior yesterday about this and, yep, I'm here representing myself, my family and my community. I get my name from my great-grandfather, Oliver Hofstad. The Hofstad family is a rather large name in the fishing industry down in Southeast. He was the founder of Petersburg Fisheries, Incorporated which later became Ocean Beauty Icicle or OBI. My great-grandfather was alive to see the rise of salmon in Alaska as well as the dramatic decline experienced in the '50s and '70s. He was also alive to see us build our salmon back up.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

I say all this because if you hear the report from the Interior communities on salmon runs and Alaska's most major rivers he'd roll over in his grave if not claw his way out of the ground to come scream at the people and the bodies of governments that have been undermining our resources by ignoring the need for conservation but choosing to instead to line their own pockets. They ignored the bright red flashing warning signs of climate change. I love making money as much as everyone else in this room but we should never put our wallets above the needs of the people and the needs of all the critters that inhabit this land with us. work seine tendering on the OleElgin\* and OceanMaid under Grant and Mathew Fletcher for OBI for a number of years. Seine tendering is my favorite job and I will never give it up. A lot of what I do on the OceanMaid is cost recovery. This last season we spent a whole summer running back and forth between the special fisheries zone in EmelgaHarbor, an area just north of Juneau and OBI in Petersburg. In total our fleet manager's sent us to collect fish from one single seine opener the entire season so we ran back and forth between the hatchery and the cannery. Putting the cap on hatchery fish does have a direct affect on me because it is a large part of what keeps our cannery running during the summer. In total, our boat alone brought back over 4 million pounds of chum just from the hatchery zone. When I first heard that the people in the Interior villages were trying to put caps on hatchery production I was a little dumbfounded as to

how that would solve any of our problems. But after hearing the reasoning behind it I do understand how hatchery fish could absolutely have an affect on the ability of wild salmon to get the resources they need to properly grow and survive. Hatchery released salmon are more susceptible to predators because they don't have the same survival instincts as wild. Adding more and more hatchery fish to the water can have the opposite effect that we desire. Hatchery released fish are not going to be as adept to the ocean as wild fish are and when these fish eventually breed it can affect the ability of the salmon to evolve and adapt as a species.

Hatchery do help our economy but they cannot be our only source of salmon. The wild population coming up the river are smaller in size due to the increased competition for resources that these fish need to make the long run up the river into Canada.

Unfortunately it seems to be an uphill battle through all the different processes that we have to go through to protect our resources, corporation incentives (indiscernible) money make it harder for everyday Alaskans to get their needs met. We have to fight and fight hard to get our people the food they need and spread awareness for issues like these is extremely important so that hopefully things can be done to change the current trajectory of our resources.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Thank you for that testimony.

(Applause)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any questions from the Board.

(No comments)

45 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Good job 46 representing your grandfather too, I like your 47 description here.

MS. PITKA: Yeah, thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I got a reaction here, I said that'd be my grandfather too, so good job, he was a seine captain and all that good stuff, tugboat and, you know, set the precedent for where you're sitting there and hopefully you'll be on one of these Boards some day impressing that kind of knowledge to the public. Thank you.

MS. PITKA: Thank you very much for your comments today. And I'd really like to thank Heather and her class for paying such close attention and really putting in the work to learn about these really complicated issues. And, also just for the recognition, that stewardship and conservation is for everybody that wants to hunt and fish in Alaska. It's not just for the Native people, you know, and I really, really appreciate that you're really understanding like a deep complicated issue like that and being able to distill it. It really gives me hope, thank you.

I've been trying to explain that issue for about 25 years to a lot of different people.

## (Laughter)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Thank you, appreciate that. Let's see, Donald Mike.

MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Donald Mike. I'm originally from Kotlik but I live in the Anchorage area. First of all I'd like to congratulate the public members, Frank Woods and Mr. Ray Oney, and I appreciate you guys submitting your application and work for the people of Alaska. But on that note, you know, the Office of Subsistence Management and this Subsistence Program, all the agencies representatives sitting on this Federal Subsistence Board have Staff to help them with technical issues or give them information that they need to make informed decisions. So I'd like to see this Program, the Subsistence Management Office, provide technical Staff support for our Public Members to give them the best information possible to make policy decisions and regulatory decisions to help our subsistence people meet their subsistence needs.

You know, Western Alaska is the most economic depressed area in our country and has probably the most highest gas prices in our nation. Many of

these people are not full-time employees. I, for one, I go home to teach my grandchildren how to fish and hunt and I've noticed that a lot of people are struggling to meet -- to get the basic resources to go out and harvest what they need for their families. It's hard to watch especially where I grew up in a small village. People are struggling.

They are struggling. So this upcoming season is probably going to be another restricted salmon season in the YK Region up and down the Yukon, these poor people are imposed, they take the burden of conservation while the pollock industry, a million dollar industry make a chunk of change, and most of these pollock industry fishermen are based out of Seattle, they take the money and go out. So, you know, this Federal Subsistence Board has a direct line to the Department of Interior, so, you know, I suggest that, you know, the Department of Interior collaborate with the Department of Commerce to address the poor salmon returns on the Yukon River.

But, anyway, my main point was to please provide technical Staff support for our public members to make better informed decisions to help our people.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Donald. Any questions. Frank.

MR. WOODS: Yeah, Donald, I'd like to thank you. About 25 years ago -- you're retired from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as a RAC organizer. I appreciated all your support. You've made this all possible in an arena of Federal management and then having a rural preference, subsistence priority, and you are correct Western Alaska has the highest level of poverty and all that brings and the most impacted by decisions we make on subsistence rights and I appreciate your charge because it's still felt today. I'm looking forward to seeing you around these meetings more and more. So not glad you're retired but I'm glad you're testifying.

Thank you, Donald.

(Applause)

0381 1 MR. MIKE: Well, thank you, Mr. Woods, 2 and I appreciate the kind words and I'm retired and my mind is free. Thank you. 4 5 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: That's a long 6 ways away for me Donald. 7 8 Raymond, you have the floor. Sorry. 9 10 MR. ONEY: It's better late than never. 11 12 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes. 13 14 MR. ONEY: But, anyway, thank you, 15 Donald, for those words of encouragement and thank you for explaining to the people here about the hardships 16 17 that the Yukon's been going through and thank you for 18 the directions that you've given here so I appreciate 19 your comments. Thank you. 20 21 MS. ROGERS: Thank you for being here 22 all the way from home, homeland. I just want to let 23 you know that we do have resources at U.S. Fish and 24 Wildlife Service in Bethel if you want to get a hold of 25 Aaron Moses and he is our tribal consultant for our 26 region so he would be a good resource to get a hold of 27 in regards to getting technical assistance in regards 28 to all fisheries and wildlife proposals, regulations 29 and any technicality that you need, he's our direct go-30 to person. 31 32 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 33 34 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any 35 further questions, comments for Donald. 36 37 (No comments) 38 39 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Donald. Next we have Melinda Chase. 40 41 42 MS. CHASE: Good morning, Chair and 43 good morning Board. I am happy to be here today, I'm a 44 little bit ungrounded so excuse me for -- hopefully I 45 can sound coherent but I'm Melinda Chase. My home 46 village is Anvik on the lower middle Yukon. Many of 47 you know where the borderline is with Yup'ik territory

and Holy Cross, it's 40 miles above and I serve as the

President of our small village corporation Deloy Ges

48

49

5

6 7

8 9

1 and I'm also an advisory to the Bering Sea Western Interior Tribal Commission that was formed a handful of years ago in response to the -- trying to respond to BLM's planning effort for the resource management plan, the Bering Sea Resource Management Plan that covers 62 villages including the AVCP region, Tanana Chiefs region, and up into Kawarek and so there's 38 villages that are part of that tribal consortium that came together to really address the needs to have areas of critical environmental concern in that resource management plan.

11 12 13

14

15

16 17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

10

So I'm here to ask that the Board, and I heard you already had one public comment on this today, but to really elevate the need to keep and retain the D-1 land protections. So in the Bering Sea/Western Interior Resource Management Plan, none of the areas of critical environmental concern were 19 retained so the only protections that we have under that BLM resource management plan are the D-1 protections. Now, the Anvik River has the most BLM land up that watershed and so to not have any protective status, and it's also the largest chum salmon producing river in Western Alaska which, of course, we're dealing with right now over across in terms of taking public testimony and trying to make a cap on that. So I know that, you know, there was a huge amount of support for retaining the D-1s across the U.S., particularly here in Alaska. The resource advisory councils under the Federal Subsistence Management Program, the Western Interior, Yukon Kuskokwim Delta, Bristol Bay and Seward Peninsula RACs all voted in favor of retaining those and I would really like this Board to, you know, perhaps forward those letters to this Administration, to DOI, they really need to know the level of support, 140 tribes, you know, half of the tribes across Alaska, close to it, also commented directly to BLM to retain those and then we had several Native Corporations at the regional level Nana, Calista, Ahtna and Bristol Bay as well as 150,000 comment letters across the U.S. So the amount of public support for retaining those really needs to be highlighted to this Administration and in your roles I would ask that you highly consider that. 125 Alaska businesses as well as 300 businesses across the country. So it was a tremendous amount of support and effort.

47 48 49

And just in terms of, you know, what it

means again for our livelihood, you know, I feel like it's -- you know 28 million acres, it's not just the Bering Sea/Western Interior, it's the other resource management plans, one-third of Alaska, it's -- I think it's still one of the biggest land issues, you know, we've got all of our fish issues but it's one of the biggest land issues that we have, I think, right now for Alaska and for our generation.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any questions or comments from the Board. Frank.

MR. WOODS: One comment. Thank you for your testimony. What I heard is that when we challenge ourselves that's the biggest challenge that we have in this arena is we are a growing and ever evolving community. We are corporate, we are tribal and what you're talking about is the regulations might be out of our purview but we're -- as a Federal Subsistence Board member public I am glad that you are bringing these issues forward because it affects all of us. If I stand on your piece of property that D-1 is regulating I could be right on the corner of a corporate land, a Federal agency and a State agency all in the same footprint, right, and that's what I think is the challenge that we have today is we need to hear those issues and how it impacts the Federally-qualified subsistence users we represent. The bureaucracy behind it might not be aligning but we -- it's still the same issue so I thank you for bringing that forward.

MS. CHASE: Thank you.

 MS. PITKA: Hi, so Crystal was over here feverishly trying to find where we -- we forwarded that package of letters that we got from all of our Regional Advisory Councils to the Secretary and what the response was.

MS. CHASE: Okay.

MS. LEONETTI: Yeah, hi, Melinda.

MS. CHASE: Hi. Good morning.

MS. LEONETTI: We did -- so the Board forwarded D-1 letters from Western Interior, Yukon

```
0384
     Delta, Seward Peninsula and Eastern Interior RACs to
     the Secretaries in July of 2024 so those were forwarded
         And I am certain that we got a response from the
     Special Assistant to the Secretary on Alaska Affairs, I
     just can't -- oh, the response was received on November
     20th, thank you, Brooke, so we do have that and can get
 6
 7
     you a copy.
 8
 9
                     MS. CHASE: Well, I'm particularly
10
     asking for this Administration.
11
12
                     MS. LEONETTI: Got it, thank you.
13
14
                     MS. CHASE:
                                 Thank you.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any further
17
     questions.
18
19
                     (No comments)
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Appreciate your
22
     testimony this morning. Next we have Karen Linnell.
23
24
                     MS. LINNELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
25
     For the record my name is Karen Linnell. I'm the
26
     Executive Director of Ahtna InterTribal Resource
27
     Commission which is compromised of eight Federally-
28
     recognized tribes and two ANCSA Corporations.
29
30
                     The Ahtna people our traditional
31
     territory is about the size of Ohio. We have a large
32
     swath of blue on our maps is State land and very little
33
     Federal land. There's approximately 20 million acres
34
     of over selections by the State that they are managing
35
     wildlife on that they do not own title to. Under -- in
36
     the Copper River Basin in Game Management Unit 11
37
     there's quite a bit of 17 D-1 lands that have been
38
     withdrawn for mining. It's in the caribou calving
39
     areas. Right now the caribou are in such a decline
40
     that it can be up to 10 or 20 years before we're able
41
     to hunt caribou again. We're not certain what's going
42
     to be happening with our caribou. It's already been
     three years, right, we're getting close to a full
44
     generation that won't be able to hunt caribou so
45
     protecting those lands for caribou habitat is
46
     important. That 17 D-1 EIS happening came shortly
```

after the Copper River Basin area came up from DNR. In

that DNR plan they were modifying statuses of the area

behind Eureka and McLaren River area and turning that

47

48

49

from 50 percent wildlife habitat to five percent habitat and some of those selections were Ahtna lands and BLM lands, they weren't even State lands. So it really -- it'll turn it into a recreational area right where the caribou calve and are during the fall.

The other -- and so being able to protect that habitat and to protect the caribou herd is something that the Ahtna people have been working hard on. The Copper River Basin area plan has -- it's been a year and a half now since the public comments were made and we haven't heard anything, they were supposed to synthesize the public comments and we haven't heard anything back on it so hopefully we were able to stop that change from happening.

The other thing I'd like to address is that the PL5150 is a primary hunting location for the Ahtna people because of there only being about five percent of GMU 13 as Federal lands and most of that is PL5150. So keeping those under Federal management is important to our ability to practice subsistence in the Ahtna region.

It would be more other lands if we were able to remove the State selection and management over those D-1 lands that they've selected. And so that is something that we've been monitoring. We've put a web -- a story map on our website that was shared across the state so that people could understand what the 17D-1 environmental impact statement meant with all the different options. It's still there, not so much as a story map, but as a pdf so that you can read it and see the impacts that it could have in the Ahtna region.

So that's real important that you folks understand and you have a direct line to the Secretary to tell the Secretary that the D-1 selections and the PL5150 lands are important to subsistence users and as the Federal Subsistence Board you have that opportunity to write to the Secretary and saying keeping these things in place has a direct impact on the subsistence users of rural Alaska. I'm not just talking about the Ahtna people. I have a lot of neighbors that use and hunt those lands as well under Federal permits. What we're seeing is that the State often -- State hunters often use those lands and are able to hunt those lands under State permits, not giving us a rural priority under ANILCA.

4

5

6

7

8

The competition that we have in the Copper River Basin is huge when you get six to 8,000 permits issued for caribou and moose in our area and, you know, the hunters that come, or the six to 8,000 fishermen that come to fish the Copper River under personal use permits, dipnetting south of the bridge, targeting, on boats now, targeting the fish when they're held up in high waters. These are the things that we're trying to -- that we're up against, right, in trying to provide for our families.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

I was listening to this young man talk about trawling and the effects there. If I was to do the same thing in Iowa or in the Redwood Forest and plow it over there would be public outrage at the discretion and decimation of the habitat there. That's what's happening on our ocean floors. Those little micro organisms feed other things that feed other things that feed the fish and the mammals that our people eat. We need to address that. It's under Federal management. We are fighting the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council which is primarily made up of factory trawler lobbyists and lawyers. They listen to the Advisory Panel, I'm using air quotes, for listen, and then they do whatever, looking at the benefits of the trawlers and not the other species that they're throwing overboard. Those millions of pounds of halibut, from what I was told they used to be about 15 to 20 pounds, now they're less than five pounds each. So you quadruple or quintuple those -- the impact of the halibut in their birthing grounds, right, and they're not making it to the rest of the area and they're not -- they're just getting decimated so there's halibut fisheries getting decimated, sport halibut, subsistence halibut. You've got subsistence salmon not making it to the rivers. We had a transboundary meeting in Copper River Basin with our Yukon relatives and when they told me that they were not able to fish for 25 years and that they were using an educational permit to get three salmon so that they can teach their children how to cut fish, broke my heart. I'm so glad to see that State of Alaska is actually now looking at that, realizing that they're not meeting treaty obligations. It took awhile. It took awhile. And it's because of the closure the last five years on the Yukon. It took awhile for them to admit that this isn't happening. But continuing to appoint lobbyists who have a vested interest in the factory trawlers is a conflict of interest and should

not happen. When I was on the Board of Game and had to go through my disclosure and the conflicts that I had and give my financial background, I got questioned on every turn, did I participate in the Ahtna budget making process where Ahtna put money aside for legal fees because some of the Ahtna people are suing the Board of Game, I had to disclose and point out that I am not on the Customary and Traditional Use Committee, I am not participating in this and I did not get a financial gain from this, I don't see that happening through that process. There's such a direct conflict of interest that they should be disqualified from serving on those boards.

Those factory trawlers, when they overharvest, they cry to the Department of Agriculture that we can't sell our food, we can't sell this fish, can you buy it so they get subsidized also from USDA. They get subsidized by USDA, they're destroying fisheries, it's not sustainable and then when they have too much to sell on the open market they sell it to the food banks. Wrong. So wrong. And it needs to stop.

I'm trying to address that, serve on the USDA Tribal Advisory Committee and I talk to them about sustainability and how they're destroying other people's livelihoods, our subsistence users, sportfishermen and sport guides, they went from two halibut a day for their clientele to one. Then they can't stay out there and provide because those little boats are selective and they go into little places and they don't overharvest just like we don't overharvest. We're not seeing that with the factory trawlers. It used to be that they would go to Cold Bay and in Kodiak and offload their fish and have plants there that would process them, now they're doing it all on board and they're never leaving the fishing grounds. The fishing ground isn't getting any rest. There used to be that they would come and they'd fill up and they'd have to go unload, they're not doing that anymore, and so those fishing grounds don't get any rest and so I urge you to write to the Department of Commerce because they are impacting the people that you serve. Up river, that's something else that's not on the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council is anybody that's from inriver. There's nobody from in-river on there talking about the impacts that they're creating to folks that aren't on the ocean.

Those fish that migrate through there, not only feed our people, they feed our land. They feed our land that will take care of the willows that will take care of our moose. Those fish that come up stream, our wolves will eat them too, and then when they don't have them they'll go after our moose and our caribou, again, creating additional crises for us, the big four legged animals that would be eating fish, when they don't have it they'll turn to the moose and caribou again creating a larger impact to survivability of those rural residents that you serve.

So I urge you to write to the Department of Interior and copy the Department of Agriculture and the Department of Commerce on their impacts to the economy of Alaska because those factory trawlers, payroll goes through Washington, their taxes go to Washington, they pay a small tax here, there's not a lot of payroll tax that comes through Alaska, we don't have Alaska payroll tax, it's -- it's crazy. They don't shop in the ports anymore like they used to because they bring everything with them. It's not really providing much to the state of Alaska as far as economy goes. All the other jobs with the sport guides and smaller fishermen and fisher vessel, that economy is going away and they contribute to Alaska.

So I just ask you to look at this and address it and then ask you to consider what's happening with the 17D-1 and the PL5150 because that will have a direct impact on the jurisdiction of this Board over fish and wildlife resources.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Karen. Any questions or comments from the Board. Frank, you have the floor.

MR. WOODS: Thank you, Karen, for the wonderful testimony. The most powerful I've seen in the last 20 years of my life is watching Eklutna and Ahtna and the villages affected on the road system, the first time I heard was about 20 years ago that they started meeting with the regional tribal -- they started having potlucks that brought people together without any agenda, with no outcome, we come to these meetings with an agenda, we come to these meetings with an outcome, same with the North Pacific Fisheries

Management, we had a gathering yesterday. The first time I met the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council members, first time that three of them wanted to know what we were doing. Three of them didn't know 5 what this Board even -- didn't even know this Board existed or even what it did. It was awesome. I got to 6 7 educate a member from Portland and explain to him what we were doing, what our charge is, what subsistence looks like in Alaska and I didn't have an agenda 10 talking to him, I just explained to him and educated 11 him on the process, how we engage and how important it 12 is. That was pretty powerful. And I feel welcome 13 here.

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

When I went down yesterday, to the North Pacific, it was just a whole different atmosphere, it's a whole different engagement, it has a whole different charge. And when he was explaining to me what their charge was and the Chairman can maybe expand on that, is that they were grateful they didn't have as much charge as we do. And I was like, no way, I think it's reversed, their charge is bigger than ours because it impacts us more.

232425

26

27

28

So I appreciate your testimony and for everyone, you know, we're all in this together no matter what side we're on and I appreciate this process that we're able to sit and listen and deliberate on that. So, thank you.

293031

## CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Louis.

32 33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

MR. GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Karen, I'd like to thank you for bringing those things up about the moose and what they eat and the river systems, you know, that's been one of my messages for years and it's good to hear you're on board with that. I did want to mention that in '98/99 the Board of Fish came to Nome, they placed us -- they gave us a year to design a framework for Tier II fishery, never happened in Alaska, we were historically the first and we also are historically the only ones that went through it for some 20 -- almost 20 years. This happened in '99 and the effects of these trawlers are far reaching. They didn't only just fish in the last 30 years there's been trawler industry out there when the factory -- or when -- before the 200 mile limit, so this is a long-term injury that we're suffering and to keep it going on, I just -- I don't understand what the Council -- where

they -- why they stand on that side of the fence, they're going to be on the wrong side of -- they're on the wrong side of history, we all know that.

I just wanted to point out some numbers for you, Karen, that you brought up, the subsidized pollack fishery. They're out there 345 days, I think the last numbers I just got currently, 345 days a year. There's a 20 day break that I understand, and if I'm wrong somebody could point that out. One of the things also I wanted to point out about the trawlers, the 141 million pounds for the last over decade has been reported, is their take every year, and I demonstrated that through an example of a Ford F-150 parked in Anchorage and bumper to bumper the last one loaded with bycatch in the back level with the bed, the last one's parked in Soldotna, I mean that's really mind blowing, and that's just the reported, we don't know what's going on in the Gulf of Alaska, they don't have observers on everything and we know that it isn't an observer issue. Maybe things aren't so up and up about how they present their numbers. One other thing, people don't -- maybe caught on to the predation of salmon, wolves have been eating salmon too, and it was at a Federal RAC meeting I found out several years ago that a -- I had made an example of my dog going into the river and pulling salmon out in the Solomon River and a biologist brought me out to the hall and said that's what we found to be true, the wolves are also feeding on them.

So that's what I had to add to you and identify for you.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MS. LINNELL: Thank you.

MR. WRIGHT: I just wanted to add a little bit to what he said about the animals and the ecosystem that's being affected, I've said it here before. I think all the animals and birds and right down to the yellowjacket and blue fly are affected. In the Kantishna River near the Denali Park they found that the wolves eat 25 percent of their diet, is fish. They did some studies on them. So it's true, the

places where salmon go and have habitat and spawning grounds, those animals, the whole ecosystem in those places are damaged and the longer it happens, the worse it gets. So abundance based management at the mouth of 5 the Yukon River has not worked, they don't account for the health of the contributaries [sic] and the 6 7 escapement goals, a lot of the contributaries in the Yukon have been swept under the rug or they don't exist 9 no more because -- so they don't have to answer for all 10 those contributaries and all those distinct stocks. 11 One tow can take out a whole distinct stock from one stream, one contributary, the whole thing. So now you 12 13 can go up and down the Yukon River and sit at the mouth 14 of many many little rivers and creeks and there ain't 15 one fish. They have been -- they're gone. So the only thing they're worrying about is the escapement goal 16 17 going into Canada and nothing else, so it's really bad 18 what's going on to the whole ecosystem on the Yukon 19

20 21

Thank you.

2223

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for that. Go ahead, Louis. \\$ 

242526

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

MR. GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. more comment. We're talking about land animals. got beluga, we've got seals, we've got everything else in the marine world devouring salmon too. And I've seen them all the way up -- I've seen spotted seals all the way up the river feeding on salmon so touching on marine mammals, it's really hard for me to understand, you know, I sat in a courthouse in Nome, Alaska when Ted Stevens was talking about the Marine Mammal Protection Act. How is it that the trawler industry is able to take these animals and just discard them as waste and if one of us did something and somebody complained about it, we'd be fined for it. So just wanted to bring that to light, that this Marine Mammal Protection Act, to me, is almost a farce when we're talking about the MMSA. So thank you Karen, really appreciate your comments.

42 43 44

Thanks.

45 46 47

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Raymond, you have the floor.

48 49

MR. ONEY: Yeah, thank you, Mr.

Chairman. I just wanted to comment, Karen, thank you for your well thought out testimony. It is well taken and I'm hoping that the direction that you've given us to address this letters to the Department of Commerce and Department of Agriculture. So I appreciate your comments. Thank you.

MS. LINNELL: Thank you. Just to say, you know, my husband used to work as a commercial fisherman, he was a tender and he worked through Southeast and been to Petersburg and Kake even and all the way over into up into Bristol Bay and he'd tell me, he says, what you're reading on Facebook with the Stop Alaska Trawler Bycatch is true, he said I've seen it. And he said, once in awhile I'd pull something off so I could eat it. And it's difficult to see or hear how much is thrown overboard and to hear people, my family and relatives in the Yukon and on the Yukon River talking about not being able to eat, while I'm having hard years and only getting 66 salmon at Chistochina, I'll still share it with my relatives up north because they aren't getting anything.

That traditional knowledge of how our food web is so intertwined with the salmon feeding the land and the land feeding us is so important, and if we don't do what we can to protect it and move so that it's there for generations to come, we're going to end up in a dessert. We already are in a food dessert according to USDA, right, so living off of the land and being able to get my roots from the river beds because that's my potato and it's not getting fed, it's an issue. And I do appreciate your time this morning, thank you so much.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Karen. Any other questions.}$ 

(Applause)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Karen, we always appreciate your leadership.

We have one more in the cue here, Mary.

MS. HOSTETTER: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Board. I am now testifying as Mary, a person, not as a formal Council member. I will reference my first testimony, Melinda's testimony and Karen's testimony.

I'd like to compliment and amplify -- I'm sorry, you guys are hard to see because -- I'd like to compliment, amplify and clarify what we're asking for. But first I'm going to tell you why the D-1 lands and BLM protections around my home region are important.

I berry pick, I interact in a hunting and fishing lifestyle, traditional from the 8,000 years of ancestors that I have that live off the land and waters, that have lived in relationship with the lands, waters, skies, and more than human relatives for more than 320 generations. I continue to live in Igiugig right now with my partner and my family and I berry pick on Kaskinak, I participate in trips down to Kokukluk where our caribou hunts are. Some of my clarifications are with this new Administration coming out three weeks ago with new executive orders, we haven't had that time to connect with our RACs since then. Our RACs have weighed in on this as you've heard from Melinda. We are requesting that those letters and that those testimonies are shared with the new Secretary of Interior because the old one is not here anymore for all of our unfortunates, ourselves included.

These lands are the biggest land issue that we have right -- or these D-1 lands being withdrawn are the biggest land issue that we have today. We have a water and salmon crises in Bristol Bay. We have one of the last strongholds of sockeye salmon in the world that does feed the globe. It not only feeds me and my family it feeds somebody who I don't know, who we don't know. When we open those lands up to potential industrial or industrial development or outside developers coming in and building lodges you are impacting not only the health and vitality of that ecosystem from mining or potentially the quality of experience for the people who have lived in that region for generations.

And that's all I wanted to say, a clarification of we understand that you sent these letters to the original Secretary of Interior back in July in a different Administration, we want these lands protected in this Administration and to do that is to send everything that we did in July to them again now and express how important these lands are because it's not just important for us today, it is literally so incredibly important for the world as we look toward

the future of an uncertain climate and uncertain food sovereignty.

Quyana.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,
Mary. And I just want to say thank you for that, to
get that -- we've heard that from a couple this
morning, to make sure we educate the new
Administration, like it or not, it's our boss and we
have to educate them on the issues on the landscape so
I appreciate that it continues to be reiterated on the
record here that we do forward some of the bigger
issues like this to the new just seated boss we have.

So go ahead.

MR. GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
Mary, thank you for your testimony. I just wanted to add a little bit to it, the comment you made about we feed the world, Bristol Bay feeds the world salmon.
Bill Dwight, who I think is the Vice Chair of the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council, his comment was we feed the world cheap Omega-3 rich food. That's his reasoning for being behind the industry. I like your point better than I liked his. I told him that you're doing that to the world at the expense of our salmon and I told him that back in the '90s. So thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.

Frank.

MR. WOODS: Yep, thank you for your testimony. I appreciate all the positive words. You know when we come here to these meetings we're always arguing, or at least when you go to those arenas and the State meetings, and so I come to the meeting with a problem and if I focus on the problem, the problem only gets bigger, what you've approached is you came with a solution, intensify our stance. Is that we have a charge here and it's different from theirs. And that difference is okay. And that difference is welcome. It's how we approach this and it's how you, your generation, and the young people behind you, approach this and I like that approach because No. 1 it brings people together, it doesn't separate us. So thank you.

MS. HOSTETTER: Thank you, Mr. Wood and Mr. Seward Peninsula.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, well, I'm -- oh, Alissa.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Through the Chair. Alissa Rogers, YK-Delta. Thank you for bringing that up because it makes a really good point and, yes, we do have to resubmit all of our stuff to the new Administration so what I'm asking the Board right now, is what actions do we need to do in regards to our letters? Do I need to, as our RACS here do we need to make a motion to resubmit as just a RAC to you and then you guys will send them out or how is this going to work?

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: We were just discussing this here, that as a Board here, as long as there's concurrence we tell the Staff that they can forward all those comments to the new Administration. As long as there's concurrence here by the Board that that happens, to this Administration.

agreement)

(Federal Subsistence Board nods in

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I'm seeing concurrence, we will direct the Staff to forward all that content to the new Administration so they can get a pulse of what we're dealing with in Alaska.

Yes, you have the floor, Charlie.

 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just wanted to just echo again what you said and thank you for your testimony, that D-1 lands and our salmon crises, without our salmon and without our land we're not nothing, so we appreciate you guys pushing forward as much as you can for the people that we represent.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yeah, we appreciate that through the public comment and other things, we will now have a quicker direct line too to the Department of Interior, so, again, hearing that on the record this morning and how do we flex that channel and make sure that we elevate these very very heavy concerns of our constituents and our members that we represent up the chain so we can get them addressed, or at least on their radar.

0396 1 Rhonda. 2 3 MS. PITKA: So to clarify, we'll be 4 sending the letters under bycatch and interception 5 issues, is that correct, and then the letters and then this very, very helpful Excel spreadsheet, thank you 6 7 Katya, for the D-1 concerns also, the complete package that we sent to the Secretary before? 8 9 10 MS. HOSTETTER: Correct, Ms. Pitka. 11 12 MS. PITKA: Oh, sorry, I wasn't 13 clarifying with you, ma'am, I was clarifying with these 14 guys. 15 16 (Laughter) 17 18 MS. PITKA: But I'm glad you're clear 19 on it. 20 21 (Laughter) 22 23 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yeah, we had a 24 nice chart presented to us that had a lot of the stuff 25 that was submitted, so a spreadsheet -- I'm not Excel 26 savvy, so we'll work on that and the Staff, so moved 27 from the Board. So thank you. Any other questions, 28 comments, Mary. 29 30 MS. HOSTETTER: No. I just wanted to 31 say, thank you, Quyana, for all of the work that you do 32 to represent your constituents and the people who live 33 in relationship with this great place, which as Mrs. 34 Pitka said earlier, the stewardship of this great place 35 is not just for indigenous peoples, it's for everybody 36 and so when you're hearing these comments you are 37 speaking for us. So Quyana. 38 39 Thank you. 40 41 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. I 42 think that's what makes the non-agenda items so 43 important. I know a lot of the time it's outside of 44 the purview of this Board but most of the things are, 45 as we've noticed, that's the challenge we deal with 46 here, and you hear big boards don't even know we exist, 47 well, then I guess it's a good thing we're taking 48 breaks and sending ourselves over there to get more 49 educated and especially these kids here. And, again,

when we were talking this morning about the testifying of these youth and how engaging it was, the people we were also talking to, Regional Southeast Administrators for their district and encouraging them to put this into their school in their curriculum because, hey, 5 6 man, that's how important this is, I think, for the 7 future of our state, and everybody. So you guys stay diligent, I know you guys have connections, share it on 8 9 your Facebook and let them know, you know, the process. 10 Talking to the kids out here, each time they came up it 11 got a little easier to present themselves and to stand 12 up and be able to have that without that layer of fear, 13 and they also echoed the same of the atmosphere change 14 from here to there. We're talking about living 15 lifestyles here and they're talking about getting paid over there. It's a big difference, you know, our 16 17 charge is to be here to pull at our heart strings, you 18 seen it work this week that, you know, if you come here 19 with compelling evidence and you put things on the 20 record it draws upon a bigger board now that have 21 similar attributes to our rural residents, so we feel 22 what it is you're going through and we can apply that 23 to our votes here and the metrics going forward.

24 25

26

27

So appreciate the public testimony, it drives this Board. As you see it's long and this is because the process belongs to the public and the rural members of Alaska. So, thank you.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

MR. GREEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Just one thought to leave people with, is, the Pacific Rim, the health of the Pacific Rim is dependent on salmon and has been for five million years. It was recognized back in the '80s, there was a study done and it went from maybe the late '80s into the '90s, that how salmon were so important to the Pacific Rim. Just to point out, two western areas in Western Alaska that are producers for that, one is Bristol Bay, it's obvious, the other one is Norton Sound, but I don't think the Norton Sound has done anything over a few decades that contributes to the health of the Pacific Rim. keeping that in mind, it was so important to tribes in California, Oregon and Washington, and the government, got together and they took out dams to create runs, they created hatchery, you know, they did everything to bring that salmon back. So we need to be just as forceful as those folks down south of us.

47 48 49

Thank you Mr. Chair for that moment.

 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. It looks like that concludes the public testimony this morning. I think we even got some business done there and some direction for the Staff, and I just want to thank the Staff here and everybody for their diligence. I unfortunately have to go for personal matters so I'm going to be handing over the Chair to Rhonda and she loves it.

## (Laughter)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: She keeps me on my toes. She's a very very competent Chair. So again thank the Staff, thank everybody here. I know there's a little more work on the agenda here so I apologize for dipping out but I got to be somewhere so thank you guys all for your testimony, all your hard work as Regional Advisory Council Chairs to disseminate what it is your people are speaking to about and how the passion that you carry to represent all of the issues that the people have, so thank you guys and appreciate this opportunity.

Recess for 10 minutes.

MR. AHMASUK: Hello. Hello.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Oh, there's somebody online to be recognized in the public testimony.

## (Laughter)

MR. AHMASUK: Yeah, sorry, I did call in to testify. I've been waiting for almost an hour. Can I still testify, that's what I called in for.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yeah, go ahead. Yes, state your name for the record please.

MR. AHMASUK: Yeah, thank you so much. My name is Brandon Ahmasuk. I'm the VP of Natural Resources here at Kawarek in Nome, Alaska. I wanted to testify as others have on the ANCSA 17 D-1 lands. So Kawerak strongly urges the Federal Subsistence Board to support retaining all ANCSA 17D-1 withdrawals under Alternative A to ensure that the lands, our ways of life, our cultures and access to subsistence foods are protected for current and future generations.

Retaining all withdrawals under Alternative A would ensure that Alaska Native people do not experience the devastation of losing Federal subsistence priority or losing Federal protections and the stewardship of cultural resources.

The Bureau's own DEIS document indicates that each alternative except Alternative A is likely to have significant restrictions on access to food and threaten cultural resources for thousands of residents that live in the planning areas under consideration. Considering the significant negative impacts of all action alternatives within the Bureau's DEIS, the interest of Federally-recognized tribes and the public interest is best served through adoption of Alternative A, the no action alternative, and Kawerak urges to retain all withdrawals.

There has been overwhelming support from nearly 100 percent of testifiers at each public hearing this far in favor of the Bureau retaining all withdrawals via Alternative A, the no action alternative. Citizens, business owners, conservation groups and tribes have all testified regarding the importance of the subsistence priority and cultural resource protections and have urged the Bureau to retain ANCSA 17D-1 withdrawals under Alternative A. Kawerak urges the Federal Subsistence Board, again, to retain all withdrawals.

That was it for my testimony. Thank you. I tried to keep it short as possible.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Well, I appreciate your brevity. Any questions from the Board.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for calling in and testifying today, appreciate that. Operator, anybody else in the cue like to be recognized at this time.

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For those of you online who would like to address the Board on non-agenda items you can press star five to raise your hand.

(Pause)

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. There are no further hands up in the cue. CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Thank you everybody again. 10 minutes. (Off record) (On record) 

11 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: So the Board is 12 coming back together to look at FP25-17 and now we are 13 on the analysis. Thank you. 

MR. GRAHAM: Hello, Madame Chair. Members of the Board. My name is Cory Graham and I'm a Fisheries Biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. I'm joined by my colleague Dr. Hannah Voorhees, who is an Anthropologist with OSM. I'll now present Fisheries Proposal FP25-17, which can be found starting on Page 535 of your Board book.

This proposal was submitted by the Eastern Interior Council and requests that the Board rescind the closure to the harvest of all fish in the Delta River, modify regulations to allow rod and reel gear only in the drainage and mirror sportfish harvest and possession limits. The Eastern Interior Council states in their proposal that it is unfair that the Delta River is currently open to sportfishing but closed to Federal subsistence fishing. The Council wants to remove the closure and put harvest limits and gear restrictions in place to prevent conservation concerns from increased opportunity. They also believe Federal limits should mirror State sportfishing limits to simplify regulations.

So where is the Delta River. The Delta River is a tributary of the Tanana River and is located near Delta Junction. The Tangle Lakes system is located at the headwaters of the Delta River drainage, there are road access points for both the Delta River mainstem and the Tangle Lakes system. What's currently allowed in Federal public waters of the Delta River. Well, it depends on which part of the drainage you're in. In the mainstem, Federal subsistence, State subsistence and State personal use are closed. Sportfishing for non-salmon species is allowed, sportfishing for salmon fish is closed. In the

tributaries of the Delta River and the Tangle Lakes system, Federal subsistence fishing is open so are State subsistence, personal use and sportfishing.

I'll now discuss some important regulatory history.

 The mainstem of the Delta River has been closed to Federal subsistence fishing since the beginning of the Federal Program. The closure was carried over from State regulations. The Federal closure was reviewed last fisheries cycle but was deferred to hear from local residents and due to conservation concerns with removing the closure without establishing harvest limits.

What fish are in the Delta River drainage. Salmon are found in the lower portion of the river but not the closure area. Burbot, grayling, round whitefish, humpback whitefish, long nose sucker and lake trout have been documented in the drainage. However, we lack abundance information for species other than grayling. Grayling are thought to be abundant in the mainstem.

Who will be Federally-qualified to harvest fish in the Delta River. All residents of the Yukon northern area will be able to harvest fish in the Delta River if the closure is removed, this includes approximately 4,300 Federally-qualified subsistence users that live near the closure area. Subsistence surveys have not been conducted for any of these communities in the area, which include Delta Junction and Big Delta.

What is the harvest history in the area. We don't have much subsistence harvest data but we do have sportfish harvest estimates. These estimates are provided by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, there appears to be low sportfishing effort in the Delta River below Wildhorse Creek, in contrast the Tangle Lakes system is a popular sportfishing area with high effort catches and effort especially for grayling and lake trout.

What are the effects of this proposal. For the mainstem compared to the status quo, this proposal will increase Federal subsistence opportunity by removing the Federal closure. Conservation concerns

are unlikely because harvest will be limited to rod and reel only, harvest limits will match State sportfish limits, existing harvest pressure is low and Federallyqualified users may already harvest fish in the mainstem under State sportfishing regulations. For the Delta River tributaries and the Tangle Lakes system compared to the status quo this proposal will decrease Federal subsistence opportunity. Currently, these areas are open under both Federal and State subsistence regulations, both of which allow unrestricted subsistence harvest using a variety of gear types so limiting Federal harvest to rod and reel in these areas will misalign Federal and State regulations and make Federal regulations more restrictive than State regulations. However, allowing rod and reel only will help conserve fish populations in the Delta River tributaries that may be vulnerable to overharvest given their small size and easy accessibility from the Richardson Highway.

OSM's conclusion is to support FP25-17 with modification. So we're supporting the proposal to rescind the closure in the Delta River and limit harvest to rod and reel in the Delta River drainage, but proposing a modification to exclude the Tangle Lakes systems from the rod and reel only requirement.

The Delta River mainstem is currently closed to Federal subsistence fishing but open to State sportfishing. Removing the closure will increase Federal opportunity limiting Federal harvest to rod and reel only will protect populations from overharvest and mirroring Federal and State harvest limits will minimize regulatory complexity. We do not anticipate increased harvest levels if the closure is removed because Federally-qualified users may already harvest fish under State sportfish regulations.

The Tangle Lakes system is currently open to subsistence fishing under both State and Federal regulations. Conservation concerns have not been reported. Excluding this area from the gear limitation requirement will maintain Federal opportunity and minimize regulatory complexity. The Delta River tributaries are also currently open to subsistence fishing under both State and Federal regulations, limiting Federal harvest to rod and reel only will make Federal regulations more restrictive than State regulations but it may also prevent

0403 conservation concerns in a small and easily accessible tributaries. 3 4 So that concludes my presentation, and 5 will standby for any questions you may have. 6 7 Thank you. 8 9 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Does anybody have 10 any questions for the analyst. 11 12 (No comments) 13 14 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you. 15 get the summary of written public comments now. 16 17 MR. GRAHAM: Madame Chair. There were 18 no written public comments submitted for this proposal. 19 Thank you. 20 21 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you. 22 this time I'd like to ask for the summary of tribal and 23 ANCSA Corporation consultations. 24 25 MR. LIND: Good morning, Madame Chair. 26 Board members. Orville Lind, Native Liaison for Office 27 of Subsistence Management. During the consultation sessions we had we did not have any questions or 28 29 comments on 25-17. Thank you, Madame Chair. 30 31 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you very 32 much Mr. Lind. At this time I'd like to ask for 33 Advisory group testimony, State ACs, SRCs, working 34 groups. 35 36 (No comments) 37 38 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Okay, we have 39 nothing, thank you. And at this time I'd like to open the floor to public testimony. I don't see any blue 40 41 cards in front of me but I see a hand raised -- oh, 42 there's a blue card still in your hand, okay. 43 44 MS. LINNELL: Good morning, Madame 45 Chair and members of the Board. For the record my name 46 is Karen Linnell. I'm the Executive Director for Ahtna 47 InterTribal Resource Commission. The Ahtna Traditional 48 Territories stems from Cantwell, or Denali National 49 Park over into Wrangell-St. Elias and the Canadian

border. We have family members on the other side of the border that also are Ahtna people.

Lake Ahtna was the center of Copper River Basin and when that dispersed it started to feed the Matanuska River. We have the Susitna River system in our region. We have Tangle Lakes which feeds into the Yukon River system and then we have the Copper River system. So Copper River Basin feeds into a large part of the state. Far outside our traditional territory. Tangle Lakes is in the heart of Ahtna Territory. We fish and hunt there. We participate in berrypicking and gather our roots there, that's in the heart o the Ahtna people's territory. And so with this proposal, you know, we don't want to deny anybody their rights as well but I think it's important to note that it's also part of the Ahtna people's use area and through Southcentral RAC. These arbitrary lines that are drawn across the state have an impact to people that -- and lands and waters that are hard to define or break up into different areas.

And I just wanted to put it on the record that Tangle Lakes is in the heart of Ahtna country and that we do hunt and fish, sportfish and gather our trout and our grayling there and we go duck hunting there, we actually hold our migratory bird camp in the fall in that area and so I just felt it important to recognize our use of that land and while we share it with our folks on the Yukon River it's still the heart of Ahtna country.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you very much. Does anybody have any questions for Karen Linnell. Frank.

MR. WOODS: So Karen you're -- I'm trying to ask what your position is, is it yea or nay?

MS. LINNELL: I think that we have folks that will sportfish, they can do so under a State permit and, you know, I'll support what Eastern Interior RAC has done. They've done some studies on it. But there's a large contingent of folks in Delta, they're ramping up that Ft. Greely and so there's a lot of folks that are moving into that area that will get Federal hunting and fishing rights and that probably

will come up again. When we were looking at rural/nonrural status and that kind of thing, and looking at numbers, and we knew Ft. Greely was going to be growing again and that's why there was that number of 7,000 or something that was put out and that was part of Ahtna people's comments at that time, when they were looking at what is the status of rural or non-rural. When you have a high contingent of military folks and other ethnic groups that tend to exploit the resource versus practice sustainable and conservative methods of harvest, it tends to worry us and we see some of that exploitation when they come in large groups and take large numbers. We have that coming from folks from Anchorage, other areas with other large ethnic groups that will come and take spruce hen or ptarmigan and now, you know, looking at fishing as a subsistence resource, I'm not certain that, you know, while creating it for the Yukon River area, the folks that live in Delta will become eligible for that and that Delta includes Ft. Greely, which is, like I said, a large military contingent of folks that come and there's another ethnic group there that takes --exploits the resource and not looking at sustainability and so I have concern for it.

Thank you.

 $\label{eq:acting chair pitka: Thank you very much. Does anybody else have questions.}$ 

(No comments)

 $\label{eq:ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you. Next,} I have $\operatorname{\mathtt{Jim}} \operatorname{\mathtt{Simon's}} \operatorname{\mathtt{card}}.$ 

MR. SIMON: Thank you. For the record, Jim Simon. While I'm a Consultant with Ahtna InterTribal Resource Commission I'm just giving my personal testimony. This is an area that I have fished and I support the proposal, especially with the OSM modification so thank you for that. And I am familiar with the culture history of this region as well and want to support Ms. Linnell's statements regarding it being in the Ahtna country and that presently the Ahtna tribal communities and other Federally-qualified users of the Copper Basin are not Federally-qualified at this point in time and recognize that there is likely a need to submit a proposal to mitigate that in the future. I don't like the idea that a Federal subsistence fishery

is more restrictive than a State sportfishery but I recognize some of the conservation concerns some of the closures that were put into place during pipeline construction to ensure the conservation of resources, which was very responsible but I think it is appropriate at this time to remove this closure and to approach it in a conservative fashion. And it's my hope to see some cooperative efforts with the Ahtna InterTribal Resource Commission and the Department and the Federal agencies to do some -- more data collection. Because as we know, you know, I know from my 14 years experience working for the Department of Fish and Game in the Subsistence Division that, while we have a lot of robust data on subsistence harvest through systematic household surveys, the sportfish harvest survey is really problematic in certain areas. We've got some good data here because there's so many people that do sportfish in the area, that those estimates derive from that very complicated mailout survey do give us some good data but we could use some more.

Just my experience, sportfishing in the Tangle Lakes area there have been some conservation concerns, there were declining sizes of grayling, the Board of Fisheries did adopt some more restricted conservation measures with bag limits, it's been changing. I'm not completely up to date on it now, but I think this is a good start for the Federal Program to move forward with this, as I don't believe, but Staff may be able to help this, I don't think that you could amend this proposal to add the Ahtna tribal communities for customary and traditional use determination at this time, but that's what needs to happen eventually.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you for that testimony. Does anybody have any questions for Jim.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Seeing none, thank you so much. Go ahead, do we have anything online.

MR. AYERS: Thank you, Madame Chair. This is Scott Ayers, Fisheries Division Supervisor for OSM. I just wanted to let the Board know that amending the proposal to add C&T would be outside the scope of

0407 the proposal that was submitted but we certainly do encourage submissions of future proposals. 2 3 4 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you for that 5 clarification, I appreciate it. Robbin, does anybody 6 have their hand up online. 7 8 MS. LAVINE: Once again, a reminder, 9 for those of you online who would like to address the 10 Board on Fisheries Proposal 25-17, you can do so now by 11 pressing star five to raise your hand. 12 13 (Pause) 14 15 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, Robbin, 16 and thank you for manning the online, I really 17 appreciate this split system but sometimes it's a 18 little onerous to make sure that we get everything. 19 this time I'd like the Regional Advisory Council 20 recommendation. We'll start with Eastern Interior. 21 22 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Madame Chair. 23 Charlie Wright, Eastern Interior Chair for the record. 24 The Eastern Interior Council supported FP25-17 as 25 modified by OSM. The Council supports this proposal 26 with the OSM modification because it will correct a 27 current inequity in the system where sportfishing is 28 allowed but Federal subsistence fishing is prohibited. 29 The Council supports limiting gear type to rod and reel 30 only because non-salmon are not immune to overharvest. 31 Although there are no present conservation concerns, 32 the Council noted that it will be important to monitor 33 fish populations to stay ahead of any future issues. Many of the resources that Federally-qualified 34 35 subsistence users depend on are in decline and 36 rescinding this closure will create additional 37 opportunities for harvest. 38 39 That's all I have, Madame Chair, thank 40 you. 41 42 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you very 43 much. Yukon Kuskokwim Delta Subsistence Regional 44 Advisory Council recommendation, please. 45 46 MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Madame Chair. 47 The YK-Delta supported FP25-17. The Council noted the 48 importance of providing subsistence fishing 49 opportunities for Federally-qualified subsistence users

in the Delta River where sportfishing is currently allowed. The Council emphasizes that if sportfishing is allowed in a system there should always be subsistence harvest opportunity. If there's ever conservation concerns sportfishing should be closed before subsistence. Also I'd like to note that the YK-Delta Council did not discuss the modification given by OSM to exclude Tangle Lakes area. The Council is not familiar with the details of the Delta River and generally prefers to defer to the home land. So I just wanted to just emphasize if sportfishing is being allowed -- sportsfishing shouldn't be put before subsistence and that also we just wanted to support subsistence users of that area and give Federally-qualified subsistence users an opportunity for fishing.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you very much. North Slope Subsistence Regional Advisory Council recommendation.

MR. FRANTZ: Good morning, this is Brower Frantz with the North Slope RAC. We decided to take no action and defer to the home region for this particular instance. We do have C&T and we do recognize that but we would rather leave it to the home region to make the decision as necessary.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you very much for that. At this time Western Interior Alaska Regional Advisory Council recommendation. Is Mr. Reakoff online or will there be a Council Coordinator giving that?

 MS. MCDAVID: Madame Chair, this is Brook McDavid. Neither the Chair or Western Interior Coordinator are online but Western Interior Council also took no action on this proposal and deferred to the home region. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you for that. Okay. Alaska Department of Fish and Game comments, please. Mr. Mulligan.

MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Madame Chair. For the record, Ben Mulligan, Deputy Commissioner for

0409 1 the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. The Department is neutral on this proposal and appreciates OSM and the 2 RACs recognition of potential conservation concerns if 4 the closure would just be rescinded without the 5 modification. Thank you. 6 7 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, Mr. 8 Mulligan. InterAgency Staff Committee comments, 9 please. 10 11 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair. 12 Members of the Board. The ISC provided their standard 13 comment. Thank you. 14 15 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you very 16 much. Board discussion with Council Chairs and State 17 Liaisons. This is the time to ask questions and have 18 discussion. Thank you. 19 20 (No comments) 21 22 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Okay, no 23 24

25 26

27 28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

discussion, thank you very much. At this time I'd like to open the floor for a Board motion.

MS. REED: Madame Chair.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Yes, please, BLM.

MS. REED: I move to adopt FP25-17 as modified by OSM to rescind the closure to the harvest of all fish in the Delta River and limit harvest to rod and reel in the Delta River drainage excluding the Tangle Lakes system, and if I get a second I'll explain why I intend to vote in support of my motion.

MS. BOARIO: Fish and Wildlife seconds.

MS. REED: Thank you. A limited subsistence harvest on the mainstem of the Delta River using rod and reel only will provide Federallyqualified subsistence users with harvest opportunities in an area that is currently closed while also helping to protect fish populations from overharvest. If this area is opened to rod and reel only, State sportfishing harvest and possession limits would apply which would align State and Federal regulations, reducing regulatory confusion and enforcement actions. there would be no permit required for Federally-

```
0410
    qualified subsistence users if this closure is
    rescinded, some thought should be given to such a
    requirement to track harvest, given the ease of access
    in the area and the potential for overharvest. Other
    portions of the Delta River drainage, such as the Delta
    River tributaries and the Tangle Lakes area are
 7
     currently open to subsistence fishing under both
    Federal and State regulations, limiting Federally-
 9
    qualified subsistence users to rod and reel only in
10
    these areas would make Federal regulations more
11
    restrictive than State regulations but the tributaries
    of the Delta River are vulnerable to overharvest due to
12
13
    their small size and because they are easily accessible
14
    and therefore such a restriction is warranted due to
15
    conservation concerns. The Tangle Lakes system
    supports a Federal and State subsistence fishery and a
16
17
    rod and reel restriction is not warranted in this area
18
    in order to maintain harvest opportunities for
19
    Federally-qualified subsistence users while also
20
    minimizing regulatory complexity. This is also
21
    consistent with the recommendation of the Eastern
    Interior Alaska Subsistence Regional Advisory Council.
22
23
24
                     Thank you.
25
26
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you very
27
    much BLM. At this time I'd like to open the floor to
    discussion on the motion.
28
29
30
                     (No comments)
31
32
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Did I hear a
    question called, I couldn't hear.
33
34
35
                     MR. ONEY: Question.
36
37
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you very
    much. Question's been called, can we have a roll call
38
    vote please.
39
40
41
                     MS. LEONETTI: Yes. Okay, the motion
42
     is to adopt FP25-17 as modified.
43
44
                     Public Member Frank Woods.
45
46
                     MR. WOODS: Public Member Woods adopts
47
     25-17 as amended, or modified.
48
49
                     MS. LEONETTI: Thank you.
50
```

```
0411
 1
                     Forest Service, Chad VanOrmer.
 2
 3
                     MR. VANORMER: The Forest Service
 4
     supports FP25-17 with the OSM modifications for the
 5
    purposes expressed by the Bureau of Land Management and
 6
     in deference to the RACs.
                                Thanks.
 7
 8
                     MS. LEONETTI: Thank you.
 9
10
                     National Park Service, Sarah
11
    Creachbaum.
12
13
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: The National Park
14
    Service also supports FP25-17 as modified by OSM for
15
     the reasons stated by the BLM and in deference to the
16
     RACs. Thank you.
17
18
                     MS. LEONETTI: BLM, Erika Reed.
19
20
                     MS. REED: I support FP25-17 as
    modified by OSM.
21
22
23
                     MS. LEONETTI: Fish and Wildlife
24
     Service, Sara Boario.
25
26
                     MS. BOARIO: The Fish and Wildlife
27
     Service supports as modified by OSM in deference to the
     Eastern Interior RAC and as justified by the BLM in
28
29
    their motion. The Fish and Wildlife Service in-season
30
    manager will coordinate with the Alaska Department of
31
    Fish and Game if this closure is rescinded and the new
32
    rod and reel fishery is put in place and as many have
33
    commented already, also recommend that in the interest
34
    of conservation that we track any new harvest and
35
    pressure that might occur.
36
37
                     MS. LEONETTI: Thank you.
38
39
                     Public Member Raymond Oney.
40
41
                     MR. ONEY: Raymond Oney is in support
42
    of FP25-17 as outlined, stated by BLM.
43
44
                     MS. LEONETTI: Bureau of Indian
45
    Affairs, Glenn Chen.
46
47
                     MR. CHEN: The BIA votes to support the
48
    motion and adopt the recommendation of the Eastern
49
     Interior Regional Advisory Council which includes the
```

OSM modification for FP25-17. We concur with the justification provided by the BLM and note that the Council's recommendation is supported by evidence plus addresses potential conservation concerns.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Chair Rhonda Pitka.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: I support FP17 as modified by OSM. I concur with the justification provided by the BLM and in deference to the Eastern Interior Regional Advisory Council. Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$  LEONETTI: Madame Chair, the motion passes. Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{ACTING}$  CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, everyone. Now we are on to FCR25-02. Go ahead, please.

MR. GRAHAM: Thank you, Madame Chair. Members of the Board. My name is Cory Graham. I'm a Fisheries Biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. I will now present Fisheries Closure Review FCR25-02 which can be found starting on Page 562 of your Board book.

The Nome Creek closure is a Federal subsistence closure that only applies to grayling. This is a Board directed review to see if the closure is still necessary. This closure was reviewed during the 2021-2023 fisheries regulatory cycle. Nome Creek is in the Eastern Interior region about 50 air miles north of Fairbanks. It is road accessible with a couple of camp sites along the creek.

So what's currently allowed in Nome Creek. Under Federal regulations harvest of grayling is not allowed. Under State regulations, subsistence fishing is also not allowed because Nome Creek is within the Fairbanks non-subsistence area. State sportfishing regulations allow only catch and release of grayling. The Federal closure has been in place since the beginning of the Federal Program, again, it was carried over from State regulations.

What do we know about grayling in Nome Creek. Well, not a lot. We currently don't have any good population estimates but there is ongoing research

by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and the Bureau of Land Management focused on acquiring information for grayling in the area, however, final results aren't yet available. Some preliminary results were presented at the recent Council meetings and ADF&G's handout is in Appendix A.

If the closure is rescinded who will be able to fish here. All residents of the Yukon northern area will be able to harvest grayling in Nome Creek. The closest rural communities are Central and Circle, but ethnographic data suggests subsistence harvest from these communities would likely not be substantial.

If the closure is removed what would be allowed. The Federal regulations that cover the entire Yukon northern area would apply. These are generally liberal regulations. Harvest would be unrestricted when using any other legal gear other than rod and reel, so for example there wouldn't be harvest limits for gillnets. Harvest using rod and reel would not be allowed because Federal subsistence rod and reel limits would match State sportfishing limits and State sportfishing is currently limited to catch and release only.

So in summary, harvest of grayling is prohibited under Federal regulations, State sportfish regulations allow only catch and release of grayling. The closure has been in place for a long time, since the beginning of the Federal Program. This is a Board directed review to see if the closure is still necessary and not a lot is currently known about grayling in Nome Creek but there is an ongoing project by ADF&G and BLM, however, final results aren't yet available.

The OSM conclusion is to rescind the closure. Rescinding the closure would establish a Federal subsistence priority in the area, grayling are susceptible to over exploitation and Nome Creek is road accessible allowing for easy access and harvest of fish. Allowing for unrestricted harvest for gear types other than rod and reel may lead to overharvest although data suggests most subsistence harvest of grayling in nearby communities is with rod and reel. Additionally, the Federal Subsistence Management Program has the tools needed to prevent overharvest in both the short-term and the long-term so in the long-

term, grayling populations may be protected by limiting subsistence harvest to rod and reel only, and/or establishing conservative harvest limits but these modifications would require a future fisheries proposal to be submitted. Until a proposal can be submitted, the Federal in-season manager can protect populations in the area by restricting gear types and/or harvest limits through special actions.

So that concludes my presentation, I'll standby for any questions you may have. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you. Does anybody have any questions on the analysis.

### (No comments)

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Seeing none, can I get the summary of written public comments please.

MR. GRAHAM: Madame Chair. There were no written public comments submitted. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very much. At this time I'd like to ask for the summary of the tribal and ANCSA Corporation consultation.

MR. LIND: Thank you, Madame Chair. Board members. Orville Lind, Native Liaison for the Office of Subsistence Management. During the consultation sessions we did not have any questions or comments on that proposal. Thank you, Madame Chair.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you very much, Mr. Lind. At this time I would like to get the Advisory group testimony, State ACs, SRCs and working groups.

## (No comments)

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Don't have that. Nobody submitted comments, okay. At this time I'd like to open the floor to public testimony. I don't see any blue cards, so is there anybody online.

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair. For those of you online that would like to address the Board on Fisheries Closure Review 25-02 you can do so by pressing star five to raise your hand. Star five to

0415 raise your hand if you wish to comment on Fisheries Closure Review 25-02. 2 3 4 (No comments) 5 6 MS. LAVINE: Madame Chair, there are no 7 hands raised. Thank you. 8 9 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very 10 much, I appreciate it. At this time I'd like to ask 11 for the Regional Advisory Council recommendations and I 12 will start with Yukon Kuskokwim Delta Subsistence 13 Regional Advisory Council, what's your recommendation. 14 15 MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Madame Chair. 16 Through the Chair. The YK-Delta Council voted to 17 rescind the closure. The Council supports establishing 18 a subsistence opportunity for grayling by Federally-19 qualified subsistence users in the area. I did want to 20 make a comment that the YK-Delta Council met before the 21 Eastern Interior Council and, therefore, did not get to 22 consider the perspective of the home region. We mainly 23 wanted to establish subsistence opportunity since we 24 are for subsistence and the importance of providing 25 subsistence opportunity in supporting our local regions 26 for subsistence. 27 28

Thank you, Madame Chair.

29 30 31

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you so much for that, I appreciate it. Western Interior Regional Advisory Council recommendation, please.

36 37

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Madame Chair. This is Brooke McDavid for the record. Sorry, I didn't introduce myself earlier, I should know better. Western Interior Council took no action on this proposal and deferred to the home region. Thank you.

38 39 40

41

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very much. Eastern Interior Regional Advisory Subsistence Council recommendation.

42 43 44

45

46

47

48

MS. MCDAVID: Thank you, Madame Chair. Chair Wright had to step out to another meeting so I'll be providing the Eastern Interior's recommendation. The Eastern Interior Council voted to retain the status quo for FCR25-02. The Council feels that it is premature to rescind the closure before fully

understanding the population dynamics of grayling in the area. Grayling populations are vulnerable to overharvest and can take a long time to recover. Although the current grayling population appears to be stable, the closure should not be rescinded until conservation minded regulations can be enacted to prevent conservation issues. Any further regulations should also seek to minimize impacts to salmon spawning in the area. Retaining the closure for the time being will not have a substantial impact on subsistence users because the area is not commonly used for subsistence harvesting.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very much. North Slope Subsistence Regional Advisory Council recommendation please.

MR. FRANTZ: Thank you. Once again Brower Frantz for the North Slope Regional Advisory Council. We decided that we would take no action and defer to the home region. We do have C&T there but we would rather they do what they please for their region as it's in the best interest of their local community.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you for that, I appreciate it. At this time I'd like to ask for the Alaska Department of Fish and Game comments, State Liaison Ben Mulligan, please.

 MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Madame Chair. Ben Mulligan for the record. The Department took no position and promulgated no comments on this closure review. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you. InterAgency Staff Committee comments -- oh, sorry, did you have a question -- oh, go ahead, ISC.

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair. The ISC provided their standard comment.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you so much. Now we are at Board discussion with Council Chairs and the State Liaison -- go ahead with your question please.

MS. BOARIO: Thank you, Madame Chair.

```
0417
 1
     Thank you, Mr. Mulligan. I was wondering if you had
     any further information, I guess, on the timing or the
 2
     update of the telemetry project that was referenced a
 4
     moment ago?
 5
 6
                     MR. MULLIGAN: Through the Chair.
 7
    Member Boario, no, I do not.
 8
 9
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you for
10
     that, is there further questions.
11
12
                     (No comments)
13
14
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Any more
15
     discussion.
16
17
                     (No comments)
18
19
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Okay, at this time
20
     I'd like to open the floor for a Board motion, please.
21
22
                     MS. BOARIO: Madame Chair. I move to
23
     retain the Fisheries Closure FCR25-02 and if I get a
24
     second I will explain why I intend to support my
25
     motion.
26
27
                     MR. BROWER: Second.
28
29
                     MS. BOARIO: Thank you. Madame Chair.
30
     In deference to the home region, Eastern Interior
31
     Regional Advisory Council, retaining the closure would
32
     protect Arctic grayling populations from overharvest
33
     until a proposal to restrict Federal subsistence
34
    harvest and/or gear types in the closure area can be
35
     submitted. The Federal in-season manager does not
36
     currently have a way to track harvest levels or
37
    increased harvest if the closure is rescinded and no
38
     scientific data to inform creation of a harvest limit,
39
     if necessary. We would request direction come from the
40
     Eastern Interior Council as the local knowledge
41
    holders. If they were to submit a proposal that would
42
     allow rod and reel and a harvest limit we would be open
43
     to supporting that. The proposal would need to be
44
     submitted in the next Federal fishery regulatory cycle.
45
46
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
47
48
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, Member
49
     Boario. So now we're under discussion on the Board
```

```
0418
 1
    motion.
 2
 3
                     (No comments)
 4
 5
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Seeing none, I
 6
    didn't hear anybody call the question.
 7
 8
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: Question.
 9
10
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you. Thank
11
    you for the recognition.
12
13
                     (Laughter)
14
15
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Okay. At this
    time I'd like to ask for a roll call vote, thank you.
16
17
18
                     MS. LEONETTI: Okay. The motion is to
19
    retain the fisheries closure, the proposal FCR25-02.
20
21
                     I'll start at that end, BLM Erika Reed.
22
23
                     MS. REED: Yeah, BLM votes to retain
24
     the closure for the reasons given by the Fish and
25
    Wildlife Service and in deference to the Eastern
26
     Interior Alaska Subsistence Regional Advisory Council.
27
    Thank you, Chair.
28
29
                     MS. LEONETTI: Fish and Wildlife
30
    Service, Sara Boario.
31
32
                     MS. BOARIO: Fish and Wildlife Service
33
     supports the Fish and Wildlife Service motion.
34
35
                     MS. LEONETTI: Public Member Raymond
36
    Oney.
37
38
                     MR. ONEY: I will go with OSM's
39
     conclusion, rescind the closure on 25-03 -- excuse me,
     FCR25-02. Thank you.
40
41
42
                     MS. LEONETTI: Thank you.
43
44
                     Bureau of Indian Affairs, Glenn Chen.
45
46
                     MR. CHEN: The BIA votes to support the
47
    motion and adopt the recommendation of the Eastern
48
     Interior Regional Advisory Council. Nome Creek is
49
    within their region and the Council's recommendation is
50
```

0419 based upon their local perspectives and knowledge. We concur with the justification provided by the Fish and Wildlife Service as well. Thank you. 4 5 MS. LEONETTI: National Park Service, 6 Sarah Creachbaum. 7 8 MS. CREACHBAUM: The NPS votes to 9 retain the fisheries closure FCR25-02 for the reasons 10 stated by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in 11 deference to home region Eastern Interior Regional 12 Advisory Council. Retaining the closure would protect 13 Arctic grayling populations from overharvest until a 14 proposal to restrict State subsistence harvest and/or 15 gear types in the closure area can be submitted. 16 17 MS. LEONETTI: Thank you. 18 19 Forest Service, Chad VanOrmer. 20 21 MR. VANORMER: Yeah, the Forest Service 22 supports retaining FCR25-02 for the purposes already 23 stated. Thanks. 24 25 MS. LEONETTI: Public Member Frank 26 Woods. 27 28 MR. WOODS: I support FCR25-02 the 29 executive summary for rescinding the closure by OSM and 30 the RAC and the public testimony and the State's 31 neutral position on this that there's already active 32 use with no conservation concern. Thank you. 33 34 MS. LEONETTI: Thank you. 35 36 Chair Rhonda Pitka. 37 38 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: I vote to retain 39 the status quo for FCR25-02 in deference to the Eastern 40 Interior Alaska Subsistence Regional Advisory Council. 41 The justification is on Page 576 of your Board book. 42 Thank you so much. 43 44 MS. LEONETTI: Thank you. Madame 45 Chair, the motion passes six yes, two no. 46 47 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very 48 much, I appreciate that. At this time we are on 49 adoption of the consensus agenda so I would like the

announcement of the final consensus agenda, please.

MR. AYERS: Thank you, Madame Chair. Again, Scott Ayers, Fisheries Division Supervisor here at OSM. Now, that we've made it through the non-consensus agenda proposals and closure reviews I will read the consensus agenda items again along with recommendations to remind each of you of the positions on those. As a reminder, these are the proposals and closure reviews for which there is agreement among the affected Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and the InterAgency Staff Committee concerning action.

Okay, here we go. All right.

 $$\operatorname{FCR25}-03$$  is a regular review of a closure of herring to non-Federally-qualified users in the Federal public waters of Makhnati Island area in Southeast Alaska. The recommendation is retain status quo.

 $$\operatorname{FP25-04}$  is a proposal to adjust the inriver subsistence salmon harvest limits for the Buskin River on Kodiak Island. The recommendation is to oppose.

 $$\operatorname{FP25-05}$  is a proposal to limit gear type for salmon to rod and reel in an area of Afognak Bay near Kodiak that was closed to subsistence until the past regulatory cycle. The recommendation is to support.

 $$\operatorname{FP25-06}$  is a proposal that would rescind the subsistence harvest limits for salmon in the Kodiak Road zone. The recommendation is to oppose.

FP25-07 is a proposal to adjust the area fishing regulations, including permitting, in the Alaska Peninsula area. The recommendation is to support with the OSM modification to implement current State sportfishing limits and oppose requiring a Federal permit.

FP25-08 is a proposal to adjust the area fishing regulations, including permitting, in the Aleutian Islands area. The recommendation is to support with the OSM modification not to change harvest limits in the Unalaska and Adak districts and to oppose

requiring a Federal permit.

FP25-09 is a proposal to allow for the use of red buoys with subsistence gear in the Bristol Bay area. The recommendation is to support with the OSM modification to allow red color kegs or buoys in the Bristol Bay area and other areas of the state similar to State regulations.

FP25-10 is a proposal to repeal closures to the use of nets within 300 feet of a stream mouth used by salmon. The recommendation is to support with the OSM modification to allow harvest in this area for non-salmon fish only, and that is Bristol Bay area specifically.

FP25-11 is a proposal to allow additional methods and gear types in the Bristol Bay area. The recommendation is to support with the OSM modification to allow the use of dipnets and beach seines for salmon and drift gillnets in the Lake Clark area to align, or to be similar with State regulations.

 $$\operatorname{FP25-12}$  is a proposal to repeal the Togiak River subsistence salmon marking requirement. The recommendation is to support.

FP25-13 is a proposal to rescind the Egegik River subsistence set gillnet length restrictions. The recommendation is to oppose.

It's a long list here.

 $$\operatorname{FP25-14}$  is a proposal to add gear types for the harvest of salmon in the waters of the Togiak National Wildlife Refuge. The recommendation is to support.

 $$\operatorname{FP25-15}$  is a proposal to decrease the allowable distance between set gillnets for subsistence in tributaries of the Kuskokwim River. The recommendation is to oppose.

 FP25-16 is a proposal to specify subsistence gear types and Arctic grayling harvest and possession limits in portions of the Bonanza Creek and Kanuti River drainages. The recommendation is to support.

FCR23-05 is a deferred review of a closure to subsistence fishing in the Delta River. The recommendation is to take no action based on recommendations provided in FP25-17, which you all just took up.

FCR25-01 is a standard review of a closure to the harvest of chinook salmon in the Unalakleet River up stream of the mouth of the Chiraski River. The recommendation is to retain the status quo.

 And, lastly, WP25-01 is a proposal that requests changes to all Nelchina Caribou Herd hunts in Units 11, 12 Remainder and 13 to may be announced seasons delegating authority to the Federal in-season managers to manage those hunts and conducting an Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, Section .804 prioritization analysis for the Nelchina Caribou Herd. The recommendation is to support with the initial OSM modification and the Eastern Interior Council's added modification of the Section .804 determinations to add Gakona to Unit 13A, Gulkana to Unit 13C, and Mentasta Lake and Chistochina to the Unit 12 Remainder.

Madame Chair, that concludes the consensus agenda proposals and closure reviews. I'd like to give a quick thanks to the proponents of all the proposals for engaging in this Program, to the analysts for their work and the time and thoughtful input from the Councils, the OSM Staff, Alaska Department of Fish and Game Staff, other agency Staff, tribes and ANCSA Corporations and the public.

I now hand it back to you for Board action and a motion on this item.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you so much for that listing. At this time I'd like to open the floor for a Board motion and action.

 $\,$  MS. JOHN: The BIA moves to adopt the consensus agenda as described by Mr. Scott Ayers of OSM.

MR. WOODS: Second.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Frank Woods seconded. Thank you for that. So we're now under discussion for the consensus agenda, correct.

0423 1 (No comments) 2 3 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: I'd like to thank 4 all of the analysts and proponents and the Regional 5 Advisory Councils for their work on these proposals and 6 for making sure that they had adequate discussion with 7 the public and with their Regional Advisory Council 8 members. Thank you. 9 10 Did I hear a question. 11 12 MR. WOODS: Well, for process, I guess, 13 just for clarification since I'm a new member. It was 14 nice to see the InterAgency work and OSM Staff and my 15 question is if -- on the consensus agenda if I have an 16 issue I bring it forward before it becomes, or during 17 when it's on the consensus, like we seen today, some 18 got pulled off and we reviewing process, just for 19 future, and for myself and the public, if we have any 20 questions, so those consensus agenda items we passed 21 become in regulation but if we need to review more I just ask in writing and/or in testimony? 22 23 24 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Robbin. 25 26 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair. 27 I'll remind everyone again that when a proposal or a closure review is on the consensus agenda it means that 28 29 the Councils and ADF&G and the ISC are aligned, or at 30 least there are no major disagreements so a lot of the 31 hashing out has already been done. And as you saw, I 32 think it was yesterday, we had some proposals that were 33 on the consensus agenda that were requested removed and 34 discussed and debated on the record, and those were the 35 C&T proposals. 36 37 Thank you, Madame Chair. 38 39 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you so much. 40 And just for clarification the public testimony on 41 consensus agenda items was also available at the 42 beginning of each day. 43 44 (Pause) 45 46 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Now did I hear a 47 question.

MR. VANORMER: Call the question,

48 49

```
0424
 1
    please.
 2
 3
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: At this time I'd
 4
     like to ask for a roll call vote, please.
 5
 6
                     MS. LEONETTI: Okay. The motion is to
 7
    adopt the consensus agenda.
 8
 9
                     Public Member Frank Woods.
10
11
                     MR. WOODS: Move to adopt the consensus
12
     agenda as listed and outlined in current testimony.
13
     Thank you.
14
15
                     MS. LEONETTI: Thank you.
16
17
                     U.S. Forest Service, Chad VanOrmer.
18
19
                     MR. VANORMER: Forest Service adopts
20
     and supports the consensus agenda as presented.
21
     you.
22
23
                     MS. LEONETTI: Thank you.
24
25
                     National Park Service, Sarah
26
    Creachbaum.
27
28
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: The National Park
29
    Service also supports the consensus agenda. Thank you.
30
31
32
                     MS. LEONETTI: BLM, Erika Reed.
33
34
                     MS. REED: BLM also supports the
35
    consensus agenda. Thank you.
36
37
                     MS. LEONETTI: Fish and Wildlife
38
     Service, Sara Boario.
39
40
                     MS. BOARIO: Fish and Wildlife Service
41
    supports.
42
43
                     MS. LEONETTI: Public Member Raymond
44
    Oney.
45
46
                                Support for the consensus
                     MR. ONEY:
47
     agenda.
48
49
                     MS. LEONETTI: BIA Jolene John.
```

```
0425
 1
                     MS. JOHN: BIA supports the consensus
 2
     agenda.
 3
 4
                     MS. LEONETTI: Thank you.
 5
 6
                     And, Chair Rhonda Pitka.
 7
 8
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: I vote to support
 9
     the consensus agenda, thank you.
10
11
                     MS. LEONETTI: Madame Chair, the motion
12
     passes.
13
14
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you all for
15
     that, I appreciate it. Now we are on No. 8, old
16
     business, A, deferred wildlife proposal WP24-01
17
     statewide brown bear.
18
19
                     MS. KENNER: Thank you, Madame Chair.
20
     The analysis for Proposal WP24-01 begins on Page 581 in
21
     Volume II of your meeting materials. So hello and
22
     welcome Madame Chair, members of the Board, Regional
23
     Advisory Council Chairs and State of Alaska Liaisons to
24
     the Board. My name is Pippa Kenner and I'm an
25
     Anthropologist at the Office of Subsistence Management,
26
     or OSM here in Anchorage. The proposal was submitted
27
    by a resident of McCarthy, Alaska and he's requesting
28
     the Board to allow the sale of brown bear hides that
29
     are taken under Federal Subsistence regulations and the
30
    Board deferred the proposal at its April 2024 meeting
31
     and since then OSM has added an addendum, which adds
32
    new information and a new OSM conclusion to the end of
33
     the analysis. The addendum addresses the Board's
34
     reasons for deferring the proposal and the purpose of
35
     an addendum is to identify information that Regional
36
    Advisory Councils had not seen when they made their
37
     initial recommendations. So this addendum begins on
38
     Page 598 and that's what I'm going to be summarizing.
39
40
                     The Federal Subsistence Board deferred
     action on the proposal because adopting it might
41
42
     conflict with the Convention on International Trade in
43
     Endangered Species or CITES, an International Treaty.
44
    The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has delegated to the
45
     State of Alaska the authority to allow the purchase of
46
     a brown bear hide outside of the United States.
47
     State of Alaska allows the sale of a hide but only if
48
    harvested in an area with a two brown bear harvest
49
     limit. This would not satisfy the request of the
```

proponent in the McCarthy area in Unit 11 where the harvest limit is one brown bear per year.

The Board had two reasons for deferring the proposal.

1. Was to allow time to explore options for a subsistence user to obtain a permit allowing the sale of a hide from a Federal agency, not using the State's permit; and

2. To potentially establish a work group to negotiate with the State of Alaska, Department of Fish and Game concerning the use of its permit to sell the hide of a brown bear.

So as directed by the Board, OSM asked the State of Alaska, Department of Fish and Game representatives if they would be willing to consider issuing a permit to sell the hide of a brown bear that is harvested in an area with a one brown bear harvest limit, the State of Alaska allows the sale of the hide of brown bear but only if it's taken in an area with a two brown bear harvest limit, they responded they could not accept the additional administrative burden at this time. So as directed by OSM -- by the Board, OSM looked into the possibility of using a Federal permit to allow the sale of a hide of a brown bear harvested in an area with a one or two brown bear harvest limit.

So as background to this issue, brown bears outside of Alaska exist in much smaller populations than in Alaska. And the State of Alaska limits sales of hides because unlimited sales might incentivise legal and illegal harvesting in Alaska and elsewhere in North America and for this reason the State of Alaska's purpose is to prevent hides from entering commercial markets. While limiting the sale of brown hides is necessary for the hundreds of brown bears harvested in sport and general hunts each year in Alaska in which the edible meat need not be salvaged, the much lower number of brown bears harvested for subsistence and for which the edible meat must be salvaged does not need the same level of restrictions on the sale of hides.

So the OSM revised conclusion is to support the proposal with modification that the hides of brown bears with or without claws attached may be

purchased within the United States for personal use and not to be resold. The hunter must request a Federal customary trade permit and must return the permit. Additionally, the modified regulation will align Federal sealing regulations with State of Alaska regulations.

So justification for this revised conclusion, the Board deferred the proposal in April 2024 because adopting it as submitted might conflict with CITES, an International Treaty. In response OSM modified -- the OSM modification allows the sale of a hide of a brown bear taken under Federal subsistence regulation and satisfies the request of the proponent who currently is not allowed under Federal or State of Alaska regulations to sell the hide of a brown bear harvested in his area. The focus of the OSM modification is to limit sales to purchase within the United States. CITES limits only international purchase of brown bear hides, this modification allowing only domestic purchase is in line with CITES and this was not in the request of the proponent but it is necessary to comply with CITES. The following two elements of the OSM modification will align Federal regulations with current State of Alaska regulations and permit requirements.

1. Purchase of the hide is for personal use and not to be resold intended to prevent a customary traded hide from entering a commercial market, it takes brokers right out of the situation.

2. The seal number must be included in any advertisement of sale which allows law enforcement to identify that a brown bear advertised for sale on the internet for example is from a legally harvested brown bear.

The OSM modification then goes on to allow the hide to be sold with or without claws attached and will allow a Federally-qualified subsistence users who removes the claw to incorporate it into a handicraft to then sell the hide.

Finally, the OSM modification removes from Federal regulations the requirement in some areas of Alaska that at the time of sealing the sealing official must remove and retain the skin of the head and the front claws of the bear, this will align

Federal sealing regulations in these areas with current State of Alaska sealing regulations. So, additionally, current Federal edible meat salvage requirements will likely protect brown bears from overharvest.

In conclusion, the OSM modification complies with the provisions of CITES and allows Federally-qualified subsistence users to legally sell the hide of brown bears while balancing customary trade and conservation.

Thank you for your attention and this is the end of my presentation. I'm available to answer questions but I want to remind you that Regional Advisory Councils have not seen and have not provided recommendations on this revised OSM conclusion.

 $$\operatorname{ACTING}$  CHAIR PITKA: Thank you for that, I appreciate it. Now we are on the summary of written public comments.

### (No comments)

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Do any of the Board members have any questions for the analyst --sorry, I forgot that part.

# (No comments)

MS. KENNER: Madame Chair, I'm sorry to interrupt, this is Pippa Kenner with OSM. There were -- no written comments were submitted during the open comment period. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you. Does anybody have any questions for Pippa right now.

#### (No comments)

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Seeing none, thank you for the analysis. Okay. Sorry, go ahead.

 MS. CREACHBAUM: I don't have a question, Pippa, but I did want to say thank you very much to all of you who worked on this proposal to find a way to get to yes. I know it was really complicated and it's sort of the way things should work and I'm just really pleased. Thank you so much.

0429 1 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you for that Member Creachbaum. At this time I'd like to ask for 2 the summary of tribal and ANCSA Corporation 4 consultations. 5 6 MR. LIND: Thank you, Madame Chair. 7 Board members. Orville Lind, Native Liaison for OSM. During the consultation sessions we did not have any 9 comments or questions on that. Thank you, Madame 10 Chair. 11 12 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you for 13 that. At this time I'd like to open the floor for 14 tribal and Native organization testimony. Is there any 15 online because I don't have any blue cards. 16 17 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair. 18 Just as a reminder for those listen -- those of you 19 listening online, if you wish to address the Board on 20 Wildlife Proposal 24-01 you may do so by pressing star 21 five to raise your hand. 22 23 (Pause) 24 25 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair. 26 There are no hands. 27 28 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, I 29 appreciate that. Now, I'd like the Advisory group 30 testimony, State ACs, SRCs, working groups. 31 32 (No comments) 33 34 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Yes. 35 36 MS. SKINNER: Oh, I guess this isn't 37 quite my section, sorry. 38 39 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Oh, okay, I'm getting there, I'm working to it. Okay. I'd like to 40 41 open the floor right now to public testimony. And I 42 don't have any blue cards in front of me so is there 43 anybody online. 44 45 MS. LAVINE: Madame Chair. There is a 46 hand online. So once again for those of you listening 47 online and if you wish to speak to deferred Wildlife

Proposal 24-01 you may press star five to raise your

hand and then star six to unmute yourself. So the

48

49

```
0430
    person online with the phone number ending in 6082 you
    may press star six to unmute yourself and address the
    Board. And once you do please state your full name and
 4
    thank you very much.
 5
 6
                     MS. CHERNOFF: Thank you.
                                                This is
 7
    Coral Chernoff, can you all hear me?
 8
 9
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Yes, please
10
    proceed, thank you.
11
12
                     MS. CHERNOFF: Yes, thank you. Thank
13
     you for hearing me today. My name is Coral Chernoff,
14
     I'm from Kodiak. I really appreciate OSM's work on
15
     this addendum and I have a question about -- and I
    guess my recommendation is I think now that this
16
17
     addendum has come out I have a question about whether
18
    Native handicraft would be included, it's not covered
19
    in their addendum although there is you can sell the
20
    hide with or without claws, so I guess that was my -- I
21
    was just wondering, and if that needs more discussion
22
    then I would recommend that this get deferred so that
23
     advisory committees could have more discussion to
24
     include Native handicraft.
25
26
                     Thank you for listening and that's all
27
     I have. Thank you.
28
29
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you very
30
    much. Pippa Kenner would like to address, thank you.
31
32
                     MS. KENNER: Thank you, Madame Chair.
33
     Through the Chair. This is Pippa Kenner with OSM. So
34
    we already have in Federal regulations allowances to
35
    use parts of brown bears for handicrafts, however, we
36
    did not have a provision that allowed the sale of the
37
    raw tanned or untanned hide. So this proposal and
38
     addendum is addressing the sale of raw tanned and
39
    untanned hides of a brown bear and the provisions for
40
     creating handicrafts is already allowed in Federal
41
    regulations. Thank you.
42
43
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you so much,
44
     did that address your question, Ms. Chernoff?
45
46
                     MS. CHERNOFF: It did, thank you so
47
    much.
48
```

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: I appreciate your

49

testimony and the question. Is there any further public testimony.

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair. There are no new hands raised.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you. Now at this time I'd like to open the floor to the Regional Advisory Council recommendations. Kodiak/Aleutians.

MS. SKINNER: Thank you. Rebecca Skinner, Chair of the Kodiak/Aleutians RAC. As previously reported the RAC hasn't had time to review and take a position on the addendum so my comments will be following from the meeting we had back in 2023 on the original proposal.

So the Kodiak/Aleutians RAC did support this proposal. In our meeting there was a lot of discussion about the ability to fully utilize the -- any bears that were hunted under subsistence and there was a recognition that from a traditional standpoint there might be a reticence to sell the bear hide but that over time the -- I think that the practices have evolved and a recognition that the costs are a lot higher nowadays and that allowing the sale of the bear hides would help support the subsistence bear harvest activity.

We also had a lot of discussion about OSM's original modification to the proposal which limited the sales in areas where you could get two bears and I want to bring this up because in our meeting we did have, I think, concern that that was actually going in the wrong direction, in that, if you only allow bear sales in a place where you can, in fact, take more bears, there is a bigger chance that it would actually increase exploitation of the bears if the proposal was passed. In the Kodiak area we do have subsistence bear hunting but there's an overall limit of how many bears can be taken on an annual basis, so we don't have a two bear limit but we have a total limit and the harvests are conducted at a community level. So we did not have concerns about conservation issues because of that specific limit on the total number that could be taken.

And that's all I have, thank you.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very much I appreciate that testimony. Okay, I'm going to go down the list of the original then. Southeast Alaska Subsistence Regional Advisory Council recommendations.

MS. KENNER: Thank you, Madame Chair. This is Pippa Kenner with OSM. I just want to remind you that the Regional Advisory Councils have not made recommendations on the revised conclusion. You may ask them but it will be their recommendation on the previous conclusion.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you for that reminder. Okay. So on Board Book 583 under the original proposal, Southeast Alaska Regional Advisory Council said take no action. Southcentral Alaska Subsistence Regional Advisory Council recommendation was to support the proposal. And Kodiak gave their recommendation from before. Bristol Bay Subsistence Regional Advisory Council supported. Yukon Kuskokwim Delta Subsistence Regional Advisory Council recommendation was to oppose. Did you want to speak to that.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you, Madame Chair. Alissa Rogers, Yukon Kuskokwim Delta RAC. The YK-Delta Council opposed WP24-01, the original. The Council is concerned that the harvest rates may increase to an unsustainable level if this sale of hides was allowed. The Council noted that it is difficult to obtain accurate population estimates for brown bears and that bears have a slow reproductive rate. The Council thought this issue might be best dealt on a region by region basis, rather than a statewide basis because different areas have different brown bear population sizes and cultural values related to the harvest and uses.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very much. Western Interior Alaska Subsistence Regional Advisory Council recommendation was to support with the OSM modification for the April 2024 modification. Seward Peninsula, the recommendation was to support with the OSM modification for April 2024. Would you like to speak to that, or you're good.

MR. GREEN: Thank you, Madame Chair. Nothing to add to that, thanks.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very much. Northwest Arctic Subsistence Regional Advisory Council recommendation was to support as of April 2024. Would you like to speak to that -- I got mixed up, I'm so sorry, Brower. Eastern Interior Subsistence Regional Advisory Council support with the Council modification to also allow the sale of black bear hides. Now the North Slope recommendation, support with OSM modification. Would you like to speak to that.

MR. FRANTZ: Yes. We did vote to support with the OSM modification. Reason being, we have a fair amount -- a lot of bear up north and it seems like there has been a rise in the predator numbers over the last five to 10 years so with that we do have the decline in the Western Arctic Caribou Herd as well as a low moose population and we are trying to get muskox numbers up so it would be in our best interest to make this modification and approval of sales of bear hides and it would also kind of incentivise the take of the predators that are killing off our animals up north. So support with the OSM modification was what we chose.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very much, I really appreciate that. So I wasn't required to go through the Regional Advisory Council recommendations but I did it because you guys were here and you've given so much of your attention and I appreciate that all. And now we are at Alaska Department of Fish and Game comments, please.

MR. BROWER: Madame Chair, before you

 go.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Oh, yes, sorry, go ahead. I forgot to ask if anybody had any questions.

MR. BROWER: Just a question to the Staff, does these -- if this is approved will be grandfathered with our hides that were harvested for life and death or destruction of properties in the long-run, this is just hunting purposes now or you can grandfather your hides from years back or whatever?

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you.

MS. KENNER: Thank you for the question Member Brower. Through the Chair, this is Pippa Kenner with OSM. So I think you asked two questions. One is if you take a bear in protection of life and property can you then sell that hide and the second one was can hides that were harvested before this regulation goes in effect also be sold. My answer to that is that I think we would want to incorporate those things into the regulation to make it clear if those things could be allowed. I am looking at Ken Lord right now, when the Board addressed this proposal in April 2024 this question came up and rather than speak for Mr. Lord, he can answer the question. Thank you.

MR. BROWER: Thank you. Thank you,

Madame Chair.

MR. LORD: Ken Lord with the Solicitor's Office. Pippa, I don't remember the question coming up but I will say that this is being adopted under the auspices of customary trade and customary trade is defined in our regulations under .4 as applying to fish and wildlife regulated in this part. So I think there would be some ambiguity as to whether or not it only applies to subsistence taken fish and wildlife or whether it would also apply to the life and property wildlife, which is actually taken under State law rather than Federal law. So you're right, Pippa, we would have to do some noodling and clarification to help folks through that question.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you. Mr. Mulligan, would you like to add anything.

MR. MULLIGAN: Chair Woman Pitka. No, I mean you do need to get that clarified because how would that apply under Federal because right now if you take a DLP bear we have that process, I mean you've got to skin it -- but that all goes -- it's taken by the Troopers, it's auctioned off at the Fur Rondy event and so I don't believe that you guys are going to -- well, I mean that's up to you guys to try to see if you can legally supersede the State's laws on defense of life and property and how that is conducted.

sending mental messages over here instead of using my words.

MR. BROWER: Thank you. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you.

MR. ONEY: Yeah, thank you, Madame Chair. Maybe along the same lines along with Charlie over there, I know in my community in the last couple of years we've been dealing with bears because of the high population of moose in our area, especially in the springtime when they're coming out of their dens and are hungry and we had to refer to ADF&G on what directions we need to do as far as trying to defend life and property in the village, of both Emmonak and Alakanuk, so are we saying that with this proposal that we see before us will give us opportunity to harvest that bear and be able to keep it and sell it, that is my question, thank you.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Yes, please.

MR. MULLIGAN: Through the Chair. I mean, respectfully that is not a question for me because you're asking a question under Federal regulation. Under State, you know, at the Board of Game we've heard issues like yours, sir, and that's where the Board of Game under State has reacted and expanded harvest seasons or expanded the number of bears so individuals who may want to take that bear and are fearful of how the Alaska State Troopers may interpret defense of life and property can still harvest that bear under State hunting without having to -- and retain the meat, the hide and all the parts of that animal that you may want to keep for your cultural and traditional uses as well. Sorry I can't be more clear but under our part we are taking action to help remedy that, I just can't speak to the Federal regulations in front of us.

MS. KENNER: Madame Chair.

 $\label{eq:ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Yes, I'm sorry, go} \ ahead, \ \mbox{Ms. Kenner.}$ 

MS. KENNER: Yeah, thank you for clarifying that. This is Pippa Kenner with OSM, through the Chair. So I just want to clarify, Member

0436 Oney, we're talking about taking a brown bear in defense of life and property, you know, those are managed through State regulations, and in State regulations they're going to confiscate the hide and so 5 you would not be able to sell the hide of a brown bear 6 taken in defense of life and property. 7 8 Thank you. 9 10 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you. 11 believe I was on the Alaska Department of Fish and Game 12 comments. You guys are like six steps ahead of me and 13 it's starting to.... 14 15 (Laughter) 16 17 MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Madame Chair. 18 The Department's position stands regardless of the 19 modification. The Department's recommendation is to 20 align Federal regulations with State regulations, and 21 adopt the State's strategy when it comes to the sale of bear hides in places where it's a two bear limit. Just 22 23 to note since this analysis first -- the proposal came 24 into effect and everything's been going through the 25 process five more areas of the state have been added to 26 that list of two bear harvest limit areas. 27 28 Thank you, Madame Chair. 29 30 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very 31 much. So just to clarify, which five areas were those, 32 thanks. 33 34 MR. MULLIGAN: I'm getting it. 35 Management Unit 13, 18, 19B, 24C and, oh, I can't remember the last one, sorry, I have an incomplete 36 37 answer. 38 39 MS. KENNER: Through the Chair, this is 40 Pippa Kenner. It was 2..... 41 42 MR. MULLIGAN: Yeah, and 14 -- sorry. 43 44 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: And 14, thank you. 45 Thank you so much for that, I appreciate it. The 46 InterAgency Staff Committee comments unless Pippa 47 Kenner had something else. 48

MS. KENNER: (Shakes head negatively)

49

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Okay, thank you. InterAgency Staff comments, please.

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair. The InterAgency Staff Committee evaluated the current options developed by OSM regarding the sale of brown bear hides harvested by Federally-qualified subsistence users in deferred statewide Proposal 24-01. Since the analysis had new information added to it in an addendum that includes a revised OSM conclusion after the Regional Advisory Councils met during the fall of 2024 the Councils will not have the opportunity to review them prior to this February 2025 meeting of the Federal Subsistence Board. An additional deferral to allow for reach of the Councils to provide their recommendations on the alternatives during their upcoming winter 2025 meetings will be important to ensure that the Councils recommendations based on the new information and OSM conclusions contained in the analysis addendum can be fully considered by the Board for their final action on this proposal.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very much, ISC, I appreciate it. Okay, now we're at Board discussion with Council Chairs and the State Liaison.

(No comments)

 $\mbox{ACTING CHAIR PITKA:} \mbox{ Did we get it all out -- go ahead, please, Regional Advisory Council Chair.}$ 

MS. SKINNER: Thanks. This is Rebecca Skinner with the Kodiak/Aleutians RAC. Earlier I didn't say thank you to Staff for all of the work that it took to get to the amended recommendation. I think the proposed OSM modifications are a lot more in alignment with the, I think how the Kodiak/Aleutians RAC was viewing this issue and I know it took a long time but I feel like the recommendation really kind of cuts through all of the bureaucratic red tape, I'm assuming it was not quite that easy but thank you for all of the work in getting to yes. We really appreciate it, thank you.

 $\label{eq:acting chair pitka: Thank you so much,} I appreciate that. At this time I'd like to open the$ 

```
0438
 1
     floor for a Board motion, discussion and action on
 2
     WP24-01.
 3
 4
                     MS. JOHN: Madame Chair. The BIA would
 5
     like to move to adopt Wildlife Proposal WP24-01.
 6
    motion is seconded I will provide another motion, even
 7
     though I'm a little gun shy after yesterday.....
 8
 9
                     (Laughter)
10
11
                     MS. JOHN:
                               ....to defer action on this
12
    proposal and my justification for doing so.
13
14
                     MS. BOARIO: Fish and Wildlife.....
15
16
                     MR. BROWER: Second.
17
18
                     MS. BOARIO: ....seconds.
19
20
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Seconded by
21
    Charlie Wright -- Charlie Brower not Wright, my
22
     goodness.
23
24
                     (Laughter)
25
26
                     MS. JOHN: So for the statewide
27
    proposal, 24-01, the Federal Subsistence Board has been
    presented with an array of options presented by the
28
29
    Office of Subsistence Management. Thank you very much
30
    for all that excellent work regarding the sale of brown
31
    bear hides harvested by Federally-qualified subsistence
32
    users. The OSM analysis has new information and the
33
     revised conclusion that was developed after the
34
     Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils met in fall of
35
     2024. Allowing them to consider these during their
36
    upcoming winter 2025 meetings will thus be important to
37
    ensure that the Board will have Council recommendations
38
     that are based on the most current analysis findings
39
    before taking final action on this proposal.
40
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you. So
41
42
     discussion of the motion to defer.
43
44
                     MR. VANORMER: I'm sorry, is this
45
     discussion on the motion to defer?
46
47
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Yes.
48
49
                     MR. VANORMER: Yeah, so a question, I
50
```

think, maybe to the Council, is there -- is it required that the RACs take a look at this proposal again and provide that feedback before we make a decision on it or what -- is there a procedural requirements or legal requirement to do that?

MR. LORD: I think it's a little bit of a close call but I would say, no, they have already had one chance to review this and what the -- the additional information hasn't, I don't think, changed things enough that we would require them to see it again but it's always a good idea.

MR. VANORMER: Okay.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Sorry about that, I just need to clear up one thing, we did not have a second on the motion to defer so I'd like to ask for a question for the motion to defer.

MS. CREACHBAUM: Second.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you very much, Member Creachbaum, for the second to defer. Member Brower you had a question, go ahead.

MR. BROWER: Well,1 I was just making sure, does the Staff recommendation on your request additional deferral to allow each of the Councils to provide a recommendation on the alternative upcoming meet -- or 2025 meetings, is that now or later on in the winter, or the winter's over?

MS. LAVINE: Through the Chair. Mr. Brower -- Board Member Brower. If the Board recommends to defer to give the Councils a new opportunity to review the addendum and revised OSM recommendation, that would happen during this winter meeting, so the Councils are going to be meeting in another like week and a half the window starts, and once the Councils develop their recommendations the Board would take up that deferred proposal at the next public meeting that's reasonable. Thank you, Mr. Chair -- Madame Chair.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you.

MR. BROWER: Madame Chair.

0440	
1 2	ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Yes.
3	MR. BROWER: So this would allow Yukon
4	and Southeast to come back to support the proposal if
5	they change their mind after the outcome of this?
6 7 8	MS. KENNER: (Nods affirmatively)
9 10	MR. BROWER: Thank you.
11	ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you. Is
12	there any further discussion on the motion to defer?
13	
14 15	MR. WOODS: So we defer, when will we
16	take it up, next April, this is February? I'm joking. So I didn't realize that bears weren't all equal until
17	today. This proposal aligns it with the State and this
18	would also bring it forward to the RACs. I'm torn on
19	acting on it today but I'll support with more
20	deliberation. Thank you.
21 22	ACTING CHAIR PITKA: So that's in
23	speaking to the motion to defer?
24	-1 J
25	MR. WOODS: (Nods affirmatively)
26	
27 28	ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Okay.
29	MR. BROWER: Madame Chair, one more
30	question.
31	
32	ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Yes.
33	MD DDONIED Complete to defen
34 35	MR. BROWER: So we're voting to defer or support the request?
36	or support the request.
37	ACTING CHAIR PITKA: This is the motion
38	to defer right now that we're under discussion on so it
39	would be deferring Wildlife Proposal 24-01 to our next
40	meeting so we're deferring the deferral.
41 42	(Pause)
43	(14450)
44	ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Is there any more
45	questions or did I now confuse everybody else in the
46	room. Member Creachbaum.
47	MC ODENCIDATING Describe accord '
48 49	MS. CREACHBAUM: For the record it would be good to know what we're voting on so I'm going
50	

```
0441
 1
     to -- so we're voting to defer so that the Regional
    Advisory Councils will have an opportunity at their
     upcoming meeting to deliberate the new information
 4
    that's been provided in the addendum and our next
 5
    meeting will be in the summer, is that right, so we
 6
    would take it up this summer?
 7
 8
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Go ahead, Pippa,
 9
     please.
10
11
                     MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair.
12
    And through the Chair. Yeah, the next Board meeting
13
    which we will confirm at the end of today's business is
14
     scheduled for the third week of July, it's the summer
15
    work session and we would hold a public meeting
     following the completion of the summer work session
16
17
     agenda to address this regulatory action. Thank you,
18
    Madame Chair.
19
20
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you for that
21
    clarification, Robbin. Was the much clearer?
22
23
                     MR. BROWER: Madame Chair.
24
25
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Yes.
26
27
                     MR. BROWER: One final question. On
     this issue here, we can support the recommendation from
28
29
     OSM to approve then at the same time in the upcoming
30
     July meeting those two RAC members can come back on the
31
     agenda to support the -- to conclude their voting to
32
     support or oppose the recommendation; is that right?
33
34
                     MS. LAVINE: Through the Chair, yes.
35
    We would invite the Councils to provide their
36
     recommendations on the record so that the Board
37
    benefits from their deliberations. Thank you, Madame
38
     Chair.
39
40
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Did that answer
41
     all the questions on the deferral motion?
42
43
                     (Board nods affirmatively)
44
45
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: I haven't heard
46
     question be called on the deferral motion.
47
48
                     MR. ONEY: Question.
```

```
0442
 1
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very
 2
    much, Mr. Oney. Roll call vote, please.
                    MS. LEONETTI: Okay. The motion is to
 4
 5
    defer WP24-01. Public Member Charlie Brower.
 6
 7
                     MR. BROWER: Oppose.
 8
                     MS. LEONETTI: Fish and Wildlife
 9
10
    Service, Sara Boario.
11
12
                     MS. BOARIO: Support.
13
14
                     MS. LEONETTI: Public Member Frank
15
    Woods.
16
17
                     MR. WOODS: Support.
18
19
                     MS. LEONETTI: National Park Service,
20
    Sarah Creachbaum.
21
22
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: Support.
23
24
                     MS. LEONETTI: Public Member Raymond
25
    Oney.
26
27
                     MR. ONEY: Support.
28
29
                     MS. LEONETTI: U.S. Forest Service,
30
    Chad VanOrmer.
31
32
                     MR. VANORMER: Support.
33
                     MS. LEONETTI: BLM, Erika Reed.
34
35
36
                     MS. REED: Support.
37
                     MS. LEONETTI: BIA Jolene John.
38
39
40
                     MS. JOHN: BIA supports.
41
                     MS. LEONETTI: And Chair Rhonda Pitka.
42
43
44
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: I oppose.
45
46
                     MS. LEONETTI: Okay. The motion to
47
    defer WP24-01 passes seven yes, two no.
48
49
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very
50
```

0443

much. Now, since we completed the non-rural determination, we are now at No.10 on the agenda, Board meeting guidelines update, Ms. Robbin LaVine, please.

4 5 6

2

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair. If you can wait just a moment, we will pass out some supplemental material and make sure that they're also available at the front. One moment.

8

7

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you so much.

10 11 12

MR. VANORMER: Madame Chair, if I may.

13 14

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Yes, go ahead.

15 16

17

18

19

20

MR. VANORMER: I am going to have to excuse myself and Greg Risdahl will be sitting in for me for any further Board action representing the Forest Service. I just want to thank the Board and the Councils and all the audience and hard work that went on this week so much appreciated, thank you.

212223

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very

much.

242526

(Pause)

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

MS. GREDIAGIN: Hi, this is Lisa Grediagin, Wildlife Division Supervisor with OSM and just for the record since we have a little pause while materials are being handed out, I just wanted to explain that deferral of WP24-01 actually does not delay the implementation of those regulations because as part of issuing another permit, that's additional information that has to be collected and so it needs to get OMB approval and so even if the Board adopted that regulation today it could not probably be implemented for another year because we would have to put that additional OMB requirement in a proposed rule and the next opportunity to do that is the fisheries proposed rule which is like a year from now, and so even if you defer it, the Councils can provide a recommendation, you act on it in July and then we put that additional OMB permit requirements in the fisheries proposed rule and then it could get published as part of the wildlife final rule. So I just wanted to clarify that even though you're deferring a proposal, it's not actually delaying the implementation of that regulation.

0444 1 Thanks. 2 3 MS. LEONETTI: Thanks, Lisa. 4 5 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Okay, sorry for 6 that brief moment, now we are at the Federal 7 Subsistence Board meeting guidelines. 8 9 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair. 10 Members of the Board. And for the people in the 11 audience, you have just received some supplemental 12 materials, they're available online on our website and 13 they are also available at the front of the room. 14 You've got two documents in front of you. One is the 15 existing or current Board meeting guidelines and then 16 the other with the highlights are proposed revisions to 17 those guidelines. And I want to point out that there 18 were -- you know, there are -- I'm going to go through 19 it basically section by section so the title of the 20 section, I'll summarize the recommended updates and 21 then once I'm complete and respond to your questions 22 the Board may take action to support revision of these 23 quidelines. 25 26 27 original document for comparison.

24

So, again, I would suggest you look at the document with the highlights and you have the

28 29

Under Board structure, that section has been updated to reflect the current composition of the Board.

31 32 33

34

35

30

Under basic meeting procedures, that section has been updated to clarify and expand the public process during the Board meeting and to clarify the roles of the Liaisons to the Board.

36 37 38

39

40

Under the section on scheduling, this has been updated to include the new schedules that are inclusive for non-rural determinations and individual C&T for Park Service lands.

41 42 43

44

45 46

47 48

Under meeting formats, there are three types of meetings that the Board may hold, public meetings, work sessions and executive sessions, public meetings have been updated to include non-rural and cultural and educational permit requests as far as what is addressed during those meetings. Work sessions are updated to include a more comprehensive list of what is

0445 and is not taken up during these meetings. As you can see executive sessions has not changed. 2 3 4 Under the section, emergency special 5 actions, this section has been updated to describe both 6 types of special actions and when the Board addresses 7 those. 8 9 Under voting, this section has been 10 updated to reflect guidance on proxy voting. 11 12 Section on decisions has been updated 13 to provide more explicit quidance on Board motions, 14 Council recommendations and motions on the record. 15 16 And the section, meeting record, has 17 been updated to reflect the updated content in the 18 guidelines, should it be accepted and the new 19 organizational structure of the Federal Subsistence 20 Management Program, no longer referencing U.S. Fish and 21 Wildlife Service. 22 23 I have no other proposed revisions to 24 report, thank you, Madame Chair. 25 26 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very 27 much. 28 29 (Pause) 30 31 MR. BROWER: Madame Chair. 32 33 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Does anybody have 34 any questions for Robbin -- oh, go ahead, please. 35 36 MR. BROWER: Thank you, Madame Chair. 37 Robbin, on the proxy voting, us public members can 38 appoint a public member to vote on our behalf, if we're 39 not going to be here, but it has to be your proxy ahead 40 of time; is that right? 41 42 MS. LAVINE: That is correct, Mr. 43 Brower. It is a tool that this Program has used, 44 recognizing the importance of the public member vote. 45 As you can see the guidance in the -- the proposed 46 guidance is stated as follows: 47 48 In a public Board member's absence, 49 said member may give another public Board member their

```
0446
    proxy. This proxy can be in writing before or given
    verbally during a meeting and included in the public
    record. The proxy only applies to the meeting during
    which it was given and may not be passed on to another
    Board member. Thank you, Madame Chair.
 5
 6
 7
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very
 8
    much for that clarification. Are there any other
 9
    questions for Ms. LaVine.
10
11
                     (No comments)
12
13
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: If not I'd like to
14
    open the floor to a motion to approve the updated Board
15
    meeting guidelines.
16
17
                     MR. WOODS: So moved.
18
19
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: What was the
20
    motion, Mr. Woods.
21
22
                     MR. WOODS: To approve the proposed
23
     guidelines outlined as the information presented.
24
25
                     MS. BOARIO: I defer.
26
27
                     (Laughter)
28
29
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very
30
    much for that motion. At this time I'd like to ask for
31
     a second, please.
32
33
                     MS. BOARIO: Fish and Wildlife seconds.
34
35
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, Fish
36
     and Wildlife Service, I appreciate it. Now we're under
37
    Board discussion for the Board meeting guidelines.
38
39
                     (No comments)
40
41
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: If there's no
    Board discussion I'd like to ask.....
42
43
44
                     MS. JOHN: Ouestion.
45
46
                     MR. BROWER: Madame Chair.
47
48
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you. Yes,
49
    Mr. Brower, go ahead.
```

0447 1 MR. BROWER: To call the question. 2 3 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you. 4 5 MR. BROWER: And can we ask for 6 unanimous consent or do we have to have a voice vote. 7 8 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Yes, we can ask 9 for unanimous consent. Is there any objection to the 10 motion. 11 12 (No objections) 13 14 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Seeing none, we've 15 asked for unanimous consent and the Board has raised no objections to the motion so the motion passes, thank 16 17 you. Thank you, very much, Ms. LaVine. 18 19 And next is No. 11 on the agenda, the 20 Federal Subsistence permit application and database 21 update, Justin Koller presents -- oh, is he online, or 22 Scott Ayers is presenting. 23 24 MR. AYERS: Apologies for the delay, 25 just one second please. 26 27 (Pause) 28 29 Thank you, Madame Chair. MR. AYERS: 30 31 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Please proceed. 32 33 MR. AYERS: Members of the Board. I'm 34 presenting an update on the subsistence permit 35 application database that we have in place of Justin 36 who is unfortunately, able to make it to the meeting 37 today in person. 38 39 So our Program uses an online computer 40 application to issue subsistence permits and store 41 harvest data. Last year our Information Technology 42 Department deemed that application out of compliance 43 with the new DOI security standards. This prompted OSM 44 to initiate a system modernization to bring it into 45 compliance with those security standards. OSM took 46 this opportunity to also work toward an online option 47 for users to obtain permits. OSM and the U.S. Fish and 48 Wildlife Service began working with a contractor last

spring to accomplish these tasks, the first priority is

49

to bring the system into compliance with security standards and the second priority is to offer an option for users to obtain permits online in addition to in person at issuing offices. We expect the online option to be available later this year. Users will still be able to obtain permits in person at issuing offices so there will always be a choice for folks. OSM will conduct statewide outreach to notify users when this option is available and where to obtain instructions and support. In short, there will soon be two options for Federally-qualified subsistence users to obtain permits in person, at issuing offices or through the online portal. Until that time users will continue to obtain permits through an issuing office and that option will always be available.

 $\,$  And that is the update that I have for you at this time unless there any questions.

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you for the update. Is there any questions on the database update.

## (No comments)

ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Okay, no action is required for this one, thank you very much for that update. At this time I'd like to ask for the schedule of upcoming meetings presentation.

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair. Members of the Board. If you will review your procedural agenda you will see that there are some proposed meeting windows in the schedule. We've had a number of concerns raised by Federal -- from our public meeting participants about conflicts with other meetings on going -- in particular during this meeting cycle, and I would like to acknowledge that our meetings are held at regular interviews [sic] basically within a two week windowframe of time. And so knowing that our regulatory cycles are like clockwork and consistent, we would like to advertise well in advance that frame of time where we might propose different meetings to be held.

The first confirmation is for the summer work sessions. It is a proposed date of July 23rd and 24th for the summer and unless there is objection we would like to confirm that date on the record. And then for the 2026 January work session,

```
0449
     typically when we address Fisheries Resource Monitoring
     Program needs, typically we meet the last week of
     January or the first week of February, those proposed
    dates would be January 28th and 29th or February 4th
     and 5th. And, again, that's to give the Board time to
 5
    take action and then prepare results to share with the
 6
 7
    Councils in their upcoming winter meeting cycle.
     Following the work session in 2026 would be the April
    public meeting on wildlife regulations and typically
10
    that is a two week time period around the middle of
11
    April. The proposed dates for that meeting are April
12
     13th through the 17th or April 20th through the 24th.
13
    And, again, these are meeting windows that we could
14
    probably identify that we kind of squeeze in between
15
     our regulatory cycles, the publishing of the Federal
16
     Register and our Regional Advisory Council meeting
17
     windows.
18
19
                     So I want to put this on the record and
20
     I would like you all to confirm the summer work session
21
     and in the future we will be posting the proposed
22
     windows of meetings years in advance and we can then
23
     confirm them on the record leading up to them.
24
25
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
26
27
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you for
28
    that. Is there any questions of Robbin on the proposed
29
    meeting schedule.
30
31
                     (No comments)
32
33
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Okay. So we're
34
     asking....
35
36
                     MR. BROWER: Madame Chair. Madame
37
    Chair.
38
39
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA:
                                          Yes.
40
41
                     MR. BROWER: Just a question.
42
43
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Go ahead.
44
45
                     MR. BROWER: On your January work
46
     session for 2026 on the blue mark it says February 4
47
     and 5, 2025, is that typo or is what?
48
```

MS. LAVINE: That's a typo, thank you.

49

0450 1 2	Thank you for catching that Mr. Brower.
3 4 5	MR. BROWER: I was going to say sorry that's over.
6 7	MS. LAVINE: Yes, that should be 2026.
8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BROWER: I was going to say that's already over.
	MS. LAVINE: And the same for the one below, yep.
14 15 16	ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you for catching that.
17 18	MR. BROWER: Thank you.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	ACTING CHAIR PITKA: So we're on the summer 2025 summer work session and executive session, this is where we go through the Council annual report replies, correspondence and the Council appointment recommendations. So the dates of July 23rd and 24th of 2025. We'd like to get confirmation at this meeting.
26 27	MR. BROWER: A motion.
28 29 30 31	ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Sorry. Yes, I would like a motion to confirm those dates, thank you so much.
32 33 34	$$\operatorname{MR.}$$ WOODS: Move to confirm recommended schedule for 2025/2026.
35 36	MR. ONEY: Second.
37 38 39 40	ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Okay. It's been moved and seconded. Can I get a roll call vote unless there's further discussion or questions.
41 42	(No comments)
43 44	MR. ONEY: Question.
45 46 47	MS. LEONETTI: Okay, thank you. This is to approve the proposed schedule for upcoming meeting schedule 2025 and 2026.
48 49 50	Starting with BIA, Jolene John.

```
0451
 1
                    MS. JOHN: BIA approves.
 2
 3
                    MS. LEONETTI: Public Member Charlie
 4
    Brower.
 5
 6
                     MR. BROWER: Approve.
 7
 8
                     MS. LEONETTI: Fish and Wildlife
 9
    Service, Sara Boario.
10
11
                     MS. BOARIO: Yes.
12
13
                     MS. LEONETTI: Public Member Frank
14
    Woods.
15
16
                     MR. WOODS: Approve.
17
18
                     MS. LEONETTI: Park Service, Sarah
19
    Creachbaum.
20
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: Concur.
21
22
23
                     MS. LEONETTI: Public Member Raymond
24
    Oney.
25
26
                     MR. ONEY: Approve.
27
28
                    MS. LEONETTI: Forest Service, Greg
29
    Risdahl.
30
31
                    MR. RISDAHL: Forest Service supports.
32
33
                    MS. LEONETTI: BLM, Erika Reed.
34
35
                     MS. REED: BLM supports.
36
37
                     MS. LEONETTI: Rhonda -- Chief Rhonda
     -- Chair Rhonda -- Chief -- Chair Chief Rhonda, and
38
39
     your proxy for Tony as well. Mrs.
40
41
                     (Laughter)
42
43
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Yes. Yes, we both
44
     support. Thank you.
45
46
                     MS. LEONETTI: Okay. Motion passes
47
     unanimously, Madame Chair.
48
49
                     ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you, very
50
```

0452 1 much. At this time I would like to entertain a motion to adjourn. 2 3 4 MS. CREACHBAUM: Madame Chair. 5 6 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Yes, ma'am. 7 8 MS. BOARIO: Before the motion may I 9 ask one more. 10 11 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Please, go ahead. 12 13 MS. BOARIO: Just asking the full Board 14 and our audience one last time to recognize Sarah 15 Creachbaum at her last Federal Subsistence Board, not only for her incredible service to the Board and to 16 17 Alaska but for her three decades of distinguished 18 service to this Country. Sarah brought just amazing 19 experience and perspective to the work and to our work 20 here and across Alaska but also brought so much heart 21 and experience from her own personal life, growing up 22 in small places across rural America and bringing that 23 heart to this work as well. So thank you so much, 24 Sarah, and just one more round of recognition for her. 25 26 (Applause) 27 28 MS. BOARIO: Thank you, Madame Chair. 29 30 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you. 31 thank you for your service Member Creachbaum. We 32 definitely appreciate your willingness to listen and 33 learn and always ask questions. I appreciate that so 34 much and have so much fun in your retirement. That's 35 exciting. 36 37 Now, at this time I would like to 38 entertain a motion to adjourn the meeting. 39 40 MR. WOODS: Move to adjourn. 41 42 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Can I ask for a 43 second please. 44 45 MR. RISDAHL: Forest Service seconds. 46 47 ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Thank you. All in 48 favor say aye.

```
0453
 1
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
 2
 3
                    ACTING CHAIR PITKA: Motion passes, we
 4
   are adjourned. Thank you all very much.
 5
6
                    (Off record)
 7
 8
                       (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0454	
1 2	CERTIFICATE
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ) ) ss.
5 6	STATE OF ALASKA )
7 8 9	I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the state of Alaska and reporter of Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
11 12 13 14 15	THAT the foregoing, contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE BOARD MEETING taken electronically by our firm on the 5th day of February 2025;
16 17 18 19 20	THAT the transcript is a true and correct transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by under my direction and reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and ability;
21 22 23	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party interested in any way in this action.
24 25 26 27 28	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 23rd day of February 2025.
29 30	Salena A. Hile
31	Notary Public, State of Alaska
32	My Commission Expires: 09/16/26
33 34	
35	
36	
37	
38 39	
40	
41	
42 43	
44	
45	
46 47	
4 /	
49	
50	