```
0001
 1
                            NORTH SLOPE SUBSISTENCE
 2
                           REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
 3
 4
                                PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
 7
                                   VOLUME II
 8
 9
                               ILISAGVIK COLLEGE
10
                               Utqiagvik, Alaska
11
                               September 17,2025
12
13
14
15
    COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
    Brower Frantz, Chair
16
17
   Leonard Barger
18 Ernest Nageak
19
   Wanda Kippi
20
   Peter Williams
21
   Quincy Adams
22 Martha Itta
23 Esther Hugo
24
    Jenysa Ahmoagak
25
26
27
28
    Regional Council Coordinator, Gisela Chapa
29
30
31
32
33
34
    Recorded and transcribed by:
35
    Lighthouse Integrated Services Corp
36
37
    877-261-2495
    info@lighthouseonline.com
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

| 0002 | |
|---|--|
| 1 2 | PROCEEDINGS |
| 3 | (Utqiaġvik - 9/17/25) |
| 4 5 | (On record) |
| 6 | |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All right. Welcome back to the second day of the North Slope Regional Advisory Council meeting. My name is Brower Frantz. I'm the Chair for the time being. It's 9:04 a.m. We'll get started again and I guess before we get started, maybe we'll go through and maybe ask Esther, again to maybe to bless the day in the meeting. Esther. |
| 15 | MS. HUGO: (In Native Invocation) |
| 16 17 18 | In Jesus name we pray. Amen. |
| 19 20 | IN UNISON: Amen. |
| 21 22 23 24 25 | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Esther. All right. Before we get started, it looks like we do have some action items on the list and for that, we will need to ensure that we have a quorum. So, Wanda, can we have a roll call, please? |
| 26272829 | MS. KIPPI: Yes, sir, Mr. Chair. Good morning, everybody. Leonard Barger. |
| 30 | MR. BARGER: Here. |
| 31 32 | MS. KIPPI: Ernest Nageak. |
| 33 | MR. NAGEAK: Here. |
| | MS. KIPPI: Wanda Kippi, here. Peter Williams. |
| 38 39 40 | MR. WILLIAMS: Here. |
| 41 42 | MS. KIPPI: Quincy Adams. |
| 43 | MR. ADAMS: Here. |
| 44 | MS. KIPPI: Welcome back. Martha Itta. |
| 46 47 | (No response) |
| 48 49 50 | Esther Hugo. |

0003 1 MS. HUGO: Here. 2 3 MS. KIPPI: Brower Frantz. 4 5 CHAIRMAN FRANTZ: Here. 6 7 MS. KIPPI: And Jenysa Ahmaogak. 8 9 MS. AHMAOGAK: Here. 10 11 MS. KIPPI: Mr. Chair, we have a quorum. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All right. Thank 14 you, Wanda. 15 16 MS. KIPPA: You're welcome. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, we will be able 19 to take action on the remainder of the items today and 20 Gisela, is there anything else that we needed to go 21 through before we start on our action items? 22 23 MS. CHAPA: Mr. Chair, I think we can 24 give an opportunity for new attendees to introduce 25 themselves. 26 27 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All right introductions. We'll start online. Is there anybody 28 29 online that well, I guess we'll do introductions for 30 anybody online for the day. 31 32 MR. PRIDAY: Hello. This is Jonathan 33 Priday. I'm with BLM down in Fairbanks. I am the new 34 Arctic District Ranger that covers the section that the 35 North Slope RAC is dealing with and I just wanted to 36 attend today to get to know you folks, be available for 37 questions and concerns, and hopefully I'll be attending 38 meetings in the future. 39 40 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Good morning. Thank 41 you. Anybody else online? 42 43 MR. TONY: Good morning. This is Phillip 44 Tony, I'm the natural resources manager for Native 45 Village of Nuigsut. 46 47 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Good morning, 48 Phillip. All right. Yeah, we forgot to say if there's 49 anybody else online that needs to unmute yourself, press

star six in order to be introduced. So, star six is to

unmute yourself.

(No response)

All right. Hearing no more online. We'll go through and see if anybody that we have missed maybe yesterday for sign ins is here and needs to introduce yourself. Unless you think we should go through the entire room again. All right. It seems like we have another one of our members here today. So, we will introduce Mr. Adams. Go ahead.

MR. ADAMS: Good morning. Quincy Adams, for the record, North Slope RAC representative for Utqiagvik. Just got in a little late last night and this, as you guys know, good to be back for this meeting. Just in time for the last day of it.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Mr. Adams. Welcome back. He was out subsistence hunting. So, I guess that's an excuse. All right. Oh so, we did have our Council members' reports yesterday, and we've completed all of them for the rest of the Council members. So, if you could maybe give us a report for the year-on-year end, Mr. Adams. So, just in general, Council members report for your area.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you opportunity. First, want to start off with springtime, the spring hunt, bowhead. We had seven -- seven whales landed and seven loss. It was a 50:50 ratio. That is kind of a bad year, in a way, but good to feed our people with the food that we love to eat. And also, there's about six, want to say, six beluga that were taken over the summer. But a lot of people are starting to go out caribou hunting and fishing. You know, we got -- I just got back so, we got six, got three real nice bulls and three young bulls and they're all pretty fat. Nice, good to see fat covering the whole brisket. So, we had gotten 15 whitefish in two days. Also, got a couple of burbot, two burbots and a pike. My cousin was out with us as well too, brought us up Chipp, and he got about 15 whitefish as well. A lot of people getting bearded seals and walrus over the summer. So, all the freezers are getting pretty packed. There's a lot of good meat hanging up and drying from the seal meat as well. Walrus was plentiful again this year so, it was a good year on walrus. Other than that, everybody's just out fall hunting. That's where I was out at the cabin. Cabin and pitching a tent with the family. So, that was a good,

good time to have out there. I was going to stay out there another couple days. But we saw the short window of the weather with the wind coming down. So, it's a night ride, which isn't too much fun out in the rivers, but it's just my general overall report that I have, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Chair -- or Mr. Council member Adams. I'm handing over the seats here. Quincy's here. You know, so it sounds like you had a good year, and I normally would have used that weather window to, oh, can't make it home yet so. So, it sounds like dinners at Quincy's. Alright. So

MR. NAGEAK: Any muskox by chance?

MR. ADAMS: We saw a couple of muskox. You know, there's right around 12 and a half. There was like, four big bulls that just kept on running, and they crossed the river right away, but they kept looking back, run a little bit, kept looking back. And then we ended up looking towards the sandbar and there was a muskox right in the area. So, there was a couple of them hanging around, saw a couple of brown bears that were a little bit past Chipp 13, on the way from 13 going up kind of towards Simutuk area. It's a really beautiful country and it just unfortunately we didn't see any caribou or anything, any tuttu or anything like that. But, you know, definitely some muskox.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Sounds good. Thanks for covering there, Ernest. No. I made sure to cover muskox pretty well yesterday. Go ahead, Leonard.

MR. BARGER: Sorry, I forgot to bring up yesterday on my report too one of the hunters saw a beaver up in the Kukpuk River in Point Hope. So, I told them if they ever see that kind of animal, you know, kill it, because it's going to be a real problem and stuff. So, I forgot to mention that yesterday because my -- one of my nephews called me like, hey, you talk about the, you know, the beaver up in Kukpuk River. So, yeah, I just want to let you know.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: They'd have to do some real evolution too, to be up here with no trees. But that's good to note. Interesting to put down for your location. All right. So, with all of our reports being completed now. Looks like we'll move on to the next item, and we'll open up the floor to public comment

on non-agenda items for the day. Are there any online public comments on non-agenda items? This is your time to take the floor. If you have any public comment, non-agenda items.

(No comments)

Alright. Hearing none from online. Do we have any (distortion)...? Do we have any comments in the room? We don't have any cards that were submitted but want to open up the floor to anybody that might have any public comment on non-agenda items for the floor.

(No comments)

Alright. Seeing none, we'll move on and if anything comes in throughout the day, we will make sure to give them a chance to speak. But, for now, we'll move on to the next item. We do have an update for the working group yesterday it sounds like. You have the floor.

MS. CHAPA: Oh, thank you, Mr. Chair. I just wanted to ensure -- we don't have to go over that item right now. If the Council wishes to go over the Wildlife Closure Review first, but perhaps something that we can discuss or the Council can discuss to know where in the agenda we're going to be doing the report out.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, it sounds like it might be a good time to do this, because there is a deadline on this and it's fresh in the day. So, if there's nothing pressing, is that something that we would like to go through now?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ BARGER: Mr. Chair, I make a motion to approved to consider the things you got with the working group, so.....

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, the motion on the floor to consider -- I'm not sure if that's the proper wording for this, but to go through the working group discussion for consideration and submitting to Sara Taylor, prior to the deadline. What is it today? So, to consider and approve the talking points prior to submission and that was a motion.

MR. NAGEAK: For the people that weren't there. Can we have a chance to read it, or unless you

give us a overall -- can you give us a brief briefing on it?

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: It would be to go through it, and maybe we can put that under discussion. But we do have a motion.

MR. ADAMS: Second the motion.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Motion has been seconded and under discussion maybe we will go through -- Gisela.

MS. CHAPA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair. My name is Gisela Chapa, for the record and just as an update for those who weren't here yesterday. Yesterday we received an update, a report from the Secretary's Office discussing a review, a programmatic review of the Federal Subsistence Management Program with, like, very specific areas that they're looking to review. And they requested some feedback from the Council and so -because we didn't have time in our agenda, we -- or the Council chose to have a working group discussion after our meeting to go over the report and try to provide some feedback. And so, this would be the opportunity to share with the Council what the working group discussed, and the Council can continue discussing or maybe provide further input. But, if the Council wants to provide formal comments on this request, then the Council will need to go through a motion to approve what is submitted to the Secretary's Office.

 MS. GREDIAGIN: Lisa Grediagin, for the record, would it be helpful -- I mean, I can share this digitally on the screen and if you guys have any additions or edits to what the working group developed, I can just take notes kind of in real time up on the screen, if that's helpful.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Sounds good to me.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Okay.

MS. CHAPA: Okay. So, I can give Lisa a couple of minutes to share her screen and give you all a few minutes to read. But I'm going to be going over what the working group discussed.

(Pause)

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

Okay. Oh, and I apologize for the people in the room. I asked the College if we could make a few copies and offer the Council. So, I do not have access to a printer. I don't travel with a printer, but we're going to go over it so that you can have an opportunity to also, listen and hopefully you can see the screen behind it. Okay. So, one of the items that the Secretary -- Secretary's office wanted some feedback on was on the move of the Office of Subsistence Management from the Fish and Wildlife Service to the Office of the Assistant Secretary for policy, Management and Budget. Yesterday, during the working group discussion, the group concluded that they have not noticed any differences or changes throughout the move and so, they -- they're neutral on the move. The next item for consideration was the criteria for Regional Advisory Council membership. I can give everybody a brief overview of what that requires if you give me a second.

18 19 20

(Pause)

21 22

23

2425

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48 49

50

Okay. Well, I don't have that argument right here in front of me. But basically, when people are interested in serving on the RAC, they fill out an application and there's some eligibility criteria that we go through, the nominations process in order for them to be considered for the position. One of them is that they have to be knowledgeable -- they have to reside in the region they're applying for. They have to be knowledgeable about the resources, like the wildlife and fish populations in the region. They have to be knowledgeable about subsistence. They have to be knowledgeable about commercial, or sport uses in the area. They have to show and demonstrate leadership abilities and qualities also, have and good communication skills. So, when the working group reviewed this eligibility, they wanted to add to the eligibility criteria and state that those applying have to be actively or have actively participated in subsistence activities versus just being knowledgeable about it. Throughout the process, we also ask that the applicants submit references, and the working group agreed that is a good thing to have in the application process because it works well. But they did note that the process between the application and the appointment takes too long. Sometimes people may have moved on and do other things or maybe forgotten that they've applied. So, it would be beneficial if we can find a way to shorten the process and make it more user friendly in that regard. Anything else?

1

2 it. And we did submit some notes on the beginning one for the OSM move. So, we did submit some notes there 5 that were kind of supported by another group here. But -- and we shared the same sentiment. So, I just wanted to note that, that we did submit some notes that were 8

additional to that in that regard.

9 10

MR. BARGER: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: I think that covered

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

MR. BARGER: Yeah. I'd like to bring up I know the -- we we're here for two years. I would like to recommend, you know, a three year term instead of, you know, like all these other entities and stuff, you know, they're, you know, you know, Board or, you know, I'd like to recommend, you know, instead of a two year because, you know, it's kind of -- like you said, it's going to be a long, hard, you know, process of getting that person. So, you know, like to recommend it instead of a two-year, be good three-year term. So, thanks.

27

28

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay, so, I think that's a little different than criteria for the RAC membership. So, there's the criteria, but then there's the duration of the membership. So, that would be maybe additional to that, if we were to submit that.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Lisa Grediagin for the record, I think -- I mean, it's fine to put that in here, but I thought it was a three-year term. Oh, okay.

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

MS. CHAPA: Okay. Mr. Chair, if I can. Yes, Council members do serve three-year terms, but sometimes we have people that resign from their seats. And so, when we're trying to backfill for vacant positions, sometimes the term for that specific position is one year or two years. I know Mr. Council member Williams had to apply a couple of times consecutively. Well, I mean, his term was like for one year and so, he had to like reapply the following year because as the positions are back filled each seat have kind of like overlapping terms so, that we don't end up with the possibility of having all Council members resign and not have any Council, any filled seats, if that makes sense.

47 48 49

50

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Sounds like you got it. All right. I guess we'll move on to keep going with the working group points.

1 2 3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and I might also add that people can be nominated to serve on the RAC as well. So, in addition to anybody wanting to do it for themselves you can also recommend somebody and nominate somebody to apply on the RAC and that individual just has to be in agreement that they're willing to serve if appointed. Okay, moving on. The next item was membership of the Federal Subsistence Board. And just to give everybody a quick overview, I think last year there was three -- there was the addition of three tribally nominated seats on the Board. So, the current composition of the Board is the heads of five agencies and six public members, and the working group discussed that this is a good move in the right path. Adding more public members that are tribally nominated, they support the addition of more public members. And Chair Frantz mentioned that it's good and enjoys going to the Federal Subsistence Board meetings to understand limitations on authority or jurisdiction, but, overall satisfied with the current composition of the Board.

222324

25

26

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Any questions, comments on the federal portion of it? Federal Subsistence Board. If not, we can move on to the next item.

272829

(No comment)

30 31

Hearing none. Gisela.

32 33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. CHAPA: Okay. The next topic was regulations and state regulations duplication and inconsistency. This generated a lot of debate within our working group. So, there's several recommendations that -- or comments at the working group is proposing to submit. We -- to begin with, like the topic was too broad and the working group believes that we needed a little bit more direction to identify, like to really get into what is being asked and requested more details or information in order for us to provide meaningful feedback. After that discussion, we just made the assumption that we're looking to compare both of the federal and the state regulations and see where the process could be improved. One of the things that the working group shared was that they would prefer the state to mirror the federal regulations because the state regulations are against the subsistence priority. The group also discussed that the state is allowing and

encouraging guided fly-in hunts, while the federal government has nothing to do with that. And through that process, the working group stated that the state is collecting money for these permits, and so, they have a monetary incentive to continue those guided hunts.

Another discussion that the working group had was that sport hunters do not know or respect the subsistence traditions, like letting the lead caribou pass and they found instances where the sport hunters that -- or the fly-in hunters set in camps during on the caribou migration pathways and sometimes affect or deflect their movement and deflect them from traditional subsistence hunting areas and it's blocking migration paths to Anaktuvuk Pass.

Okay, moving on. The next item that the working group identified is that there is a lack of state and federal enforcement. And so, the burden is falling on the North Slope Borough and exhausting Borough resources. And in that process, they requested that more state and federal law enforcement officers are needed to enforce harvest regulations. In addition to that, we need more education and orientation of sport hunters to ensure their compliance with regulations and so that they also respect local subsistence users and traditional practices. And the last item that the working group identified is that we need to ensure the regulations on paper can actually be enforced or implemented on the ground because regulations are meaningless if they're not enforced or follow through.

MR. NAGEAK: Yes. Good to know -- sorry, Ernest Nageak, because when we had the caribou issue, there was this -- what is it? I think a Seaway or controlled flying area. Controlled use area for Umiak towards AKP right now and it's -- it was put in regulation last year to extend the area and who's taking responsibility to watch those planes? And also, you know, there's a kind of hunt after -- or within 24 hours of flying. Who manages that too? You know. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Leonard.

MR. BARGER: Mr. Chair, thank you. Leonard. I know I brought it up in our North Slope Borough wild life meeting, and it was on Facebook, you know, one of the sport hunters at Kotzebue, you know, really cussing out one of the cargo guys that, you know, don't, f-ing [sic] touch my antlers. You know, he's a

cargo guy and he had to take over and he was really upset. You know, he didn't -- you f-ing Native, you know, don't touch him like, it's on Facebook. That was last year, and those sport hunters were really, you know, coming in and Kotzebue. So, I just want to bring that up and, you know, like you said, you know, we need really good state and federal enforcement all over, not just, you know, Umiak or other places. You know, it's all over, you know. So, we're -- thanks.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you and just to point out, we did discuss both state and federal lands that were being utilized for flying hunts. So, we did have both the discussions for both the areas that are being utilized. So, there were comments on both the state side for flying hunts as well as the federal side flying hunts that we did have.....

(Simultaneous speech)

MR. NAGEAK: And then there's also, Native lands. When I went to AKP and visit the Park Service, they told us that, you know, there's a certain time period they could hunt sheep over here, but on Native land they could get some earlier than the certain regulations in this state land, federal land, Native land and some of these areas. It could be confusing at times because -- yeah.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Yep. So, we did discuss the -- not so, much the dates, but the -- because even if the date wasn't there, it's still state versus federal. So, we did have both the discussions though. But you're right and also, there's private lands that they were flying into. But that's another portion of it. But I guess we'll stick with what the -- I mean, what we needed to put in for the comment period, though.

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll move on. The next item that the Secretary's Office requested feedback on was regulations governing special actions and the working group had no comments on this.

(Pause)

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Yeah, yeah, I think we can move to the next one. \\$

MS. CHAPA: Okay. The next item that the Secretary's Office requested feedback on is the role of

the state and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game in 1 the Federal Subsistence Management Program. The working group had a lot of discussion on this item as well and they stated that it's good to involve the state in the 5 process and have a designated ADF&G person to 6 disseminate information and provide input. But the state is generally opposed to subsistence and does not benefit 8 subsistence users. The working group 9 maintaining their current role and definitely do not 10 support increasing the role of ADF&G in the Federal 11 Subsistence Management Program. Throughout 12 discussion, one of our working group members stated that 13 it's easier to shoot a protected polar bear than it is 14 to shoot a caribou and less likely to go to jail over 15 it because state regulations are so onerous. The working 16 group stated that they really appreciate receiving 17 biological and technical information from ADF&G during 18 meetings so that they are better informed when making decisions. But there is still some reluctance from 19 residents of the region to participate in Borough 20 21 surveys because they're afraid the information will be 22 shared with the state and used against them to change 23 regulations. So, as they discussed this item, they demonstrated several examples in which their area 24 25 residents still show a lot of distrust for the state. 26 And communities do not trust the state in augmenting the 27 role of ADF&G in the Federal Subsistence Management 28 process, would increase mistrust in the federal program 29 as well. And there's a lot of history for the mistrust 30 between local users and ADF&G because of citations and 31 onerous regulations.

32

(Pause)

33 34 35

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Hearing no comments. I think we're good for the next one.

36 37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. CHAPA: Excellent. Moving on, the next item they requested feedback on is on Board procedures for non-rural determinations and the working group had no comments. Everybody in the North Slope region is rural. I will add that the group also had some discussions outside of these bulleted items that were provided to us. One of the comments is that the regulatory process takes too long. The process works, but they have to wait two years for a regulatory change to be implemented. Also, along the lines of what you shared earlier, Mr. Chair, is that DOI should be sensitive to provide adequate notice. This process of programmatic review is really difficult to provide

comments because there was not much notice or information provided, and providing so little information with such short notice seems like something was skipped.

Okay. In addition to that, the working group also discussed the need for higher travel premiums because the costs have increased dramatically and illustrated with some examples how people in the Borough receive like a 4% cost of living allowance increase. Council members also, need to be compensated for their time, per diem is not enough to cover expenses, and Council members currently volunteer their time. So, this could become a barrier to recruiting new members because many people cannot afford to be on the Council. And the working group also, talked about a letter that the Council had sent to the Secretary to the Secretary several years ago, requesting that Council members be compensated at the GS 12 level while attending their meetings. And, along these lines the working group also discussed that the knowledge that is shared with the Federal Subsistence Board is also very valuable and also should be compensated.

 And the last thing that the working group discussed is that we need more opportunities for collaboration and information sharing between different regions and organizations. For example, in 2024, we had an All-Council meeting, and we also had sessions with four Councils discussing one wildlife proposal that would affect all of them and regularly these discussions would happen region by region. But, during the all-Council meeting, we had an opportunity to have the four Councils sitting together and discuss the issue. And in addition to that, more interaction with Subsistence Resource Commission and State Advisory Committees. And that was — that is the end of what was discussed in the working group.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for the update. Any further comments or suggestions for our input before we end the discussion portion of this and go for the yays and nays for the Council? Go ahead, Lisa.

MS. GREDIAGIN: I just wanted to note, like a few minor edits I took to these comments while Gisela was presenting, and then, you know, we had to -so, if you look, it's on the screen behind you. Brower, but I did just note under the criteria for Council --

36

37 38 39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

00015 Regional Advisory Council membership. You know, Leonard 1 mentioned he liked the three-year terms, you know, so, I think that's valuable to put in there, not just what you don't like, but what you do like. If people are in 5 agreement with that and then the rest was just minor edits. I did clarify for this bullet point, you know, 6 on the fly-in hunts that it's on state, federal and 8 Okay that was just private lands. saying 9 clarification. I think that's -- the rest of these were 10 just clarifications. So, I think the main addition was 11 just adding the three-year terms and then adding, you 12 know, some additional clarification. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, everybody good 15 with the edits before we say yes or...? 16 17 MS. GREDIAGIN: I mean, I can go over 18 more details but, most of them are just minor other than 19 the three-year terms. 20 21 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay, it sounds like 22 we're okay with that. 23 24 MS. GREDIAGIN: Okay. 25 26 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Peter. 27 28 MR. WILLIAMS: Does that mean I'm still 29 in one year term all the time? 30 31 MS. CHAPA: Mr. -- through the Chair. This is Gisela Chap and no, Mr. Williams, I'll have to 32 33 look at the roster to look at the term of the current

seat that you're in, but I think we're still going through the appoint -- the appointment process -- the nominations and appointment process and yeah. I'll follow up with you and give you the details.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All right. Go ahead, Leonard.

MR. BARGER: Yes, Mr. Chair. Leonard. I got another -- I know we got this, you know, this agenda and, you know, on the agenda, you know, the units and stuff and we're talking about the units in the Kotzebue area. You know, in my district, you know, 23 and they're having meetings in Kotzebue, and we don't have a representative from Point Hope that, you know, that's going to the Kotzebue meeting and, you know, voicing our -- that's our unit to, you know, like we talk about

boundaries and stuff and we're doing these proposals. And I would like to address, you know, see if we can have somebody represent in our unit, in our area. So, thank you.

(Pause)

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All right so, maybe we'll go through yays and nays before -- I mean, individually, before we go through this. Go ahead, Lisa.

MS. GREDIAGIN: In response -- Lisa Grediagin, for the record. In response to Leonard's comment, I just kind of added that onto this last bullet point about need more opportunities for collaboration and information sharing to point out that specific example of Point Hope representatives attending the Northwest Arctic Council meeting since Point Hope is in Unit 23. I think that kind of ties into the -- more collaboration across regions.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Yeah, sorry about that. I think if we have any, anything that's related to Unit 23 means that we do have a portion of our jurisdiction in there, I think that is a good discussion and addition.

MS. GREDIAGIN: And -- sorry, finally, I just wanted to emphasize to the Council that even though there are these seven bullet points that the department has highlighted, they want feedback from, you're also welcome to provide feedback on anything regarding the program. And, you know, that's why we have this other comment category. So, if there's -- you know, now's your time if there's anything you really like about the program, anything you think could be improved or changed please speak up now because this is a pretty important big process, and I think they'll take your comments pretty seriously.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay. I guess maybe with the addition of, well, we've already discussed it, but if they gave us more time, we would be more than happy to discuss this in depth. But this was something that not even 24 hours ago was handed to us and I don't feel like we should waste the entire meeting, and you know, the agenda on something that is so pressed right now and make decisions on the on the fly. So, that's just my thought process on this. I think we need to get this one out the door and not pour our whole time into

 this and that you know, if they do make rash decisions off of this that, you know, we weren't given our due diligence and to put that on record. So, we're happy to provide what was there though, even though it was a compressed working group within the same day, not even six hours later. That's kind of unheard of. So, I wouldn't press anybody to really go dive off the deep end into this right now. If there are additional discussions on this, I feel that they should maybe extend some time and give us more information and details because there are none, before asking us to make any, any pressing decisions on something that we don't have details on. So, go ahead, Peter.

 MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, I support this going on because the reason why I said this because they invited us to their Manila Subsistence in February, right, Esther? Yeah, in February. So, you know, they we're supporting one another about what's going on in this criteria. So, you know, we're fully supporting them. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay. All right. Now more for the working group discussion that we've had. It sounds like we want to go through individually. What we've gone through is this something that we would like to complete and submit? And are you in favor of it? So, maybe Wanda will have a roll call before we go through this, individually. So, we'll go around the table and just, you know, just get a consensus of, is this adequate? Before we do our final call on this.

MR. BARGER: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Leonard.

MR. BARGER: Yeah. I'd like to make a motion to approve all instead of going through one by one, because we've already discussed it and talked about it so, it makes it a lot easier if we could make them - I'd like to make a motion to approve all the stuff that we discussed. So, you know, it's going to be one by one it's going to be taking a lot longer because, you know.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: I don't know that we can do a motion within a motion. So, we're sitting inside a motion on discussion. But we need on record.....

MR. BARGER: Okay.

```
00018
 1
 2
                     CHYAIRPERSON FRANTZ: .....the consensus
     individually just for the details and that way we can
    make the end vote on it. Go ahead, Wanda.
 5
 6
                     MS. KIPPI: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Leonard
 7
    Barger.
 8
 9
                     MR. BARGER: Yes.
10
11
                     MS. KIPPI: Ernest Nageak.
12
                     MR. NAGEAK: Yes.
13
14
15
                     MS. KIPPI: Wanda Kippi, yes. Peter
16
    Williams.
17
18
                     MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
19
20
                     MS. KIPPI: Quincy Adams.
21
22
                     MR. ADAMS: Yes.
23
24
                     MS. KIPPI: Martha Itta.
25
26
                     (No response)
27
28
                     Esther Hugo.
29
30
                     (No audible response)
31
32
                     Brower Frantz.
33
34
                     CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Now we'll activate
35
     the microphone first.
36
37
                     MS. HUGO: Yes.
38
39
                     MS. KIPPI: Thank you. Brower Frantz.
40
                     CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Yes.
41
42
43
                     MS. KIPPI: And Jenysa Ahmaogak.
44
45
                     MS. AHMAOGAK: Yes.
46
47
                     MR. KIPPI: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
48
                     CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Yes.
49
```

| 0001 | 9 |
|------|---|
| 1 | MS. KIPPI: We have one, two, three, |
| 2 | four, eight. Eight yays. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Eight yays and one |
| 5 | absent. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | MS. KIPPI: Yes. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, it looks like |
| 10 | we have enough for the passing of the working group |
| 11 | discussion. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | MS. KIPPI: Yes, sir. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | MS. CHAPA: Mr. Chair. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Gisela. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | MS. CHAPA: And I believe we also need a |
| 20 | motion and a vote to submit these comments once the |
| 21 | public comment period opens. |
| 22 | |
| 23 | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: What's the wish of |
| 24 | the Council? Would anybody like to make a motion to |
| 25 | submit the Council report? |
| 26 | |
| 27 | MR. ADAMS: Move to approve. |
| 28 | |

29 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: To submit?

30 31 MR. ADAMS: Yes. 32

33 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay. There's a 34 motion to submit....

35
36
MS. AHMAOGAK: Seconded.

37
38 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ:the Council
39 working group discussion. Seconded by Jenysa.

40 41 MR. BARGER: Question.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Questions been called for by Leonard. All those in favor of submitting the working group Council items to -- what was the name of the -- the programmatic review. All those in favor, say aye.

49 IN UNISON: Aye.

50

42 43

44 45

46

47

All those opposed, same sign.

1 2

(No response)

 Hearing none, we can submit the working group discussion. Thank you. All right. What time is it moving -- 9:54, moving forward. It looks like we have action items here up next. Is this correct? All right so, we have action items, and we do have an established quorum. So, we will be able to make a motion on these for approval. These are all action items coming up, or at least the next couple. So, we have WCR26-18, and I believe that is Tom again. You have the floor.

(Pause)

MR. PLANK: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the Council. For the record, my name is Tom Plank, Wildlife Biologist with the Office of Management and closure review WCR26-18 Starting on page 39 of your supplemental books. And this is for closure to sheep hunting by non-federally qualified users in the Baird Mountains of Unit 23. That's south of the Rabbit Creek, the Kayak Creek and the Noatak River and west of the Cutler and Redstone Rivers. There's a map on page 40 that shows it pretty -- really nice.

There have been many changes to the sheep hunt over the years. However, the original closure that were reviewing this cycle was put in place in 1999. No sheep harvest has occurred in the Baird Mountains under federal or state regulations since 2014, when the seasons were closed due to conservation concerns. Between 2011 and 2019, the sheep population estimates ranged from 174 to 643 sheep, with the highest being in 2011 and the lowest being in 2019. The preliminary numbers from the 2024 survey indicates that the population showed a slight increase from the 2019 survey. Low sheep abundance resulted in closures for both state and federal hunting seasons in the Baird Mountains from 1991 to 1994, and the federal subsistence hunt was opened briefly in -- or the 1998-99 regulatory year, and harvest occurred each year through 2014, except for the '99, 2000 and the 2001 seasons. Low numbers of full curl rams were observed during surveys, so the hunt was closed for those two years.

The Baird Mountain sheep population is still very low and cannot withstand any harvest, and the state sheep hunt and Unit 23 has been closed since 2014,

and if a harvestable surplus does become available and 1 a season is announced, the Western Arctic National Parkland Superintendent currently has authority to close federal lands in North -- federal lands to non-federally 5 qualified users if necessary, so the federally qualified 6 subsistence users will be assured a limited, meaningful hunting opportunity and subsistence priority. 8 For reference, sheep populations in the De Long and Schwatka Mountains are similarly low, but there are no 10 federal lands closures because state hunts are closed and the WEAR superintendent has authority to close 11 12 federal lands non-federally qualified users, 13 necessary, in all those areas -- hunt areas. 14 therefore, the preliminary conclusion is 15 preliminary conclusion is to rescind the closure because 16 we're sending the closure would have no impact on the 17 sheep population or subsistence users but would reduce 18 regulatory and administrative burdens associated with 19 the closure. However, I do want to point out one little 20 thing. The WEAR superintendent could possibly lose their 21 authority to close the federal public lands in Unit 23 22 to non-subsistence uses of sheep, if that WP26-01 is 23 adopted. OSM maintains that retaining the closure and 24 regulatory -- regulation is unnecessary, since the state hunt has been closed since 2014. And if a state hunt 25 26 does open in the future, the Board still could 27 administratively delegate temporary authority to close 28 federal public lands to non-federally qualified users 29 to the WEAR superintendent, if necessary. Temporary or 30 emergency special action could also be submitted. Thank 31 you, Mr. Chair, and members of Council. I'd be happy to 32 answer any questions.

33 34

35

36 37

38

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, I do have a question there, Tom. So, it says preliminary conclusion is to rescind the closure but, yet the numbers are low. Is that strictly for reducing just the regulatory burden on you guys or what? What's the story here? It doesn't make sense to me.

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. PLANK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Tom Plank, OSM. So, basically, right now it has several different layers of closure going on. You have the closure, which was what we're reviewing right now. Plus, the superintendent of the parklands there has a Delegation of Authority Letter. So, even if the closure wasn't in place, he can open -- or that superintendent can open or close at any time, depending on the population or they can set -- there's a lot -- that was the delegation of authority that we looked at yesterday

on that. So, right now it's double closed. And that's why we're suggesting just to rescind the closure part of it, leave the authority for the delegate -- for the manager. And yes, to finish answering your question, that would reduce the burden on us. So, we wouldn't have to bring this to you every two years.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, how, -- I guess how likely is this to impact the population? Would it make this easier for them to be hunting sheep if we rescinded the closure? I mean, it's not like we're the only ones that are going to have a say in this, but would rescinding the closure make it easier for sheep to be hunted?

MR. PLANK: Through Chair, Tom Plank, OSM. Honestly, it -- it's hard to say because the population is so low. There's no foreseeable period where I could see them opening that hunt. There's just -- they can't withstand a harvest right now, so, it's kind of hard to say whether lifting this would make it easier or not, because there's so many other factors involved.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay. But it's definitely not going to be open anytime soon, is what you're saying.

MR. BARGER: Mr. Chair

MS. GREDIAGIN: Lisa Grediagin. Sorry to jump in on you, Tom, but the other aspects of this specific closure is that the state hunt has been closed since 2014, and so, it seems a little unnecessary, like Tom says, to have these like, multiple layers of closures when you know the state hunt is -- or has been closed for a decade now. And it also is consistent with the other hunt areas in Unit 23. So, if you look at the map we're talking about the green area, the Baird Mountains. And so, this one has a closure and federal regulations, whereas the red and the blue and the purple -- or I mean the red and the blue, they don't have a closure in federal regulations, but it's the same sheep populations basically. I mean, they're all super low. The state hunts been closed. The federal hunt's been closed since 2016. I mean, the sheep population is doing horrible so, there's just no harvest at all. And so, it's a little inconsistent to have this federal closure regulations for one hunt area, but not the other two hunt areas. And I mean, we'll be honest, like it is

partly selfish to reduce the regulatory burden on us of like doing these close reviews every four years and you guys having to look at them again every four years. But it's also, because the state hunt's been closed since 2014, and the other two hunt areas that are in the same situation don't have a codified closure in regulations. And if, I mean, if this closure is rescinded, technically, I mean, it would be easier for hunt to be open because the state could open a hunt, and then there'd have to be some action taken at that time on the federal side to keep it closed to, you know, state hunters.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Ernest.

MR. NAGEAK: So, we should rescind, but let federally subsistent users have, in case there's enough, deter the authority to the superintendent to allow a special hunt, but. Recommendation, they should rescind all closures for federally subsistence use that are in certain area, whether they're in one RAC or, you know, deferred to the Borough or defer it to the superintendent or the Park Service, or because, you know, the federally used the subsistence use are in the actual towns, and I'm glad they could -- they're closing the federal -- non-federal subsistence users, but we want to rescind the closure so, we could have federally subsistence users to have a chance in the future. If there is enough for the superintendent, say, hey, catch one.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, I just wanted to cut you off real quick on that because this is only for non-federally qualified users. So, this has nothing -- this won't affect the federal users -- federally qualified subsistence users. This is just for -- so, essentially by rescinding -- if -- so if we rescinded the closure, this would in effect make it easier for non-federally qualified users to have a hunt there. So, the subsistence will still be there. The -- or you know it shouldn't affect the subsistence users. This is specifically for non-federally qualified users. So, what they're asking is to -- at least their conclusion is to rescind the closure. But, in effect that is against what our wishes would normally be as subsistence users in the RAC. If we're trying to stop non-federally qualified users to go in there, if we followed this measure, we would in effect be going against what we normally are doing.

| 1 2 | to rescind? | MR. NAGEAK: So, you're recommending not |
|--|--|--|
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | So, that's why we're not discus just for non-fed | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: That's the hould be having right now as a Council. I wanted to stop right there, because ssing subsistence at this point. This is derally qualified users. So, just wanted ear so, we're making a good decision. |
| L1 L2 L3 | I don't want nom | MR. NAGEAK: Okay, just to make it clear, n-federally users catching goats, so. |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | to rescind, you this or put our is going to go | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: And also, you're a very low chance of it. But, if we were know, at least the federal closure on 2 cents in for rescinding, because this all over the place before they make a for us, though, I would not rescind this |
| 22 23 24 | their home region | MR. NAGEAK: I make a motion to defer to on. |
| 25 26 27 28 | option. So, okay | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: That's also an y, that's a motion that is MS. AHMAOGAK: Seconded. |
| 29 30 31 32 | | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Seconded by Jenysa. MR. BARGER: Question. |
| 33 34 35 36 37 | I think we're go | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Oh no. We didn't go. We didn't go through the entire so, bing to have to make a motion to rescind bugh our presentation procedures. |
| 38 39 10 11 | | MR. NAGEAK: So, retain my motion then. CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, is that a motion |
| 12 13 | to rescind your | motion? |
| 14 15 16 | | MR. NAGEAK: Motion to rescind my motion. MR. ADAMS: Seconded. |
| 17 18 19 | discussion? | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Seconded. Any |

1 MR WILLIAMS: Question. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Questions 4 called for all those in favor to rescind the motion, say 5 aye. 6 7 IN UNISON: Aye. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Those opposed, same 10 sign. 11 12 (No response) 13 14 We've rescinded Hearing none. motion. So, let's go through -- or any other questions 15 for Tom before we stop this. Is everybody clear on what 16 17 we're doing now or what we're discussing? 18 19 MS. AHMAOGAK: Mr. Chair. 20 21 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Yes. 22 23 MS. AHMAOGAK: Jenysa Ahmaogak, for the 24 record, Tom just to clarify, this would -- for this unit, this is basically mirroring our side with 25 26 Kaktovik, the non-federally qualified users. So, the 27 only qualified users are the villages in that unit? 28 29 MR. PLANK: Thank you, member for asking that. If you look on page 42, you'll see where it says 30 31 the customary and traditional use determination and that 32 shows that the people who have -- that are -- have 33 traditional use for this area are the residents of Unit 34 23, north of the Arctic Circle and Point Lay have a 35 customary traditional use determination for the sheep 36 in Unit 23. So, those would be the ones that have the -37 - would be federally qualified users for these sheep. 38 39 MS. AHMAOGAK: Okay, yes. So, yeah. So, 40 it's basically just mirroring what we have here said in the North Slope for Kaktovik. Thank you for that. 41 42 43 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Any other questions 44

for Tom? Go ahead, Leonard.

45 46 47

48

49

50

MR. BARGER: Yes, Tom. Yeah. I want to ask you where you get your numbers on the sheep? Who does the survey? Because I -- past 12 years I've been at Lisburne. There's like 32 sheeps [sic] over by Cape or -- at Lisburne area in the mountains. So, they got a

cave there and I got a lot of hunters that always check on them. So, there was like six bulls with -- fully curled and a lot of young ones for their mating and stuff, they hang around there past 12 years and stuff. So, I just want to see where you get your input on numbers and stuff. So, Thanks.

MR. PLANK: Thank you, member. This is Tom, OSM. So, the surveys are done in a joint effort with ADF&G and the Park Service in that area. The last survey was done in 2019 and then there's reasons why they couldn't get surveys between them. But they did do a survey last year. I just — they haven't had a chance to get to completed numbers to me yet on that. But that was why — they told me the preliminary. They have slightly increased, but there's not a huge increase yet. But thank you for telling me that and we can let them know about that as well.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All right. If we don't have any other questions for Tom, I think we'll get started on our procedures. All right. Go ahead, Carmen.

 MS. DAGGETT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Carmen Daggett for the record. I just wanted to let you know that Christie Osburn is the Area Biologist for Unit 23, and I believe she's on the call today. If you guys had specific population questions regarding the sheep, she helps do those surveys and could answer some more of those details. I am -- she's way more of an expert on that sort of stuff than I am but, she's available online if you have specific questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for that. All right. I think those are outside of where the discussion is leading now. It is within the unit, but I don't think it pertains to what we have in front of us right now. So, but Leonard, there is somebody online, if you have questions later about specifics to that population.

MR. BARGER: Yeah, I'm just bringing it up because, you know, like I said earlier -- yesterday that, you know, Unit 23, we're being left out. And, you know, I would like to address our unit and stuff and the boundaries. So, I just want to bring that up and let you guys know. So, thank you.

1 2

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thanks, Leonard. All right. So, good to know there is somebody here to discuss that with though. All right. So, let's go through the procedures now. Are we still doing the same thing as yesterday to where -- report on Board tribes and the ANCSA Corporations are? So, we have not received any feedback for tribes or ANCSA corporations.

(Whispered conversation)

MS. CHAPA: Sorry. Gisela Chapa, for the record. In the presentation procedures for the proposals and the closure reviews, there's always a spot for us to report out on Board consultations on the proposals as they're submitted. And during the tribal consultations, we did not receive any comments on that to clarify.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you. All right. Agency comments, ADF&G.

(No comments)

Hearing none. Federal agencies.

(No comments)

Hearing none from federal, tribal agencies. Native, tribal village, other or entities? Tribal entities?

MS. HUGO: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Esther.

MS. HUGO: Yes, thank you. I'm taking my hat off, and I'm speaking on behalf of the tribe. On the sheep. There was a closure east of us by Wiseman, by the foot at Galbraith and Tulik area was east of us. It's been ten years or so that area has been closed to the non-federally hunters and so, our sheep in our area are okay. But we start realizing that this summer, they're going to Agieak area to Killik area west of us. A lot of the little planes and hunters. They're coming in from Coldfoot or from -- Coldfoot or Bettles. And yes, our counts, they're good for our sheep. But then we've got these outsiders, the hunters that are going to these places now, as we speak, last month. I mean, they're going -- since it's closed east of us, they're going to

west of us, where all our caribou -- I mean, our sheep are. Populations okay, they're being counted. It's just sad to see that they're not -- the hunters, the sport hunters are taking advantage of going west now. Pretty soon in the future, we're not going to be able to hunt the rams. I just wanted to bring that up.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay. Thank you for that. So, being that I think the only location that has C&T for this, I don't think we're going to be able to take comments from because you guys are within 26A, I don't think we're going to be able to utilize any comments for 23 for a different area. But, if we do run into the discussion, maybe later for sheep, but this one is specific to users for 23.

MS. HUGO: I realize that I just want to point that out because this is going to be happening soon. Yeah, it's happening already. They're already going they're catching rams. They're showing us, you know, these pictures and the hunters. I realized, I know what you're saying on the units I understand it's in 23. I know we are in 26A. It's just something that is happening and we should not that happen to any, any place on our sheep.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay. Understood now. Alright. So, thank you for the comments. Advisory group comments there, so no RACs have met yet, so, we're skipping that summary of written public comments.

 $\,$ MR. PLANK: Tom Plank, OSM. There are no written public comments submitted during the open period for this.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All right. Any public testimony? Any online or on the floor?

(No response)

Hearing none. Regional Council recommendation.

(No response)

All right, so closure review, motion options are to retain the closure within the closure, modify the closure, take no action, take no action, and defer to home region or defer to a future meeting. So, those are the options. So, what is the wish of the

1 Council? So -- just based on what we discussed earlier my recommendation would be to -- sorry, what page is that on? Well, I guess two options, you can either -well, from what we discussed, my recommendation would be to either take no action and defer to the home region. But we do have, you know, one of our locations within 23. The other one would be to retain the closure which 8 would make it a little more simple for us. But, at the end result, you know, we're just one of the advice givers 10 for the entire thing before they make the decision. So, 11 mine would be to, you know, maybe retain the closure. 12 13 MR. WILLAMS: Yes. I was going to agree 14 on that, on retaining closure. Peter Williams. Thank 15 you. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, you want to make 18 a motion? 19 20 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. I'll make a motion 21 on this. 22 23 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Make a motion to 24 retain the closure. So, that was made by Peter Williams. 25 26 MR. ADAMS: Second. 27 28 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Seconded. 29 call that one Quincy Adams. Any discussion on the matter, 30 justification? 31 32 MR. NAGEAK: Just comment. You know, 33 hearing Esther, if they're open over there for nonfederal, I'm assuming -- I'm scared they're going to 34 move over there, wherever it's open, and then we start 35 closing everything all over. They're going to start 36 37 going up north. Just my comment. But was there a second? 38 39 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Yep. 40 seconded. So, under discussion. So, we're just trying 41 to find the justification, and it would be to follow our 42 subsistence. 43 44 (Off record conversation) 45 46 Yep. Is the closure -- and the closure 47 is necessary for conservation of population. So, I guess 48 that would be good enough justification there. Though 49 it's -- you know, there's -- any other discussion?

00030 1 MR. BARGER: Question. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Questions 4 called for. All those in favor of retaining the closure, 5 say aye. 6 7 IN UNISON: Aye. 8 9 MR. WILLIAMS: Reason why I say retain 10 closures.... 11 12 (Whispered conversation) 13 14 Reason why retain closure because you know, like you said, for years term that, you know, we 15 just -- we're just going to hang. See what's going on. 16 Like she explained it so, that, you know, future will 17 18 have something that -- to stand to look forward to. 19 Thank you. 20 21 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you. So, all 22 those in favor of retaining closure, say aye. 23 24 IN UNISON: Aye. 25 26 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Those opposed, same 27 sign. 28 29 MR. NAGEAK: Aye. 30 31 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Is that for 32 opposing? 33 34 (No audible response) 35 36 Okay. All right. Hearing none, then 37 motion passed to retain the closure. Alright, up next 38 we have WCR26-45. Tom. 39 40 MR. PLANK: Thank you again, Mr. Chair, 41 members of the Council. Tom Plank, Wildlife Biologist Office of Subsistence Management. And this is Wildlife 42 43 Closure Review WCR26-45 and that begins on page 67 of 44 your main book. 4.5 46 (Pause) 47 48 So, Wildlife Closure Review WCR26-45 is 49 the standard review of federal subsistence wildlife

closure to the harvest of caribou by non-federally

6

8

10

11 12

13 14 qualified users on federal public lands within just a portion of Unit 23, and this is a year-round closure. The closure is located along the Noatak River, from the western boundary of Noatak National Preserve, upstream to the confluence of the Cutler River within the northern and southern boundaries of the Eli, and a Ugashik River drainage, respectively, with -- and within the Squirrel River drainage and from here on I'll just refer to that as the Unit 23 Noatak corridor 'cus [sic]. And if you all recall last cycle, a closure to caribou hunting by non-federally qualified users for August 1st to October 31st is in effect right now for all of Unit 23. And that one -- this one that we're talking about now, this is just pertaining to that Noatak River corridor, which is there on the map outlined in the red.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

This closure was first put in place in 2017 as a special action which was submitted by the Northwest Arctic Council and then in 2018 in the codified regulations. And then the last time it was reviewed, it was also, retained in 2022. And at that time, the Board stated that the closure was originally enacted for the continuation of subsistence users of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd, and that the underlying factors of users -- user conflict that led to the closure was still a concern. Western Arctic Caribou Herd population has fluctuated over time, from a low of 75,000 in the 70s to a peak of approximately 40 -- 490,000 in 2003, and then the most recent population estimate was 152,000 caribou in 2023. No photo census was completed in 2024 due to weather conditions. The Western Arctic Caribou has classified the herd at Working Group preservative declining management level since 2021. And since the implementation of this closure in 2017, members of the Northwest Arctic Council have testified about its positive impacts procured -- particularly for Noatak residence. During the last review of this closure in 2022, Northwest Arctic Council voted to maintain the status quo to continue to reduce previously significant user conflict in the area, and because the targeted closure provides a needed priority for subsistence users to put food on the table. From 1999 to 2018, the range wide estimate total harvest for the Western Arctic caribou Herd averaged about 14,000 caribou a year. Year specific harvest estimates have not been generated since 2018, in part because they're not very accurate.

46 47 48

49

50

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to retain the status quo, the current closure is still necessary to continue subsistence use of the Western

Arctic Caribou Herd for federally qualified subsistence users and since the closure has been enacted, user conflicts within the closure area have been reduced, and the hunt experience and harvest success of federally qualified subsistence users have improved. Thank you, Mr. Chair, Members of Council. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for that. Any questions for Tom? It sounds pretty straight forward retaining the status quo will keep federal -- non federally qualified users from the area. Go ahead, Peter.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay, Peter Williams, Anaktuvuk. The Western Working Group is still active?

MR. PLANK: Through the Chair, Tom Plank, OSM. Yes, sir.

MR. WILLIAMS: Do you have a active person from Anaktuvuk? Cause [sic] I remember last time they tried to get me into it, and I was -- too many hassle. Thank you.

MR. PLANK: Thank you for the question. I don't know, off the top of my head. I'll have to look up on our website and see if I can find a member list on that.

MR. WILLAMS: Yeah. Peter Williams, again. Reason why I asked, because we never heard anything from Western Migratory. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Leonard.

MR. BARGER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Leonard Barger, for the record. Thank you for the good input on this and I know I got a lot of relatives in Noatak, and I was raised over there in Noatak with my great grandparents. So, right now, you know, it's good to hear. So, I know they're getting a lot of caribou now in Noatak. A lot of subsistence user over there that are getting them. So, I just want to bring that out and thank you for.....

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All right. So, I guess no further questions. We'll go through the procedures. All right, so we don't have any report on the Board consultations, Tribes, ANCSA Corporation. So,

ADF&G agency comments. (No comments) Federal agencies. (No comments) Tribal entities, village, other. (No comments)

So, we don't have any advisory group comments. None were held yet. Support of written public comments. Summary, not support. Summary of written public comments.

Native,

tribal

MR. PLANK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Tom Plank, OSM. There are no written public comments submitted during the open period.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you. All right. Public testimony online or on the floor here.

(No response)

Hearing, none. Regional Council recommendation. What is the wish of the Council?

MR. NAGEAK: Oh, sorry...

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Ernest.

MR. NAGEAK: I have a -- who do we call if we see somebody non-federally user hunting. Do we call the state or the feds? Or who's responsible for -- who -- you know, because we had a control use area and nobody wants to monitor who's flying in and out of the control use area. And it's just because, you know, we see some of these non-federally users and, you know, all over Alaska and who's responsible for -- yeah. Who do we call?

MR. PLANK: Thank you for the question. Tom Plank, OSM. This is just off the top of my head, guess because I honestly don't know, right off -- I don't know for sure, but I know the Park Service has law enforcement officers I've met them at the Council meetings several times, and I know they patrol that area,

49

50

1 but I'm assuming that's who you would contact. But that is just my assumption. 4 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Carmen. 5 6 DAGGETT: Good morning. MS. Daggett, for the record. So, the Alaska State Troopers 7 8 are always an option. You can contact them and usually 9 that's the first person that I would recommend. There's 10 -- also, BLM has recently hired a new law enforcement 11 person too and Jonathan, yeah. And so, you could contact BLM too, for BLM related lands for enforcement, but the 12 13 troopers have jurisdiction to investigate over both 14 jurisdictions, I believe. Thank you. 15 16 MR. PRIDAY: So, this is Jonathan Priday 17 with BLM. If I can chime in on that. To enforce a non-18 federally qualified user hunting in an area that's 19 restricted to federally qualified users, you would have 20 to go through a federal LEO. The troopers wouldn't have 21 any authority to enforce what was 50-CFR, which is now 22 43. So, I'll post my contact information in the chat. 23 But, to enforce that, in particular, you would have to 24 go through a federal law enforcement officer. 25 26 MR. NAGEAK: Thank you. The North Slope 27 had -- our federal law enforcement had packed up, and I 28 was just curious who do we reach out to. Thank you. We 29 must call the special agents or Anchorage office. Thank 30 you. Or is that Fish and Wildlife Service or BLM? 31 32 MR. PRIDAY: With the BLM. I'll post a copy of my business card in the chat. 33 34 35 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, John. All 36 right so, back to Regional Council recommendation. So, 37 retain the status quo is something that would be in line 38 with our ways here, so. 39 40 MR. ADAMS: Mr. Chair, I'd like to make 41 a motion to retain status quo. 42 43 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Motion on the floor 44 to retain status quo by Quincy. 45 46 MR. WILLIAMS: Second. 47

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Seconded by Peter. Any discussion on the matter? Any -- so -- I guess I'll throw in there that it does align with our subsistence

| 2 3 4 5 | qualified users from lessening the population right now. So, it does have a positive effect on subsistence. Any further discussion. |
|----------------------------|---|
| 6 7 | MR. BARGER: Question. |
| 7 8 9 10 | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Question's been called for all those in favor of retaining the status quo for WCR26-45, say aye. |
| 12 13 | IN UNISON: Aye. |
| 14 15 16 | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Those opposed, same sign. |
| 17 18 | (No response) |
| 19 20 21 22 | Hearing none, motion to retain the status quo has passed. Is that all of our action items? No? Go ahead, Peter. |
| 23 24 25 26 | MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah. I just wanted to say, you know, keep Unit 23 on top list. Now we can retain that too. Thank you. |
| 27 28 29 | MS. CHAPA: Mr. Chair. This is Gisela Chapa, for the record. |
| 30 31 | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead. |
| 32 33 34 35 36 | MS. CHAPA: I just wanted to go over the agenda. So, we have a couple of remaining action items. That is the annual report, we also have the confirmation of our winter 2026 meeting date, and location and the fall 2026 meeting date and location. |
| 38 39 40 41 42 | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: I think maybe we'll follow up with that after we take a break. All right, we'll take a ten-minute break here, and we'll come back to finish the remaining action items. Thank you. Ten-minute break. |
| 44 45 | (Off record) |
| 46 47 | (On record) |
| 48 49 50 | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: I think we're ready. We still have enough for a quorum here. All right, before we get started back on the action items we do have, |

looks like a U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services portion that we need to go through, and are you here?

(No audible response)

Alright. You guys have the floor.

MR. GRAFF: All right. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Nathan Graff, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service based out of Fairbanks, Wildlife Biologist. I got a presentation here. I'll share and go through. All right, thank you. So, I'm here to talk about the waterfowl in our backyard here in Utqiagvik. So, primarily I work out of the Fairbanks office, but run this project up here monitoring Steller's and spectacled eider as well as other waterfowl species. Next slide please. Looks like it's on one slide, but -- or one, but not the other.

MS. CHAPA: There might just be a little bit of a delay in sharing the screen.

MR. GRAFF: All right. Thank you. So, yes, I'm part of the Endangered Species program based out of Fairbanks. And so, part of my work is conducting work up here on steller's and spectacled eiders that are both listed as threatened species. So, thank the Chair yesterday for just, you know briefing -- briefly mentioning a little bit about eiders around the area as well as geese, and I'll talk about them a bit more in a moment here. So, in general spectacled eider nest in low density across the Artic coastal plain, and then the Utqiaqvik area here remains the only consistent location for nesting Steller's eider within the state of Alaska. And so, that's why we've been doing work here for many years dating back to the early 1990s for long-term monitoring of the distribution and relative abundance of the species in the breeding area here. Next slide please.

So, just quickly here talking about some of our other work. So, we have three parts for our survey efforts here. So, the little map there, you can see, it's broken up to -- into many different little subunits. And so, early in the season we kind of time our arrival with birds. And so, we're conducting road-based surveys on the roads leading outside of town here. And so, like most of the ponds and such near the road system will open up sooner than other areas of the tundra. And so, that tends to concentrate a lot of the waterfowl early in the season. So, it gives us an opportunity to gauge

1 arrival numbers for the species and then also, any avian predator activity that might be going on in the area, especially early in the season. Then following the road survey, once birds just distribute all to areas on the 5 tundra to begin nesting, we conduct the breeding pair 6 survey. And so, the map there shows our subunits for these surveys. So, this is all a ground-based effort. 8 So, I have a crew out on the tundra and so, we walk 9 transects and count the numbers of birds that we see out 10 there. And then we use the information that we collect 11 there to aid in our nest searching and monitoring efforts. So, since it's such a large area, we can't, you 12 13 know, walk every square inch of the place, obviously. 14 So, typically the observations that we will see earlier 15 in the season, if the bird is going to nest, it will usually be in those areas. So, we'll come up -- come 16 back and search those areas and monitor those nests to 17 18 hatch. And then we do some capturing and banding efforts 19 for sea ducks and that includes four different species 20 Steller's, spectacled, king, eider and long tailed 21 ducks. Next slide please.

22 23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

So, just to zooming out a little bit on the area. So, that small little area in blue is that last map. So, that's our ground survey area where it's all foot travel for collecting data. And then the larger red kind of triangle area there is where we also conduct an aerial survey for Steller's eider. And so, we are currently been working with Migratory Birds of Fish and Wildlife Service down in Anchorage. And so, they fly that area at a larger scale to note presence of Steller's eider outside of our ground survey area. Next slide please. So, I just wanted to briefly show this figure that shows a snow melt in Utqiaqvik here. So, the y-axis there on the left is for the first snow free day of the year and then years of that -- the project is taking place. So, dating all the way back to the early 1990s and really kind of the takeaway here is the first snow free day is typically around day 153, which is right around end of May, early June and a long-term average. But you can see just with kind of those -- the lines and dots, you know, for each year there's a lot of variation. So, there's been quite a few years where we've had later snow melts and a number of years of earlier. So, I'll talk about that a little bit more here, coming up next slide please.

46 47 48

49

50

So, I just wanted to talk a little bit about the numbers of Steller's and spectacled eider that we've been seeing in the area here in the last few years.

And so, kind of the takeaway here is basically that, you know, on average, we'll see, you know, roughly, you know, double the number of -- so, really twice the number of Steller's eider for spectacled eider counts. So, generally Stellar's numbers are fairly low. Spectacled eider are seen more often. And then these two maps really just kind of the takeaway with those is that the red dots are spectacled eider. And you can see that typically on an annual basis, we'll see them more spread out within the survey area and the yellow dots are Steller's eider. And so, there tends to be more annual variability in their distribution on the landscape. Next slide please.

One more. So, this is just showing other numbers of sea ducks as well in the Utqiagvik area here. And so, overall, we tend to see low density nesting effort for all four species so, Steller's, spectacled, king, eider and long tailed ducks. And this is fairly consistent across a lot of the Slope, too, sea ducks are generally low-density nesters. Of note here for Steller's eider know we had a zero-nest scene in 2023. There have been a number of years that we have not recorded any nests. And so, they are definitely the -tend to see the fewest number of nesting Steller's eider of the four species. Next please. So, following the ducks in the area, we talked about a little bit about geese and swans here. So, you can see for nest numbers we see a lot of goose nest, white fronted geese, that is. So, we don't record every single nest that we see out there, but this is a fairly consistent with what we'll see. And so, you can see, like, you know, 700 plus nests, we've been seeing, you know, in recent years. Of note, in 2025, we did see a couple hundred less, and I'll discuss maybe a few reasons for that here later on. But it was a -- quite a poor nesting year this year. Swan nest, we saw fewer this year, but we do typically see at least quite a few individuals out on the landscape. Next, please.

And then finally, I just wanted to touch a little bit on some of the avian predators for nests that we'll see out on the tundra. Pomarine and parasitic jaegers are common nest predators. And usually we'll see some parasitic jaeger nests each year, and it's usually very consistent in location of where we'll find them. And usually just, you know, 6 to 8 nests is fairly common. So, we suspect it's a lot of the same pairs that come back and nest every year. Pomarine jaegers are quite variable in nesting, and they will fluctuate based on the lemming numbers on the tundra. And then there's also

common ravens and glaucous gulls. And we have seen more glaucous gull nests really over the last like 15 years or so, than we have in the -- like back in the early 90s and 2000s. Next slide please.

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

So, I really wanted to talk about 2025. So, overall, it was a very interesting year in that it -- really how poor it was out there. So, it was the lowest number of hatched duck nests that we've ever had. And so, we've only been monitoring the other sea ducks in addition to Steller's eider back to about 2009. So, overall, it was the lowest number that we've seen. So, we had a total of 72 duck nests, which is about average. However, only two of those hatch, so we had one king and one spectacled eider that hatched this year. And kind of what we found -- what we discovered out there was like, most of these nests were failing very early usually while the birds were still nesting or while they were still laying eggs. So, generally like a sea duck will, you know, lay somewhere between 4 and 6 eggs and we'll start incubating those eggs near the completion of that clutch. So, most of these nests did not even make it to the start of incubation. I noted that we see a lot of goose nests out on the tundra. And they typically do very well. Usually they're -- they tend to hatch about, you know, 80 plus percent on average of their nests. So, they do very well. It was definitely a little bit lower this year, about 10% lower than that, roughly. And they still did quite well, especially in comparison to everything else. But it's just noteworthy that they did a little bit worse too. And that just kind of, I think kind of highlights a little bit to that was just a -it was a rough year overall for waterfowl on the tundra.

33 34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

So, you might be wondering like, what might some of these reasons be? And I think we're -there's obviously a lot of things going on like every year with climate and everything, especially recently and lemmings play a big part of that. So, they are the primary food source of most of the predators out there on the landscape. So, when there's not very many lemmings, then predators are having to turn to bird nests on the tundra, eggs and then, you know, adults and young, and young that might actually hatch. Also, of note was this was the latest arrival of Steller's eider that we've seen with the June 9th arrival for the road survey. Typically, we'll see them maybe around, like the 5th or 6th of June and maybe as early as, like the last week of May over the years. And it was a very late snowmelt year as was noted yesterday some discussion. And looking at some the aerial imagery, the satellite imagery from, from this year especially residents here in town probably recall, like, you know, it was very, very wintery through that early part of June and then just all of a sudden over like a 2 or 3 day period there, the snow kind of all went at once. So, that may have kind of influenced some of what was going on as well. Next slide please.

8 9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

5

6

7

So, just to highlight some of those predators that are out there on the tundra. There's a lot of other birds that you know -- if there aren't lemmings, they have to, you know, go for bird eggs on the tundra. Not much -- too much else for them to eat. So, I mentioned Pomarine and parasitic jaegers a little bit earlier. Pomarine jaegers are more of a lemming specialist. Parasitic jaegers will eat, you know, birds in addition, kind of regardless of there's a lot of lemmings or not. Snowy owls will prefer to eat lemmings. And although less of an egg predator, they will occasionally go for adult birds. As well as like goslings and ducklings also. And then these are a couple, a few of the other species, common ravens, glaucous gulls. Gulls, typically are -- will feed more on young, less on eggs. And of course, foxes are a -- usually on an annual basis, like the number one or number two predator out there. And normally we'll see just Arctic foxes around here, but we have been seeing the occasional red fox and have had a couple dens maybe people around here too, or where there were, you know, some red foxes seen down the, the, the west coast here from Utqiagvik this year as well. Next slide.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

So, this is kind of a -- maybe a odd looking photo here, but just showing a lemming down there in the left corner and a pomarine jaeger up there in the right corner. And so, just kind of the message here so, that that blue triangle represents what was what was a pomarine jaeger nest. And then the red dots around there were spectacled eider nests. So, this was a example from a few years ago of how like some of these sea ducks will react in years that aren't so -- that are -- there are fewer lemmings available but, there are still aggressive pomarine jaeger nests that are == or jaegers that are defending their own territories. So, this was an example where there was about 14 spectacled eider nests that were all within about a 50-60 meter radius of this pomarine jaeger nest. So, this is really, really interesting because, you know, on an annual basis in our ground survey area, you know, maybe 20 to 30 spectacled

eider nests is what we'll find for the entire area. So, like this can be representative of easily like half of the numbers of nests that we'll find. So, this is just interesting, this pomarine jaeger was very aggressive towards other predators, other avian predators, towards foxes. And these spectacled eider keyed in on that and gained some protection as a result. So, they actually — the majority, I think it was 12, like it was like 11 or 12 of these nests hatched. So, they did very well under that protection of pomarine jaeger pair. Next slide please.

And then finally I will talk a little bit about white fronted geese or nigliqs. They are, as mentioned, the most abundant of the waterfowl that we see around here. They exhibit high hatch rates so, they — the male will hang out with the female at the nest. And so — also, help aid in nest defense against other predators. We started monitoring them in the area in 2009, kind of a little side project in addition to the eiders. Just in comparison there you can see that we also have black brant that nest here as well. But far fewer brant nests are seen compared to white fronted geese and also, their nest hatch rate is most years is quite a bit lower than that of the white fronted goose. Next slide.

And then finally I just wanted to talk about the mean initiation of white fronted goose nests in relation to the snow melt. And I thought you -- you all would -- might find this interesting, especially based on the discussion that the Chair mentioned yesterday talking about initiation of goose nests. So, what this is showing here on the x-axis, there is between 2009 and 2025 and the day of year on the y-axis. So, the blue line that cuts across day 155 is the average for snow melt during this time, which was around the 4th of June. And then the red line is showing the average for mean initiation of these goose nests, which has been the June 11th. And so, you can see kind of the difference between the earlier and later years. Earlier years you know, 2009 to 2016 had quite a bit of variation in a number of those years were very early snowmelt dates. So, 2016 there that that blue dot was about the 13th of May was when snow melted that year. And in comparison, to when the geese initiated the nests was much later. So, they were initiating their nests late May. So, you know, that was, you know, almost a two-week period there. And likely that was a result of, you know, most of these birds probably hadn't even arrived yet. So, they arrived

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

on the tundra and noticed, like, wow, this, you know, the snow is melted and they started initiating their nests much sooner. And then in later years here, you can see we've had quite a few later snow melt years and the initiation of those nests is much closer. So, typically within a few days of the snow melting out we've had those geese start to initiate nests. And then finally, if you click the mouse one more time so, the black line is showing that's June 15th. So, that's when the closure typically takes place for egging on the tundra for geese. And so, you can see, you know, maybe why -- how this decision to push back some of those -- that date has taken place because in a number of these more recent years a lot of the geese have not started initiating nests yet by that June 15th. So, just wanted to share that.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

And so, finally, I just wanted to wrap up with a few acknowledgements on the last slide. And then just a note that, you know, it's not uncommon to have poor nesting years on the tundra. A lot of these birds are long lived, especially the sea ducks. Many of them can live up to, you know, 15, 20 years. So, they can typically withstand, you know, a number of years of poor nesting. But I just wanted to share that information, especially just given kind of how rough it actually was, like this year out on the tundra. So, thank you for your time.

28 29 30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you. Has that graph been shared with maybe the wildlife department for -- because the wildlife has a lot to do -- North Slope Borough Wildlife Department has a lot to do with the moving of the closure dates and their Fish and Game Management Committee. That is valuable information and it's already there, posted there. Looks like you did get a great presentation there and we can definitely utilize stuff like this in order to show or even make a more permanent deadline for things but, you know, it's also tied in with us Fish and Wildlife. So, you guys already have the information. But, that I think will be pretty good to have in making further, you know, discussions so, they don't have to do so, much groundwork on, you know, having people on the ground if they have the data and there's a mean time in there that that they can utilize in order to make the date a little more to where it should be due to recent happenings, then I think that would be valuable. Thank you though. That was great. I enjoyed that, and its pertinent information. It seems like it was surprising that the eiders were -- you know,

it looked like they were around the jaegers for a reason. And typically, I would think that they wouldn't stick around them, but it looks like they have a purpose.

MR. GRAFF: Yeah. Oh -- sorry, Mr. Chair. Yeah. So, I just wanted to note that that is just a relationship with the pomarine jaeger. So, parasitic jaegers, there's not that nest association. But pomarine jaegers, there oftentimes is. Yes.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Did they leave them alone? I mean, were they typically okay by them?

MR. GRAFF: It depends. It is very complex. Typically, we've noticed that the closer that a Steller's or like one of these other sea ducks like spectacled or king eiders start initiating their nests in comparison to when the pomarine jaeger starts theirs, they oftentimes have a better chance of making it. If they start, you know, several days week or whatever after the pomarine jaeger that oftentimes those nests will —won't make it because the palmer jaegers need to feed their chicks as well. So, there's a lot more food that needs to be gathered and but, they — so, yeah, really, we've kind of seen, like, the closer the better for both hatching. Yeah.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Interesting. Thank you for that. Any other questions, comments? Go ahead, Carmen.$

MS. DAGGETT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Carmen Daggett, for the record. Thank you so much for coming up to present this information, Nathan. We had a gentleman come into the office -- both Ernest and I had a gentleman come in to talk with us about this particular aspect of birds in the region and it was -- I was really impressed to see the immediate response related to it. And I would really like to get a copy of this presentation, if possible, to share with him. I don't believe he's here today but, I think he was really fascinated, and he had lots of observations to share related to the birds in the area and his concerns related to them. On a personal note, I certainly noticed a lot more jaegers this summer than I have ever seen. Particularly out at the end of Nunivak Road. Just an entire hillside full of them at one point around the 4th of July. So, it was pretty striking, and I didn't have binoculars with me at the time to be able to identify which species of jaeger they were, but they're pretty distinctive on the tundra from a distance even so. Pretty fascinating information. Thank you so much.

MR. GRAFF: Yes. And just to note that too yeah, there's -- there are three species of jaegers and there's the third one is the long-tailed jaeger. And oftentimes that is the one that we'll see in large numbers around here. And we tend to see them in higher numbers like earlier in the season and sometimes in years where it's like poor nesting effort overall. So, generally if there's -- oftentimes if there's large numbers, it's long tailed jaegers around.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON} \mbox{ FRANTZ: And those are the high egg takers?}$

MR. GRAFF: They're a bit more -- thank you, Mr. Chair. They are more opportunistic feeders so, they will take lemmings, but, also, eggs as well and even, like insects and things. They are not typically a nester in the Utqiagvik area. They will usually nest more inland, closer to the hillsides of the Brooks Range. But we do frequently see them. They just don't nest here.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Sounds good. Now, on the note that I didn't hear anything about on any of this is, have you observed any human taking of Steller or any of the threatened species that we might be able to do something about, or at least have some outreach of where not to take eggs?

MR. GRAFF: I have not observed that for Steller's or spectacled eiders. I have heard some concerns from other people in the community, at least like this year, that there may be some people taking brant eggs which are not allowed. And so, that might be something worth discussing. Like, I don't know if it's something like, oh, like maybe it could be just that they don't know like a brant egg or white fronted goose egg but, that that's about the only one that I can think of.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: I think maybe something along the lines of maybe an outreach to where not to be, or at least where to caution yourself on taking eggs. Sometimes they'll see a nest or have already scared them off. But, like, in general, what areas to - I know we know of a few main locations, but, we're not to take eggs and what to look for maybe. And that might

at least help on the on the human end of, you know, keeping numbers up.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

MR. GRAFF: Yeah. Thank you for that, Mr. Chair. Yes. Like, I, like, teach my crew, kind of the main differences between those nests and there there's usually a lot of down present in the nests, like -- it almost looks like a fluffy pillow where there is, like, you know, gray feathers and everything in a white fronted goose nest, but a lot less of it. And then usually those white fronted geese will almost every time be present at the nest. The brant will oftentimes flush before you get close and then also, the brant nests will oftentimes be in colonies. So, there'll be a lot more of them around like edges of lakes and such. Whereas the white fronted geese typically kind of prefer the more drier upland areas. But, yes, I think that is an excellent suggestion to have some more outreach like photos and things to show people the differences.

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Sure. No, that would be great to have some kind of PowerPoint provided maybe for local communities and that might help and be a little more effective. And also, giving the local communities, like, if there's a high number of jaeger years and what they -- you know, which ones are the worst. It may help you in some way. I don't condone the activity. No, I think a PowerPoint presentation of nesting, what they look like, note the differences in areas to avoid if you're doing subsistence egg picking for protected species or at least recommendations, I think that would be great. Thank you. Go ahead, Ernest.

32 33 34

35 36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. NAGEAK: I've know this project's been going on 25 plus years. What's the ultimate goal? will you be doing in another 25 years, or are you just trying to keep it status quo for it to still be around or...? And to add on with your -- if anyone caught these , in 2010, a handful were caught at the Gas Well Road and that prompted the Barrow field office in the -- I'm just sharing what -- how the Barrow office came around during that incident. The law enforcement came and they were seeing there was -- noticed there was some led shots being sold at the local stores, and they started imposing hunting hours, like they had a 9:00-10:00 curfew, all that. Then during that time, they would go and search people's cars and few years after that, I happened to shoot a spectacled eider out there at the runway because they were flying with the king eiders, which is a little bit bigger than the Steller's, and

1 they happen to fly up and give me a fine and confiscated that bird. So, it happened before, and that's why the Barrow office -- and the last few years, we hadn't had our own personal open house, but the summertime we teamed 5 up with the other Fish and Wildlife Service, the 6 shorebird crew, and had our migratory bird festival. But, during that time, when the office first started 8 open, back when we would have an open house during 9 Piuraagiaqta and after the season to update 10 communities of the openings. But that's just the history 11 of the Barrow office and I was just curious on what's 12 the ultimate goal for. Because of predator control, the 13 Fish and Wildlife Service did that with fox control in 14 the beginning of May, they would go out and get close to 100 foxes. But the previous mayor had asked us to 15 16 stop that predator control. Do you guys consider predator control on jaegers or other water -- like ravens 17 18 or...?

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. GRAFF: Yeah. Thank you for that question. Yes, the fox control is a bit of a contentious issue and yes, we haven't done that, yeah since 2016. We do conduct some raven control of nests, and as for jaegers, we have no plans for doing anything for them, especially pomarine jaegers, which we have found over the years to be that, you know, a species which actually can give protection to the eiders. So, early years of this project, it was considered when we knew a lot less about them. But, over the years, we've discovered they are pretty important predator on the landscape, but also, can provide a lot of protection for the eiders. And finally, for the kind of long-term goal of the project, like, yes, it is a long-term monitoring effort. We have learned a tremendous amount from this project over the years, one of which we know they are a sporadic nesting species in very low numbers, most of the time. We've learned that they are long lived, and they appear to show, you know, some, you know, resistance to like, you know, potential, like, huge population declines despite you know, not -- totally not doing very well for breeding effort. But there's a lot we still don't know about the species. And just for context, too, is Alaska breeding population is fairly small compared to the entire Pacific population. And so, they -- the species winters along the Alaska Peninsula and -- but the most of those birds will go to eastern Russia. So, a small group of them come to Alaska. And so, it remains the only location where we can study the species in any regularity in North America. And because it is listed under the Endangered Species Act there's been an

important part of our work to monitor those efforts. So, at least for the foreseeable future I think that will still continue. But there's always, you know, questions that kind of come up about, you know, related to you know, long-term goals of the project funding concerns and everything. So, it is a complex issue.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Ernest.

MR. NAGEAK: And to add on, back in the early 2000s, they used to hire students to go out and monitor these nests, and in the wintertime, they would bring them to Izembek, to see how they're doing over there in Izembek. How's those numbers go — turn out over there in the wintertime and also in other breeding areas like the Y-K Delta or, you know, good to kind of see how — if they're breeding over there again or if their numbers are compared to other areas? Thank you.

MR. GRAFF: Yes. Thank you for that question. Yeah, so, we have had some recent studies, some graduate work take place within the wintering area, which is oftentimes areas of Izembek Lagoon and Nelson Lagoons and some recent work by a colleague of mine Andy (indiscernible), looked at diets of you know, of those -- the birds in those areas. And we've noticed, like there's been a shift in distribution in those wintering areas further north. So, there's fewer birds -- appears to be fewer birds in Izembek and more in Nelson Lagoon. And that could be climate related, could be like, yeah, either changes in the prey community or ocean temperatures. It's still, you know, pretty early in kind of figuring out the exact reasons. But there does appear to be a shift in that wintering area. And then as for the Y-K Delta, historically, the species has been present there as a breeding bird although there are few records to indicate how many were present. That's going back to the early 1900s, where there were sporadic reports of them nesting in numbers. But, as for now, they're very rare breeder. Really the only occasional nest that might get reported every, you know, handful or more years. So, more or less have disappeared as a breeder out there.

MR. NAGEAK: And did, you know, when I first started hearing about these Steller eiders, I talked with my grandparents and my great uncles, and even in the 40s and 50s, they were little to -- little population around here, but they did mention they would get a few for their grandmas or the elders back then.

But, historically, talking with the elders before, you know, when the project in 1990 or early 90s started, they historically kind of mentioned that the Steller's were around, but not -- they didn't have the pup, you know, what's your guy's history on the numbers? Where'd you guys get them from or were they abundant?

MR. GRAFF: Yeah. Thank you for that. Information that, you know, locals here can provide is very valuable. And we're always interested in any information that might be available from, you know, prior to 1990, I think is really useful to us. There were some researchers back in the 70s that actually did some jaeger work, really like some of the only breeding ecology work for pomarine jaegers. And at that time, they -- although they weren't looking at Steller's eider specifically, they had a number of years of very high pomerania nesting and they noted high numbers of Steller's eider nests at that time. But that information is limited. So, yeah, I think it seems like they have, you know, been here and at least like low numbers for a long time. But kind of one of the bigger things, at least in terms of the ecosystem, at least in more recent years, especially like the last, you know, decade or two has been fewer lemmings on the tundra. There used to be more high years of lemmings, followed by very low numbers. But now it's more -- been a lot of very low years. So, not very many peaks.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for that. Any other questions for U.S. Fish and Wildlife and the presentation? Go ahead, Wanda.

MS. KIPPI: Thank you, Mr. Chair. You mentioned lemmings. I noticed over the years that when I come to Barrow once in a while, there's a lot of owls in this area because there are plenty of aviññaq, lemmings and in Atqasuk, too, I saw a difference when there was less lemmings, there was no owls. but when there was lots of -- when they finished off, maybe most of the lemmings around here, they ended up in Atqasuk. A lot of owls ended up in our area and they were hunting all the aviññaqs over that way, the lemmings. I just wanted to bring that out. Thank you.

MR. GRAFF: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All right. Thank you for your presentation. And yeah, we'll make you -- make sure we make use of the information. It sounds like.

5

6

7

MR. NAGEAK: Another quick question. I know there used to be, like, hunting hour regulations. They used to be a handful of regulations in that area. What do you guys regulate other than the 30-day closure for waterfowl? Anything other -- any other regulations, the Board or the North Slope people should know about? Thank you.

8 9 10

MR. GRAFF: Yeah, I have no further comment on that, so.

11 12

13 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thanks. Thanks 14 again.

15 16

(Pause)

17 18

19

20

All right. Hearing none. That should be it for that. And we can get started here on the finalizing our action items. Anything else before we start on the annual report? Gisela.

212223

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Yeah. So, we're going back to resuming our agenda. The next item is the annual report. And the first item that I have to share with the Council is the Federal Subsistence Board Fiscal Year 2024 Annual Report Reply. And this can be found on page 59 of your supplemental materials. So, that's the smaller book and this is not an action item, but it's a follow up for your information. And again, my name is Gisela Chapa for the record. And the materials -- oh, I think I already said it, page 59 of your supplemental. The Board met on July 23rd and 24th for the work session and part of their action items was to review the Regional Advisory Council annual reports, and they provided the following responses. As a brief refresher, our Council approved and finalized the fiscal year 2024 annual report to the Board during our winter meeting, and the Council requested a couple of things. One of them was conducting muskox monitoring in the region, that was the first topic of our annual report. And the Board agreed that such a program would be beneficial, but foresees the funding to create a wildlife resource monitoring program. That was one of the specifics of that request from the Council, is unlikely in the near future. The Board highlighted that both the state and federal agencies continue to investigate and provide valuable information when they have the resources to do so, and that due to reduced available funding to agencies for such work, it is

important that we discuss and identify priorities of what information and data need -- data are needed to inform management decision. What the data collection efforts are or what data collection efforts are a priority. And to see if there are other ways to collect information with the assistance of local communities and other areas with information gaps.

7 8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

5

6

And I'll keep going. The Council also shared their continued concern over the impacts of transported and guided sport hunters and caribou and the federally continued conflicts between qualified non-federally subsistence users and qualified subsistence users over caribou. And the Council requested the Board for funding to study these impacts. This was the second topic of the report. Again, through a wildlife resource monitoring program. The Board found value in the request and acknowledged that while there have been some studies in the past to study such impacts, the information may be dated. So, the Board also shared that funding throughout Wildlife Resource Monitoring program is unlikely at this time but, encourages the Council to continue to engage with both federal and state agencies on the matter and to also invite other regional entities, such as ASRC and the Borough, into the discussion. And then the last topic of the annual report to the Board was to inform the Board about the establishment or the desire to establish a caribou commission for the North Slope Region and this was an informational item for the Board. The Board ultimately expressed their appreciation for your report. And your annual report ensures that the Board is aware of the issues, concerns and current events that impact your subsistence way of life. That is the end of the annual report reply.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Any questions, comments, concerns from the Council for the annual report? This is not an action item so, this is not something we'll have to make a motion on.

40 41 42

43

44 45

46

47

48

39

MR. NAGEAK: Just a comment. Previous years, the tribe like Native Village of Barrow had gotten a federally recognized tribal wildlife grant, and that could be some funding for some of these areas. Like to work with entities. I think Point Lay might have -- had gotten one of those grants where they could -- their tribe could monitor the resources of walrus haul outs and just to comment on that. Thank you.

49 50 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Ernest. Any other comments concerns for the annual report specifically?

4

2

(No comments)

5 6 7

If not, we can move on. Next item. Looks like we have identify issues for FY 2025 Annual Report, the Federal Subsistence Board. Lisa.

9 10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

8

MS. GREDIAGIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the Council. For the record, my name is Lisa Grunigen. And just before you guys delve into developing topics to include on your annual report, I just wanted to give a few reminders, a few notes on the annual reports. And so, first of all, the annual reports from are required by ANILCA. So, Councils specifically stated in ANILCA that the Council shall submit an annual report containing the following items. An identification of current and anticipated subsistence uses of fish and wildlife populations within the region, an evaluation of current and anticipated subsistence needs for fish and wildlife populations from the public lands within the region. A recommended strategy for the management of fish and wildlife populations within the region to accommodate such subsistence uses and needs related to the public lands, and recommendations concerning policy, standards, guidelines and regulations to implement the strategy. So, those are the four items that ANILCA says the Council should include in your annual report. And the Board is also required by statute in ANILCA to consider the topics, you know, the annual reports from the Councils when they're making decisions on regulatory matters. And the Council is free to include additional items in the annual reports, but those are kind of outside the Board's jurisdiction or what's required by ANILCA. So, if you include information kind of outside the Board's authority or outside of those four requirements by ANILCA, the Board's ability to address those concerns is probably pretty limited. And if you do -- you know, you're welcome to include items as informational only. But if you have a specific response or action you're looking for from the Board to be very explicit in that in your annual report.

48

49

50

And also, to just decide if an item is more appropriate as a letter versus an annual report. We've had some issues in the past where Councils include things, you know, in the in a letter and the annual report. And it's kind of duplicative and confusing and

not as efficient versus just deciding what's the most appropriate way to address a certain concern. And lastly, that all topics, you identify this meeting our fiscal year 25 report items and no additional topics can be added during the winter 2026 meeting. And so, you're developing the report and the concerns now and then at your winter 2026 meeting, you'll just review it and approve it and, you know, make sure you can do little edits but not add new items. So, that concludes my kind of primer on the annual report before you delve into developing topics.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for the clarification on that and yeah, you may need to read that a few times before we develop anything but -- or anything further or later. Any questions for the clarification or the information she just provided for the annual report?

MS. CHAPA: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead.

MS. CHAPA: Not a question, but I wanted to remind Council members, if you turn to page 117 -- I think you already mentioned it. Did you? Page 117 of your meeting materials, you have document titled Annual Reports. And so, it gives us more description about what could be included in the annual report, in addition to what Lisa shared.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Peter.

MR. WILLIAMS: I'm not going to ask you a question about that bird flu was going around. You have any background to that?

MS. GREDIAGIN: No, not at all. I don't know if anyone else in the room or on the phone would like to speak to bird flu, but....

MR. GRAFF: Nathan Graff, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. It doesn't appear to be a concern this year on the Arctic coastal plain here that I'm aware of. I haven't heard of any reports of avian influenza this summer. This is my knowledge.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you.

50 (Pause)

00053 1 2 All right. Any questions or comments on the clarifications or rules set forth for the annual reports? 5 6 (No response) 7 8 9 10 11

All right. I think we can move forward then if there are no questions for what's on here for annual reports. Future meeting dates.

12

MS. CHAPA: Mr. Chair.

13 14

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Gisela.

15 16

17

18

MS. CHAPA: Okay. Just for clarification. So, is the Council deciding not to -- that there are no topics of concern or any other information that you'd like to relate to the Board through an annual report?

19 20 21

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Ernest.

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

MR. NAGEAK: Just a topic of concern for the control use area that the state -- it was the state side, I guess, but it's still trying to get the migration, you know. Study, maybe the migration of caribou. Just some topics I've -- you know, we hear it every year on October, Anaktuvuk people waiting for caribou. And that's -- they don't have much other than maybe it's sheep certain time of the year or maybe a moose, but that's their main food source. And caribou has been a main concern for the Northwest and the North Slope Regional Advisory Council.

33 34 35

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay, that sounds like a pretty -- pretty broad description.

36 37 38

39

40

41

MR. NAGEAK: Maybe we could mention food security, a lot of these regulations and closures, you know, it affects these towns. We're going to write a report, we should mention something and not just go with no report. We need to -- thank you.

42 43 44

45

46

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Any ideas on wording for his -- sounds like he wants to put something down for Anaktuvuk Pass, and I'm not sure on specifics of that, so.

47 48 49

50

MR. NAGEAK: We've been to previous meeting, and we tried to come up with agreements or

memorandum of understanding or, you know, try to monitor. Monitor, I guess we could say monitor, monitor other -- yeah.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: I think the last discussion we had on that was, we had maybe tried to couple the states observations on the area along with, I think, collar data and how the fall time hunts were affecting the migratory pattern. I never did hear back on the aftermath of that portion of it, but I guess maybe if we were to do something about that, maybe we need to be a little more specific about what we're aiming to do. I mean, we want to help the community on their end, but are we going to do that through regulatory portion of it, are we trying to change hunt dates? Are we trying or -- are we just trying to divert paths or -- I mean, we need to be a little more specific on these items. So, what are we aiming to do?

MR. NAGEAK: Maybe we change methods of population counts. When I was working with the state, we spent one week out there counting the Western Arctic Herd. Now they're counting these herds and one day, one little window and they could be missing all these. Not just caribou, but other animals, population accuracy. Just throwing ideas for annual report. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay so, it looks like maybe we can have a discussion on this over lunch and come up with a little more clarification on the matter before we put it down on to the annual report, but it sounds like we want to do something along the lines of assisting Anaktuvuk Pass with their caribou migration and deflection issues, and try to get something down on paper that will be effective. So, that I think we'll -- yeah. Go ahead, Leonard.

MR. BARGER: Mr. Chair. Just, I'd like to say topic is monitor our resources in the North Slope, you know, I just want to bring that up.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay. Thank you, Leonard. So, I think for now, we'll take lunch if we don't have any further outstanding issues on that and discuss it before we put it down on record for what we're aiming to do. So, we'll have to have a discussion over lunch. So, with that, we'll take an hour lunch and meet back here at, at 1 p.m.

(Off record)

(On record)

3

5

6 7 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All right, everybody. Looks like we're at 9 after the hour. And I think we have enough for a continuation, or at least some ideas for moving forward on the annual report and the discussion we had before lunch. So, Gisela.

8 9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Gisela Chapa, for the record. And I -- before we broke for lunch Council member Nageak brought up the issue of the controlled use area around AKP. And so, I thought that if that is a topic that the Council wants to identify and include in the annual report that the Council might want to have a little bit more discussion to identify, kind of like what the issue is, and then determine if this is a topic that is going to be shared with the Board for their information, or if there's a specific ask of the Board.

202122

23

24

2526

2728

29

30

31

32

MR. NAGEAK: More like when mentioned they have a BLM law enforcement, they could monitor or check periodically certain areas or areas that are closed for non-federally subsistence users they could be monitoring them. So, that could be part of the annual report, for BLM or whoever's law enforcement -enforcing these regulations or these federal nonsubsistence hunters, they could be monitoring the area. Thank you. Kind of like that you know, because we hear so many sport guides coming in and out and leaving them for rescue. And you know, someone to monitor, not just that but also, the haul road. Thank you.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay. So, it looks like maybe the landowners and this one, they have kind of a split between federal and state land. State lands has a lot to do with it. So, that would be I think outside of the BLM range there. But -- so, maybe enforcement through the state troopers as well or any of the areas in and around Anaktuvuk Pass. And I guess one of the main points would be to monitor -- I know the state has requirements for their fly-in -- approved permit holders for guided hunts or fly-in hunters. So, their documentation would probably be available and should be provided to us so, that we can take a look at it to see if there was anything to do with deflection as well as maybe the collared hunts to monitor the population there and to see if there are any changes that are in effect from, you know, the allowed fly-in

hunts for the area. I think that would be pertinent information for this area. Yeah. So, definitely more regulation measures there that are already -- I mean not so much implementing regulation but monitoring what they are allowing, because everything that we've asked for, for Anaktuvuk Pass, looks like it has not been successful, meaningful or effective. I would like to point that out. So, everything for the area that we've even tried to implement or got denied for certain reasons or not having the proper methods of submitting, submitting for timely proposals or anything like that. So, I mean, for what we have, we would like the information for -- that you are allowing currently to happen and also, more regulation, more troopers for monitoring the -- their they're permitted fly in hunters for the area so, that they are actually seeing what's going on over there and making sure that they're in compliance. And if they are in compliance and allowing deflection to happen. Something's not right there. So, if they're disrupting migration patterns, we may need to look into for -- further guidelines or rules and regulations to allow their migration to happen through the area for Anaktuvuk Pass and their concerns.

MR. NAGEAK: And that could be added to the -- Ernest, here. That could be added to the report. We could request all the permits the state issued, unless it's already on the website. But the Borough, they used to provide a copy of the permits they have on the North Slope of guides and stuff. So, this would be good to have you know, like, could just have a list of the permits in AKP area that were permitted from either the state or federal or Borough. So, that could just -- recommendation. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you. And that would you know, it would include the Gates of the arctic stuff so, that — they do have a federal side of it on the southern or eastern portion of it. And the northern section being mostly other than the area in between AKP and the state boundary there for their land. Areas throughout — I know it's a, you know, kind of a mixeduse area over there, but it would be good to include any of the stakeholders or the landowners and their information for the area for fly—in hunts that are allowed or anything even if it wasn't fly—in. I mean, if there was some hunts that were allowed, even on the other side for maybe the parks and just to have a good grasp on what's going on and if anything is causing a disturbance for the migration and the population. Thank

1 you. Gisela.

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Do you want to give the...?

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Peter.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah. Before that, before back around 90s, we had a lot of disturbance with aircrafts coming in. They come to the Anaktuvuk River, they fly low on cups and stuff, and they just tell us, ah yeah, write down that number. You know, we wrote down how many times and then they come from Bettles and they went over to Coldfoot and still nothing happened, you know. And what I'm saying is that even the aircraft from BLM or a game warden, you know, they don't want to distract the migratory. They don't understand what we're talking about. That's all we're asking. You know, we're not asking for a whole lot to sit there and try and crowd out people. All we're just saying is that, you know, you're disturbing caribou herds when they're coming in. That's all we're asking for, you know. And it's been written down how many times. So, you know, let's keep it as that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for that info. Gisela, did you have anything?

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I was just trying to make sure that I have captured all of the discussion. And also, remind the Council that this is the opportunity to identify the topics. And then I'm going to draft the report. I'm going to bring this back to the Council during our winter meeting. And at that time, you can review all the information that I've included in there. And if there's anything that is missing about that specific topic I think we can go ahead and include that information to it.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Peter.

MR. WILLIAMS: Sorry, Peter Williams, from Anaktuvuk. Anyway, one -- just another thing is that, just be active with those militaries [sic] are flying through there all the time. That was very disturbing because, you know, they come pretty low and they (engine sounds), you know, you hear the whole house shaking sometime. So, we cut that off about 9 or 10 years ago. And you know, that's all we're asking for,

you know, just, you know, during the harvesting time, you know, they should be complying and disturbing with those states that it's 100 miles from us. And the way that person stated to us that when they showed us a collar just up around the pipeline area all the time, just go around in circles. Now they're hanging around Arctic Village area. Like I said earlier before, I stated that I don't know, Porcupine Herd never come around no more. The Teshekpuk Herd came around, but I don't know what happened to that one. And I, you know, like now that Willow's popping up, there's gonna be a lot of disturbance, all -- the way we see it is that, now that's just industrial here, industrial here. And all of a sudden, you see caribou that zigzagging around through it, you know, maybe they get lost too. I don't know, but I'm just -- all I'm stating is that, you know, the way it sounded that they were herds at Galbraith Lake, and now they're going further up now they're in there Happy Valley, and probably just cutting them off but, you know, that's all. We're just -- we hear these people talking about it and we just keep it as that. And what we're just saying is that we didn't want that, you know, aircraft come in disturbing. It means quite a bit. I mean, it's going to channel or two. So, this can really....

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for that. And that's a good point. Maybe we should extend it out to include because the state is, you know, they do have all the way up to Happy Valley. So, maybe between the Haul Road and the effects of the hunts that are allowed on the Haul Road as well between the Haul Road and Anaktuvuk Pass. Because a lot of that is state land, and they should have all of the information and locations provided to them. And they can couple that with -- or we'll request them to implement that with any collared data or anything that they can show that has migration movements to see if there was any, any effect on the allowed hunts, on the migration patterns. Go ahead, Peter.

MR. WILLIAMS: Chair, Peter Williams. Anyway, another thing is that we had a corridor, we had five miles out and they brought into two. No, we had two miles in now because we found out there was a truck stuck way out there, nowhere, and five miles out, they tried to get rescue in there. But now they're having —they're not using their gun. They're using bow and arrow now, you know, so there's a lot of things that's happening now. Like this one hunter said, yeah we seen

one caribou walking by us, an arrow stuck into it, you know. So, you know, it's kind of being awareness[sic]. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for the additional info. Go ahead, Lisa.

 MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, Lisa Grediagin, for the record. I just wanted to ask a few clarifications, to make sure we understand this topic and concerns. And so, it sounds like, Peter, what you're saying isn't necessarily airplanes landing but just flying over. Correct? I mean, it's just the.....

MR. WILLIAMS: Chair, Peter Williams.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Peter.

MR. WILLIAMS: It's both, you know, it's been written down how many times, how many times we put it all in front of the table here at your meetings. Still, there's nothing happening. See you all just bringing back the same subject all the time. So, now what do you say? What's the use of trying to speak up and nobody don't post us. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: And just to add to it, so maybe a good general list. The sport fly-in hunters that are dropping camps in specific locations, effectively putting camps on both sides of a valley, cutting off migration routes within state areas allowed by permit. Fly-in hunters disrupting caribou by flying low and through the valleys of Anaktuvuk Pass almost daily. He did mention maybe some military aircraft that are kind of doing the same thing. But, it's all of the above. And additionally, there are -- there's Happy Valley that they have a station specific for fly-in hunters, that operate both on the eastern and western side of the Dalton Highway, and they use that as a base camp to fly in hunters to the area. Some of the areas as close as Gunsight Mountain to Anaktuvuk Pass, which is what, 40 miles? 60. Yeah. So, they -- and those are some of the very few valleys that they drop hunters on both sides of the valleys. And there are only a few valleys that head over towards Anaktuvuk Pass, and that is one of them. And those are state allowed locations that that would have an effect. Yes.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, thank you. Just for clarification, is Happy Valley in Unit 26B or A, do you know?

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: 26 B.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Okay. Cause, yeah, another point of clarification was, that the state currently has that Anaktuvuk controlled use area that prohibits the use of aircraft for caribou hunting from August 15th to October 15th. So, I was just trying to get clarification on whether your concern is that people are not following that controlled use area regulation, like even though they're prohibited from using aircraft during those dates, they still are. And that's where you need more enforcement, or if that controlled use area is not adequate and it needs to either be expanded in area or in dates.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All of the above.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Okay. That's what I was going to say, A, B, C, D or E: all the above. So, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Peter.

MR. WILLIAMS: Reason why I discussed that, you know, this is getting out of hand because that when some of these people talking around on Galbraith Lake area, they always speak about people that -- they call spike camps. And you see around what he wrote down 4 or 5 different places in that corridor, the corridor where the caribou migrate, it's right there. And now it's happening on the Gunsight, the way Paul (indiscernible) told me when we're in -- he is a RAC rep, he brought up to attention that he see planes around, busy around all that, all the time around Gunsight area. So, that's how I got this message. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Peter. Any other -- go ahead, Lisa.

 MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah. Lisa Grediagin for the record. And so, just thinking about possible ways to address this in addition to increasing law enforcement presence is — the call for Board of Game proposals for your region is next May. And so, if you wanted to submit any proposals to the Board of Game to address these concerns, it would be at your next winter meeting. And so, it might just be good to start thinking about that now, you know, whether you want to submit a proposal to create a controlled use area in Unit 26B,

you know, to cover that Happy Valley area, to prohibit aircraft during that time as well, or to like change the size or the dates affected in the Anaktuvuk Pass controlled use area. But just, again, I mean the -- if you want to submit a proposal to the Board of Game, your next winter meeting would be the time and your opportunity to do that.

 ${\tt CHAIRPERSON\ FRANTZ:\ Thank\ you.\ We\ will} \\ {\tt look\ into\ that.\ Go\ ahead,\ Leonard.}$

MR. BARGER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Leonard. I know Board of Game, you know, they're 99% sport hunters in that Board. And there's one person there. And I request that, you know, any sport hunters planes, we should require them to be monitored on, you know, we got that airplane finder, you know, some planes they turn their thing off, but we should request for them to be required to turn their thing so, we could know where they're at, their location. So, you know, they're putting, you know, laws on us, we should put laws on them. You know, those sport hunters that have planes, you know, put their monitor, you know so, we could look at them when they're flying by because some of them turn them off. And I find out some friends that, you know, they came into like Point Lay, and they're on that area. They'll find, you know, they'll call me, and so, I'll let my young sport hunters or hunters at home always make sure get their plane numbers all the time, and that's good information. But I just want to bring that out, and.....

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: I'm not sure who to talk to about that one.

 MR. BARGER: I know, she was just saying she a Board of Games. So, I know you were talking about the Board of Game. I you know, I'm going to bring that up to them, but it's probably not going to pass because I know, like I said, 99% of those guys are sport hunters and 1% is what, you know, not a hunter and stuff. So (distortion).

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: I think we had Peter. Peter had a question.} \\$

MR. WILLIAMS: You know, a park service guy was there before, Roger. He's seen it a lot, but he can't say nothing because he was the only Park Service person. But he seen a lot of it, you know, and we told

him but you know, I always tell him to. He's been there for a period of time. And, you know, I don't know what the use of bringing it up in the meeting. You know, they don't they don't abide it. They said so, you know, I like the way Ernest brought up the attention. So, I'll keep it at that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Peter. Gisela.

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Just to reference what Mr. Council member Barger brought up about requiring planes to have their radar on, I'm taking notes of any potential business that would need to be carried over to our winter meeting. And so, I -- that might be something that we would discuss at our winter meeting if the Council decides to submit a Board of Game proposal. So, that's where that's going. And a question about the annual report. I just wanted to make sure that I understand that there's part of this issue that is being communicated to the Board so they're aware, continued to be aware of their issue -- of the issue. And also, wanted to double check that the Council is asking for additional enforcement on the ground so that they could provide more -- well, I guess I'll leave it at that. Additional enforcement or presence on the ground.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead.

MR. NAGEAK: Earnest, even just showing up at the airport with their rack should be sufficient enough to justify a law presence. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Peter.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ WILLIAMS: Chair, Peter Williams. We shouldn't be saying ask, we should request it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Lisa, did you have something? Oh. Go ahead.

MS. PRUSZENSKI: Through the Chair. This is Jordan Pruszenski, Assistant Area Biologist for the Northeast. And just to talk about the transponder issue that was brought up that that'll be -- that's what airplanes use when they're sort of on the fish finder and that if you have it in your plane, you legally do have to turn it on, you're absolutely right. It's not

required in all planes. So, most planes, most of those small planes that you see in Alaska actually don't have one, like my plane doesn't have one. So, I legally don't have to turn it on because I just don't have one. But, if I were to get one, you're absolutely right, people do need to. But that's an FAA issue. That's not going to be a Board of Game issue. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for the clarification. That's very good information to know. Outside of our realm. Orville, you have something?

MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair, Council members. Good to be here. I'd just like to share, before I was supposed to become a refuge ranger with law enforcement, I used to work with the guides on Alaska Peninsula. And what I was responsible for was to review their plan of activity. And it's documents that shows exactly coordinates, areas of where they're going to do their guiding. All guide areas have to have that. So, I'm assuming guides up here -- I don't think there'd be any different. They have to have a plan of activity. Therefore, they're going to show you or if you ask, it's public information, to see where they're going to conduct their harvests. And that would be a good way to keep an eye on what they're doing. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for that. And yeah, I kind of spoke a little bit about the -- I didn't go into detail because it -- it's more on the state side, but they're also supposed to have a North Slope Borough permit and I talked about that yesterday. A lot of the state permitted fly-in guide hunters or they'll -- they -- they'll submit for locations, and they're supposed to put their spike camp locations on there as well. Some -- a lot of them don't. And a lot of them don't have North Slope Borough permits as well, which is not what we're looking at right now. But it's an issue. But it also states inside their state permits, if they have one, that they shall abide by state, federal and local regulations. So, essentially their permit should be invalid if they do not have a North Slope Borough permit. So, there's a lot behind it, a lot of behind-the-scenes things and things that are overlooked. And, I actually had to fly out to a couple of these spike camps, and they flew me directly to Gunsight inside their commercial outfitters plane in order to, you know, to look at some of the spike camps after a few of them had been caught for flying outside of their areas, it was mandatory for them. So, it was eye opening to see

1 where they were, I mean, they dropped me off and they had a spike camp location on both sides of Gunsight, and you know, it was we didn't have that information before. And once we were made aware of it and how many they had 5 and the locations they were going to, it was technically right on the border of the state boundary. This was years ago, but the way they were conducting their hunting 8 activities was effectively blocking off anything that 9 was coming from the north, going towards Anaktuvuk Pass. 10 So, I mean, a lot of this is stemming from incidents like this. So -- but it is very good information to 11 have. And that's kind of why I was requesting in this 12 13 that the state provide their information for anything 14 that is permitted, and also, implementing that with maybe some form of collar data if they have it, you 15 16 know, collared, it's kind of hit and miss because there's only a few of them versus the whole population. But, if 17 18 they had anything that they can reference to that, in regards to deflection, that it be brought forth to us, 19 20 that would be pretty interesting to see. It's a lot to 21 put together but, you know, it's information that they 22 should have or at least a portion of it. That may help 23 us in our efforts here. Thank you though.

2425

MR. LIND: Thank you, Chair.

2627

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Peter.

28 29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38 39 MR. WILLIAMS: Peter Williams. Anyway, when we used to have an international meeting with Canada, we had a lot of meetings with them on Arctic Village and Kaktovik. Kaktovik kind of quiet down because, you know, they've been pushed out of there 10-02 area as you know, it's kind of restricted in there. You know, they haven't opened up. The way our experts spoke and said that they got permit now to go hunting area there, but they were really restricted on them because there was under 10-02. So, you know, we got to be aware about helping and supporting them too. Thank you.

40 41 42

43

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Peter. All right. Is there anything else on the specifics, Gisela?

44454647

48

49

50

MS. CHAPA: No, Mr. Chair. But I wanted to make sure that this is the like, the overarching theme of the annual report topic and then we can include a lot of what was discussed into the specifics of the report. But seems like the topic is the effects of guided

fly-in hunters on caribou migration.

1 2

3 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Does that sound 4 right to you guys? Carmen.

MS. DAGGETT: Through the Chair to the Council. Carmen Daggett, for the record. I just -- you're talking a lot about guides, but you're not really talking about transporters. And there are two different brackets of aircraft use in the area. And guides are far more regulated through the state than transporters are in a lot of ways. So, a guide you have to hire to take you out, and they're actually going to go with you, to go hunting, and they're going to provide certain resources and all that sort of stuff. A transporter is just going to take you out and drop you off. So, before you get really focused on guides and just pigeonholing that, think about maybe there's a little bit more that you might want to broaden there.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Commercial operators, maybe.

(Simultaneous speech)

MR. NAGEAK: Thank you. Ernest, here because they do that too with the they ask the commercial airline to kind of divert their route when there's a haul out. So, they could ask the commercial airline to divert their route in the Anaktuvuk area, if they need to, if they don't need to be flying through the pass. Quyanaq.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: That I don't know if because they have, you know, they have their own set of regulations and what they can and cannot do. I think for airports and specific locations. But, I mean, we can definitely write it down and look into it, but that —that's a whole nother set of legal things that they have to do as far as approach and what the FAA says.

MR. NAGEAK: Yeah, I was thinking about those, you know, commercial airlines at Prudhoe Bay that used to drop off people on the tundra. Or -- I used to work with the state and they -- we used to take Alaska Taxi and get dropped off anywhere on the tundra. So, if they're not considered commercial, then I don't know. Thank you.

 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you. Yeah, we should be a little more specific, I guess. So, not just guided. And you're right, they have completely different standards for drop-offs. That do-it-yourself hunters. So, but I think both of them should be included in here, especially for their locations. Thank you. Peter.

MR. WILLIAMS: Peter Williams. (Indiscernible) for that lady, I was talking about the drop off. Well, the reason why we're bringing up guide hunters is because they fly around, they fly around and look for a place where the caribou migrate, and that's where they make their spike camps. That's how we're talking about the guide hunters now. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Peter. Gisela.

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Okay. I think then the broad topic of the annual report would be the effects of commercial operators and fly-in hunters on caribou migration.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: We should put transporters in there as well, to be specific.

 $\,$ MS. GREDIAGIN: Guides, transporters and fly-in hunters.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: And maybe in any operation that is of commercial nature, that has to do with sport hunting in the areas. Go ahead, Esther.

MS. HUGO: Thank you. I don't know where to begin, but it doesn't matter if it's state or a guide hunters or drop offs. You guys are playing with our lives. We're waiting for what's to be put on the table. That's all we're asking. And we have done a proposal twice. We were denied. And I guess what we want to ask again is, do to a caribou hunting closure in our area for the non-federal qualified users. (In Native). They go to the caribou, we waiting for them, but we get nothing. Look, we're waiting for caribou to migrate. I feel the presence every time I talk in front of you. This is how they feel, but they don't talk. They don't come to meetings. This was said by our grandfathers before pipeline [sic]. And here I've been in this committee with other committees. Nothing, all we do is talk, talk, talk. We're waiting for a fresh niktug. That's hurt us more than anything, more than the guide

hunters or the drop offs. They're there before the 1 caribou even can come. You guys are playing with our lives. I'm tired of repeating myself and these tears, but they're real. I don't want nobody to feel sorry for 5 me. This is where we live. This is what we need for the winter. This is what we don't have to go buy from the store and spend a lot of money. That's our main diet. 8 It's heartbreaking. Nothing is being done. You guys aren't listening. My grandfathers and my father were 10 hunters, they knew what they were saying, they knew what was coming. But we're always been put down, put aside. 11 Okay, they got it. They'll -- they -- They'll survive. 12 It's not true. It's from my people. I was born and raised 13 14 there. I was given broth, tuttu broth to survive because we had no bottles to share, or in 1958 o, my father took 15 16 three months, no hunting. He took care of me. I had rice broth from caribou when they boil 'em [sic]. It's old, 17 18 it's like a broken record. We lived there, we had a 19 reason to live there. And I'm always proud of it because 20 it's a place of caribou droppings. That's how I feel 21 about tutu. There in me, I live with them. And if I 22 can't -- if they don't migrate, and if these sport 23 hunters that drop off people, they're going there, like 24 two days before I came, we saw a lot of Piper Cubs fly, 25 but we couldn't get the numbers. I had my camera, but 26 my camera's not that good. Every night since end of August, we've seen a lot of activities. Even my great 27 28 niece, she mentioned going home from Bethel 29 Fairbanks, there was hunters and she was going. She was 30 trying to tell them where all the meat. And then the 31 rideshare agents said back up. And then this lady said, 32 yeah, state land, that's our land. It's not, it's my 33 people, my caribou, that's our land, not theirs. They 34 may say and continue saying that, they never even been 35 there before or lived in the harsh winters. That's our 36 home. That's what we've been on all winter. And there's 37 been a lot of deaths since -- a while now. Right now, I 38 went to the hotel to have some -- just to rest. But, 39 right now, I feel my people, they want to go north, just 40 like everybody else. (In Native) them caribou, go do 41 what they do. But, in our traditional we do not do that. 42 It was told to us by our grandparents, my father, my 43 father in law. We don't go, they're coming. But we got so, many activities because it's state land, federal 44 45 land, BLM. And nothing's done about it. Nothing. Just 46 keep coming to meeting. Just cause, we can do this, 47 we'll probably have to do that, but nothing's done. This is our home. You guys are -- I don't know how to say it 48 49 but I say just playing with our lives, I mean, so put 50 down like. Oh, they'll be alright, they'll survive. But,

without the caribou, I don't think so. There's about 90% of our people that don't work. About 50% of our graduates don't even go college [sic] or go further their education, they stay home.

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

But, when I speak in front of you, I feel everybody that's gone. I feel for the people that are waiting for their fresh tuttu to come. But they're all disturbed by the sport hunters. I watched a video through the phone and this guide -- this hunter said, yep, I'm in state land, I can just -- about do everything in this land because it's belong to state. That's our land, you cannot take that away. Yes, we got the Board and the ASRC , with all the entities. It's so, like giving up hope. Because nothing's done. We just talk about it, talk about it. I wish I can have that whaling, whaling where you guys got it. You guys wouldn't do far down south just to meet internationally. What do my people need to go, higher up? Maybe go see the president. Sit down with him or the senators or -- we can't continue this. No way. It's our time we have something done about it. We can't. We know what's going on right now. There's a lot of hunters. We see him every day at, Wright Air, there when we're going to Fairbanks.

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

I don't want nobody to feel sorry for me. But that's the way I feel, my people. We're trying to survive. What's wrong with us? Just because we live so, far from the nearest villages? Just because we're not whalers? A lot of questions. And one thing I wanted to bring up was we were meeting in Nuigsut, a couple years ago, and Sally, Hugo and I, my brother in law, we sat down and here comes Crawford and Crawford Patkotak, Rex, Rex, the ASRC President. We're talking about -- we were going to ask them, hey, you know, if we can get into the Whaling Commission, we're part of the Borough, my grandfathers used to whale. Why can't we get up there and go whale? Maybe we'll catch a whale and we'll take it all home. And then you know what Crawford and Rex said, maybe Nuiqsut or Barter Island can do that. Oh, my God, we're always put aside. We're so different, just because we're inland. You guys shouldn't make us feel like that. I'm talking about the residents of the North Slope. It's so, hurtful. At least we -- at least we got a chance to ask them if we can get into the whaling. And after they told -- those two guys told us that we never bothered them again. Because maybe we could have had a code and give it to one of the villages, you know. But I don't think that will ever happen in my lifetime. Never. But we're talking about our way of life. It's

48 49

50

1 sad. That will be all for me, but that's how I feel. And that's how I always heard from elders or even just people that are my same age. We're always put aside just because we're Nunamiut. Yeah, we have a lot of good family. I 5 love my family. We're all related to a lot of the 6 Tagramiuts for sure, through blood, but we're always left out. We can't go whaling like we want to go whaling. 8 And caribou is the only source that will keep us and 9 make us survive. I just want to give up, but I can't, I 10 can't. And it's the sport hunters, come on. Can we stop them from the month of September? Or can we hunt with 11 12 them? They can go to our home and we'll all take them out, something. Well, that's all said. It's coming from 13 14 me and the caribou, I quess, because he knew we survive 15 with them. I don't know what else to say. Nobody will 16 believe us, nobody will help us. How would you feel if 17 you were from my home and you're going meeting, after 18 meeting, after meeting, and nothing. We're waiting for the caribou as I speak. Last week -- couple weeks ago, 19 20 they were near Shannon Lake, Willow Lake, 25 miles. And 21 they all turned back. Too much activity. These small 22 planes, they can land in river sandbars, they can land 23 on a real straight nuna. They can land on the side of 24 the mountain as long as it's straight. A lot of activity. 25 We need modern -- monitoring. We need the troopers to 26 do their job. So, we can survive and we can go on. 27 Otherwise, if we don't do nothing about it today, or the 28 next couple years, it's going to be the same thing. I 29 sure hate to pass on and then this still issues on my 30 children and my grandchildren. I got 18 grandchildren, 31 I got 13 great grandchildren right now. And the oldest 32 grandkids know what's going on. Try to at least give him 33 the information, but I sure hate to see them like my 34 grandparents, my father, my parents, in-laws. They went 35 through this, but we always had patience. But that 36 patience is probably running out. Right now, they want 37 to get to the highway like the rest. Go (In Native). Go 38 to them instead of them coming to us. It's always been 39 like that. We're being controlled, nobody listens, 40 nobody -- to us, they don't care. So, they're telling -41 - I can feel a lot of my old folks behind me, so I --42 that's all I have to say. But I really wish we can just 43 get that hunting closure like Noatak. Why not? Let's 44 give it a try. But we never will get that chance, will 45 we? Thank you. 46

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for your heartfelt sentiments there, Esther. That's -- you know, that's a powerful statement. And we'll do everything in our power to try and help you and your community for

what's going on over there. And you're right, it's been a long time, maybe ten years, that I've been listening in. And only the last five or so, that I've been kind of involved in meetings even before getting here. But it's been the same concerns, the same happenings in your location that have been expressed for the entire duration. So, we'll try to help you with everything here as part of the North Slope Regional Advisory Council to the best of our abilities.

9 10 11

8

5

MS. HUGO: Mr. Chair.

12 13

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Peter.

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

MR. WILLIAMS: I just wanted to say that, you know, how many times I went to a meetings, and Board of Game and Subsistence Resources Boards. Every time we bring up the attention like this, they say, oh, yeah, C&T, customary trade and they take out this, ANILCA. What a poor excuse isn't it. They're the one that's controlling us, we're supposed to control them. We're the one that's supposed to be talking for our land here. We were raised, born, and raised here. That's why I asked the Board to come to our village meeting, or have -- come of them -- come over observing what's going on in our village. But no, we haven't seen them in ten years. No -- I even went to a resource commission meeting in Fairbanks. I told table this meeting, said why? You know why you guys are meeting outside of our village. You know, we need you people to come to us. Not sitting here talking to you guys and you guys bringing out that excuse about traditional, customary. We don't need that excuse. All they want is to have that guide hunters come in to get their profit making. That's what it was stated at that Board meeting in Anchorage but on the states. So, let's get something straight, and I want to rest my case here and tell these people that they need to come to our meeting, not go to them and try to pressure us or, you know, give us like cake and pie to keep us happy. So, thank you.

40 41 42

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Peter. Esther, did you have something?

43 44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. HUGO: Just few years ago, ASRC had bought a land from the guide Guthrie, I believe his name, just a few miles right in the migration route. And Eric Kenning, he came, he said, Esther, we just bought that land off the guide hunters. I said, I didn't say I wasn't happy, I just told him, what took you so long?

We repeat ourselves over and over again. It took you 30, 40 years to fix it. Now we don't have the spike camp there. We'll go by there with Argo and check it out. Took that long just to have ASRC buy that spike camp from this guide hunter. So, I don't know, I don't know. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Esther. And just for some dialogue on that. I want to say it was roughly ten years ago, maybe 15. So, ASRC had purchased a section of land that was effectively an airstrip with a cabin, and it was a main location just to the north of Anaktuvuk Pass that was really popular for the flyin hunters of that location. And that was purchased by ASRC, our regional corporation in an attempt to lessen the amount of sport hunters and the impact on the local community. So that, you know, that speaks in itself what kind of problem we're dealing with. And what they're trying to help us with. And once again, it's been shown in meetings for the last 10, 15, 20 years that the effectiveness of us trying to do something for Anaktuvuk Pass still isn't helping. And I wanted to bring that out to everybody that even our regional corporation is putting an attempt to stop sport hunting in that location. And still it presses on and it mainly is state lands and the use that is still continuing for that location. So, just wanted to bring that out as like, a historical measure. Thank you. Gisela, did you have anything else? Oh, Esther.

MS. HUGO: I forgot that every time I read this on the tuttu on the very bottom, it says that no fly zone. It's written on there, even in the state regulation and nothing been done. Nothing. It says no flying during this period, and still it's happening. That's lies to us.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for pointing that out. Gisela, you think any other additions to this is necessary, or are we sitting okay with what we have?

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Yes, I think that the Council discussion has provided a lot of context for me. And I think information that we can go ahead and include in the annual report. I think that the topic is now the effects of guided -- guides, transporters, commercial operators and fly-in hunters and caribou migration. And again, I'm going to draft the report and I will present it to the Council at the winter

meeting to ensure that all of your comments and a lot of the history is included in this report. And I think if the Council is ready to take this into a vote. You can. I think I have enough information now.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Peter.

MR. WILLIAMS: I would like you to --Peter Williams. Anyway, I'd like to add on to your subject. Invite us to their Board meetings so, we could put our own presentation to this, because this is getting out of hand. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All right so, point to how are we going to put this? Make a motion to submit this for -- what would be the wording? Yeah, to submit the annual report, with the discussions involved today on Anaktuvuk Pass.

MS. CHAPA: I think that if the Council wishes, you could make a motion to approve the annual report as discussed. And I put on the record the overall topic of the annual report. And again, come the winter meeting I will have a draft for the Council to review. And we can have a little bit more discussion. The topic needs to stay the same, but if some information is incorrectly stated in there, in my report, you can make corrections.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay so, go ahead,

Ernest.

MR. NAGEAK: Make a motion to accept the annual report and add the discussions that we talked about to make this North Slope Regional Council a priority to monitor the Anaktuvuk Pass area. Because you know, we heard it from our Council, we're all doing you know, we had filled our freezers, but every year we hear the concern AKP with their, you know, and they always come back with lack of funding put in the report for the and all involved state, federal to put their concentration on the guided or all the topics we talked about. So, that's a motion for the annual report.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Is that to submit? So, a motion to submit the annual report as discussed.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ NAGEAK: Until the winter meeting for further discussion and acceptance.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, motion is on the

floor.

MR. BARGER: Second.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Seconded by Leonard Barger. Any discussion what looks like you provided your justification already. So, anything further on discussion, Peter?

MR. WILLIAMS: You know when I -- Peter Williams. Anyway, I want to address something here seriously. If this keeps going on I'm going to uphold my votes. So, let's put this on the table because we're just sitting here just talking. They're just writing it down. It'll pass on. So, I just want to get this straight up to you all. Thank you.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON} \mbox{ FRANTZ: Thank you, Peter.} \\ \mbox{Anything further on discussion?}$

MR. BARGER: Question.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Question's been called for. All those in favor of submitting the annual report with the discussions attached, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Those opposed, same

31 sign.

(No response)

Hearing none. We have approved the annual report as modified. All right. Now, are we on the -- item C there? Okay. Item C, future meeting dates. And who is on this one? Confirm winter 2026, Gisela.

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. At this time the Council needs to confirm their winter 2026 meeting date and location. If you look at page 119 of your meeting materials, you'll see that it's set for Tuesday, February 24th and Wednesday the 25th. The Council had discussed at your winter meeting to potentially have the meeting in Point Hope. And it is technically not a hub community for the Council, meaning that for the Council to have the meeting in Point Hope, we would request approval from our director. And it would be ideal if we can set up a backup location for that.

aye.

1 So, anyway, it requires a motion and a second to confirm your winter 2026 meeting dates and location. Meeting date, sorry. 5 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Leonard. 6 7 BARGER: Yeah, Mr. Chair, 8 Leonard. I just want to let you guys know the during 9 that time last year, we had it there and you know, I got stuck for five days in Kotzebue. So, I would like to 10 have it in Point Hope, but I rather have it someplace 11 12 else. And I know you guys were going to honor Stevie as 13 a long-term member. But I would like to request that we 14 have it in Anchorage, a lot better than Point Hope. Make 15 a motion to change the meeting in Point Hope due to --16 I know a lot of you guys will be stuck in Kotzebue and that's a like I say, it's a bad time of the year. You 17 18 guys will be, you know, using a lot of money. You know, 19 a lot of members will be stuck in Kotzebue just to get 20 to Point Hope during that time. So, you know, I make a 21 motion to, you know, have it in Anchorage a lot better 22 so, we could go shopping, you know, at the hotel. So, 23 Mr. Chair. 24 25 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All right. There's 26 a motion on the floor to change the meeting to Anchorage, 27 February 24th and 25. Is that correct? 28 29 MR. BARGER: Yes. 30 31 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: February 24 and 32 25^{th} , February 2026. That's the motion. 33 34 MR. WILLIAMS: Second. 35 36 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Seconded by Peter 37 Williams. Any discussion on the matter? It's a -- sounds like the justification was due to weather and the high 38 39 chances of getting stuck along the trip. Any further 40 discussion? 41 42 (No response) 43 44 UNIDENTIFIED: Question. 45 46 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Questions 47 called for. All those in favor of moving the meeting to Anchorage, February 24, 25 of 2025. Is that the right 48 49 date? Yeah. 26, Sorry. 2026. All those in favor, say

00075 1 2 IN UNISON: Aye. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Those opposed, same 5 sign. 6 7 (No response) 8 Hearing none. We have a meeting date in 9 10 Anchorage for 2026, February 24th and 25. Do we still 11 need to make a secondary or not? 12 13 MR. NAGEAK: Make a motion for Anaktuvuk 14 Pass to be secondary in case Anchorage doesn't work out. Thank you. 15 16 17 MS. CHAPA: Mr. Chair, can I chime in? 18 19 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Yes. 20 21 MS. CHAPA: Okay. So, North Slope has one 22 hub community identified as the meeting location for --23 to hold their meetings, and that is Utqiagvik. Any meeting location that is outside of the hub community 24 25 will have to go through approval. And I believe for us, Anchorage is also considered -- is not considered a hub 26 27 community. So, I will go ahead and make the request. And 28 I could also make the request for Anaktuvuk Pass, if 29 that's something that the Council wishes for, but it 30 will have to go through the approval process. 31 32 MR. NAGEAK: Yes. We had went there 33 before for Regional Advisory Council meeting and due to 34 the ongoing issues, AKP is our main priority. I would 35 think if it's okay, it's up to them. Thank you. 36 37 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Peter. 38 39 MR. WILLIAMS: Peter Williams. The only 40 problem we got is lodging. And you know, if we prefer 41 something, we would prefer summer because, you know, you 42 put your tent out behind my yard, you know. All I'm suggesting is that, you know, even the fall time would 43 44 be good. But now I'm requesting that, you know, we we're 45 going to bail out on this one because you know, we're 46 having shortage on lodging, and you know, we're having 47 high cost of living -- bread is almost \$10 now. So, turn

your bank over two times. Thank you.

48

1 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay so, we have a decline for Anaktuvuk Pass. We're gonna [sic] have to rescind that motion, though. 5 MR. NAGEAK: I rescind my motion for 6 second location. 7 8 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, motion rescind is on the table. Is that a motion to rescind? 9 10 11 MR. NAGEAK: Yes. 12 13 MS. KIPPI: Seconded. 14 CHAIRPERSON 15 FRANTZ: Seconded. further discussion? It's because we have to -- well, 16 they declined due to the wintry conditions and would 17 18 rather a different time of the year. So, any further 19 discussion? 20 21 MR. BARGER: Question. 22 23 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Questions 24 called for. All those in favor of rescinding the motion, 25 say aye. 26 27 IN UNISON: Aye. 28 29 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Those opposed, same 30 sign. 31 32 (No response) 33 34 We've Hearing none. rescinded 35 motion. Go ahead, Peter? 36 37 MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah. Another thing is 38 that you know, by the time we have a meeting of fall 39 season, you know, it's going to be caribou hunting season too. So, you know, it's going to be, you know so, I 40 41 think we're going to hold out on this. Thank you very 42 much, appreciate it. 43 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay. So, do we 44 45 still need a secondary. Yeah, yeah, we need to -- okay. 46 So, are you guys okay with Uqiaqvik being the secondary, 47 as the backend -- backup location? No motion for that. Okay so, Utkiagvik sounds like the backup location. All 48 49 right. So up next, we have to confirm the fall 2026 50 meeting date and location. Gisela.

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The fall meeting calendar is on page 120 of your meeting materials and it's set for Thursday, October 1st and Friday, October 2nd. This requires a motion and a second.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Did we have a previous location?

MS. CHAPA: Yes. The meeting was set to Utqiagvik, and again so, any request to meet outside of the hub community would need to go for internal approval. And the Council would need to provide justification as to why you'd want to have the meeting outside of the hub community. And I'll also share with the Council that one of the reasons why we identify these hub communities ahead of time is precisely because of Council member Williams has shared, that sometimes logistically, it becomes really challenging to travel to more remote locations. There could be a shortage of lodging, or we could have the potential of really bad weather and straying the Council from their duties as a Council.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Gisela. What is the wish of the Council for the fall 2026 meeting date and location that is currently set for October 1 and 2, 2026, in Utqiagvik? Once again, we selected these dates previously with the intention that whaling should be going on in Barrow around then. So, what is the wish of the Council for the fall meeting dates?

MS. AHMAGOAK: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead.

MS. AHMAGOAK: I make a motion to have our fall meeting dates for 2026 here in Utqiagvik on October 1st and 2nd, as what we proposed before.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, there's a motion on the floor with the dates.....

(Simultaneous speech)

MR. BARGER: Second.

47 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: seconded by 48 Leonard Barger. Any discussion on the matter?

MR. WILLIAMS: Question.

1 2

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Question has been called for by Peter. Any -- well all those in favor of having the meeting in Utqiagʻvik, October 1 and 2, 2026 fall meeting, say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Those opposed, same

10 sign.

(No response)

 Hearing none. We're going to Utqiagʻvik, in the fall 2026. All right. Should -- do you guys want to take a break or keep moving on? Ten-minute break. We're going to take a ten-minute break. All right. We'll be back in ten minutes.

(Off record)

(On record)

CHIARPERSON FRANTZ: All right, everybody, we're back online here after break. Okay, Gisela we did have, looks like a change to the agenda. A slight change, go ahead.

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just wanted to bring up that earlier in the discussion of some of the wildlife proposals and closure reviews for Unit 23. Council member Barger had brought up his interest in attending those meetings and I just wanted to, I wouldn't give him the floor but I just wanted to let the Council know that, that was something that was up and perhaps something that you'd want to discuss right now.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay so, it sounds like some of the meetings for Unit 23 are being discussed with another section. And it sounds like Leonard, being he's part of Unit 23 but part of the North Slope Regional Advisory Council, would like to attend some of the Northwest Arctic Borough's -- is it the RAC? So, the North Northwest Arctic Borough's RAC, just due to the nature of the crossed -- crossover unit. So, that he can be in part of the discussions that would potentially involve his area, even though we're a different RAC, they do share the same unit there. So, just wanted to point that out to our RAC and point out that we have an

| 1 2 | interest in having one of our RAC members attend their meetings as well. Is that need a motion or anything or |
|--------|---|
| 3 | just a general point? So, we'll need a motion for |
| 4 | approval. |
| 5 | appiovai. |
| 6 | MD NACEAR. Make a metion to allow |
| | MR. NAGEAK: Make a motion to allow |
| 7 | Leonard Barger to attend the Northwest Arctic Regional |
| 8 | Council meeting. Because they affect his area too, with |
| 9 | their closures and Point Hope being along their area. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, there's a motion |
| 12 | on the floor to allow him to attend the meetings due to |
| 13 | the interest. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | MS. KIPPI: Second, the motion. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Seconded by Wanda |
| 18 | Kippi. Any further discussion on it? We do have |
| 19 | justification already attached. Any question? Go ahead, |
| 20 | Peter. |
| 21 | |
| 22 | MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah. Chair, I would |
| 23 | recommend having an alternate for him, you know. And you |
| 24 | know, that way, you know, you continue this aspect. Thank |
| 25 | you. |
| 26 | |
| 27 | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, is that all |
| 28 | right to attach on discussion this maybe to have an |
| 29 | alternate for him. |
| 30 | |
| 31 | MS. CHAPA: Yes, Mr. Chair, I think that |
| 32 | would be okay. |
| 33 | |
| 34 | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay so, attached an |
| 35 | alternate, Peter Williams to that. |
| 36 | arounded, reder militaine ee ender |
| 37 | MR. NAGEAK: Second. |
| 38 | int. Middint. become. |
| 39 | CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, it's been |
| 40 | motion seconded. We did it attached under discussion. |
| 41 | Any question? Is that correct? |
| 42 | Any quescion: is that correct: |
| 43 | MC CHADA. Mr Chair if I may Did you |
| 43 | MS. CHAPA: Mr. Chair, if I may. Did you |
| | identify the alternate to attend instead of Council |
| 45 | member Barger, in case it's needed? |
| 46 | QUATREPREDON FRANCE R 11/12/ |
| 47 | CHAIRPPERSON FRANTZ: Peter Williams. |
| 48 | MO CHADA COLLAIN 1 |
| 49 | MS. CHAPA: Got it, thank you. |
| 50 | |

00080 1 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay. So, any other 2 discussion? 3 4 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Peter. 5 6 MR. WILLIAMS: Reason why I brought up 7 this to attention, because northwest, (indiscernible) always invite us to their meeting through subsistence 8 9 resource. We've been through a couple meetings, right? 10 Yeah, we went through there a couple of meetings and 11 very interesting. Thank you. 12 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay, 13 now 14 beings -- well, okay. Well, you're still part of the North Slope RAC. Okay, so. 15 16 17 MR. ADAMS: Question. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Ouestion's been 20 called for. All those in favor of Leonard Barger, with 21 an alternate of Peter Williams, attending the Northwest 22 Arctic Borough RAC meeting, say aye. 23 24 IN UNISON: Aye. 25 26 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Those opposed, same 27

sign.

28 29

30 31

32 33

34 35

36 37

38

39 40

41

43

44

4.5 46

47

48

(No response)

Hearing none. Motion passes.

MR. BARGER: Thank you, all, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All right. So, what did we have up next? I don't have it written down here so, I think we have maybe Carmen Daggett up next. Is that correct? Yeah. Go ahead, Gisela.

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And some of our presenters providing their report on their agency report need to catch the afternoon flight. And so, this is why their report is being moved up in the agenda.

MS. DAGGETT: Good afternoon, Council members and Chair. My name is Carmen Daggett. I work for Alaska Department of Fish and Game, and I'm based here in Utqiagvik. And I'll let Jordan introduce herself.

MS. PRUSZENSKI: Hello. Through the Chair. I'm Jordan Pruszenski, I'm the assistant area biologist for the northeast area, including 26B and 26C.

MS. DAGGETT: So, we're going to kind of work on this presentation together. And the first bit of information that we wanted to share with you was about the caribou in the areas that we manage. So, there was a photo census that was conducted across the North Slope for all four Arctic caribou herds this summer. Which means that we will have an update on population estimates for all four of those herds sometime this winter. Ernest mentioned that he had some curiosities and had some concerns about how we do these photo censuses. And so, in order to kind of introduce that bit of the information that we have, I thought it would be appropriate to show a short video about how we do those photo censuses and Fish and Game put together this photo -- video a couple years ago. And they did a really nice job putting it together. And so, I wanted to share that with you. Gisela, we need to turn the microphone.....

(Recorded Video)

VIDEO PRESENTATION - "Caribou Photocensus Video, Final Cut with into 9/9/2020" by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, released 9/11/2020, YouTube. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7czVasU-WCw

MS. PRUSZENSKI: Through the Chair, this is Pruszenski. Again, and so, I just wanted to ask if anybody has any follow up questions to that. Otherwise, I can dive into the numbers. Give you guys my report for the 26B and C area.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Leonard.

MR. BARGER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Pretty good, interesting video. And you know, I had to look where that place was at. Can you input on -- tell everyone where that was at? You know, where all those caribou. You know, I know where it's at. I just want to hear it from you.

MS. PRUSZENSKI: You know what, This -- I think that video was filmed before I started with the department. So, you definitely know more about that landscape than I do, for sure. But, that is just a kind of circle back to -- that's why we're able to do these surveys in one day. And it really is -- we take a photo

of them during a time when they're all grouped together, and then it takes months to actually count them. So, just to clarify that.

4 5

6

7

MR. WILLIAMS: Peter Williams. Chair, anyway -- Chair. Anyway, I just want to say that that that movie looks like around (In Native). (In Native) got mountain real close. So, I just want to clarify. Thank you. Sorry, Chair.

9 10 11

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead. I think we're ready to go.

12 13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

MS. PRUSZENSKI: All right, great. Thank you. So, I'll start with the Central Arctic Herd. And so, this herd primarily is 20 -- is in 26B, it calves up on the slope near Prudhoe Bay and then will migrate down. And the past few winters has been wintering near the Coldfoot-Wiseman area and those foothills and then returns back up to the slope for calving. We were able to get a photo census on this herd. But the last photo census was done in 2022, and that had the herd at around 35,000. So, we'll get an updated count this winter, which will be really good because we believe that this herd is probably stable. And we would like to find out whether or not that means they're stable or potentially declining their -- their calving rates have been about average, but definitely this year there late June, calf survival rates did not do well because of the late winter that they had. And it was very unusual, we actually were out there. And usually, the peak of calving of that herd is right around June 5th. And when I was out there in -- over June 10th, caribou were still giving birth which is really late for that herd. And because there was -there's just so much snow out there, that they were getting these new calves were getting plopped into the new snow. And so, it definitely took a toll on their calf survival. So, it'll be really interesting to see sort of what happens to that herd. Prior to that, the calf survivals were within average but definitely signaling that potentially that herd was no longer growing, which it had been doing since about 2019. And so, we think that that herd has gone through its growth and maybe it's now stabilizing to potentially declining. So, we'll definitely have an updated number for you next -- next summer. Moving on to the Porcupine Caribou Herd which.

47 48

(simultaneous speech)

MS. PRUSZENSKI: Oh, sorry.

MR. NAGEAK: Can you share how many days each photo census were. That'd be good to know. Thank you.

MS. PRUSZENSKI: So, again so, the photo census we try to get -- we rush out, and I have to give props to Joelle Hepler and a lot of other Region 5 folks for helping us really get this done. They went out and went crazy. And it really does take one day they go out, the entire caribou herd is grouped together like you saw in that video, and we go out and we track all of our GPS collars, and we assume that if we find all of our GPS collars, that means that the whole herd is grouped together. And we have both cows and bulls collared because often, sometimes in the summer, those groups do group up separately. But we find everyone and we assume that, that is the entire herd. And we take photos of that entire herd all at once to really capture it. So, then we don't have to have the problem of if it took many days, then we wouldn't know if we were double counting any. So, it really is the gold standard.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ NAGEAK: Okay. And then how many collars in the Cenral Herd? You -- were all together like 100?

MS. PRUSZENSKI: Yeah. We have 100 caribou collared right now and -- for the Central Arctic Herd. Yep, yep. And we were able to get about all of those. Yeah.

MR. NAGEAK: We used to have Central collars all the way down in Point Hope when I used to work there, because the collars -- caribou don't know boundaries so, just point that out.

MS. PRUSZENSKI: Yeah. And I appreciate that. We're actually -- we are doing -- looking at some herd switching. Because it does seem like caribou do switch herd especially -- that seems to be a big part of why when the Central Arctic Herd did go through a decline, about 19% of the collars actually just switched over to either to the Teshekpuk Herd or the Porcupine Herd. They -- it wasn't necessarily that those caribou died, it was just that they just straight up left. And so, that is something we're definitely looking into. So, yeah, that's a great point.

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49 50

So, for the Porcupine Caribou Herd. That's -- that's a herd that is in 26C. They calve up on the Slope, and then will go into Canada, and then we'll often winter down around Arctic Village, and then we'll often actually sort of do a reverse. They go back into Canada and then back up on the Slope. We were also able to get this photo census this year, which was awesome because the last photo census was in 2017, so it's definitely outdated. But at that time there were over 200,000 caribou in that herd. And we do think that this herd is potentially on a decline. And this photo census will show us where in that decline they are. So, this will be a really important one as far as calving rates go. This -- the past few years the number of calves they've been giving has been above -- number of calves they've been having, is above average, but they've definitely -- survival rates have also been fluctuating about average. And then -- but that three-week calf survival rate when we go back out into late June to look at -- to see how many of our collared animals who had calves, how many of those calves survived, that's really where they're getting hit. There's definitely a drop. So, this year it was at about 79% of the calves survived until late June, whereas the long-term average is in the mid-80s. And we think that anything that's below the mid-80s, that's starting to be a sign that potentially that herd is on a decline. So again, we'll get numbers for you guy next summer. And so, that'll be really helpful for us to know really where that herd status is. So, we were really glad that we got that photo census.

So, I'll move on to -- we've already talked a little bit about muskox in this area, so, I'll just sort of zoom through those numbers again. We do a minimum count in 26B, the last one was in spring 2024, and that was at 320 muskox. We'll do that again this coming spring. And again, there are -- there's a TX-108, which is going to be the west side of 26B and the east side of 20A kind of straddles those lines and that application for that is going to be in -- from November 1st to December 16th. So, that's coming up. And the hunt for that is from August 1st to March 31st. And we give out four permits for that. There is a draw permit on the east side of the Dalton, DX-112. We also, give out four permits for that and then a registration. And we hand out two permits in Kaktovik and two permits in Nuigsut. And those permits are usually handed out at the beginning of October, within the first couple of weeks of October.

And then I'll just touch on -- we also do a moose survey. Along -- we do it at the same time as our muskox survey, and the last time that we did that, again was in 2024, and we got 390 moose which is above our objective of 200. So, the hunt there was also opened as well. And we'll have updated numbers in the spring for that. Yeah. If anybody has any questions.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Where does the boundary stop for your moose count?

MS. PRUSZENSKI: That's a great question. Our moose count is in 26B. Yeah. So, all we actually -- it's sort of a high-grade minimum count. We fly all of the drainages in the spring.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay. Thank you.

MS. PRUSZENSKI: Yeah.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ NAGEAK: Any Western -- I was curious if that was also done in one day. Because that's a lot of caribou.

MS. PRUSZENSKI: Yeah, that's Carmen so, I can hand it off to her for the next round.

MS. DAGGETT: That's okay. I'll just take this quick question, then turn it back over to Jordan. So, the actual photography takes one day for each, the scouting missions to go out and locate where all the caribou are and to determine their aggregation takes several days. So, I'm wondering if that's part of what you remember doing is going out and looking at the caribou over a period of several days to see....

(Simultaneous speech)

MR. NAGEAK: That orange plane was there at least five days when it was 400,000 plus. So, it yeah, a lot of ground they have to cover and one day is not sufficient enough. Because that count, you know, especially with the counts this year with the late snow thawing out and could affect the gathering of them on the coast and could have been later.

MS. DAGGETT: Just a follow up to that, the GPS collars that we have now give us coordinates more frequently, and so, we can use that information to help expedite the efficiency of the survey as well. So,

not only are we listening to the radio tracking of where those collars are, but we can also have a better estimate of where to start looking for them. Oftentimes to —some of those collars are getting us giving us multiple locations a day. And so, instead of searching for a long period of time for one collar, like we would have had to before. We can home in on where those collars are a little bit quicker to than we used to be able to. So, some of that has changed too.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Peter.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Chair. Peter Williams. Anyway, the way I understood it when they were studying those caribou is that when they see the bunch get together, they make a box on each. And they prefer, like 20 caribou in one box, and they multiply that on the herd this way. I remember this. They spoke it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead.

MS. DAGGETT: I'm not sure if there was a specific question related to that or not, but we've certainly changed how we do the counting quite a bit. We used to do all of the photography using like old spy plane cameras and have actual physical photos on a table and lay them all out. We don't do that process anymore. Nathan Pamperin did a really good job of explaining how we do it now, all digitally, and it is much more efficient. And takes — it still takes a lot of time, but it takes a lot less time than it used to, to produce those counts. And the method is completely changed. So, yeah, it's we've really updated our technology. Fish and Game has updated their technology substantially in this realm.

MR. WILLIAMS: Chair. Peter Williams, (indiscernible) I see when I was in An'tuvik in 88. And I just want to address this, you know, I know you guys step up the technology. I know the way I see it is, when they're talking about how they entrusted it to us. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Any further questions, comments? Was that it or is there more?

MS. PRUSZENSKI: I think that's it for me. Unless you guys have any more specific questions on anything I've said or any other species. Otherwise, I'll

just hand it off to Carmen.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you. Thank you for your presentation, that was very informational.

MS. DAGGETT: All right. Thank you, Jordan, for that information. Again, my name is Carmen Daggett, for the record. So, I'm going to talk about Teshekpuk Caribou Herd. She studies the Central Arctic and the Porcupine Herd. My realm is Teshekpuk Caribou Herd and Alex Hanson, out of the Kotzebue office, handles the Western Arctic Herd, as far as reporting all these information, keeping track of numbers and all that sort of stuff, just to lay out the land a little bit. So, in 2022, we did a photo census estimate for the Teshekpuk Caribou Herd. That was the last time we were able to do that photo census. And that estimate was 61,600. That was the estimate. The minimum count was around 55,000. So, we laid eyes and counted 55,000 on a screen. And then we estimated it based on how many collars we didn't find at 61,000. They were obviously -- we mentioned earlier, they were photographed this year. So, hopefully we will be able to get some updated information to you hopefully at our February meeting. Fingers crossed. So, that's all I have about the population estimates. Are there any questions about that? Before I move on to talking about spring surveys?

MR. NAGEAK: Number of collars, please.

MS. DAGGETT: We try to maintain a minimum of 100 collars in every caribou herd on the North Slope, and that's kind of a minimum requirement for doing a census estimate. It varies a little bit based on mortalities from each year. So, we probably have around 108 to 120 collars in the Teshekpuk Caribou Herd right now, I'm not exactly sure the exact number but it's certainly around 100. Any other questions?

MS. AHMAOGAK: Mr. Chair. This summer collar was turned into me. Some of you might not know, but I do work with the North Slope Borough Department of Wildlife as a subsistence research specialist, and that's kind of something that I deal with. You know, if somebody has a collar, they'll turn it into me, and then I'll send it out here to Barrow. What do you guys do when collars are sent back?

MS. DAGGETT: Great question. Thank you, Jenysa. So, when we get collars back it depends on how

1 old the collar is. So, some of the collars that are newer, we will send them back to Telonics the company that we get them from, and they'll get refurbished and we can use them again. If they're older collars, then 5 they get recycled usually. But, either way, we like to get them back. We like to get them off the landscape. We don't like being responsible for garbage being out 8 on the landscape. I actually conducted a collar pickup this summer to pick up several collars out of the 10 landscape. And then several of my colleagues out of the Fairbanks office also, did a collar pickup this summer. 11 12 So, we do try to retrieve them as much as possible, but we do periodically get them from hunters, and we 13 14 appreciate getting them back and deal with them as, as 15 necessary, depending on the age of those collars.

16 17

18

MS. AHMAOGAK: Thank you for that, Carmen. And one more, how does this affect the population — like your population estimates?

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. DAGGETT: How does getting collars back affect the population estimates? So, usually if we have a collar that has been functioning well, we kind of have an idea of when the animal has died. Okay. So, we kind of keep track of the mortality of -- we get a mortality signal when an animal dies. It gets reported to the satellite, and that information comes back to us. And we have an idea of when that animal's died. Now, sometimes hunters can give us more information than that. Sometimes they can say, okay, I shot an animal on this day, and -- or I found this collar on the landscape and it was on a bear kill, or we found this hanging in a tree somewhere, someone left it there, you know, so we can get some more information from hunters. That can be really helpful. And I know in the past, we've also, given information back to the hunters, like, okay, where did that collar go? What did it do throughout its life? Those sorts of things so, that the Hunter actually gets some interesting information back about what happened to that caribou throughout its time period, that it actually carried that collar. So, I wouldn't say necessarily that getting collars back necessarily helps or affects our counts as much as it does help us get more information about what's happening with those collars and the caribou that were once carrying them. And then also, kind of just getting an idea of adult female mortality. We definitely use dying collars or dying caribou that we're carrying those collars to estimate mortality and have an idea of how much that mortality is impacting herd -- the population through

time. So, I guess in some ways it does indirectly.

MS. PRUSZENSKI: And this is Jordan Pruszenski. And just to add that when we get collars back, a lot of times those collars, there might be gaps in the data where the collar wasn't able to upload the locations to the satellite. And so, if we get it back, we can actually download them from the collar. And then — now we have a complete location. And so, it just makes our data, that much more accurate for doing future analyses. So, yeah, it is really great. So, we really appreciate it. Thank you.

MS. AHMAOGAK: Thank you both.

MS. DAGGETT: Okay. If there's no further questions just reviewing the -- so, we do a spring survey typically every year for short yearlings. So, caribou that are just shy of being a year old. This last spring, we did not do a survey because we were focusing on the muskox survey this spring. And so, I'm going to report the numbers from the 2024 survey for our spring short yearlings because we didn't do one this last spring. So, in April of 2024, we looked at 3600 caribou and about 20% of those were short yearlings. The ten-year average is about 15%. So, in 2024 that short yearling percentage was higher than average which is always good to see. So, are there any questions about that particular survey? Typically, it takes place between like April 4th to 10th sort of time period each year. So, if you see a super cub headed north to Galbraith during that time period, that might be us out doing short yearling surveys. We typically try to send flyers to the surrounding communities when we are doing those surveys to provide some transparency about aircraft in the area. And we communicate with the Borough and I try to send that to tribal councils and city offices and liaison offices to, to keep that transparency open. So, to let you know we're not out there hunting. We're doing our jobs. Any questions about that?

(No response)

Okay. So, Jordan mentioned summer calving surveys. This last summer we did our annual survey. We had about 60% of the females showing signs of being pregnant. The ten-year average is about 68%. So, it was a little bit low for this year. And we'll keep an eye on that. I think it's been a little bit low the last couple of summers. So, it'll be interesting to

see what this photo census tells us about where the herd is at. I didn't mention this when I talked about the photo census estimate, but the Teshekpuk is actually at its second highest peak that it's been historically since we've been keeping track of the population. And so, they I would say that -- their historical peak. So, we would expect that perhaps it would be stabilizing or perhaps into a decline, but we're not really quite certain exactly where it would be and wouldn't know for sure until we actually count those numbers. Any questions about that?

(No response)

Okay. I mentioned adult female mortality earlier. It's a really important vital sign for caribou. It's a really good indicator of what the herd is doing at the time. In 2024 and 2025, we had about 16% mortality. And the average is about 14 to 15% over a ten-year period. So, a little higher mortality in the 2024-2025 time period for adult females. So, that might give us some idea that we might be looking at a potential decline. Any questions about that?

(No response)

Okay. We've been working on a caribou health assessment over the last several years. This project began in 2022 and continues each summer. We had some challenges this summer with it though. Umiak flooded in the middle of doing caribou capture work when our crew was actually working there and had to be evacuated. So, we didn't get many collars out this summer because that happened in the middle of us trying to work, unfortunately. So -- but with that health assessment, we typically are looking at measurements for body condition, internal and external parasites, trace minerals, stress related hormones, and a wide range of possible diseases. So, we're trying to keep a close tab on what's happening, when Mother Nature allows us to function. But it was a bit of a challenge this year. And I have to give credit to that -- Shauna Karpovich and Joelle Hepler, have been working on that in and doing a great job with it.

So, that kind of sums up the caribou discussion for me, except that I just wanted to continue to encourage people to get their RC-907 caribou permits, their registration permits, and if people ever need help finding those, want -- I try to bring them with me when

1 I go to communities to do outreach work. I'll plan to do some outreach work related to muskox here this November. And I always try to make hunting licenses and those sorts of things available to communities and bring 5 fur seals and all those sorts of things with as a general 6 resource to the community. So, if anybody ever runs into an issue where you're having a hard time getting them, I'm only a phone call away and we can figure out a 8 pathway to get you something, even if it's calling your 10 tribal office and having them print something off for you. Most of it can be done online. So, please let me 11 12 know how I can help you there. I'm happy to figure out 13 some way through all of that.

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

I mentioned Joelle Hepler earlier and Shawna and myself, we're looking at research project currently to talk about fall caribou migration with Anaktuvuk Pass at heart. Also, looking at contributing factors towards fall caribou migration timing and looking at fall caribou migration and -- through the lens of the caribou collars and the data associated with that. That is certainly something we've been talking about for a while and we're working on research projects to understand that in more detail. We -- there was a Board of Game meeting in 2024, where the Anaktuvuk controlled use area boundary was moved at the request of some of the members from this RAC, mostly through the North Slope Advisory Committee. That proposal was adopted and amended by the Board. So, the controlled use area was amended to -- from the Anaktuvuk River, to the Killik River and south of the Colville so, it changed this kind of longer standing controlled use area boundary to those areas.

33 34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47 48

49

50

So, we're certainly interested keeping the conversation open about parameters that should be included in that research project. I was certainly listening to your suggestions today. And Joelle has been online, I believe, to listening to that conversation as well. So, I know it can be frustrating and it's and it's been a long time of discussing these sorts of things. And we're working towards trying to find a better understanding of what's happening in fall migration and a pathway forward to address some of those concerns. You had talked about interest in understanding who the guides are there. I did a guick look while the discussion was happening, and Terry Overly and Richard Guthrie are the two major guides that are operating out of those areas so -- that are north of Anaktuvuk Pass. So, just so that you have that information it is

available on the Big Game Commercial Services Board website and that is where I found it.

3 4

5

All right, any questions about any of that? Because I'm going to switch to muskox. Brower's favorite topic.

6 7 8

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead. Go ahead, Leonard.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

MR. BARGER: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Leonard. Thank you, Carmen. Very good, interesting. I know you were talking about samples, and you know like the beluga. Do you get any like our Western Caribou? They go up to, you know, Anaktuvuk Pass or, you know, those kind of things, because you know, like we get a lot of beluga samples and stuff and we've got some samples and we get the Canadian belugas and stuff. And I would like to know about the caribou, you know, those kinds of things, because I keep on getting samples from caribou and you know, where it's from, what kind of breed. Because a lot of us young generation, you know, our generation, they don't know what kind. They just know it's caribou, caribou and you know, and like the reindeer and stuff. And you know, we try to teach them, but it's you know, it goes in one ear out the other, these younger generations. But, yeah, these kind of things, you know, like if we get a caribou, it's a Central or you know, a Porcupine. That would be nice to know in other villages. So, thank you Carmen, very much.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. DAGGETT: Thank you, Leonard, that was an excellent question. So, from a genetic sort of standpoint, I'm assuming that the beluga information is determined through genetics. The caribou herds on the North Slope, they've looked at the genetics of them and they're basically one metapopulation genetically. So, difficult to distinguish them that way. However, our collars do give us some idea of crossing over and we can kind of keep an eye, you know, on that. Anaktuvuk Pass certainly sees caribou from all four arctic caribou herds. They get the Western Arctic ones. I know I've had a Porcupine collar come in from Anaktuvuk Pass, so, even though I can't see the Porcupine Caribou collars ever, I know that one made it there because someone sent it to me, or it came through Brian. So, there's that. And then Central Arctic definitely goes through that area too and certainly Teshekpuk. We can see that throughout the year that there they move through that area in the spring and the fall and then sometimes overwinter in

that area, depending on which herd you're talking about and timing and all that sort of stuff, of course. Lots of qualifiers there. But does that answer your question?

MR. BARGER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Esther.

MS. HUGO: I just wanted to ask when will we ever do away with the collars or -- our tuttu and why? And where's the caribou as we speak? We're waiting for them.

MS. DAGGETT: Thank you, Esther. So, the caribou -- the Teshekpuk Caribou are a bit further north right now. I promised to send an update to Anaktuvuk Pass on a weekly basis to discuss that in some level of detail. And I will certainly be doing that this week on Friday. But they're a bit further north right now. I think north of the Colville last time I looked, the majority of them are. Western Arctic Herd is certainly further west. And I haven't looked at -- you want to comment on the Central Arctic and Porcupine discussion.

MS. PRUSZENSKI: And then the Central Arctic Herd right now they're still pretty north, right now they're north of Slope Mountain. They're still sort of -- they're still getting -- it seems like they're still sort of getting ready to move down. They're definitely moving farther south than previously, but they're still pretty much up on the Slope. And once they do, we do expect them to then drop down and sort of end up probably near that Coldfoot area for their wintering grounds. Right now, the Porcupine Herd, they're pretty well -- last time I checked, they're pretty well scattered throughout the southern foothills of the Brooks Range. So, all the way from the Canadian border past and north of Arctic Village. Almost hitting like the Chandler Lake area. And so, they were pretty spread out. And so, they've actually ended up near like the Hodzana Hills area the last few years. And so, it'll be interesting to see where they stop and kind of then reverse course. Yeah, hopefully that helps.

 $\,$ MS. HUGO: This Esther Hugo. When will we do away with the tuttu collars?

MS. DAGGETT: Thank you for that question, Esther. So, the collars provide us with an incredible amount of management information. You're

 asking where the animals are right now and we're able to tell you where those animals are right now because of the collars. The population estimates, the collars are an integral part of getting those population estimates. We -- if we can't find the caribou across the huge landscape, we can't get data on them. So, our spring surveys, our summer surveys, the collars are the backbone of being able to do all of that work and to get data for you guys to make decisions based off of that. So, when we're asked to -- when the collars are going away, I guess it's kind of asking when is the data going to go away, then? When, you know, when do you want to not have any information?

MS. HUGO: I just meant and want to know when we're going to do away with collars. It's 2025, technology, you gotta be smart to be a biologist to try to figure out how can we do away with the collars and maybe a chip or something in the caribou itself? I mean, you gotta think ahead. And do you check on the collared caribou wintertime and to see if they're rubbing on their skin or if there's -- I heard that sometimes the collar does that.

MS. PRUSZENSKI: Yeah, those are great questions. And we really appreciate people being concerned with the health of these collared animals. We definitely are. And we go through an internal process to make sure that we are we are holding ourselves to the highest standard of making sure these animals are healthy. And you're absolutely right. Technology is getting a lot better. We're getting collars that are smaller and will last longer, which means that they don't have to carry as heavy or bulky collars. And it also means that we don't have to bother them as much, which is ideal for both caribou and humans alike. And so, yeah, as far as we do surveys in October. And so, we are able to see and really make sure that those collars and when we're putting them on, we train the people who are putting them on to make sure that they're not too tight or too loose. And so, we really do want them snug on the males. We put a stretchy band so, that those males as they go through rut, I call it like their muscle shirt, that as they like get, you know, they're like, you know, hotshot bods, they stretch out. But then when they go back to their dad bods, they'll shrink.

MS. HUGO: Mr. Chair, I'm speaking for the caribou, and it's not funny. Thank you.

MS. PRUSZENSKI: I do apologize. You can tell that I speak to a lot of children for outreach. But, in all seriousness. We do, we really do, make sure that those caribou are -- we recognize the sacrifice that they also make for our data. And we really do uphold ourselves to the highest standard of maintaining health of the herd, including those individuals. And we really appreciate getting the feedback and the support of knowing that, we need to continue to look for better ways and we definitely will as technology continues to improve. So, thank you.

MS. HUGO: Mr. Chair, one more question. Then why aren't our caribou migrating like they should, or we should be having food on our table right now.

for MS. DAGGETT: Thank you question, Esther. And that is the crux of understanding. And we are certainly seeking to try to understand that through using the data that we do have access to and particularly during fall migration. As I mentioned before, we're looking at a specific research project to address that particular concern. They have looked at that sort of question in the Western Arctic Caribou Herd. Matt Cameron and others did a research project to look at factors contributing to fall migration. And in that study, the two factors that fell out as the most bold contributors were temperature and precipitation. So, we're certainly continuing to try to investigate in more depth [sic] how that is -- how -- what factors are affecting the Teshekpuk Caribou Herd and in particular the fall time?

MS. HUGO: Thank you for all that. But, to us, it's just a disturbance. All the activities and the sport hunters. It's been like that for years.

MS. DAGGETT: Thank you, Esther. We appreciate that sentiment. And it is certainly one of the factors that we are looking at in that project, that it could be a contributing factor to timing. Okay, I'm going to move on to talking about Western 26A, muskox. So, we did a survey this spring. As I mentioned earlier, Robert wanted me to talk about survey techniques that we used. So, we had discussed at our previous meeting and I asked many of the Council members to talk about areas that they had seen muskox and where we should be looking for them in the spring time in their areas. To guide a reconnaissance survey for the part of Game Management Unit 26A that they're sparsely populated. We

have a transect survey, a distance sampling survey that 1 we do in parts of the game management unit, where muskox are more common. However, there's a large part of 26A that muskox aren't really common, and that is kind of 5 the crux of doing this survey and also, trying to issue permits to people in a way that works. So, during that survey, we counted a minimum of 572 muskox between the 8 reconnaissance survey and the distance sampling. And that's for both in Unit 23 and 26A, that's combined. 10 There is a bit of a caveat with that minimum count number. So, in the previous survey we had our lines a 11 12 little bit closer together. And in this last survey our 13 distance sampling lines were a little bit further apart. 14 So, that's going to change how many animals we would see. And that's where Lincoln was talking about 15 statistics in the caribou survey. We also, do statistics 16 for muskox surveys and that work still needs to be 17 18 completed. But, to give you some bit of a comparison. 19 The last minimum survey count was about 620 muskox in 20 2020. So, a difference of about 50 animals between the 21 2020 survey and what we saw this last spring. We did 22 open a muskox hunt in Anaktuvuk Pass this last spring 23 to address the public's concerns about the nuisance muskox there, that muskox hunt is still open. And we've 24 issued one permit for that. And so, we have not seen --25 26 I have not heard of reported harvest from that particular 27 muskox yet.

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

The TX-109 hunt application period will open in November and I will be traveling out to communities to help people apply for that particular hunt. So, contact me and I will be around to talk with people if anybody wants help, applying for that survey. There's also a -- or not survey application period. There's also, a federal hunt that the application period is in July. And collectively between the TX-109 hunt and the federal hunt for Western 26A there are -- there's a quota of six muskox. So, that's what I have about muskox. Let me know if you have any questions. I'm sure there will be lots.

40 41 42

43

44

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: For the Anaktuvuk Pass one. Is that one, one nuisance? Is it like a -- was it a selected individual or is that just anybody can go out and go get that one nuisance muskox.

45 46 47

48

49

50

MS. DAGGETT: So, the hunt was opened for a quota of two muskox and the harvest has to occur within a 20-mile radius of Anaktuvuk Pass. And it's for residents only.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, it wasn't something that was given to us -- like one person. This is just an open -- so, it was for two. Okay. And that's open till when?

MS. DAGGETT: The end of October.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: End of October. 20-mile radius. Okay. Thank you. Ernest.

MR. NAGEAK: Hope that took one day also, because they had collars, too, when I was working with the state. They could just go and take a pictures of those collars and get population estimate if we -- you know, if we're only going to count the caribou herds in one day and spend how many days with the muskox, the main concern is caribou. And we had -- 20 years ago, we had 20 muskox collars on a Teshekpuk Herd back then. And one day, could go take pictures and population. But, we need to, you know, I just can't believe that one day for caribou herd determined on our harvest. But, just curious, how many days was that muskox population count? Thank you.

MS. DAGGETT: Thank you, Ernest. So, I see your conundrum there with amount of time in the terms of the actual photo census for one day versus survey time for other animals. One thing that I will say is this that the overall amount of time that it takes to get everything ready for the caribou and the amount of staff, time and effort is much greater than we spend on muskox most of the time, at least certainly is for my office on a annual cycle. We do a muskox survey in this area once every three years, typically. And actually, for the recon work, I actually really only had one day to do that work too, because the weather window was so short. The distance sampling survey work was done over a longer period of time and covered a huge area and was in cooperation with Game Management Unit 23 managers and also the 26B wildlife biologist, to be able to survey a huge area for those animals. So -- but weather this last spring was a huge challenge and kept us from being able to do a lot of the work most of the spring. To tell you exactly how many days we spent out doing it, I can't tell you off the top of my head. But it was certainly more than just one day. But, I will say that we -management for muskox is done differently in different areas. I have requested that we consider putting more collars on muskox in 26A, to try to understand their

distribution and movements better. And it has not necessarily been -- it hasn't been done yet, but I'm hoping that it will be done in the near future as it becomes a research priority. The 26B muskox do have collars on them. And I know 26B biologists use those collars quite a bit to help locate those animals and to count them. So, it's something that I would like to see in the future and it's definitely on our radar for trying to improve our survey efforts and efficiency for muskox.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

8

5

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: And additionally, we did put in some stuff for -- it's on here funding to conduct muskox monitoring within the North Slope region. So, that and I, you know, I do see why it would take a lot longer, is because they're definitely not nearly as many. So -- and they're not congregating, and they're distant from each other, in different locations. So, it's definitely not going to be as easy as flying over one herd of all of them in one spot. So, I can -- and it's really -- it's huge on the North Slope, so I do get that portion of it. So, I really wouldn't expect muskox surveys to be in one day just because of how dynamic it is to try to find them. So, but just to give information on that though, we're definitely looking into furthering muskox surveys from this Council as well as working with Carmen. I've been calling her about this for a long time, probably every month for the last few months. Collecting, actually even sharing locations and modifying her map of locating where they are and working with the local communities to -- the ones that have actually spotted them and actually putting those on her radar for going out and doing the assessment. So, it's been a work in progress. And I'm just happy to see that you guys are doing something with this and taking information from the local community. So, thank you for that.

36 37 38

39

40 41 MR. NAGEAK: Reason -- sorry, Ernest, again. Reason I brought that up because every year we here AKP waiting for caribou and that should still be our main concern, that should be where our funding should be going rather than counting muskox.

42 43 44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. DAGGETT: Through the Chair to member Nageak. If you looked at my budget, it would reflect that dramatically. I think we probably spend -- I think my budget is something like 150,000 plus a year for caribou work. And annually it's something like 8 to 10,000 for muskox. So, the budget and the amount of time and energy put into each species, I think, aligns with

what you're suggesting.

MR. NAGEAK: Good. Because that's a lot of our, you know, main food source, you know. Yeah, muskox is good, but we eat caribou every week, any chance we can get. And that should be, yeah. I was gonna say we gotta concentrate on the -- I'm glad you're starting your research on the migration. Hope you work closely with the Anaktuvuk caribou border, bringing back up to life and include them. Thank you.

MS. DAGGETT: Thank you, Ernest. Through the Chair. Just one more little bit of information. Moose. So, we didn't do a survey this last spring for Colville moose because we were busy doing muskox surveys. But we will plan to do a full drainage survey this next spring. That is definitely on the -- that is slated. And so, we will be out in early April looking at moose populations on the Colville River. This next spring. And we will have flyers out to the communities to keep the transparency open. The last time we did a drainage wide survey was in 2021, and the minimum count was 427 moose, and about 20% of those were short yearlings. And we even had 18 sets of twins. So, that's a good sign. Hopefully we can see similar sorts of numbers or perhaps higher for this next survey, but I will be happy to report that information when I get it for you. That is all.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for that. And there were some good signs in the Valley of the Willows. There was definitely a cow and calf tracks. And they were same day tracks. We didn't see them, but they were they walked by same day and we did successfully get a young male. So, they're definitely out there and didn't see any bear tracks so, that was a good sign. But thank you for that. Looking forward to the moose count next season. But, this season we got ours so, I'm not worried about them this year. Thank you for the information, though. That was very informational and I'm glad we got to get some muskox details. So, looking forward to keeping that going. Thank you.

 $\,$ MS. DAGGETT: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council, for your time. Always appreciated.

(Pause)

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Alright, so, it looks like we'll move to reports and this one will have

a time limit of 15 minutes unless approved in advance. And I'll go down the list. Do we have anybody with any reports from any tribal governments online or on the floor?

(No response)

So once again, tribal government reports, if we have any online or on the floor, we have none presented to us currently. But, just checking before we move on.

(No response)

Hearing none. Native organizations. Do we have any Native organizations online or on the floor that have any reports for the North Slope RAC?

(No response)

All right. Hearing none. We want to move on to -- all right, so, National Park Service.

MS. OKADA: Thank you, Mr. Chair, Council members. This is Marcy Okada assistance coordinator for Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve. And I'd like to refer you to page 165 of your supplemental meeting packet. We just have a short written update to share with you today. First one being is the Subsistence Resource Commission that we have for Gates of the Arctic, as it pertains to your particular RAC, Esther Hugo is appointed to our Subsistence Resource Commission. And then we also have another seat that's filled by another member of Anaktuvuk Pass. And this seat is a governor or state of Alaska governor appointment. And so, we always try to hold two seats for the community of Anaktuvuk Pass on our Subsistence Resource Commission. Let's see.

We had a meeting that was held this past April and unfortunately it was held via Teams and by teleconference. It was scheduled for the -- to be held in the Community of Ambler, but we had to pivot, and it ended up being an online meeting, unfortunately. We're continuing to share updates about sheep and caribou within Gates of the Arctic. And then we also continue to provide other updates by our staff on survey methods and commercial use operations within Gates of the Arctic. And our next meeting is scheduled to be held in Fairbanks in November. Finally, homed in on the dates

of November 4th and 5th. Do folks have any questions on this particular update before I move on?

3

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: I don't see any hands raised here. Go ahead.

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

MS. OKADA: Okay. So, the next update is, is just on the Western Arctic Caribou Herd and what's provided is just a migration timeline that started from May of this year, up to mid-August of this year. These -- this information is based off of a joint project between Alaska Department of Fish and Game and the National Park Service, in putting collars out on the Western Arctic Herd. I'm not sure if folks remember, but several meetings ago we had shared that it's no longer viable to collar Western Herd caribou via Onion Portage. Since their migration is coming later and later. So, the method of collaring has been changed to net gunning caribou and putting collars on, in the springtime of each year. This update is from Kyle Joly, but I don't think we have him online at this time. But, if folks have any questions they can feel free to reach out to Kyle.

232425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

Moving along to Dall sheep. Every year certain areas within Gates of the Arctic are surveyed for Dall sheep, and this year the survey was conducted between July 5th and July 11th. As you see on the map on page 3 of your handout, the pink portion, the northeast portion of Gates of the Arctic and the and the blue portion were surveyed this past summer. The yellow portion that's around Anaktuvuk Pass was not surveyed this year. And then also, the National Park Service helps out by surveying -- helps out the Bureau of Land Management and the state by surveying areas around the Dalton Highway Management Corridor. Population estimates from this sheep survey are currently being generated and information from the survey will be shared at your next meeting in February. And then lastly just wanted to quickly share that National Park Service had a book recently published on brown bears and it was information across multiple park units across the state of Alaska. And there's chapters that focus on biology of bears, human and bear interactions, how bears are monitored in Park Service lands, and then also, the relationship between Alaska Native cultures and bears across the state. So, that's just our very quick update for Gates of the Arctic, if folks have any questions.

MR. WILLIAMS: I don't have a question. I have a comment. Can you see this fish skin? A lot of people don't eat it, I don't know why, but when you see a bear eating it, he eat most of the skin and the caviar, we call it, you know, rich food, rich food people, food. Anyway, what I'm just addressing is that, that's what they diet on all the time. So, you always remember that. And the reason why I save it is because, you know, I grew up with it. And, you know, it's our diet. Plus that, you know, it's got a lot of vitamins in it. So, you know, when people leave it out, I feel bad. But, you know, don't bother me because I was raised with it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Peter. Any other questions for Marcy?

(No response)

Hearing none. Thank you very much for your presentation. And I guess one question. Where can we get the book if we wanted it?

MS. OKADA: Well, that's actually a very good question. I think I might have to get back to you via Gisela. Like, I could send information -- share information with Gisela and then she'll share it back with your Council members and you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay. Thank you very much. Great presentation. Good pictures. Thanks again.

MS. OKADA: Thank you.

(Pause)

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Alright. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services, Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, Clayton Merrill.

MR. MERRILL: Hello, Mr. Chair, Council members, this is Clayton Merrill, subsistence coordinator for Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. We just -- we also just have a brief update, we sent in our annual RAC report, just to let everyone at the RAC know what's been going on Arctic Refuge. But, I know it's been a couple of years since we last sent one in. And we submitted this quite late so, we're just -- I -- I'm just hoping to go over some of the highlights from it.

1 And as always, if anyone has any questions about it, they're more than welcome to contact me and I can try to track down any answers. So, this report is covering parts of 2024 and 2025, because we haven't submitted a 5 port for -- a report for quite a while. But, with our 6 biological monitoring and research program over those two years as the -- as I've mentioned to the Council 8 last year, we've had some failures with trying to get 9 our avian or aerial surveys of Dall sheep going. So, in 10 2025, we decided to reopen some of our historic walking surveys and perform two surveys for Dall sheep, one at 11 12 Atigun Gorge and one in the Hulahula. For the Hulahula, 13 16 groups of sheep, totaling 166 individuals, were 14 located on the east side of the river. No groups were 15 spotted on the opposite side of the river. And the lambs per 100 ewes and yearlings, per 100 ewe ratios were 62 16 17 lambs per 100 ewes. And 30 yearlings, per 100 ewes. The 18 ram spotted in the survey were 16% of the of the sheep and three full curl rams were observed. The total number 19 20 of sheep observed during the survey was the lowest on 21 record, representing a 54% decrease since the last 22 survey conducted in 2015. And was approximately 70% 23 lower than the long-term average. It is important to 24 note that the survey -- the crew was unable to access 25 the entire historical survey route and therefore caution 26 should be exercised when interpreting these data. 27 However, the lambs to 100 ewe ratio, was the highest 28 ever documented for the Hulahula ground survey. And the 29 yearling to 100 ewes, was equal to the long-term average. 30 This was not the usual pattern for lamb production in 31 this area, but with no relevant data from 2024, it's --32 we can only surmise that forage conditions remained 33 favorable for ewes to gain needed resources for fall --34 by fall breeding season, enabling many to produce lambs 35 for two years in a row. For the Atigun Gorge walking 36 survey, which I was a part of and helped to -- helped 37 with the surveying, with the actual survey work. We 38 observed five groups of sheep, totaling 34 individuals 39 on the north side of the Atigun River. No groups were 40 spotted on the south side of the river and the lambs per 41 hundred ewe and yearling per hundred ewe ratios, were 42 zero lambs per hundred ewes and 67 yearlings per 100 43 ewes. The percentage of rams in the population was 41% 44 and no -- but we didn't see any full curl rams. Total 45 number of sheep observed was the lowest on record, 46 representing a 79% decrease since the last survey was 47 conducted in 2011. And was approximately 87% lower than the long-term average. The lambs per 100 ewes ratio was 48 49 the lowest ever documented for the Atigun ground survey, 50 while the yearlings per 100 ewes was higher than the

long-term average, but not a record high. This was not the usual pattern for lamb production in the area, but with no relevant data from 2024, we can only surmise that forage conditions were unfavorable for ewes to gain needed reserves by fall breeding season. The -- this overall low number of sheep on the refuge coincides with anecdotal observations from various user groups, including air operators, fleet pilots, the RACs, hunt guides and subsistence users. For moose in 2024 and 20 -- well, I guess I should stop and see if there's any questions about the sheep surveys first.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: No hands raised here. You're good to go.

MR. MERRILL: Okay. Do -- we continue working with partners on a broad a broad study to better understand the ecology of moose in the upper Yukon drainage, Richardson Mountains and the Arctic. Of the 24 cow moose that were collared in the Arctic refuge in 2022, 20 of them were still alive in 2024. Out of those 20, 17 had calves, including six sets of twins. In 2025, 16 of those collared cow moose remained, and of those, 10 were observed in the spring, 4 had calves, including one set of twins. Another mortality occurred in June 2025, leaving 15 collared cow moose. Moving on to some of our bird work. Unless there's any questions on our moose surveys or moose project?

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: No questions here.

MERRILL: MR. Okay. So, the tundra nesting birds are an Arctic Refuge priority resource of concern. And the study sites for tundra nesting bird work occur in habitat types such as coastal wetlands, tundra lakes and ponds and moist and wet sedge shrub meadows, that are separately listed as priority resource of concerns. Our work studying the ecology of these species provides scientific data on behavior limiting factors and informs management actions such as oil and gas development. In 2024 and 2025, we -- we've expanded the tundra nesting bird monitoring efforts by incorporating two new study sites, one on the upper Canning River and one in Prudhoe Bay. These sites broaden our spatial coverage and added -- and add a developed area to our survey areas. In recent years, the core focus of this work has been on developing methods for monitoring nets -- nests that minimizes our impact on the nest. Arctic staff has been using small cameras and temperature loggers to make it so that they don't have

to revisit the nest many times throughout the season. This means that once a nest is located by investigators it's not revisited until late summer or fall after the nest has hatched or failed.

Moving on. There's not much new in goose or whimbrel monitoring for us. But, with the common eider studies 20 of -- 20 common eiders on the refuge were outfitted with GPS and GSM transmitters this year. The data that's collected from these transmitters will be stored in the device's internal memory and transmitted via cell phone signal when the birds return to their breeding sites in the fall in future years. We've also been working to develop updated methods for analyzing video data of nesting common eiders collected in prior years. And there's a link to some recent publication in collaboration with UAF researchers for anyone interested in more on that project.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: We did have a question from Ernest. Go ahead, Ernest.

MR. MERRILL: Yes.

MR. NAGEAK: Yeah. What was your bird of concern under your resources because we just had in the Barrow area, the bird of concern was the Steller eiders, and common eider I -- we're able to harvest those here on the North Slope. I was just wondering what Arctic Refuges, BOC, bird of concern. Thank you.

MR. MERRILL: I'm not sure. I'm not sure I fully understand the question. We don't have a specific bird of concern that we're going after with any of these studies. The -- these are all to better inform management actions. I'm not really sure that I'm.....

(Simultaneous speech)

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ NAGEAK: Hence your resource of concern. That's what was your topic....

MR. MERRILL: Oh, yeah.

MR.NAGEAK:birds. Resource of concern. Like what's the concern? Which bird? That's what I was asking. Thank you. It was just any kind of nesting bird in general. If you could yeah, clarify that.

MR. MERRILL: Yeah. Sorry, I thought you -- I thought this was in regard to the common eiders. So, their priority resource of concern, I'm not super familiar with this project on the ground but I believe it's just for tundra nesting birds in general. I don't think there's a specific -- I don't think there's just one species that is the resource of concern. I believe it's for tundra nesting birds in general or as a whole. I can send a message to one of our biologists who work on that project to try and chase down if there's a better answer for that.

MR. NAGEAK: Maybe if it's not of concern, it should be, monitoring tundra nests. Because it makes it sound like it's Refuge's priority, resource of concern. Maybe alarming to activist groups or people in the area or stop the right of way for the community to access trails. Just it's just another saying it's of a concern. So, I was just trying to see what your concern was, of which nesting. But, if there's not any. Thank you.

(Simultaneous speech)

 MR. MERRILL: So, yeah, I think that this is -- I believe these are identified as a priority resource of concern and that they're used as a identifier specie or they're used as an indicator of any kind of negative change going on. And so, by managing -- by monitoring these bird nests, we're able to see impacts that might be affecting the greater ecology of the area, if that makes sense. So, resource of concern, I believe in this case is being used as, this is a -- an indicator of overall ecological health. More than that we're identifying a specific species as like an endangered species, like the Steller's or spectacled eider where they're concerned because their special legislation about them. I believe that these are a concern in that they're an indicator.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for your clarification. Go ahead, Lisa.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Lisa Grediagin for the record. And just as an FYI, refuges develop inventory and monitoring plans. And so, in that inventory monitoring plans, they just -- it's like a term that they say, okay, this species is our priority resource. So, I think it's just tied to their inventory and monitoring plan. Thanks.

 MR. MERRILL: Right. That's exactly where I was going. I used to work for an inventory and monitoring network. And the -- we identified resources of concerns because we thought that they could be indicative of overall ecological health. So, it wasn't that the species or project was looking at specifically a species because that species was especially threatened or anything. Just that we would be able to infer things about greater -- the greater health of the environment by monitoring that one species or that group or in this case, tundra nesting birds. But I wasn't involved in this project so, I want to just double-check that that's correct for this one.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All right. Thank you for the clarification once again. And is there anything else on yours -- on your presentation there?

MR. MERRILL: No. Just the last point to -- in the biology program is really just -- we talked about lemmings a little earlier with Nate Graff, when he was speaking. And so, Arctic has been working on a project looking at the cyclical peaks and crashes of small mammal populations because they have a significant biological consequence throughout the Arctic food web just like Nate was talking about earlier. So, during the summers of 2024 and 2025, Arctic deployed modified trail cameras for monitoring small mammals both in the Refuge and Prudhoe Bay. These cameras are modified by removing the electronics from the original house -- original housing, replacing the lens with a wide angle version for close focus and enclosing these components in a waterproof pelican case, which is then placed on top of a three gallon grey semi-translucent bucket. Hopefully in the future we'll have some results from this study to share with the RAC.

And then the final thing I just wanted to bring to attention of the RAC is that back on the very first page of this -- of this report, the KIC right of way application for the winter snow trail using the Naional -- the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is preparing the draft environmental assessment of the KIC right of way application for winter snow trail through the National Environmental Policy Act analysis process. The proposed snow trail starts at Point Thompson and goes eastward across the state and federal Fish and Wildlife Service lands and waters to KIC in holdings on Barter Island. The only thing I wanted to draw to the

1 attention of the Council is that the draft EA is expected to be released for public comment in the next few weeks. So, just to make sure that was on the -- anyone interested was aware that that was going out for comment 5 soon. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you. Any other 8 questions for the presentation? For the report? 9 10 (No response) 11 12 Hearing none. Was that everything? 13 14 MR. MERRILL: Yes, that was everything. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you very much 17 for your report. 18 19 MR. MERRILL: Thank you. 20 21 MR. NAGEAK: Where can -- oh, sorry. 22 Ernest here. Question on where can we report on that, 23 EA on the right of way. You have a website or link. 24 25 MR. MERRILL: I don't have a link. Like 26 it says in there. This is expected to go out for public 27 comment. We're waiting on the newly confirmed Fish and 28 Wildlife Director as he comes into his role. I just 29 wanted to put it on the radar of everyone that we expect 30 this to be coming out for public comment soon. I'm happy 31 to, when it when it goes out, send a link over to Gisela 32 to distribute. But I don't have a link available right 33 now for public comment on it. 34 35 MR. NAGEAK: Thank you, Ernest again. 36 It's important to have that public -- have a opportunity 37 to provide public comment because the community winter 38 access trails been heavily beneficial to -- for the cost 39 of food and shipping. And we have interested people here 40 on the North Slope that I'm sure would like the link for 41 public comment once it's open. Thank you. 42 43 MR. MERRILL: Yep. I'll make sure to get 44 that over to Gisela as soon as I have one. 45 46 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Go ahead, Leonard. 47 48 MR. BARGER: Thank you. Thank you, Ernie, 49 for bringing that up, too. It's a pretty good issue and

stuff on the right of way. It's tough, I know no tax

having an A, they want to build a road to Red Dog Mine for their fuel costs. Because past two years, I've been going to Noatak to get my fish before whaling time. And I, you know, February, March, I paid 26 bucks a gallon of gas over there. So, you know, they have an issue on fuel and heating fuel. So, you know, the National Park Service been stopping to, you know, build that road over there. So, it's just a National Park Service issue. So, that's what they're dealing with right now. So, but yeah thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for the report. And looks like we'll -- up next we have -- or what do you have, Ernest?

MR. NAGEAK: I see reports of a plane flying along where the polar bears are congregating. Does Arctic Refuge do anything about non, you know, random planes flying right by caribou and landing along the strip. Thank you. I just seen that yesterday, and I thought I'd bring it out there.

MR. MERRILL: I'm sorry. Is the is the question about polar bear or caribou?

MR. NAGEAK: Oh, planes flying in along the coastal plain near the Village of Kaktovik to polar bear view. Like we always say, who's monitoring those planes going in and out? It's just thought if you might have heard of that from Kaktovik residents being part of the Arctic Refuge.

MR. MERRILL: This is the first that I'm hearing about it. I would like to follow up with Cody Smith, our flying law enforcement to see if he's heard anything about it. He's normally pretty on top of anything like that. But this is the first I've heard about this -- about that happening, so.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Ernest. All right. And thank you, Clayton, for your in-depth report.

MR. MERRILL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All right. It looks like. Up next, we have fisheries reports, Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program, Kristen Morrow. You have the floor.

MS. MORROW: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For 1 2 the record, this is Kristen Morrow. I'm going to try to keep this brief in providing an overview of the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program funding process before going into some of the projects that have been proposed for 5 6 the northern region for this upcoming funding cycle. We do have Kevin Foley, a fisheries biologist with OSM, on 8 the line if we end up having any specific fisheries 9 related questions that I'm not able to answer. So, to 10 begin with, this is not an action item, but if the Council would like to provide comments on any or all of 11 12 the projects that have been proposed for your region, you're more than welcome to do so and your comments are 13 14 helpful in the process of selecting which projects will 15 be funded. So, it's up to the Council if you want to 16 comment on just one of them, or all of them or none of 17 them, that's up to you. And I can -- as we go on, provide 18 some more details on that. So, monitoring program materials start on page 121 of your meeting books, if 19 20 that's helpful for you. And just as a reminder, the goal 21 of this monitoring program is to provide research 22 funding to study federal subsistence fisheries, enhance 23 the management of those fisheries, and to work with rural 24 Alaskans to sustain these fisheries. This program -- the 25 funding process begins first with the Councils 26 identifying information gaps and developing priority 27 information needs for their region. You guys may 28 remember doing that at the fall meeting. The priority 29 information needs for your region that you all 30 identified for this funding cycle begin on page 121 of 31 your meeting book. So, this list was developed by 32 volunteers from the North Slope, Northwest Arctic and 33 Seward Peninsula Councils, and they met last fall to 34 develop this list. And I'm sorry, excuse me I misspoke, 35 that list is on page 130, not page 121. So, we took this 36 list and then requested projects to address these 37 priority information needs. Investigators then submitted 38 project proposals back to OSM. So, we published the list 39 of information needs. And then whatever we receive is 40 what projects we evaluate. Just because an information 41 need was identified does not necessarily mean someone 42 submits a project to address that particular need. For 43 the northern region, in this funding cycle, 44 proposals were submitted for funding and those proposals 45 are listed on table 1 of page 132. So, you want to take 46 a moment to flip there. These proposals are now going 47 through the review process, and the first step in that 48 review process is for the Technical Review Committee to 49 assess the projects. The Technical Review Committee is 50 a panel of expert scientists that review the proposals

based on five criteria. And those criteria include 1 strategic priorities, the technical scientific merit, the investigator ability and resources, partnership and capacity building and cost benefit. So, the Technical 5 Review Committee, after reviewing these projects, writes 6 a justification that summarizes what they thought of the projects, and those justifications can be found at the 8 end of each project summary, which are in your Council books beginning on page 133. So, after the projects are 10 reviewed by the Technical Review Committee, we then collect the Council's comments on the projects, which 11 12 is what we're doing today. Your comments and the review 13 committee's justifications are combined and presented 14 to the Interagency Staff Committee, who are the Federal 15 Subsistence Board staff and they also, provide comments on the projects. The Federal Subsistence Board will meet 16 17 next year, in early February and be presented with all 18 of this information from the Technical Review Committee. 19 Any comments that you provide and any comments you 20 provided via the Interagency Staff Commity and then the 21 Board will make its recommendation on which projects to 22 fund.

23 24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

Okay. Sorry about that. So, the final projects will be determined by the Director of OSM, based on all of the provided input and based on how much funding available. OSM does fund as many projects as possible based on our budget, which as everyone may know right now, can be a little bit uncertain. Any projects that are funded will begin at the start of next year in spring 2026. This whole process happens every two years and projects can be funded for up to four years. So, this is not an action item, but your comments are an important part of the funding process. If you would like to provide any feedback. We do have the list of the six projects that were submitted that would be conducted in the northern region, if they were to be funded. If you'd like, I can provide a very brief one-sentence overview of these projects. I can give you a moment to quickly flip through the meeting book and see if there's any you would like some more detailed information on. It's however you would like to proceed at this point.

42 43 44

(Pause)

45 46 47

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: The majority of these look like they're not really going to affect us, except for one of them has Point Hope listed on it. Is that correct?

49 50

48

MS. MORROW: Mr. Chair, this is Kristen Morrow, for the record. Yes, the majority of these projects, they're within the northern region in terms of fisheries management areas but they're not necessarily just within the North Slope Regional Advisory Council area.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: How many of these are above the Brooks Range?

MS. MORROW: That is a good question. For the record, this is Kristen Morrow. Let's see. I would have to take a moment to look specifically. Unfortunately, I don't think we have a map put together showing these. Project 26-102 is in Selawik National Wild -- National Wildlife Refuge. Let's see, 26-100, I don't believe is north of the Brooks Range.

(Pause)

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ NAGEAK: Beaver expansion on the Arctic. Is that like, for Point Hope after he mentioned they seen a beaver.

MS. MORROW: Through the Chair. This is Kristen Morrow. So, that project is examining the expansion of neaver in Northwest Alaska. They will --I'm just flipping -- the project summaries on page 135 of your meeting book, if you would like to look at that. It looks like they will be working near Nome, Kobuk, Noatak and the Wulik River, Wulik, not sure if I'm pronouncing that correctly. So, that's sort of the area they would be looking at. They have four objectives for that project. Quantifying the beaver dam impacts on fish occupancy and community composition. Evaluating changes to stream habitat suitability for juvenile salmon and other subsistence fish. Determining whether beaver ponds function as refugia or ecological traps for northern pike and whitefish in the Selawik River basin, and then contribute -- fish and habitat data to statewide databases. So, those are the objectives for that project. If the Council would like to submit any comments on that particular project, you're more than welcome to do so.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Which one on the line was that?

MS. MORROW: Through the Chair, Kristen Morrow. That's project 26-101. The summary for it begins

on page 135 of your meeting book.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: What about the inventory and baseline data of fish?

MS. MORROW: Through the Chair, this is Kristen Morrow. Are you referring to a different project number or within that project?

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Sorry, the first one, was that the beaver one?

MS. MORROW: Through the Chair, Kristen Morrow. That -- so, the first project is 26-100. That is the assessment of life history patterns and hypoxic stress of Northwest Alaska whitefish and arctic grayling. The second project, 26-01, is the beaver expansion project. 26-102 is Selawik Northern pike population dynamics, movement and habitat use. 26-103 is Kobuk River sheefish spawning abundance. 26-150 is the harvest and use of sheefish and other non-salmon fishes in the Hotham Inlet. And 26-151 is Kawerak Tribal Fishery Stewardship program.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: I must be looking at something else. The priority information needs the only one that says anything about any of our village locations up here, Point Hope. So, on page 130, the very top bullet.

MS. MORROW: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Kristen Morrow. That was one of the priority information needs. I don't believe we received a proposal that addressed that specific need. So, we published the list of information needs. And when projects are submitted, they are supposed to address at least one of those information needs. But, we don't necessarily get projects that that hit each and every information need. So, it looks like at this time we didn't receive a proposal focused on that particular question.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay. So, if we don't have anything that pertains to our -- any of our RAC locations. Do we still need to comment on these?

MS. MORROW: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Kristen Morrow. Whether you comment or not is optional. It is not an action item so, it is up to the Council.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay. If we don't have any that are within our locations and -- I would say, let's just pass on them for now and let the let the respective locations comment. Because if there was one that was up here on the North Slope that was affecting us, by all means, we would love to comment on that. But, these ones, it seems like we don't have any pertinent studies that are within our location. Go ahead, Leonard.

MR. BARGER: Thank you, thank you. Like you said, Point Hope. You know that was a, you know, when I brought up the beaver and Point Hope the first time. So, we tell our hunters, you know, if they ever see it, you know, kill it, you know, even though it's you know, off season, you know, we don't want that. You know, like we said, you know, it's going to hurt our fish and they're going to make dams and stuff. So, we don't we don't want that in our area. So, we're pretty particular like especially the elders in Point Hope. We — they tell our younger people to get whatever, you know, that's going to, you know, hurt our area. So, thank you, Ernie, for bringing that up. And you know.....

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for your comments, Leonard. Go ahead, Peter.

MR. WILLIAMS: Talking about beaver, we usually just let them go because they dam up the fish we put in fish, and you get about 100 of them sometimes, you know, they just don't know where to go. So, you know, and sometimes they they blow the dam but, you know, they don't do that anymore. Elders said no, no, that's a no, no. They do a lot of hard work for that. So, you know I'm just addressing that, you know, that they get really fat. I mean, whitefish and sheefish. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you. Go ahead.

MR. BARGER: Thank you. I forget to bring up too like, Point Hope. We got king salmon. We get king salmon at Point Hope. Sheefish, one of my buddies were fishing on a coast, and he texted me, and he's like, hey, I don't know what kind of fish is this, can you come over? And so, even wolffish. We got some two twos with the real big teeth and stuff. And I sent pictures to Billy and Raphael and stuff and, you know, it was kind of unusual. And so, I -- let our, you know, younger guys, you know, let me know what kind of fishing. But,

yeah, one of my, one of -- one of the guys texted me, hey, I don't know what kind of fish is this? Is pretty big. It was a sheefish so, I'm just going to bring that up. Thank you.

6 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for that.
7 Yeah. There's nothing else I think that's - oh, go ahead.
8 Sorry.

MS. MORROW: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Kristen Morrow again. If it's okay, I have a very brief update on our Partners Program and a fisheries regulation update if the Council would like to hear it.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Okay. Does it pertain to the North Slope?

 MS. MORROW: Thank you, Mr. Chair, this is Kristen Morrow. I -- I don't think there's any specific information about the North Slope. So, it is up to the Council, if you would like to hear this or not.

MR. NAGEAK: If you could read the page, please, Ernest here. We could maybe read it on our own or...?

MS. MORROW: Thank you. This is Kristen Morrow. Unfortunately, these updates are not in your meeting book. The Partners Program update is just to provide an overview update on our funding process for the fisheries — the Partner for Fisheries Monitoring Program. And then the regulations update is just a very brief summary of the regulations that were recently changed. But, it's up to the Council if they'd like to hear that.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Sure. We'll hear it. I think there might be opportunity later or there was at some point I think, around Prudhoe Bay. So, if there were any changes, it'd be good to keep track of it, I guess. So, go ahead.

MS. MORROW: Thank you, Mr. Chair, this is Kristen Morrow. I will keep this brief. So, for the Partners for Fisheries Monitoring Program, which we generally just refer to as the Partners Program. We posted a funding notice for that program last spring. And this is a competitive grant for Alaska Native and rural nonprofit organizations. The intent of the program

is to strengthen Alaska Native and rural involvement in 1 federal subsistence management. These funds can be used to provide salary to organizations so they can hire a biologist, social scientist or educator. And the grant 5 also, provides funds for science and culture camps and 6 paid student internships. This year, there were eight applicants for the new funding that will begin in 2026, 8 and the funding lasts for up to four years. The Partner 9 Program Review Panel, made up of science -- of subject 10 matter experts across various Department of Interior agencies, has reviewed the proposals, and we expect a 11 12 funding decision later this year, and those updates will 13 be shared at your winter meeting. The next funding 14 opportunity will come out sometime of spring 2027, for 15 funding that will begin in 2028. And we would love to see more applications, if there are organizations or 16 entities in your villages that could use additional 17 18 staff in the form of biologists or social scientists. 19 This could be a good resource to help support that. The 20 contact information is online at our our website and I 21 can provide that information later if anyone would like 22 it, pr you could speak to me after the meeting and I'm 23 happy to share more details on that. And that is the 24 update on the Partners Program. Does the Council have 25 any questions before I do the regulatory update?

26 27

28

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: No question, but that is definitely stuff that we shouldn't miss when there's opportunity like that. It's good to hear.

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. MORROW: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record, this is Kristen Morrow, again. I'm now going to provide a very brief update on the Fisheries Regulatory Cycle. The Federal Subsistence Board took action on all fisheries proposals and closure reviews at its January 2025 meeting. The new regulations are now published. They published in the Federal Register in July and we have the updated fisheries regulations books here with us if anybody would like a copy of those. Specific information about how the vote Board -- how the the Board voted in comparison to Council's recommendations can be found in the section 805(c) report, which is in your meetings book on page 169. And I believe Gisela will also give an overview of that in a little bit. Our next call for fisheries regulatory proposals will occur in your winter 2026 meeting, and at that time, you can submit any proposals to change federal fish regulations. So, this may be a good time to start thinking about any regulations folks in the community may want to change for the federal subsistence

fishing. And that is the conclusion of my update, unless anyone has any questions.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Any questions for Kristen? I wish Todd was here. I don't know if you've talked to the wildlife department about the opportunity for possible funding with biologists and stuff, but Todd was our North Slope Borough fish person, so, he was here earlier, but Jenysa works with him as well, so, it's great to know. Maybe we'll relay some information and exchange numbers.

MS. MORROW: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All right. Up next we have U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services, Internal Affairs. Gilbert, You have the floor.

MR. CASTELLANOS: Thank you, Mr. Chair and distinguished representatives of the Council. It's my honor to be here and update you all on what we're doing in the international realm on Arctic. My name is Gilbert Castellanos. I'm the international affairs specialist for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ wear many hats. I'm our Russia desk officer. I staff the Secretary's Office on the International Porcupine caribou Board agreement with Canada. Many other roles that I fill. But, the purpose of my being here today is to talk a little bit about one of the other hats that I wear, which is to have the sort of I guess, humbling responsibility of representing the United States in one of the Arctic Council Working Groups. This is the Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna Working Group, CAFF Working Group. And I want to talk with you a little bit about what this whole thing is. I mean, I think during the U.S. Chairmanship of the Arctic Council, a lot more people got more interested and informed about what it is, what they're doing. There's a lot more people involved. So, why don't you just go ahead and jump to the next slide, please, Gisela.

MS. CHAPA: If I were to mind doing a tech check for the people online, if you can actually see the screen in the change of slides. Oh, perfect.

MR. CASTELLANOS: Thank you. So, I want to talk with you a little bit again, about what is this Arctic Council, what is this CAFF Working Group, what have we been up to? Why does the U.S. engage in this international work? What are some of the challenges and

opportunities facing the Arctic region, and then how do we advance strategic interest through engagement in this specific working group that I'm a part of?

5

1

(Pause)

6 7

8

9

17

MR. CASTELLANOS: The next slide please. So, real quick, the Arctic Council, not unlike this Council is a group of people just so, happens that those 10 people are the Foreign Ministers of the eight Arctic countries. So, for the United States, that's Secretary 11 12 of State Marco Rubio. For Russia, Sergey Lavrov and so 13 on. And they get together, much like you all get together 14 to talk about what's going on in the Arctic and what do 15 we need to do to help the folks that live there and 16 advance the strategic interest of the Arctic countries. They get together every two years, and they sign a 18 declaration that says, okay, here's what we need to do. There's six working groups. I'm not going to go into all 19 20 of them, but you can go into the next slide here. And 21 those six working groups carry out the work. There's a 22 whole bunch of members. There's the eight Arctic 23 countries, there's observer countries like China and India and so many others. And then there's observer 24 25 organizations. The key point here that's maybe of 26 interest to this Council is, there 27 representatives of Arctic indigenous peoples. This is 28 only international organization where the 29 indigenous people sit right next to the Foreign 30 Ministers and weigh in on every decision and action 31 that's happening. For this region, that would be the 32 Inuit Circumpolar Council. Kelly and Marie Green, Vernon 33 and those folks over there are doing excellent work, and 34 you've got some folks here that are also, involved, like 35 Nichole is the head of delegation to another Arctic 36 Council Working Group. Lots of folks are engaged, but 37 you all may not be aware of it. So, I want to just share 38 a little bit of information to shed some light on it. 39 I'll also, mention of the six representatives of Arctic 40 indigenous peoples, four of them represent Alaska Native 41 peoples, the Aleut International Association, the Arctic 42 Athabascan Council, the Gwich'in Council International, 43 and the Inuit Circumpolar Council. So, when we're 44 sitting at the table, we've got at least five 45 representatives speaking on behalf of the US. And 46 although ICC is the official sort of indigenous Arctic 47 representative for this region, I also, carry the responsibility to represent all U.S. citizens. And so, 48 49 I have the responsibility to represent your interests. 50 And in my opinion, I think you all know what's really

happening and is really important voice in understanding what we should be doing, how we should be doing it. Next slide please.

I think it's going to let you -- yeah, just you click through the -- these are some of the terms I don't want to -- these are the four representatives of Arctic indigenous peoples that are part of the Arctic Council that represent Alaska Native communities. And you see there the map that represents what we call the range of these Arctic indigenous peoples. The other two, I'll mention briefly, are RAIPON, the Russian Association of Indigenous People, which would also, include Inuit people. And then the Saami Council, which represents the traditional reindeer herders of northern Finland, northern Norway and parts of Russia. Next slide please.

So, as I mentioned, you have the Arctic Council. Those countries there in dark blue are the permanent Arctic states that are members of the Arctic Council. And then you have the observers, which are the light blue color. You see, there are six Arctic Council Working Groups. I won't go through all of them. There's probably a lot to look into here. One of the other working groups, just to give you a sense of what are the things that they're working on, the Protection of the Arctic Marine Environment Working group, PAME Working Group, Nichole here at the North Slope Borough is the ICC representative to that working group. So, just to give you a sense of the kind of work that we're doing. You might have heard of the Emergency Prevention Pollution and Response Working Group, works a lot on search and rescue and implements search and rescue treaty in the Arctic. Next slide please.

This is the map that the working group that I represent the United States on uses when we call it the Arctic. This is important because whenever people say Arctic, they often mean different things in. Official federal law, under the Arctic Research Policy Act of 1984, it's defined a certain way. But, the Arctic Council uses a different map. The U.S. different organizations use different maps for what the Arctic is. It's always good to start there. This gives you a sense that it's 6% of the Earth's surface is what we're talking about here. Over 21,000 known species. And it plays a key global role, not just in migratory species, in the economy, natural resources, so is Arctic security. Next slide please. So, what is this CAFF working group, one

of the six working groups of the Arctic Council? The 1 bottom line is we try to be value added. What can we get -- what we have and what we offer is convening power. So, the eight Arctic countries Denmark, Greenland, 5 Finland, Iceland, Norway, Russia, we sit together and 6 we say, what are the most important things facing the Arctic and the Arctic people, and how can we work 8 together to add value, to bring the kind of information 9 that's needed, and then to get that information and to 10 deliver some results that are relevant to the people that live there. Next slide please. Some of the examples 11 12 of the kinds of things that we do at the international 13 scale. Assessments, monitoring, data management, 14 conservation strategies, and so on. A key point here is 15 on monitoring, which ends up being sort of the main thing that we do in the CAFF Working Group. We're trying 16 to better understand. What do we know about the Arctic, 17 18 whether it's belugas or eiders or anything else, what do we not know, and then more importantly, what do we 19 20 need to know? As we've heard over and over and over 21 again during this meeting. oh, that that herd hasn't 22 been surveyed since 2009. That species we don't know 23 anything about. It wasn't very long ago, even five years 24 ago, where even big charismatic species like beluga 25 whale, no one had ever done a circumpolar assessment of 26 how our beluga whales doing everywhere. If beluga whales 27 are crashing in Alaska, you have one view. Okay, here's 28 what's happening, pr if whitefish have some kind of 29 lesions or things on them, you have one perspective. 30 But, if you're talking to your Inuit brothers and sisters in Canada and in Greenland. They're seeing it, too. That 31 32 gives us a lot of information, and maybe they've already 33 solved it. So, talking internationally is a big deal. 34 I'll talk a little bit more about that next. Next slide 35 please. Why do we engage? I've given you an example already. But, if you give me the next slide, you've --36 37 you're really -- you're talking about the longest land 38 migration on Earth of any mammal, the Porcupine Caribou. 39 The longest migration of any animal anywhere on Earth, 40 the arctic tern, the gray whales. You've got so many 41 species that come here and go elsewhere. If you're not 42 allowed to hunt them, you're not allowed to do anything 43 with these. Their their population is crashing. Turns out they're dying somewhere else. Migratory birds are 44 45 going to the Caribbean being overhunted. They're going 46 through the East Asian-Australasian Flyway, getting 47 caught in China and sold in markets. These are the things 48 we have to understand because we could regulate you to 49 death here, when really the problem is somewhere else. 50 And the only way we're going to understand that is by

engaging with our -- our friends, our brothers and sisters internationally. Next slide please.

How do we respond to the challenges that we're facing in the Arctic? You guys know them. There are so, many issues that we face in the Arctic. The limitations. Things are uncoordinated. You got different people coming here asking you the same questions over and over again. You're talking to different folks all the time. There's a lack of local and indigenous engagement, plain and simple. The people who really know what's going on aren't at the table being a part of the decision making around what research should we be doing? And I'm talking about eight zeros behind the numbers. I'm talking about billion dollar research programs that are being decided without the right folks at the table. So, we need to make sure that that doesn't happen as we move forward. The role of the Arctic Council is to try to do that. You all are doing it and have been trying to do it for a long time. The Inuit Circumpolar Council is trying to do it and has been working on it for a long time. I think we're making progress, but it's slow and it's not always clear. Yeah, please, Ernest.

MR. NAGEAK: Quick question. We had all these international migratory birds, you know, harvest agreements. And now we have the polar bear and Russia agreement and the voluntary polar bear agreement with the Canadian side. When they first started doing these quotas, they gave like, Alaska 29, but it's probably 39 by now. But, we don't know how many Russias caught, they could have got 100 by now. Is there a way you can tell how many they've been catching? Because they've been trying to impose a harvest quota, but yet there's, you know, war going on over there and are we is the government -- Fish and Wildlife Service imposing a quota or are they keeping track or is it just in talks?

MR. CASTELLANOS: I want to be a little bit careful with this, because I'm not the polar bear lead for our office, and we've got an entire group of folks that focuses on that specifically. But, I was involved in working with our State Department to fulfill our obligations under the treaty with Russia, in particular, to share with them what we're gathering and to ask information from them. Unfortunately, we didn't hear anything back. So, one nice thing about the Arctic Council is there has been, since Russia's illegal invasion of Ukraine, a complete ban on any U.S. Government engagement with any Russian officials in any

way. We have to get sort of issue item by item exemptions. However, I, through the Arctic Council, have the ability to talk directly or indirectly with our Russian experts. And so, there may be a way for us to try to see how we could get that moving. But that's a formal process through a separate treaty that is the polar bear agreement.

7 8 9

10

5

6

MR. NAGEAK: So, basically, they're not imposing the quota yet on the U.S. side just because they don't know what's happening over there.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. CASTELLANOS: We're trying to do our best to fulfill our obligations under the agreement, under the circumstances. And unfortunately, we've not heard, as far as I know, to this point from the Russian government in a response in terms of their fulfilling their obligations under the treaty. So, that's all I know at this point. Thank you, Earnest. Good question. Next slide please. So, what's the solution to all these issues that we're facing? And I think that the key points here are whatever we're doing we gotta think long term. We can do all we want now to let's say shoot beavers, but if they're coming, they're coming, you know, and we how do we how do we position ourselves to say, what are things going to look like in the future? This is an ugly thing to think about, but it's a reality. How can we do it in a strategic way so, it doesn't just suddenly happened, but we're kind of thinking about it and trying to lay out the foundation for it. I think the key part to that is that kind of cooperation and leverage. The needs are so many, we know so little and the only way to really know as much as we can is to really leverage and cooperate with others who are also facing the same issues. The folks up in Nunavut, the folks in in Nuuk and Sisimiut and other places in Finland and Norway, they're facing the exact same issues. The salmon crisis in Norway on the Tanana River is the same one we're facing on the Yukon. If we get together and talk, maybe we can find some solutions and think about how can we be strategic and leverage what we know, what we don't know, and what we need to know. Next slide please. So, in the CAFF in the working group we're very strategic in that, for over 25 years we've been on the march asking the question, wash, rinse, repeat. What do we know right now? What do we not know? What do we need to know? We need to ask the right people. The people who really know. What is it that we know? What do you need to know? And then how can we go get it? It doesn't happen overnight. You've got eight countries

representatives of 4 million indigenous peoples and so 1 many others. This can take years to do, but we're making progress on things like, arctic wildland fires, on search and rescue in the Arctic, on invasive species, 5 on collapse of salmon. Slowly and surely we're making 6 progress. And this is done in a strategic way, a thoughtful way over the long term. Next slide please. 8 So, as I mentioned, the key bedrock of the working group 9 that I'm a part of is called, the Circumpolar 10 Biodiversity Monitoring Program. You're talking about 3 or 400 of the world's sort of leading experts on Arctic 11 12 biodiversity, who are a part of this network that we can 13 call upon. So, you have a question about whitefish. I 14 can go to the CBMP leads and say, I need to know what's 15 going on with whitefish, and in Canada and in Greenland, 16 wherever they live. I need to know who can you send me 17 and tell me so I can find out what they know? And then 18 we decide, do we need to do a bigger study? What's going 19 on? Next slide please. As I mentioned, it's sort of a 20 wash, rinse, repeat cycle. You can move ahead. There 21 should be three bullets. It just talks about -- we're 22 using ecosystem-based monitoring program. 23 (indiscernible) was up here some time ago coordinating on indigenous knowledge around coastal biodiversity 24 monitoring. She had a workshop on that. That was stuff 25 26 that I funded through this program, through the work 27 that we're doing to again, reach the people who really 28 know what they're talking about, the people who were 29 there, who have been there for thousands of years, who 30 live off of it, who rely on it, ask them, what do you know? What do you not know? What do you need to know? 31 32 How can we deliver that for you? Next slide please.

33 34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

Here's just a few examples of some of the specific projects that we've been engaged in. I apologize, I'm talking a little fast, but I know I want to be respectful of everyone's time. It's a dinner time, you know. Next slide please. So, we've got the monitoring plans, as I mentioned. The way we divide them is we've got expert groups on marine, coastal, freshwater, terrestrial. Kyle Joly who was part of the National Park Service, he represents the United States on terrestrial expert group. But, we welcome representatives through the ICC, through the United States government, from the communities. The CBMP is open to everyone. Anyone who has expertise and knowledge in arctic biodiversity is invited to become part of the network. Next slide please. So, we've got our state of Arctic reports. These are pretty good, pretty concise reports of what they try to do. And these are available

all online, caff.is. This -- these are just what do we 1 know, in 2017, here's what we understand of all Arctic biodiversity. Everything from bacteria to bowhead whales. What's their status, what do we know, what do 5 we not know. In some cases it goes into recommendations, 6 which is what do we need to know. But, these reports are out there and these are the ones that we rely on to 8 chart our path, to manage our budget, to implement our projects. Next slide please. Some of the examples of 10 some of the things that we that we can produce and that are freely available. All of our data and information 11 12 are open access and available through the Arctic 13 Biodiversity Data Service. But this is an example of the 14 kind of predictive models that you can take a look at 15 sort of what are -- how are populations changing of different species that are important. In particular, 16 this one is an example of terrestrial data. Next slide 17 18 please. You've got, as I mentioned, the Arctic 19 Biodiversity Data Service, the sort of archive of every piece of information that has ever gone into any of 20 21 these reports. So, people ask, well, how'd you come up 22 with this recommendation, or why did this say that? Every 23 baseline data, scientific indigenous knowledge input is 24 part of the Arctic Biodiversity Data Service. I won't 25 go too far into that because, as you know, there's really 26 important considerations when it comes to indigenous knowledge in terms of who owns it, how it might be 27 28 misinterpreted, how can we be thoughtful and careful 29 about that. That's an ongoing issue that we're working 30 on. But a lot of the reports that we produce are peer 31 reviewed through scientific journals, and then they 32 become the basis of the science to inform our work. Next 33 slide please.

34 35

36 37

38

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

Communications is a big part of what we do. Getting that data that those journal reports and then turning them into something useful that we can hand out in 1 or 2 pages so that people can read and understand. All right, what's going on in my neck of the woods in the Arctic or what's going on in the whole Arctic? Is this something that is just affecting my community, my region, or is it bigger than that? Next slide please. Next slide please. So, I want to talk a little bit about some of the partnerships and some of the really cool things, in particular our engagement with youth and young people. This is something that I take very seriously and something that I've been involved in since I've been here over the last 13 years. Next slide please. So, collaboration. We work very closely with Arctic indigenous peoples. I've talked

about the arctic wildland fires, which is being led by 1 Ed Alexander, the Gwich'in Council. We work very closely with Inuit Circumpolar Council as well as, the Arctic Athabascan Council on the salmon peoples of the Arctic 5 project, on marine mammals. This is a big part of the work that we do in the Arctic Council in our marine program, especially monitoring and understanding. Again, 8 what's the circumpolar around the Arctic, what's going 9 on with different types of ice seals. Next slide please. 10 So, building the future, the Arctic is a key part of the reason that I wanted to talk with you all today. Arctic 11 12 youth engagement. We have a program called the Arctic 13 Youth Ambassadors program. There's a number of young 14 people here in your region and in this community who 15 have been part of this program. It's a two-year program 16 where we invest deeply in bringing them on, to help them 17 understand who's making decisions about the Arctic, 18 who's sitting there and how do they get to be involved? 19 And understand what the process is. You know, it's one 20 thing to say, hey, man, we need a seat at the table. And 21 then it's quite another if you don't even know that 22 table ever existed or how it works, or how you could 23 even get an invitation or sit there. So, you need to 24 bring these folks to where the decisions are being made. 25 And it can be a very hard thing, it can be a very hard 26 thing, especially for young people. So, we spend a lot 27 of time prioritizing their well-being. You know, I have 28 two kids and man, if someone was to take them to try to 29 show them something, I know that if they didn't learn 30 anything at all, but they were happy, that would be more 31 important to me than if they went and learned a lot, but 32 they were unhappy. You got me. We have a big 33 responsibility to make sure that the young people that 34 we engage with are spiritually, mentally, physically, 35 in every way taken care of and that we're doing this in 36 the best possible way, in a way that their leadership 37 is being shown. And so, we do a lot of trips. I remember 38 Nichole when she, I don't know, ten years ago when she 39 went to Finland as part of a youth group, you know, and 40 you see them. It's amazing to see a lot of these young 41 people then end up in really important decision-making 42 positions that are affecting our Arctic region. Next 43 slide please.

44 45 46

47

48 49

50

That Arctic Youth Ambassadors program. We currently have a group. One of the Arctic Youth ambassadors from this region is Delbert's daughter, Alexis Rexford. We've got a whole new cohort that just started about six months ago or so, and they'll go for about two years, and then we'll open it up for a new

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

class in the future if we've got the resources and funding to do it. But I think we're working on around the fourth cohort of Arctic Youth Ambassadors. And as a result of the Arctic Youth Ambassadors program, there are about 95 young people somewhere between the age of 18 to 25. There are like 95 young people in Alaska who now understand, who's making decisions about the Arctic, how they can be involved in those decision-making processes. Those almost 100 youth would not exist, they would not be here but not for this program. Next slide please. We've had some really cool adventures in different places around the world and yeah, it's -- I'm really proud of the program and happy to see that it's continued to be a successful effort. Next slide please. Next slide please.

15 16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

So, in terms of some of the practical solutions that we're trying to look at, we're really trying to say what's coming, what's again, let's there's what's happening now and then there's how can we prepare for what's coming? We know that the Arctic has vast and incredible natural resources that make it the economic possibility enormous. You know, the CAFF Working Group is agnostic. We don't say oil is good or mining is bad. We don't say that. We say, what information do you need to make the best possible decision that you can make? How can we get you the information you need so you can make a well-informed decision when you're deciding? Should we? Shouldn't we? How? When? Where? Next slide please. Some of the priorities that we have, as I said, moving ahead, caribou and reindeer is really important to me. It's a space where we used to do a lot of work, and we've not been doing a lot of work in the international space. I think having Kyle Joly is part of our terrestrial group is going to help us to have some better thinking about who are we going to ask, what do we know, what do we not know, and what do we need to know? We've heard a lot about that during this meeting, and I've been honored to be able to sit here and listen and learn from you all. Sorry. Can you go back real quick? I just want to mention a few others. Pollinators, salmon, wildfires. There's a whole bunch of different areas where we're, you know, we've been listening to folks. We've been working on getting the funding together, invasive species, marine monitoring. There's a lot of work going on, much more than I can go through here. I think we had 90 projects last I checked, and that's just one of six Arctic Council working groups. Next slide please.

2

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So, in summary, I wanted to say I think international engagement is really essential for what we're talking about here. You can you know, when you're down here in the details, this is what really matters. This is your day-to-day life. You know, you're going to get a caribou. You're not going to get this is real life. But, then there's the bigger picture, long term thinking. And you got to move yourself up and say, all right, what's going on somewhere else? Maybe we can work with others to try to find solutions for why we're not getting what we need here. The prosperity and well-being of the Arctic is really important for us and I think that begins with making well-informed decisions. If you're making decisions to do something, to not do something, where to do it, when to do it, and you don't have the information you need, which is really about -just about every species in the Arctic, we don't have enough information, whatever that means. In some cases we don't have any. But yet we still got to make these decisions, right? So, we got to get the information that we need so we can make well informed decisions, whatever those might be. Next slide please.

222324

25

2627

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

So, that's my presentation. I did want to mention one opportunity that's not directly relevant to the work that I do, but it is very important to the work that I do. The U.S. Arctic Research Commission, if you've never heard of it, is a group of seven folks that are appointed by the President, I think Deborah Vo and who else is on there? There's a number -- there's seven folks that are on there. They've changed recently. I think Mike Sfraga was the former commissioner. Anyways, they're in the process right now of accepting comments of what the entire United States Government should focus its Arctic research on. You have an opportunity to provide comments. And I'm talking about these are the folks that, you know, help NSF decide where billion dollars should go for research here or there. So, I think it's an important an important place to -- to provide comments from folks like this RAC.

40 41 42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for that. Super informational. I actually was in charge of running NSF projects up here about almost 15 years ago. We had acquired the NSF contract for any logistics works for NSF related projects and learned a great deal back then. But I think it was like 28 million for all the contracts and work up here for - I think it was four and then extended every few years. But no, a lot of this information is great. And I think stuff that I've dealt

1 with, logistics is always a great thing and being effective is great. It sounds like a lot of that is even with information, logistics is key. But no, definitely interested in any of the comments related to 5 that and no, this was intriguing. I was hooked up the 6 entire time. This is something that I didn't know anything about and I worked with the wildlife department 8 even before I was on the RAC for about almost four years, but and didn't know about this. So, this is great stuff. 10 I've got, you know, kids that are in elementary, middle 11 and three high schoolers now so this is all great 12 information to have for them. As far as, like what they 13 can look forward to, or what to look for and be a part 14 of. So, no, a lot of this info is great, and I will take 15 that actually. And make comments for any -- I mean, I've 16 dealt with a lot of the stuff that was directly related to this. And I'm also in charge of I didn't know what 17 18 what you -- you skipped on the on the Arctic search and 19 rescue, but I'm in charge of all of search and rescue 20 for everything about above the Brooks Range here. That's 21 what I do currently, but no, this is all great 22 information, and that's probably the most interesting 23 thing I looked at today. No, no problem staying late for 24 that, but no I will take the comments on where to submit 25 or even take a look at what you've got and definitely 26 be a part of. It looks like something I'm personally 27 interested in. So, that that was great. Thank you.

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

MR. CASTELLANOS: Thank you, Chair, you humble me. I'll -- through Gisela, I'll provide -- I have a couple of handouts with some information about -- the U.S. Arctic Research Commission, develops a five-year research strategy, and the next one will be developed in 2026. This is a really important place to provide comments and input, especially from the people that really know what's happening out here. Yeah so, I appreciate your interest in it and I'm available, you know, down there in Anchorage, whenever I -- anything I can do to help or support you all, thank you very much.

39 40 41

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON} \mbox{ FRANTZ: Thank you. Great presentation.}$

42 43 44

(Pause)

45 46

All right. Next up we have OSM. Is that Orville. You're up.

47 48 49

50

MR. LIND: Good afternoon. My name is Orville Lind. I'm the Native liaison for the Office of

Subsistence Management. I want to give you a little 1 background, because I haven't met 1 or 2 of you. I was born and raised in the Village of Chignik on the Alaska Peninsula. When I was born there, we had had about 130 5 people. And we were fishing community, and I have a 6 family of 11. Not me personally right now, I have four boys and a daughter and a wife of 40, almost 46 years. 8 Next week will be 46 years. And I wouldn't change a 9 thing. That is the Alaskan spirit right there. I wanted 10 to touch base on the my goals and responsibilities when I took on this position in 2014. And when I took the 11 12 position, I was very hesitant because of the position description saying, I'm going to deal with 229 federally 13 14 recognized tribes. I'm going to deal with 12 regional corporations, and I'm going to be dealing with up to 15 180, 200 village corporations. And I'm thinking, what? 16 17 Oh, that's a lot of people. However, the job also -- PD 18 also, said that I would be facilitating between local 19 people such as yourselves and the Federal Subsistence 20 Board. Now, I've been involved with this Federal Subsistence Board and Regional Advisory Council since 21 22 1993, when they started. I was actually the subsistence 23 coordinator for the Bristol Bay Regional Advisory Council when it started in 1993, which was a big deal. 24 25 I was an avid hunter and fisher and I used to help them 26 develop regulations for our caribou mostly because we 27 had a decline in caribou in the mid-90s and crashed 28 about 1997. But the collaboration we had to have meant 29 everything. And it was -- it led to our success in, I 30 would say, saving that population, conserving that 31 population, to again within 12 years later to actually 32 have to harvest -- being able to harvest again. And I 33 think if you keep that in mind the whole process is 34 about consultations. How we consult with each other. And 35 one of the first presentations we developed during my position there -- next slide. Is, you know, we had to 36 37 make we had to put it out there to understand the 38 consultation process, we need to understand the tribal 39 consultation process because there was an Executive 40 Order 13175 that requires us to listen to tribes. There's 41 a tribal responsibility there. And again, 42 consultation is a dialogue between federal and tribal 43 representatives. And this is important. It takes place before a decision is made. Now, I've been into all ten 44 45 regions, and one of the biggest issues and the questions 46 and concerns is, wait a minute. Did you consult with us 47 before you made that decision? Some people didn't know that, right. Tribal consultation is integral. It's 48 49 necessary. It's very important to a deliberate process 50 that results in an effort, collaboration and informed

5

6

8

9

10

decision making. This whole thing comes together, and so we could make proper management decisions. And I gotta tell you this part, since I've been onboard since 2014 and been involved with this process, I know for a fact that 95- 98% guys, will you help me, staff? the Federal Subsistence Board actually supports and agrees with the regional advisory Councils. That's a fact. So, your decisions here makes changes out there. Once it goes through the Federal Subsistence Board, it's done. It's law. Consultation is not a presentation about what an agency plans to do. Next slide please.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

So, we have tribal and Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act consultations. It's just good practice, right. It honors the government-to-government relationship we have with federally recognized tribes. These are sovereign nations. It fosters positive relationships with tribal governments to help us achieve the mission of preserving and protecting Alaska's special places and resources. Again, Executive Order 13175. We are required to listen to you. What forms of consultations we have? We have tribal consultations. And separately, in most cases, we have the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act corporation consultations separate from the tribal, because you'll find out here shortly. Again, you know, in in our whole United States, we have 574 federally recognized tribes in the United States. 229 of those rely here in Alaska. And we deal with all the different tribes, all the different tribes. There's so, many diverse tribes in Alaska. It's really cool. I love my work because I get to meet, I get to learn from, work with all the different tribes here in Alaska. Next slide please.

33 34 35

36

37

38

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

Again, we consult with the tribal governments. Government to government is based on 200 plus years of political interactions. History teaches us that consultation between tribes and non-tribals been happening for decades. Again, with tribal governments, the trust responsibility is to tribes. Now, difference between ANSCA corporations and we'll go in again to the next subject is government to corporation. And let's go to the next slide. The DOI policy on consulting with tribe directs agencies and boroughs to consult with federal recognized tribes when taking action that have a substantial direct effect on a tribe. All the regulations, you guys come up with, amendments, they affect your tribes. And this consultation process is needed at early stages when policies and programs or any other activities that may affect tribes or

corporations are being considered by the federal government. Of course, this is after it comes to through the RAC process. And consultation is an ongoing process that may require repeated meetings or any other forms of communications as a process continues. The next slide, please.

6 7 8

9

11 12

13

14

15 16

5

We consult annually on regulatory proposals and closure reviews, which we did back in August of 19 -- August 19th and August 21st. We had our first round of consultations with the wildlife proposals and closure reviews. Our next round of consultations are going to be this November 12th and 13th for the wildlife proposals. Okay. And there's other opportunities offered before regulatory meeting. You can also request at any time for a consultation with the Federal Subsistence Board. Next slide please.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

And this next slide, it shows the steps of this whole process -- the federal regulatory process. Next slide. We've got the federal regulatory process. There we go. So, again I'm not going to read the whole thing. But this -- this presentation is available for you to have also. And I can make sure that Chair and whoever requests gets one. The call for proposals begins, an analysis of proposals after that, the review of the proposals comes, the public and tribal comment period, you know, where all the proposals that are accepted into the analysis so, the public may comment on them. And then it goes to the regional advisory Councils. From there, it goes on to the Federal Subsistence Board and all those are passed and supported are -- into the publications process after that, finally made into law. Next slide please. There are several opportunities for tribal and ANSCA Corporation engagement. During the Regional Advisory Council meetings, the Federal Subsistence Board meetings, we always have a day before the actual Federal Subsistence Board meeting to have consultation, just before the meetings, too. And that's where we also offer ANCSA Corporation members to consult with the Board at the same time. Public hearings, listening sessions, public comment periods, special actions. Those opportunities to have tribal engagement. Next slide please. And so, what our staff and I gotta reach out to our staff to I gotta give thanks to OSM staff, because for the first five years, I have a difficult time in dealing with all 229 federally recognized tribes. At some point in time, I think my record was 17 calls from 17 different tribes in one day. All -- some were similar

1 about caribou issues, some were moose, some were waterfoul. And so, you can imagine the overwhelming --I felt, it's like, oh my gosh, can I keep going? But, I staff, coordination staff, the biologists, 5 anthropologists. Oh, I couldn't have done it without 6 them. Hats off to them. So, our OSM staff will reach out and provide notification to include sufficient detail 8 of the topics to be discussed. And then the tribes will 9 and will let us know which topics to discuss. They'll 10 explain -- we will explain the purpose and consulting and determine if the tribe or corporation is interested 11 12 in consulting on this action. We set a date. Usually 13 it's it's with the tribal officials. And then we look 14 at our staff availability and we mostly look at the Federal Subsistence Board availability when we come on 15 these dates to consult. We develop a format, a draft 16 17 agenda for the consultation, and we definitely work with 18 the tribes and the corporations to do that. And then we 19 also will ensure the appropriate attendees the Federal 20 Board of course, the decision makers again, that's all the five agencies. We also have the official federal 21 22 recognized tribe representatives, and they may delegate 23 someone to do speaking on their behalf. And we find that out during the process. And of course, the federal staff 24 25 support. Next slide, please.

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

During the consultation. Of course, we present the program action, discuss the potential impacts to tribes. If the process is long term we describe timeline and identify key decision points along the way. We ask if the tribal corporation needs more information. We always want to work with them. They provide us with what we need to do or know to move forward. We reach a consensus on when tribes or corporations can have their official comments to OSM if they're submitting any. And then we offer to consult as needed. See, we work for you people and without you, we don't work. Next slide please. Of course, after the consultation process, we provide a written summary to participating tribes or corporations available on request. And we fulfill any commitments in a timely manner. We do that, we really try to do that. And we also incorporate knowledge shared during consultations into the analysis and reviews presented to the Board. This whole process is important to the Board decision making process. Again, without you, we don't work. Now in my experience, there's been some concerns -- about three years ago, AHTNA brought to my attention, is that, Orville, you know, I see my people in the consultation process, but in other consultations, I'm not seeing the

6

8

9

audience there. You know what, what -- why is that? Well, there could be a lot -- I can make up all kinds of excuses. But, the fact of the matter is, I put myself in their shoes. If I don't understand something I really don't want to know, you know. But, if you explain to me how valuable it is, how important it is. I'm going to show up. Of course it's important. We're dealing with subsistence foods. The refuge information technician program. We are just now getting some -- some great cooperation, feedback, involvement of RIT joining the meetings we're having, helping us do outreach. You want to add anything, Ernest?

12 13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

11

MR: NAEAK: Oh, when a lot of issues came up on the North Slope being Barrow not in the refuge, that was kind of my position. And then we were able to work with the Borough and the bird task force when they had a lot of migratory bird issues. So, I'm glad we were able to do consultation. And thanks for sharing all how that works and that you guys are working for us. Thank you very much.

212223

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42 43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. LIND: Yeah. And you know what? We were kind of a new program, a new process. But, after about 4 or 5 years, when things started to click the routine, you know, we got great people on Board to help us out -- you can go to the next slide. We started getting better on what we did. The -- even the RACs were starting to get more -- put in more special actions. They were putting in more requests. We got more proposals from the regions. And so, this process is working. I just wish there were more involvement in the -- other than you people here, the audience, the local hunter that's been hunting for years, I love the fact that you had some people here, local people here. That's awesome. I just want to see more. I guess I'm -- I -- the way I feel about this whole process is things are changing. There's no caribou where they're supposed to be. No birds where they're supposed to be. Migration patterns are changing, climates changing a lot of stuff. But it's not only the climate folks, it's the user groups, right. We're all involved. We're all in this together. And the only way we can come up with solutions is what we did a long time ago, we consulted with each other. Remember the stories about us coming together in the roundhouse and talking about issues? That's the only way we started finding solutions, is we brought everybody to the table. Everybody comes to the table. You know, for many years, the state never came. We didn't see them. But now they're coming. That's this whole part of the process. Invite

people, invite students. Oh, good gosh. Southeast is 1 bring students every time we have a RAC meeting down there, which is great. They even brought proposals, a mock proposal process thing. So, they're learning. 5 They're learning. And other RACs are doing the same 6 thing. So, I just want to encourage each and everyone -- I tell this to the the last Southeast meeting we had 8 was, I would feel a whole lot better if there was someone 9 that you maybe designate a person to monitor our website, 10 keep in touch with me or any other staff so we can just increase exchange email addresses names. Because look 11 12 at everything changes, right. We have new RAC members every once in a while. We have new tribal leaders, you 13 14 know, new tribal members. I can't keep track of 15 everybody. So, I need your help. And so, with that said, 16 I encourage you to keep in touch with me. You know, if 17 someone becomes a new leader in your in your community, 18 give me a shout. Give me a email so, I can say hi and 19 welcome to the whole process. We're going to get better 20 at this. We are good now, but we're going to get better 21 and in situations -- oh, heartfelt situation that you're 22 in dealing with with caribou. We had the same problem 23 back in 1995 in my my village, we lost all our caribou. 24 And we went into a Tier II system. But, we had to wait 25 12 years before they came back. And there were a lot of 26 fingers pointing, you know, you, you, you, you, you know, 27 and but really, the reality is we have to -- we're in 28 the business to try to find solutions on how to keep a 29 balance for our subsistence lifestyles out there. This 30 world is growing. We're getting more and more, villages 31 are growing. And so, the users are going to be more. 32 But, it's places like this, Regional Advisory Councils, 33 you guys were chosen by your people who love to have you 34 on here. You know, because you guys are leaders in your 35 your village people's eyes. And that's -- I'm glad to 36 be part of that process because I get to come to the 37 meetings and have some muktuk when I, when I don't have 38 it very often. You know, I get to have smoked salmon. 39 Oh, man. All that good food. But, anyway, I will stop. 40 You know, I just love this process. I'm glad now that -- we, you know, we went through a phase, OSM that we 41 42 had, oh, gosh, we were down 20 some people, you know, 43 in our step, but now we're -- we've come up a little bit 44 and we've got some great people in our staff. And I just 45 want to give them a hats out to them again, because 46 without them I wouldn't function right. Believe me, I 47 couldn't handle the overload and I appreciate all the 48 help. And then, you know, some of you members of the RAC here RITs, you guys are a blessing. I appreciate 49 50 you all. With that, I'll shut up. And if you have any

```
000135
```

```
1
     questions for me, I'm here.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: We appreciate you,
 4
     too.
 5
 6
                     MR. LIND: Quyana.
 7
8
                     CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for the
9
     presentation.
10
11
                     MR. LIND: Thank you.
12
13
                     MR. BARGER: I second it.
14
15
                      (Shared laughter)
16
17
                     UNIDENTIFIED: I question it.
18
19
                     MR. LIND: Quyana.
20
21
                     CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All
                                                   in
22
     Kidding. Alright. Nobody else got any further questions
23
     for Orville? Lisa.
```

26 27

28

29

30 31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. GREDIAGIN: All right. All right. Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the Council. For the record, my name is Lisa Grediagin and I'll be presenting the OSM report to the Council. So, since we last reported to you at the Winter 2025 meeting, we've been working to bring the new leadership within the Office of Policy, Management and Budget, within the Office of the Secretary up to speed on the program and current subsistence issues. During this Wildlife Regulatory we received 78 wildlife proposals additionally are reviewing 17 wildlife closure reviews, that will be reviewed by all the Councils and the Board. On July 21st, the federal subsistence regulations were moved from one part of the federal -- the CFR, the code for federal regulations, to another part to reflect the move from Fish and Wildlife Service into the Office of the Secretary. So, they used to be 50 CFR part 100, and now they're all at 43 CFR part 51. And so, the regulations didn't change. It's just their citations and location within the CFR. The same day OSM published the final rule codifying the federal subsistence regulations made by the Board in February, that amended federal regulations for fish and shellfish. And it also removed the Ketchikan area from the list of nonrural areas. The Board held their annual summer work session in July. They reviewed and approved replies to the annual reports

from all the Councils. They also adopted deferred wildlife proposal 24-01, as modified by OSM, to allow the sale of brown bear hides harvested under federal subsistence regulations, which was supported by nine Councils. Implementation of this regulation will proceed once the Office of Management and Budget approves the creation and use of a new customary trade permit. The Board also reviewed recommendations for Council Charter changes and received briefings on Council correspondence.

We -- OSM is also really, really close to releasing a new federal subsistence permitting application. And this is the program we use to create and issue federal permits. And part of this upgrade was to make permits more readily available to users through an online option to obtain permits. The system could be released as soon as next week, September 22nd, and users can request profiles and permits through an online portal. They won't be required to use the online portal, they can still get permits in person at local federal offices, but it's nice to have that option. And you can access that online permit portal through our website. There'll be a link on our website.

All right. Council appointments. During 2025 appointment cycle, 50 applications were received to fill 48 seats across all the Councils. Eight letters of interest from young leaders were also received. The North Slope region received three membership applications to fill Council seats and zero non-voting young leader applications. So, we would really appreciate your help in recruiting a young leader -- non-voting young leader applicants. The application period opens January 2nd and closes February 16th of next year. So, again, please help spread the word for these applications. OSM staffing updates. Roughly 30% of OSM positions are vacant and many employees are doing double or even triple duty to cover these vacancies. The government wide hiring freeze was set to end in July, but it's been extended to October. Several employees chose to retire earlier this year, this included OSM Fisheries Biologist, Karen Hyer. OSM Council Coordinator, Lisa Hutchinson. OSM Cultural Anthropologist Pippa Kenner, and the OSM Permit Specialist Derek Hildreth. But recently, you know, this is pretty exciting news, is that even with the hiring freeze, we are approved to lateral two people into OSM and they are starting next week. So, Karen McKee is coming back to OSM as the subsistence outreach

6

8

9

10

11

specialist and Anna Senecal is coming to OSM as a fisheries biologist. Additionally, Katya Wessels is officially Acting Deputy Director for Operations, through January. OSM has also been working to strengthen our collaboration with the state of Alaska. The OSM director and deputy have been conducting monthly inperson meetings with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game Commissioner and Board liaison, which is improving communication. And OSM and the Interagency Staff Committee held a workshop earlier this year to identify additional opportunities on how to strengthen our relationship with the state.

12 13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

2526

Upcoming meeting dates. Tribal and ANCSA Consultations on wildlife regulatory proposals. Well, yeah, Orville mentioned this. They took place on August 19th and 21st, 2025. And then and those were on the proposals, the wildlife proposals that were submitted. And again, just to reiterate what Orville mentioned, we'll have additional opportunities for consultations in November, after all the Councils meet. the tribes will be aware of the Council recommendations and all the proposals. And those are November 12th and 13th. The Federal Subsistence Board will hold an FRMP work session on February 4th and 5th, to review the Draft Fisheries Resource Monitoring Plan and to accept additional comments.

272829

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

And then the Board wildlife regulatory meeting will be April 20th to 24th. So, Brower, you can book those dates on your calendar hopefully you can travel to Anchorage to attend that meeting when they act on all the wildlife proposals. Litigation updates, I'm going to just read this word for word because it came from our solicitor and I don't want to misspeak anything. So, the United States versus Alaska with the 9th Circuit. In 2022, the U.S. brought this action against the state of Alaska to resolve a dispute over the regulation of subsistence fishing on the Kuskokwim River within the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge. In March 2024, the court granted summary judgment to the U.S. and issued a permanent injunction against the State. The State appealed. On August 20th, 2025, a 9th Circuit panel ruled in favor of the United States. The court upheld its previous holdings that ANILCA defines public lands to include navigable waters in which the United States holds reserved water rights, based on three previous Ninth Circuit decisions, commonly referred to as the Katie John cases. The court rejected the State's arguments that the Katie John cases were clearly

1 irreconcilable with Sturgeon versus Frost (indiscernible) versus the Environmental Protection Agency. The state of Alaska has indicated it will petition the Supreme Court to take up the case. And 5 actually, this is slightly outdated. The state of Alaska 6 already has petition the Supreme Court to take up this case, and that's public knowledge. It's in the news right 8 now. State of Alaska Department of Fish and Game versus 9 the Federal Subsistence Board et al. 9th Circuit. On 10 June 2nd, 2025, a 9th Circuit panel ruled in favor of the United States. And this lawsuit filled by the state 11 12 of Alaska after the Board authorized an emergency 13 subsistence hunt in 2020, for moose and deer on federal 14 public lands in the vicinity of Kake, Alaska. The court's 15 basis for upholding the Board's decision was twofold. 16 First, ANILCA provides the Board with the authority to 17 provide access to subsistence resources on federal 18 lands. And second, the Board has the authority to 19 authorize an emergency subsistence hunt to ensure that 20 rural residents of Alaska have a reasonable opportunity to reach and subsistence resources found on federal 21 22 lands in Alaska. The panel also concluded that the 23 State's claims that the Board improperly delegated the administration of the Kake hunt to a tribe were not 24 25 properly before the court. So, thank you. That concludes 26 my report. And just again, thank you to all the Council 27 members on behalf of OSM, for all your work and service 28 on the Council and to your communities and the wildlife 29 fisheries resources in the region. So, thank you. If you 30 have any questions, I'll be happy to answer them or I 31 understand if you just want to move on.

32 33

34

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: We're already this far, so. Thank you, Lisa. Let's see. Alright, so, item 16, other business 805(c) report summary, Gisela.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And since we're made it this far, I want to bring up a couple of things. We have Jonathan Priday from BLM joining us in the meeting. And earlier in the meeting, he wrote something on the chat that I wanted to make sure that I read to the Council. It says we at BLM are currently growing our law enforcement program in the north. We are very interested and willing to take complaints concerning hunting violations involving non-federally qualified individuals hunting in closed areas. I'm very sorry I could not attend today's meeting in person. I just returned to Fairbanks last night from responding to caribou hunting complaints in 26B and 26C. And I believe Jonathan is fairly new to the agency. And

1 Jonathan, I don't know if you're still online, and if you wanted to introduce yourself to the Council, he --I also have his contact information. I'll be happy to share it with the Council so, that you have it. Oh, the 5 pictures, they're kind of small. Let me see if I can 6 make them bigger. Oh, look at that. There you go. There's a big picture. Bigger picture of -- believe him -- oh, yeah. Okay. Oops. Yeah. And Jonathan, if you're still online, you can also share a little bit more information 10 about the pictures.

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

8

9

MR. PRIDAY: Okay. Thank you, Chairman and Council, for having me today. Again, my name is Jonathan Priday. I'm the new Law Enforcement District Chief for the Arctic and Fairbanks districts for BLM. A little bit of background about myself. I have been in Alaska, working mostly in the Eastern Interior for the last 22 years. I've got probably more experience than anybody living or dead, doing enforcement on the Interior caribou herds, especially the Fortymile and the Nelchina. So, I bring that expertise to the table. My number one priority when I took this job was to grow the program and to expand our reach into the north, especially into the North Slope. So, I'm really interested in meeting all of you. I'm really, really interested in working with you all to prioritize patrols and plans for the upcoming year. Even though we're growing, we still have very few officers in total. And, definitely interested in helping you guys, helping me plan. That's it.

30 31 32

33

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Sounds good. Thank you very much, John.

34 35 36

MR. PRIDAY: You're welcome.

37 38

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Oh, go ahead, Gisela.

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

MS. CHAPA: Okay. And I also -- during the discussions yesterday, I believe we had a public comment about muskox permits. And at some point, I heard in the discussion that the RAC might be -- the Council, excuse me, might be interested in writing a letter to the BLM artic district manager about increasing the number of permits for muskox in Unit 26A, and I wanted to see if that is still something that is of interest to the Council.

48 49 50

000140 1 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Is that still of interest for the Council, or do you think what we covered with muskox is adequate? So, do we want to try to write a letter to up the numbers? But it sounds like if we 5 were to do any proposals, we're due back -- to hear back from that in roughly 2 to 3 years. So, I think we could pass on that for now for the for the numbers. Sounds 8 like we have a couple of years to throw proposals out there. What was the other one? 10 MS. CHAPA: Oh, that was it. So, let me 11 12 see if I can put this into words. So, I believe that the 13 BLM Arctic District has delegated authority to manage 14 the muskox permits in 26A. And so, rather than having 15 to wait through the wildlife proposal cycle the Council could request the district manager about -- could 16 17 request to increase the number of permits for muskox in 18 26A. 19 20 (Pause) 21 22 MR. NAGEAK: Make a motion to recommend 23 the muskox limit from five to additional five. Five more, 24

25

26

27 28

at least to ten in case. Because there's only been at least 1 or 2 successful permits in the last 3 or 4 years it's been going on. So, thank you. Because, you know, missed opportunity like other regions that have open tags first like a quota system first five or however limit, that'll be good. Thank you.

29 30 31

MR. WILLIAMS: Peter Williams, second.

32 33

34

35

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: So, we have a motion on the floor to -- up the muskox numbers from 5 to 10 with the reason stated and seconded by Peter Williams. Any discussion on that other than the justification?

36 37 38

MR. WILLIAMS: Question.

39 40

41

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Questions called for all those in favor of moving to make ten instead of five muskox numbers for the permits, for the reasons stated. All those in favor, say aye.

43 44 45

IN UNISON: Aye.

46

47 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Those opposed, same

48 sign.

49 50

(No response)

Hearing none. Motion passes. All right. Go ahead, Gisela.

3 4 5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And I'm gonna go give an overview to the Council about the 805(c) report. I don't know if you recall in the presentation to the Council, like, after the proposals go through the cycle, the Board will provide the Council a report of the action taken. And the Board met on February 4th through the seventh to consider fisheries closure review and proposals. Two changes to the federal subsistence management regulations for the harvest of shellfish and wildlife on federal public waters and lands. And the Board also, met July 24th to take action on deferred Wildlife proposal 24-01. Page 171 of your book has a summary of all the actions taken by the Board and the Council recommendations and the Board took action in line with the Council's recommendations. That is the end of the report.

202122

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you for that update any questions on the letter?

232425

(No response)

2627

All right. Hearing none.

28 Correspondence.

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

MS. CHAPA: Yeah. And this is an update on all the correspondence to the Council. So, on page 173 just for reference, is the comments that the Council submitted to the Board of Game proposals that were considered during the statewide proposal cycle. That is just an FYI. And also, I would like to direct your attention to page 181 of your meeting materials. This isn't necessarily a letter that was submitted to the Council, but it was a letter that was addressed to Mr. Jack Reakoff from BLM, informing him of the agency's consideration to revoke Public Land Orders 51-50 and 51-80 within the Dalton Utility Corridor. This is north of the Yukon River, approximately from milepost 55 to 299. And Mr. Reakoff is also -- who is also the Chair of the Western Interior Regional Advisory Council, just wanted to share this information with this Council to become aware of the action and this is the reason why you're getting a copy of this notice. The note -- the notice also came with a map that I couldn't include in your meeting material that I'm going to share with the Council right now.

2

(Pause)

4 5

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Any questions on the revocation area? That's a large area. And it looks like it extends into the North Slope.

6 7

(No response)

8 9 10

All right. If we don't have any questions on this one. Do you have anything else, Gisela?

11 12 13

MS. CHAPA: No. Mr. Chair, that is the end of my correspondence of it.

14 15 16

17

18

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All right so, we're moving on to Item 17, closing comments. Who are we starting with? OSM? No, we'll start with Peter. Closing comments, Peter.

19 20 21

22

23

2425

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

MR. WILLIAMS: [4:25] Yes, thank you very much. And everything that's going on. And there's one more item I just want to bring up. This is about porcupine. Well, about eight Argos going to Anaktuvuk River because there were some caribous in there, and we all went. We're the last one, we didn't hear it. All of a sudden, the whole excitement going on up there, kind of dark. I ran up there and I said, (indiscernible) up there. So, I went up there and looked. They were chasing a porcupine on the willows. Bang, bang, bang. But, they couldn't shoot it, too dark. I went in there, got a long stick, and I seen I poke it. So, I come out. It came out and ran and just flipped it over. And I just jabbed right in the chest, killing it and build a fire, and I threw it in there. They really watching me. I was just curious because they skinned them. And then like I done (indiscernible) cleaned it, and I threw it on a pot, then we were just eating it, That thing taste so tasty. Because, you know, when you when you center that taste, come out of it. Ever since then, it asked me, hey, (indiscernible) porcupine, yet? But, you know, old man just sure like it, because he's a kind of race with it, too. So, I just want to bring that up. And I joined this meeting here, and I got a lot of learning lessons from this guy over here. You know, I've seen a lot of -- and that feller over there, too. I wouldn't say their name because -- anyway, I just want to say thank you very much. And I enjoyed this meeting, and let's keep moving on. Thank you.

49 50

1 CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Peter. 2 Esther.

3

4

5

6

8

MS. HUGO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm just happy that I came regardless of the way things happened or was said. It's just good to be here with family and with all the entities or the state or the feds here. Maybe soon we'll resolve our final resolution for the concerns and the issues we have with our caribou and just being from way inland and where I'm from. Thank

10 just 11 you.

12 13

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Esther.

Leonard.

14 15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

MR. BARGER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, presenters and stuff. Good info -- information and stuff. And I know we've talked about a lot of stuff about our stuff, but I haven't heard any -- anything about, you know, the animals or you know, like chaga or medicine, you know, that's not being brought up. And I know I've been talking -- my grandson just gave me a new TikTok. So, I've been tiktoking for a while. And so, anyways, yeah, those kind of things, like for medicine, like for beluga, for caribou broth and stuff, those are not being taught. And, I've got a almost an inch thick book of a lot of different Indian, Inupiaq, Yup'ik, Cup'ik and stuff of medicine and my wife told me to distribute, I said, nope, you gotta wait until I'm dead and give it to my grandkids. I'm not going to bring it up. I'm not going to distribute it or do anything, but I'll teach it. I'll talk about it. And it's detailed, detail by detail. And I've got it in my shop. And I got it locked up in my gun case, you know. So, she told me to give it. I said nope, just you wait till I'm six feet under and you'll have it. So -- but, you know, like I said, I'll talk about. I want to encourage younger people because I got this information from a lot of elders. You know, back then they didn't have Tylenol, NyQuil, they live off the land. So, but I just want to thank you very much.

41 42 43

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Leonard. Wanda, Atqasuk.

44 45 46

47

48

49

50

MS. KIPPI: Kept doing that to me, when they were pressing the button, it goes bac to the closed -- turnings -- turns it off. But, anyway, I'm happy to be here. But I would have been at camp if I didn't decide to come here. I was at camp for one month and I'd still

1 rather be there than anywhere else. But I like to represent my community and try and solve some of our animal, you know, what we have -- what we harvest. I want to try to get and help the rest of our villages, 5 too because we're a whole family. We're all originally 6 from Barrow, but in the older days, they -- our parents had moved us to the villages that where -- they chose 8 for us to live and to harvest and to, you know, live off 9 the land. That's why they had moved us to these other 10 communities to harvest, to feed their families. And that's what it was all about when we first moved to all 11 12 these villages that you see here sitting at the table. 13 And I'm proud to be living up here on the North Slope. 14 We have we have plenty to eat from wherever, we can get 15 it from our land or from the river. But the times are 16 changing. It's getting harder to harvest certain animals 17 and sometimes fish or the weather changes and we can't 18 get our foods from the land, the plants, the animals, you know, the plants and stuff that we the berries and 19 20 all that. But I'm just happy that I am representing my 21 community and I hope to continue to do that and I hope 22 I can do a good job for them. And I thank you guys for 23 coming. You guys, it's good to see Orville and thank you 24 for coming. It's good to see different people come and 25 present some stuff that we could use to hopefully build 26 more of our, you know, to get through these problems that we can hopefully solve. And I just want to say 27 28 thank you guys, (In Native).

29 30

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Wanda.

31 Jenysa. 32

> AHMAOGAK: I haven't had a chance to eat a meal today. So, that's going to be my first comment. But, I'm really glad I got to come here and, you know, represent my community of Wainwright. It's not every day you see somebody still in their 20s trying to represent their town. And, you know, I really do hope we start to fill some of these seats with -- when these seats start to open. I hope they get filled with, you know, young people just like me. And I believe that's going to be vital within the next, you know, within the next decade or two because we're the next generation and we're going to have to be the ones to step up. So, I hope we can find ways to encourage more people in my age group to step up and attend these meetings. It's going to be something that we need because I'm pretty sure within the next couple more decades, we're still going to be dealing with subsistence issues and we're going to have to be the ones to address it. I just hope we can figure

1 out a way to get more outreach to, you know, more youth. They don't have to be, you know, young teenagers or anything like that but, you know, more so the young adults, the ones who are, you know, stepping into 5 adulthood and are starting to grasp the reality of the real world. I thank you guys, for letting me come here and learn. It's, you know, there's a wealth of knowledge 8 within each member and presenter that came up here from our Council to all these different organizations that 10 work for our subsistence rights. You guys have shared a lot of good presentations, my Council members have also 11 shared a lot of good points. You know, meetings like 12 this, they're very informational and I enjoy being able 13 14 to learn. Thank you.

15 16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Jenysa.

17 Ernest. 18

> MR. NAGEAK: Thank you everybody. It's been good to be on this side of the tables this past year -- the past two meetings. The past 13 years, I've been working in the Barrow field office as a North Slope liaison for the federal government and I used to update Barrow office with you guys. And I was good to be on this side of the table and ask my colleagues, you know, questions. And I know a lot of these guys through our Native relations training. You know, we've gone a long way since 2010, when we had all these law enforcement migratory issues bird and everything regulations to curfew hours, telling us when we can hunt, we cannot hunt to sitting at the table, hashing it out till [sic] we're good and the people are good. We will still be able to hunt. But continue to hear the concerns for AKP, ever since I started with the -- 15 years ago, it's always been brought up and it's nothing's been going on. So, I hope that, you know, you guys heard the heartfelt messages and keep thinking about the inland people and because it's their main food source, the caribou. And my last meeting, I'm going to I've been letting people know to put in their name and I was filling in someone's seat and I had to go through ethics and all this to just to make sure I could be part of the Board being a federal employee. But guys are in good hands and I'm going to be back when I move back. So, it's just a break for now and see you guys around.

45 46 47

48 49

50

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you, Ernest. All right. Well, I guess it's my turn. I'd like to thank all of you, OSM, Fish and Wildlife Services. The -- it's been real. It's been fun. No, it's -- I'd like to thank

you for a good meeting. Thank you for coming to our hometown once again, or my hometown, Utqiagvik. It's good to come up every now and then and go see different scenery, different ways of life. And it, you know, it's not everywhere you get to see people in front of their houses skinning caribou and seals and what have you. So, they did that maybe down south somewhere, they might think it was a little different, but up here it's the norm. And it's just our way of life, the way we've lived it for a long time. But thanks again for a good meeting. It's good to interface with everybody on the on the issues and even on the good stuff, though. I mean, every now and then, we'll have something that comes across that helps us and that's what we aim to do. Hopefully, that at one point that this will be helping us and steering in the right direction. That's what we're aiming for. But that's what the discussion is about. If we didn't have any issues, then what would we be talking about? But it's always good to work together with you guys, and we aim to solve world peace here. But thanks again. And I guess I'll leave it to OSM from there.

MS. CHAPA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Gisela Chapa but you don't need to put it on the record. I always feel very welcome when I come up to Utqiagvik. And I enjoy to spend time with all of you. I have a deep appreciation for your knowledge and for your dedication giving your time to the Council and reading, writing the meeting materials and having a lot of difficult conversations and making decisions to try to improve access to subsistence users in the region. So, thank you for your time. And till next time, winter.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Thank you. Any other comments before we close out?

MR. BARGER: I'll make a motion to adjourn.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Motion to adjourn.

UNIDENTIFIED: Second.

CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Seconded. Do we have a justification? Jenysa's hungry, alright.

UNIDENTIFIED: Question

UNIDENTIFIED: Question.

```
1
                    CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: Question has been
    called for. All those in favor of adjourning, say aye.
 4
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRPERSON FRANTZ: All those opposed?
 7
 8
                     (No response)
 9
10
                    Hearing none. Meeting adjourned. Thank
    you. Thank you to everybody online. If you're still
11
    there, and we are adjourned.
12
13
14
                     (Off record)
15
16
                    (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

| 0001 | 48 |
|------|---|
| 1 | CERTIFICATE |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| | T Defect Manel for Linkthone Tutometed |
| 6 | I, Rafael Morel, for Lighthouse Integrated |
| 7 | Services Corp, do hereby certify: |
| 8 | |
| 9 | THAT the foregoing pages numbered $\underline{1}$ through |
| 10 | 147 contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the |
| 11 | NORTH SLOPE SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL |
| 12 | MEETING, VOLUME II recorded on the 17th day of September; |
| 13 | |
| 14 | THAT the transcript is a true and |
| 15 | correct transcript requested to be transcribed and |
| 16 | thereafter transcribed by under my direction and reduced |
| 17 | to print to the best of our knowledge and ability; |
| 18 | |
| 19 | THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or |
| 20 | party interested in any way in this action. |
| 21 | party interested in any way in this action. |
| 22 | DATED at Isabela, Puerto Rico this 3rd |
| 23 | day of December 2025. |
| 23 | day of December 2025. |
| 25 | |
| | |
| 26 | Rafael Morel |
| 27 | Chief Project Manager |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |
| 33 | |
| 34 | |
| 35 | |
| 36 | |
| 37 | |
| 38 | |
| 39 | |
| 40 | |
| 41 | |
| 42 | |
| 43 | |
| 44 | |
| 45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47 | |
| 48 | |
| 49 | |
| 50 | |
| 50 | |