

Presentation Procedure for Proposals and Closure Reviews

- 1. Presentation of Draft Staff Analysis**
- 2. Public Comments Received during the Open Comment Period**
- 3. Report on Board's Consultations with Tribes and ANCSA Corporations:**
 - a. Tribes
 - b. ANCSA Corporations
- 4. Opportunity to Provide Agency & Tribal Comments:**
 - a. ADF&G
 - b. Federal Agencies
 - c. Tribes and ANCSA Corporations
- 5. Opportunity to Provide Advisory Group Comments:**
 - a. Other RACs
 - b. State Fish and Game Advisory Committees
 - c. Subsistence Resource Commissions
- 6. Summary of Written Public Comments** (*received prior to introduction of analysis; provided to Coordinator or via subsistence@ios.doi.gov*)
- 7. Public Testimony**
- 8. Regional Advisory Council Motion** (*motions are always made in positive*)
- 9. Regional Advisory Council Discussion and Justification** (**see question prompts on back*)
- 10. Restatement of Final Motion for the Record**
- 11. Council's Vote**

The following questions may help stimulate the Council's discussion and establish a justification for the record on the various types of fisheries and wildlife proposals and closures that come before the Council. Additionally, Councils may discuss any other relevant factors.

Proposals (Motion to Support, Support with Modification, Take No Action*, or Defer)

- Is the Council's recommendation supported by substantial evidence such as biological data, subsistence harvest and use data, and local or Indigenous knowledges?
- Will the recommendation allow for continuation of subsistence uses?
- Is the recommendation consistent with established fish or wildlife management principles?

Closure Reviews (Motion to Retain, Rescind, Modify, Take No Action, or Defer)

- Is the closure necessary for conservation of healthy fish or wildlife populations or to ensure continuation of subsistence uses?
- Should the closure apply to all users or only to non-federally qualified users?
- Is the Council's recommendation supported by substantial evidence such as biological data, subsistence harvest and use data, and local or Indigenous knowledges?
- Does this closure violate recognized principles of fish and wildlife management?

Customary and Traditional Use Determinations (Motion to Support, Support with Modification, Take No Action, or Defer)

- In what ways are customary and traditional uses evident, as documented in the analysis and/or demonstrated through local or Indigenous knowledges? The eight factors can be used as guidelines for understanding what constitutes customary and traditional use.

Section 804 Prioritization (Motion to Support, Support with Modification, Take No Action, or Defer)

- The OSM preliminary conclusion lists communities that would have a priority to harvest a resource during times of shortage based on Section 804 criteria.
- Are there additional communities that should have a priority to harvest this resource? In what ways do they meet the three criteria?
- Are there communities on the list that should not have a priority to harvest this resource? In what ways do they not meet the three criteria?

**Note: If a Council wishes to "defer" a crossover proposal or closure to the home region, the appropriate motion is "Take no action and defer to the recommendation of the home Council." Motions to defer mean to postpone making a recommendation until a future date.*