1	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR
2	In re:
3	Trust Land Consolidation Program
4	Regional Tribal Consultation
5	Regional Hilbar consultation )
6	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
7	Holidav Inn
8	Holiday Inn Billings, MT July 15, 2011
9	<b>,</b>
LO	
11	
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	DIOUADD A MATTOON ATD
23	RICHARD L. MATTSON, LTD., CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
24	816 Avenue F Billings, MT 59102 (406) 698-3163
25	(406) 698-3163

1	Bill Tovey
2	John Dossett240
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

08:02:34

Proceedings

SECRETARY SALAZAR: Good morning
everybody. Steve, how are you?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Great.
Welcome to Montana.

SECRETARY SALAZAR: I want to say at the outset, thank you all very much for coming. Thank you for the wonderful invocation this morning. Thank you for all of you who are here so very early in the morning at 8:15 as we start moving forward with the implementation of the Cobell settlement.

I want to say that there have been many people who worked on this matter for a very, very, long time. And for me when I became Secretary of Interior, one of the things that President Obama asked me to do was to make sure we were doing everything possible to turn a new page in the relationship between the United States and Native Americans. And so we have done that. The agenda that President Obama has for First Americans is one which is to make sure that we are fixing problems, but at the bottom line that we are treating the sovereign nations of the United States, the

First Americans, with respect and with dignity, and so the tribal consultation process is very much a part of that.

Our agenda, as we have worked on it now going on three years, has been to make sure that we are taking some of the most difficult issues and addressing them on behalf of the nation on behalf of First Americans. So it's been in that light that we have moved forward in an effort to try to create safer communities and to deal with the issues of crime and violence on reservations, and so with leadership of Senator Dorgan and others we were able to pass the Tribal Law and Order Act, we have a major initiative within the Department of Interior with our sister agencies and BIA to reduce the level of violent crime in reservations across the country.

We worked very hard on behalf of education with Assistant Secretary Larry Echo Hawk and Keith Moore trying to invest more in what's happening with Indian schools around our country. We are proud of the work we have done there, but we know we have a lot more work to do.

08:26:29

7

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

08:26:41 10

11

12

13

1 4

08:26:54 15

16

17

18 19

08:27:04 20

21

22

24

08:27:17 25

2.5

08:28:19

In the arena of economic development, we obviously, when you look at the state of the economy and most of the reservations around the country, there is no doubt they have been in the kind of conditions that you would characterize as a permanent kind of depression when you start looking at unemployment rates that are 30 and 40 percent. I know the Crow have about a 45 percent unemployment rate. So when you start looking at those unemployment rates, you know how much we have to do in economic development.

We worked very hard to address issues of Indian water rights, which really have been cases that have been around and litigated for decades, in some cases 50 years, as happened with several of the cases here in Montana, and as a result of the leadership of David Hayes, we have been able to do a lot to try to resolve some of these significant water cases. Later today we will be on the Crow Reservation with Mike Connor, the Director of the Bureau of Reclamation, the Commissioner of Reclamation, we will actually be taking the first steps in the transfers of monies and making the Crow

water rights settlement a reality.

So all those things that we work on, and they all fall under the umbrella of the President's directive to all of his cabinet, and what we do is to work to empower First American communities and we treat them with dignity and respect and we turn the page to a new beginning, which was reflected very much in the prayer and invocation this morning. I'm proud of the work that we have done up to this point in time. Recognizing, of course, 400 years of history is not turned in a matter of a two and a half years. I feel proud of what we have done, but I feel very proud also of the recognition that we have a long ways to go in so many ways. This new chapter and this new book, if you will, is only on chapter one. There are many more chapters to be written.

One of those new chapters to be written is a chapter related to Cobell and the whole issue of fractionation. And that's what this tribal consultation here is today, and you'll be hearing from a number of different people who will talk about that. But really the purpose of today's meeting, the first of

23

24

2.5

08:29:35

the consultations on Cobell, is to hear from all of you on the draft strategic plan which David and his team have put together.

08:30:03 10

08:29:49

08:30:15 15

08:30:27 20

08:30:42 25

I want to just give a shout-out to a few people who are here. You know, in the Indian Affairs Section of the Department of Interior, and for a long time an inspired administration even before, it was pretty much times when there would be great absences in leadership of the assistant secretary's office, and I'm proud today to say that we have some of the very best people in the United States of America working in that part of the department. Larry Echo Hawk is a wonderful champion, a Pawnee, who loves the issues of First Americans, who works on their behalf every single day.

And he's ably assisted by Mike Black, who is here as the head of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, and who has done so much in so many ways. I remember him coming into my office about a year and a half ago and I said, why aren't we processing applications that we have pending before us on lands into trust. Right now because of Mike's leadership and Larry Echo

08:30:54

08:31:08 10

08:31:19 15

08:31:30

08:31:43 25

Hawk's support we are probably doing 10,000 percent more than we have ever done before. It's because the machinery of government is beginning to work once again.

Then Jodi Gillette, those of you have not gotten to know Jodi, I want you to get Jodi, because she really is a star not only with within the play house but a star within the Department of Interior. I'm so very proud of her and how much she has put into the many issues of the Department of Interior because Cobell is only one of things that she works on.

And on this particular matter she has worked very closely with Meghan Conklin, and the two of them are the co-leads in the Cobell implementation program working very closely with David Hayes.

And on Indian affairs, continuing just a little more, Ray Joseph, the Office of Special Trustee, is a very important office, an office that has lots of difficult issues to deal with. But Ray has already made a tremendous difference in terms of dealing with issues of the special trustee and also getting ready for the Cobell litigation.

2.5

08:32:42

And I can't help but mention Mike
Connor once again. He truly is, I believe, the
greatest Commissioner of the Bureau of
Reclamation. And it doesn't matter whether we
are in Arizona or California or here in Montana
or the Dakotas, he finds ways to solve problems.
And I'm so very proud of him.

Kallie Hanley, who is a part of our team and who has been helping put all these together.

And then Hilary Tompkins and Mike
Berrigan. Hilary from the Solicitor's office.
Hilary is the first Native American to ever
serve as the Solicitor General for the
Department of Interior. And I was proud when
the President and I selected her to be in that
position because it's important for us to have
some of the nation's First Americans. She is
Navaho, working in areas also that are not just
Indian affairs. She does her work as the
solicitor for the department across the board
in all the issues we face, and I'm very, very
proud of her.

And I also just want to make a quick shout-out to our Montana senators and a few

others who are not here, but without Jon Tester we would not have been able to have gotten this work done on Cobell and on the water rights settlement.

And without Max Baucus here in Montana, who basically was able to work in a bipartisan way to find the funding to implement these settlements on water rights and on Cobell we would have failed. We came close. We had this thing almost blow up in December of last year, but we somehow were able to bring it across the finish life. So these two senators are wonderful leaders and people who I have a tremendous amount of respect for.

I want to say, just a finally before I turn it over to David Hayes, a word about David. Without David -- he is a Deputy Secretary of Interior. I remember my first knowledge about David was back in President Clinton's administration when there was a meeting down in Arizona and the Native American community was very upset with Secretary Babbitt because no progress had been made on any of the Indian water right settlements. And I remember having a conversation with Secretary Babbitt at

08:32:54

08:33:06 10

08:33:17 15

08:33:31 20

08:33:46 25

the time, and Secretary Babbitt said, I have brought a person who can fix things, a person who is about results and who gets things done. And it has been my honor for the last two and a half years to work with David as the Deputy Secretary of Interior on both the water rights issues as well as Cobell. I can tell you it would not have happened without him. were many people who told us as we started work on the case and on the negotiations with Cobell and the plaintiffs, that it couldn't be done; that the best thing for us was to continue to litigate this case, which had been in litigation for 15 years, which essentially it caused my predecessor, Secretary Gale Norton, to take down the painting of Navaho breaking camp from behind the Secretary's desk and shove it into a closet somewhere in the basement. But David was not to be deterred by that David said, we can solve this history. problem.

So with his great leadership working with the court, working with the plaintiffs, and many meetings, that he and Hilary Tompkins and Elouise Cobell, we finally were able to get

08:35:04

08:35:15

08:35:28 15

08:35:42 20

08:35:54 25

it across the finish line.

But once we had the agreement then we also had to work very hard with the White House and with the Congress to get it to the point where we have the \$3.4 billion dollars that is already appropriated. So we don't have to worry about that money being taken back. It will help us in the implementation of the Cobell settlement.

And finally, the President himself, this is an issue which he very much cares about. He's aware of the Cobell case, he's aware of its history, and without his push and the push of his people like Pete Rouse to get the Cobell settlement done, it would not have got ten down. So it's a \$3.4 billion dollar deal, \$1.9 billion which will deal with the fractionated interests which we are addressing here today. The remainder of the money will go out to the individual plaintiffs in the case.

And then there's a \$60 million dollar education fund for education for Native

American people that will also be set up as a part of this process, and David will get a little more into the details.

08:36:09

08:36:23

08:36:39

08:36:55 20

08:37:10 25

So with that, it is my honor to introduce to you the Deputy Secretary of the Department of Interior, David Hayes. Give him a round applause for all his great work.

(Applause.)

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: I will talk from sitting here, if that's all right. It's early this morning. We are vicious at the Department of Interior. We believe in early getups.

So let me first thank the Secretary for his overly generous remarks, which is typical of our Secretary. I think the fact that the Secretary of the Interior is here today, this morning, kicking off the first consultation meeting on Cobell, demonstrates his personal commitment to Indian country, to righting the wrongs of the past, to addressing these issues in a forthright manner. The Secretary does not run away from issues, he runs toward issues.

And on this particular matter the Secretary's personal involvement was absolutely essential to addressing this long standing problem of trust administration in Indian

2.5

08:38:27

country. You know the history. Very, very difficult litigation, high emotions, and rightly so. Indian Country had a lot of reasons to be unhappy with the federal government and the way that traditionally the federal government had administered trust assets on behalf of individual Indians, on behalf of tribes.

Rather than continue with the status quo, we had our phalanx of litigators, teams of litigators going into court, having that standoff that had occurred for 15 years. The Secretary said, let's solve this problem. He was personally involved in working with the judge, with the lead plaintiffs, with Elouise Cobell, at critical times entering the room and making things happen. We are fortunate to have him as our Secretary of the Interior. Let's give Ken Salazar a round of applause.

(Applause.)

As the Secretary mentioned, this consultation meeting, this government-to-government consultation meeting, is to hear from you as tribal leaders. I will give a short review of some of the key elements of the

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

08:38:52

7

9

11

08:39:06

12 13

14

15 08:39:19

16

17 18

19

20

08:39:38

21 22

23

24

2.5 08:39:51

Cobell implementation challenge that we face and opportunity that we face. And then we will look forward to your input as tribal leaders.

I want to thank at the outset the leadership in particular of Meghan Conklin and Jodi Gillette who have been working with a team at the department to prepare for this We made a decision that we consultation. wanted to have the best government-togovernment consultation we could. We thought the best way to do it would be to put together some thoughts we have on how to implement this land consultation program, and then get your We will not move forward until we have completed these consultations and taken Indian Country's views into account.

We are also very fortunate to have in particular Mike Black here and Ray Joseph, leadership of BIA and OST, whose organizations will be essential to implement this land consolidation program. And Mike, you know, he sent 17 years here in Billings. I think he wanted to have this consultation here just so he could get home. So we accommodated him, because we are going to get a lot of work out

2.5

08:40:48

08:41:01

of this guy when it comes to the consultation, or, rather, the implementation program.

All right. We have a few slides. I will run through the slides sprightly, and then we'll look forward to your input.

So some basic background. As you all know, the settlement was approved by Congress in November of 2010. We were all celebrating that. It took more than a year to get it through Congress and it was immediately signed by the President thereafter on December 8th, that should be 2011.

I'm sorry, it is 2010. The settlement itself was approved by Congress on November 10th, what I'm saying is we struck the deal with the plaintiffs a year before that. It took a full year to get through Congress.

Now, there are two major components to the \$3.4 bill dollar settlement that the Secretary referenced. First, is the \$1.5 billion dollar fund for class members to compensate them for historical accounting trust fund and asset mismanagement claims.

And the second is what we are focusing on today, the \$1.9 billion dollar fund

for the voluntary buy-back and consolidation of fractionated land interests.

The \$1.5 billion dollar fund, for those of who have individual trust accounts, you have been communicated with by the court and by the plaintiffs to identify what your potential payout associated with that \$1.5 billion dollar fund. That is being handled by the court and by the plaintiffs under the It's not being handled by court's supervision. the Department of the Interior. So we are not administering that, that's not what this consultation is about. The court has a formula for issuing those payments, and that money will begin flowing once the settlement is finalized. And if we can move to the next slide, we can see -- we'll deal with that in a couple more slides.

In addition to the \$1.9 billion dollars, part of that \$1.9 billion dollar settlement, an important point is that there is an incentive for individuals who have fractionated ownership interests, there's an incentive that is going to be built in that if you sell that individual interest back to the

08:41:18

6 7

1

2.

3

4

5

8

08:41:37 10

11 12

13

14

08:41:55 15

16

17 18

19

20

08:42:12

21

23

24

08:42:30 25

08:43:42

2.5

federal government and it gets turned over to your tribe, we will be depositing money into an account for scholarships for American Indian and Alaskan native youth, up to \$60 million dollars are going to be provided through this settlement for scholarships.

Next slide.

All right. Here is the status of the settlement. This is moving along well. In December of last year Judge Hogan granted preliminary approval of the settlement. That's what kicked off the outreach that those of you who are class members have been getting over the last several months regarding the potential payments under the class action.

And there was an opportunity for folks to object to the settlement, and Judge Hogan, just a few weeks ago on June 20th, had a long hearing called a fairness hearing. At the end of the hearing, he determined, he ruled, that this settlement is fair. He approved the settlement.

Now, we are awaiting for that settlement to formally become final. Once it's final, funds become available and we can

08:43:57 5

08:44:08

08:44:21 15

08:44:38 20

08:44:52 25

actually implement it. We are waiting to see whether Judge Hogan's ruling will be appealed. If it is appealed, we have to wait for the appeal to run before we can implement this settlement. So we are uncertain right now about whether we are going to be able to move ahead this fall or we are going to have to wait for those appeals to run, which could take a number of additional months before we get underway.

Next slide, please.

So we are focusing with this consultation on the land consolidation program. Here are a couple key features. First of all, the settlements, land consolidation program, tracks existing authority that's set forth in the Indian Land Consolidation Act. And the purpose of the program is described very straightforwardly here, and let me read this, because this is what we are all about: To acquire as many fractionated interests as economically feasible and to consolidate these land interests into tribal ownership to promote and enhance tribal self-determination, economic, social, and cultural development

needs.

You know what the problem is, that so many parcels of land have become over the years highly fractionated, and individual Indians may have a very small slice of a piece of land, which as a practical matter makes that land unusable often, or at least certainly not optimally usable. The idea here is to provide an opportunity voluntarily for those individual landowners to get fair value for that slice of land, to help Indian scholarship money as an additional incentive, and then to provide those parcels, those fractionated interests, to the tribe, so that it can be used for the good of the community. The tribe needs to get 50 percent of the fractionated interests, have control, so that then the land can be used for tribal interests for the whole community, for a health clinic, for a school, for development, instead of being frozen because of the joint ownership.

Next slide, please.

The law is, as it should be, quite directive about how these funds can be used, the \$1.9 billion dollars. The primary purpose

08:45:08

6 7

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

9

08:45:29

11

12

13 14

08:45:49 15

16

17

18 19

08:46:07 20

22

23

21

24

08:46:20 25

1

3

4

5

08:46:41

6

8

9

7

08:46:54 10

11

12 13

14

15

\_

08:47:09

16

17

18

19

08:47:26 20

21

22

23

24

08:47:44 25

is to acquire those fractionated interests.

And there are funds for administrative costs relating to the acquisitions, but that's limited to 15 percent total of the \$1.9 billion. As I mentioned, for every land sale a portion will be set aside for the scholarship fund.

Finally, you should note, and the Secretary will be happy to expound on this, there is a related effort that the Secretary has kicked off, a secretarial commission on trust reform, that will be gearing up as soon as the settlement is finalized as well, we can use some funds to support that purpose. just in a quick nutshell, what that's about is the Secretary's view that we are not done in fixing the trust problem that we have as the United States as trustee, and the Secretary is going to be appointing a five-member commission from Indian Country to help advise him on going forward how best to administer trust assets. how best to use BIA, whether to continue with OST, administratively how best to be more service oriented when it comes to and responsible when it comes to administering

trusts.

08:47:54

Next slide, please.

Okay. Now we are getting to the meat of it, and just a couple more minutes. We've had some internal discussions in anticipation of our discussions with you as tribal leaders to figure out, and give us a sense of how best to implement this land consolidation program. Our internal team, while we've been waiting for the settlement to go final, has had a lot of discussions about how to do this. We've identified several guiding principles to move forward with the program. And we want your input on all of these.

Next slide, please.

So potential guiding principles. Our sense is that this land consolidation program should serve multiple goals. Goal No. 1 is perhaps the most obvious, to reduce land fractionation in highly fractionated areas. In other words, get as much bang for the buck as we can for those tribes that have very highly fractionated lands. And this is not an evenly distributed problem in Indian Country.

Actually, here in the plains states you have

20

2.5 08:50:27

the highest concentration of highly fractionated lands. So one proposed goal is to tackle that, which would suggest a heavy concentration of effort and money in this region, frankly, as opposed to other regions where the fractionated issue is not as significant.

Goal No. 2 is to implement a plan that is time and cost efficient, which might be a little bit different, but complementary. Under the Indian Land and Consolidation Act there already has been, as many of you know, a lot of effort to identify landowners who may be willing to sell their fractionated interests. We've had a very small program, with very few So we have not had the ability to take advantage of those expressions of interest. And some tribes have been working with BIA when Mike was the regional director here, he was very involved in this; some tribes have been working to do the appraisal process to move forward: and there's a cadre of folks who are ready to go. And now we will have the money, and perhaps we should focus on that group as a priority and start moving the money out and

taking advantage of that work that's been done.

A third goal, again, these not necessarily in conflict at all, but different points of view, we should focus on consolidating lands in areas of tribal preference, and that's what these consultations are all about, and a major purpose of this program, of course, is to be responsive to tribal government. And so the extent, for example, that a tribal government believes that certain parcels of highly fractionated lands, if they could be subject to this program and the tribes could get control of those lands, would be particularly important for the tribe and for the sake of the whole community, because they are in a very important spot on the reservation where development can occur, or whatever.

So those are three different goals, and we think it's useful to think about and to get tribal input on your views on how we should prioritize moving ahead among these goals. the rest is all really just a further explanation of these goals.

Please go back to goal No. 1, very

08:50:43

7

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

11

08:50:58

12

10

13

14

15

08:51:13

16

17

18 19

20 08:51:27

21

22

23

24

25 08:51:47

quickly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

08:51:59

08:52:16

08:52:32

08:52:45

08:52:58

So reducing land fractionated in highly fractionated areas, should we prioritize highly fractionated lands, should we target individual Indian money account owners who may have interests in several different parcels. If we focus on that, we may get the fractionated interest issue addressed more quickly. Should we target landowners having the most number of purchasable interests and see how that falls out, or the most number of In other words, with the goal to tracts. reduce land fractionation in highly fractionated areas, there are several ways to go about it. You know, you can try to identify individuals that have interests in several parcels, you can focus on the parcels that have many owners, it's a complicated sort of calculus.

Goal 2, the time and cost efficient, this is what I was talking about, should we target lands that require minimum prep work prior to offerings being made because it works. Also, where there's landowner consent where the work has been done, or target tracts of the

largest interest per owner so you don't have to deal with as many owners in order to get the results and get the lands into a non-fractionated situation.

Finally goal 3 is tribal preference. Should we be focusing first or foremost on tracts identified by tribes and perhaps tracts that the tribes identify as having the most economic opportunity or otherwise having the most benefit for tribal government.

Is that all the slides? There might be one other slide. Is that it?

Here we are. Next step. We are here to get your input on these issues. We want to develop the land consolidation program, and while we've done some initial thinking as you can tell, we are very much in the phase of wanting your input, so that we can come up with a plan after these consultation meetings that will be responsive to your needs as tribal leaders. And, of course, the interests of the individual landowners that were the subject of this Cobell litigation.

So with that, I'd like to turn it back to the Secretary to begin the

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

08:54:37

7

9

08:54:56

12

13

14

15

08:55:23

16

17

18

19

20 08:55:34

21

22 23

24

2.5 08:55:48

government-to-government consultation.

SECRETARY SALAZAR: Thank you very much, David, for that great overview. And let me at this point, I think we have a facilitator, I think it would be useful -- Ms. Facilitator, come up here. I think it would be very useful to go around the table and have the great leadership of America's First Nations who are represented here go ahead and introduce themselves.

I'm going to have the tribal representatives go around the table and tell us, again, I know many of you because I have worked with many of you in the past, but tell us your name and the tribe, and then we will get into the substantive comments later on. Τf Jon Tester comes in before we have to go out to Crow, we will have him at that point in time go ahead and comment.

Tracy, why don't we start with you and then we'll just go around the table.

MR. TRACY "CHING" KING: Good morning, Mr. Secretary. My name is Tracy "Ching" King. I'm the President of the Fort Belknap Assiniboine and GrosVentre Tribes just

	1	north of here, and I do have counsel in the
	2	audience.
	3	MS. ROXANN SMITH: Good morning. My
	4	name is Roxann Smith, I'm the Vice Chairman for
08:56:05	5	the Fort Peck Tribes, and I have some counsel
	6	here as well.
	7	MR. RICK KIRN: Good morning. My
	8	name is Rick Kirn, I'm a Tribal Council member
	9	of the Fort Peck Assiniboine and Sioux Tribe.
08:56:14	10	MR. PETER DUPREE: My name is Peter
	11	Dupree. I'm with the Fort Peck Tribes Tribal
	12	Council.
	13	MR. MIKE JANDREAUX: Mike Jandreaux,
	14	Chairman of the Lower Bruel Tribe.
08:56:24	15	MR. WILLIE SHARP: Willie Sharp,
	16	Chairman of the Blackfeet Tribe, and have
	17	counsel here with me.
	18	SECRETARY SALAZAR: How is Elouise
	19	doing?
08:56:45	20	MR. WILLIE SHARP: Good.
	21	SECRETARY SALAZAR: Hanging in there,
	22	fighting the good fight?
	23	MR. WILLIE SHARP: Yes, hanging in
	24	there.
08:56:49	25	MR. ORVILLE ST. CLAIR: Good morning.

	1	My name is Orville St. Clair, I represent the
	2	Eastern Shoshone Tribe from the Wind River
	3	Reservation in Wyoming. Thank you.
	4	MS. LILLIAN WANNA: Lillian Wanna,
08:57:07	5	I'm with the Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate, from
	6	Sisseton, South Dakota. I'm their realty
	7	specialist.
	8	MR. WOODROW WELLS: I'm Woodrow J.
	9	Wells for the Blackfeet Tribal Business
08:57:21	10	Council.
	11	MR. JAY ST. GODDARD: Jay St.
	12	Goddard, Blackfeet Tribal Business Council,
	13	also Montana-Wyoming Tribal Leader Chairman and
	14	also Idaho.
08:57:33	15	MS. MAJEL RUSSELL: Good morning, I'm
	16	Majel Russell, and I'm here representing the
	17	three affiliated tribes.
	18	MR. BUD MORAN: Good morning. I'M
	19	Bud Moran, Chairman of the Salish Kootenai
08:57:52	20	Tribes.
	21	MS. TERESA WALL McDONALD: Good
	22	morning. I'm Teresa Well McDonald. I work for
	23	the Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes. I
	24	provide council support and I'm also the Acting
08:58:04	25	Tribal Lands Department head.

	1	MS. DONNA FISHER: Good morning. I
	2	am Donna Fisher, and I represent the Ashland
	3	District for the Northern Cheyenne Tribe.
	4	MS. JENNIE SMALL: I'm Jenny Small.
08:58:15	5	I'm a Tribal Council member with the Northern
	6	Cheyenne Tribe.
	7	MR. ALEC SANDCRANE: Good morning.
	8	I'm Alec Sandcrane, I represent the Northern
	9	Cheyenne Tribe, Birney District, Tribal
08:58:26	10	Council.
	11	MR. ROBERT CAMPBELL: Good morning.
	12	Santee Sioux Nation, Tribal Treasurer,
	13	representing Chairman representing Chairman
	14	Roger Shell.
08:58:36	15	MR. GEORGE SCALPCANE: Good morning.
	16	I'm George Scalpcane, Northern Cheyenne Tribal
	17	Council and member of the land committee.
	18	MR. JACE KILLSBACK: Good morning.
	19	Jace Killsback, Northern Cheyenne Tribal
08:58:51	20	Council, chairman of our land committee.
	21	Welcome to Montana.
	22	MR. JIM FIELDS: Good morning. Jim
	23	Fields, Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma.
	24	MS. VENETTA CLARK: Treasure for the
08:59:04	25	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe.

MR. VERNON IKE SCHMIDT: Good 1 I'm Vernon Ike Schmidt, I'm the 2 morning. official representative for the Rosebud Sioux 3 Tribe, representing Rodney Bordeaux, President. 4 I'm the Executive Director for Tribal Land 5 08:59:15 Enterprise. 6 MR. ROBERT COURNOYER: 7 Good morning, Mr. Secretary. My name is Robert Cournoyer, 8 I'm the chairman of the Ancient Yankton Sioux 9 Tribe. 10 08:59:29 MR. RODNEY MORRIS: Good morning Mr. 11 Secretary, and I want to say thank you to the 12 word of prayer given this morning to start the 13 day with our consultations here, and today I 14 15 come along with my grandsons and also my 08:59:42 brother here Joe Harlan, he's our realty 16 department with Omaha Tribe. Right now my 17 position is I sit on the Omaha Tribal Council 18 member. 19 Good morning. 20 MR. RAY JOSEPH: Mγ 08:59:58 name is Ray Joseph, I work for OST. 21 MR. MICHAEL BERRIGAN: Good morning. 22 My name is Mike Berrigan, I'm the Associate 23 Solicitor, Division of Indian Affairs. 24 MS. JODI GILLETTE: Jodi Gillette, 2.5 09:00:24

Deputy Secretary for Indian Affairs. 1 MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Hello. T'm 2 Meghan Conklin, I'm Associate Deputy Secretary 3 at the Department of Interior. It's an honor 4 to be here with all of you today. 09:00:33 5 DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: I'm David 6 Hayes, I work for Ken Salazar. 7 SECRETARY SALAZAR: And I'm Ken 8 Salazar, and I work for all of you, including 9 David Hayes. 10 09:00:45 DIRECTOR MICHAEL BLACK: I'm Michael 11 Black, Director of the BIA. 12 MR. JIM SHAKESPEAR: Good morning. 13 Thank you. My name Jim Shakespear and I'm 14 15 actually the Chairman of the Northern Arapahoe 09:00:52 Tribe. 16 SECRETARY SALAZAR: Why don't we turn 17 it over to you Stacie, and you lead us through 18 our program. 19 20 MS. STACIE SMITH: Great. I'm going 09:01:04 to take a moment to walk us through a little 21 bit of the process overall for these 22 consultations and particularly for our day. 23 My name is Stacie Smith, I'm just 24 helping out as a facilitator here. I work for 2.5 09:01:14

09:01:26 5

09:01:38 10

09:01:49 15

09:02:03

09:02:15 25

an organization called for the Consensus
Building Institute. We are based out of
Boston, Massachusetts. I have a couple quick
slides.

This is the first of six regional consultations. The dates and the locations of the other five consultations were announced yesterday. I want to make sure everybody is aware of what they are. There's also information in your packet, so you don't need to write this all down now, but I wanted to make sure that that this is out there for everyone to see.

Next slide.

I also wanted to highlight the written that written comments can be sent or faxed to Michelle Singer's office. Michelle is in the back here and she's collecting all of the comments, written comments, in addition to all of the oral comments that are being collected at these sessions. So if you go home after this and you think of a couple other things you'd like to say, please feel free to write those down and send them in and they will being equally incorporated.

09:02:28

09:02:44 10

09:03:00 15

09:03:19

09:03:34 25

Next.

Okay. So just to quickly review the agenda for today. As you've heard, we've had some opening remarks, and we'll have I think one or two more, and then the rest of the day is really devoted to tribal leaders and to tribal representatives, to landowners, to tribal organizations and associations, members of the public, to really give your input to the department and to have some conversation and dialogue with the department about your concerns, your interests, your ideas on these goals and prioritizations, as well as other issues about the implementation of this land consultation, this land consolidation program.

So what we are going to move to next is asking the tribal leaders at the table to go around and give some preliminary thoughts from what you heard, what you've read about the goals and strategies that were outlined by Deputy Secretary Hayes, and because we have the Secretary here only for a short time this morning, we are going to ask first to go around with some very brief remarks by each of the representatives so that we are sure that

everybody gets a chance to speak before the Secretary has to depart at about 10 o'clock. So before our break, we are going to do kind of just two or three minutes each. And then after a break we'll go around again and have an opportunity for more in depth conversation between the tribal representatives, tribal leaders, and the members of the department who are here to speak more about the program.

After that we'll move to additional comments on any other aspect of the land consolidation process that you might want to speak about to more comments about the goals, if you'd like to make those then. And we'll try and really do all of that before lunch.

And lunch will be on your own.

There's information at the reception desk about restaurants nearby. There's always a restaurant here at the hotel and we've let them know to expect our group to be coming around that time.

We'll come back after lunch and then we will have an opportunity for all of the other members of the public, members of tribes, members of associations and organizations that

3

4

5

6

09:05:02

7

8

9

09:05:12 11

12

13

10

14

15 09:05:26

16

17 18

19

20 09:05:38

21

22

23

24

25 09:05:49

are here that would like to speak and make their comments. Those are equally important to the department as landowners, as people who are affected by what happens. So the afternoon will really be devoted to making sure that we get all of that input and all of those comments.

Next slide.

I just want to talk for a couple quick meeting guidelines. It's helpful, we have a lot of people, and people have a lot of things to say. So we are going to try to share the floor, be as concise as possible in your comments. Some of these issues are very -people have very strong feelings about them, so as much as possible to be respectful to each other and try and be tough on the history, tough on the problem, but not tough on the individual people who are here. And to speak one at a time. We try to have as many microphones around here as we can. We also have some handhelds that we can pass around. So very simple rules.

A couple other things. If people can silence your cell phones, put them on vibrate,

2.5

09:06:58

your Blackberries. If you do need to take a call or respond to a text, if we could ask you to just step out of the room so that it doesn't disturb the group as a whole.

And the last thing I want to say is to walk you through what's in your packet.

There are packets of information that are being handed out at the reception. If you didn't get them, they are right over here at the table.

But in your packets you'll have an agenda for today; goes into a little more detail. Also, those draft goals and strategies that the Deputy Secretary went over. Those are in your packet, so you can open them and refer to them by number, by goal number, if that's helpful.

There's also a fact sheet that says a little bit about the distribution of the fractionation problem. And information about these additional consultations, as I said, is in there as well.

Lastly, at the end of your packet

Federal Register notice about the Commission on

Trust Administration and Trust Reform. We are

not going to focus on comments for that here,

but there's information in the back of there

2.5

09:08:36

that tells you where you can submit those comments. The department is now in a 30-day comment period on that. So you are welcome to enter those comments using the information that's in that packet. We wanted to make sure you had that in case you were interested in making those kinds of comments.

And with that I'm going to hand it back to you, our tribal leaders and representatives, to go around and make some brief comments what you've heard about these goals, these strategies, and your thoughts and your input. And we are going to try to keep this round kind of brief and take a break at ten o'clock, come back, and have a chance for more in depth comment.

So I don't know if we want to go around one more time so people all will get a chance to make comments.

MR. TRACY "CHING" KING: One of the issues is what is the administration cost that's going to be taken off the top of this money as far as looking at ways to better service the undivided interest. When I was on the council back in the '90s we were looking at

23

24

2.5

09:10:04

ways to get the undivided interest bought. Unfortunately, the BIA fought us because sometimes when you are outspoken they will try and hold you down or starve you out. Those kind of tactics don't work any more. I would like to have a plan where back in '96 I see in the packet of appraisals here in Billings of about an inch, inch and a half thick for Fort Belknap and the rest of the reservations were only not even a quarter of an inch. So really basically was an insult because a lot of our elders passed away trying to sell their undivided interest and give it to their grandchildren.

And I had a hard time because the administration then kind of held you hostage if you were kind of sort of outspoken. And so those tactics going to continue because back in '96 those undivided interests, there's probably doubled, tripled, and those kind of things I hate to see that -- I mean, there should be time lines, and what's the appraisal process for that. I'm hoping that we could -- you know, the BIA don't have to like me, but don't hold it against the people who are in need of

money. You don't have to like me, just work

MS. STACIE SMITH: We have a court reporter making a transcript of all of our remarks and it would be helpful for him to have

SECRETARY SALAZAR: Let's take one more comment and then I'll have Senator Tester. I think what I would like to do is to go around and hear from you so we actually are hearing from you as opposed to us spending 20 minutes responding to your question.

Tracy, you raised very good questions on administrative costs and the attitude of the So at the end your comments we'll try to respond to all of those, I think that's the best way trying to get the information from

Roxann, well take your comment, and then we'll come back to Senator Tester.

MS. ROXANN SMITH: Thank you.

My name is Roxann Smith, and I'm from the Fort Peck Tribes, I'm the Vice-Chairman. My mind was going everywhere as we are were

2.5

09:13:03

watching this power point. And prior to coming here one of my concerns was how the appraisal process is going to take place. At Fort Peck we have a lot of fractionalized land, as does most of our tribes. My concern is also not just for the appraisal of the surface land but also the minerals, because Fort Peck has oil deposits and coal deposits and we just want to make sure that we are not cheating any of our allottees.

I just wanted to make reference to the act that was passed without any tribal consultation, and I appreciate having this opportunity to have a consultation. It's that AIPRA Act that was passed without any tribal consultation in the past has directly affected many of our tribes, and because of that, land -- well, you all know the AIPRA act, I just wanted to refer back to that.

We have at Fort Peck a lot of culturally important land that's important to the Sioux Nation. We have an area up in our northern part of our reservation where Sitting Bull camped, and that land was land that was probably sold out by allottees because it was

09:13:24 09:13:42 09:13:57 09:14:12

2.

09:14:27 25

so far from the populated areas of our reservation. I would like to be able to see our tribe be able to buy that land back from the non-Indians, if possible. We've had land that was -- we had people that wanted to sell their land years and years ago because they needed to feed their children, and so they sold their land out to the non-Indians, and I would like to see us be able to purchase that land back, and that will be from the non-Indians.

Also, we want to be able to have our individual landowners be able to buy their land back as well, and my concern again is back to the appraisals.

I have a question on one of your slides, you said you were going to establish a committee on administrative trust, I wanted to ask the question of who will sit on that committee. I would like to see tribal representation on that committee.

That's what comes to mind right offhand here. I will pass it on.

SECRETARY SALAZAR: Thank you very much, Roxann.

At this point I'm going to turn it

over to Senator Tester. Let me just introduce him by saying I have known Jon Tester for a long time because he and I served in the U.S. Senate together, and there are very few people who know their state and who work as hard as he does on behalf of Montana who work in that crazy place called Washington, DC. But Jon Tester is back here every weekend with the community standing up for the people of Montana. And I can tell you that without his great leadership, we wouldn't have done the water rights settlements which are so important here to the State of Montana and to Indian Country. Without his great efforts and his push with a number of people in the U.S. Senate, including the leadership in the U.S. Senate, we would not have been able to get the Cobell settlement funded at over \$3.4 bill dollar mark. So the people in the State of Montana and Indian Country have a great friend in your United States Senator Jon Tester. SENATOR TESTER: Thank you, Ken.

Thank you, Secretary Salazar. And thanks all of you for being here today. Thanks for having me. As I walk in the door, I hear Ching

talking about if people don't like you. Ching, we all love you, okay?

Like many of you, I'm very, very proud to be here. This has been a long time coming. And we are here not only to celebrate a new beginning of land ownership in Indian Country, but to celebrate a new era of partnership. For too many years tribal, federal, state governments, worked against one another. But today we are here as partners working together to find new solutions to old problems.

I very much appreciate the good
Secretary of Interior, Secretary Salazar,
coming to Montana for this first event. This
is the first tribal consultation on creating
trust land consolidation program. His being
here shows that he is very serious about
upholding the government's trust responsibility
to the American Indians. I hope, Mr.
Secretary, the good ideas that you hear in this
room you are able to take back with you to make
a difference in any final action.

This effort will undoubtedly improve Montana but it is critical that all partners

09:15:50

09:16:05

09:16:15 15

09:16:28

09:16:42 25

09:16:55 5

09:17:08 10

09:17:22 15

09:17:35 20

09:17:53 25

involved work together with open lines of communication. Most importantly, I'm happy to see the tribal leaders and tribal members with everybody here that has a stake in all of this.

Your participation is critical for creating an initiative that improves the lives of individual tribal members and Indian Country overall.

And although she can't be here today, I think we should all stop and take a moment to recognize the work of Elouise Cobell. Without a doubt we would not be here today without her sustained hard work. Elouise demanded justice for more than a decade and she got it for all of us. I remember talking to Elouise when I was in the state legislature about her efforts to solve this problem. We owe her many thanks for her determination to make things right.

(Applause.)

As many of the people know in this room, after permanently reauthorizing the Indian Healthcare Improvement Act, settling the Cobell case was on the top of my priority list when I became a member, Montana's only member, of the Senate Indian Affairs Committee. I was

proud to work with my colleagues in the Senate 1 2 on both sides of the aisle to get it over the goal line, and needless to say Max Baucus 3 played an incredible role in all of this. But 4 I have to say, it is disappointing that this 5 09:18:05 settlement did not receive support from the 6 7 whole delegation. Although we asked for the legislation to approve the settlement, the 8 congressman was not there when we needed him. 9 10 and he voted against it when he had the opportunity to vote for it. That's 11 unfortunate, and there's something to be said 12 about accountability. But I'll leave that 13 accountability up to you. 14 15 This settlement is important for not

only addressing past mistakes, but equally as important for avoiding future mistakes. that's why we are here today to talk about creating a system that not only sounds good but one that works well on the ground. As you know in addition to the \$1.5 billion dollar fund that will compensate individual tribal members for past mistakes that the government made, the settlement also establishes a \$1.9 million dollar fund to buy back fractionated interest

23

24

2.5

09:18:47

09:19:38 20

09:19:55 25

of land, it creates a program to consolidate those interests, and places the land back into trust for the benefit of tribal communities. The program is important because it will allow tribes to use their land for economic development.

Since the allotment era of fractionated reservation lands and since the Indian Reorganization tried to put them back together, individual American Indians and tribes have struggled to use their land for their productive purposes. Fractionation resulted in multiple and in some cases hundreds of individual Indians owns a tiny piece of a parcel of land. The result was without constant -- without consent nobody, nobody, could do anything with it regardless of the potential that it may have. This program has the potential to change all of that.

But we have to implement it in the right way. Because although it has a potential to succeed in a very, very big way, it also has a potential to fail in a very big way. Got a lot of money on the line. People are watching. If we do it right, we will not only improve

22

23

24

2.5

09:20:59

1

2.

Indian Country but he we will build up a lot of trust and confidence. If we do it wrong and we waste money, we will take giant step backward.

The challenge for all of us is to look forward to the future and make decisions that will improve the lives of not only our generation but more importantly to improve the lives of our kids and grandkids. This settlement and this program gives us an opportunity to leave things a little better than the last generation handed over to us. I ask you to join me as a partner to recognize a incredible opportunity that this presents and rise to the challenge.

Once again, I want to thank you all for become being here. This is a great meeting. Secretary Salazar, thank you for being here. I look forward to hearing all the great ideas that will come out of this meeting today. Thank you all.

(Applause.)

SECRETARY SALAZAR: Rich.

MR. RICH KIRN: That's kind of a tough act follow here, Senator Tester.

First off, my name is Rick Kirn, I'm

a Tribal Council member for the Fort Peck
Assiniboine Sioux Tribes. Presently I'm the
Chairman of the Economic Development Committee
and I'm a past chairman of the land committee.
So I have a lot of issues with this
fractionation. We've been doing this for a
long time trying to defractionalize our
reservation.

Some of the problems that we have --well, first off, Senator Tester, we would like to thank you for all that you've done and all that you will do for the Indian Country and also for the State of Montana. We are behind you one hundred percent on everything that you do, and I just wanted to let you know that.

SENATOR TESTER: Thank you.

MR. RICH KIRN: And it's nice to have you home and it's nice to have everybody else here. It's really an honor to be able speak to such high respected leaders of our country. It is an honor to have you here and it's honor to be able to speak to you.

On the issue of fractionation, I hope that we don't just stop there, because fractionation is just one problem that we have

09:22:00 5

09:22:15 10

09:22:24 15

09:22:36

09:22:45 25

with the reservations. You know, back during the allotment era we lost a lot of our valuable and most productive lands through the sale of that and taken out of trust responsibility into fee status. And we need to get that land back also.

I notice in your plans here that you basically are targeting trust land, which is the easiest thing to do. We are not here to do the easiest thing, we are here to do the right thing, and it's going to be difficult, but we need to start purchasing back some of the fee lands also, you know, that was taken through attrition or just taken through sales of people who didn't really know what to do with their land back in the older days. But we need to deal with that issue also.

And we as a tribe, and I'm sure almost all the tribes in Montana and everywhere else are pretty responsible in taking care of the responsibilities of our people and of our tribe. We already have regulations on how to purchase that land back. We would be glad to share them with you. But we won't purchase any land back whether it be fee or trust unless we

2.5

09:23:43

can get controlling interest in it. There is no sense in owning land if you don't have the controlling interest in it. So we try to target land that we can either purchase the majority of it or take controlling interest of.

And we also purchase land that would pay itself back most readily. We have classified lands, and irrigated land as our highest priority. And then we go to dry farmland and then we go to pasture land. We do good outside that sometimes when it's a pasture or range unit that we can consolidate the land and make more money of off.

So we have a lot of suggestions on what to do. And I'm sure everything everybody else does here, too. And we are looking forward to working with you all in being able to some of those things forward to us.

Again, it really is a honor to be here and make those accommodations. Thank you.

MS. STACIE SMITH: Thanks to everyone. I just want to jump in briefly and say we do have a limited time with the Secretary. And I know everybody would like to have a chance to speak with him. So to try to

2.5

09:24:42

keep this round of remarks brief, and there will be lots more time as the day goes on to say more.

MR. RICH KIRN: Well, I beat that deadline.

SECRETARY SALAZAR: I think the comments so far have been very, very good and very, very helpful to me.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My colleague has already spoken, I will pass on.

MR. LARRY DeCOTEAU: Thank you. Μv name is Larry Code , I'm a tribal councilman with the Turtle Mountain Band Chippewa. We are one of the larger tribes in Northern Plains. We have over 30,000 members within our organization and we have the smallest land We have a little miserable 6 by 12 base. reservation with adjacent lands, you know. We are one of the only tribes in this country where instead of allotting lands adjacent to our reservation or on our reservation, they sent us to Montana, they certainty us to South Dakota, western North Dakota. So our tribe is scattered all over this country.

So we are having difficulties with

09:24:56

09:25:08

09:25:18 15

09:25:33 20

09:25:47 25

land. We cannot progress economically because we have no land period. We have a hell of a place to put a housing project. That's how bad our territory is.

And we have lands, like I say, in Montana and they are under the public domain. So they are not considered under this Cobell agreement, which is a treaty right. They have the same right as the people on the Turtle Mountain Indian Reservation do with the lands in Montana, the same rights. But yet they are left out of this Cobell agreement, which doesn't seem right to our tribe.

So that's why we are here to try to get this kind of thing straight because like I say we have land in Fort Peck, Fort Belknap, well have land on the Cheyenne River, Northern South Dakota. So these lands we have to get them involved with this Cobell agreement.

Because that's half of our lands. 33,000 acres with have in the Turtle Mountains. We another have 35,000 scattered within these three states. So if we don't get on this Cobell agreement with our lands, the Turtle Mountains don't like it. I thank you very much for your

time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

23

24

2.5

09:27:36

MR. MICHAEL JANDREAUX: Good morning. Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you. You know, the Cobell case, as far as our part of the country, has very negative and some positive aspects to it. The real idea of consultation, although there are many meetings scheduled for it, is kind of a nebulous ideal. You know, having served with my tribe for nearly 40 years now, I have listened to this word so many times and seen that it's kind of interpreted the way that is most justifiable for the administration or the Congress for government of the United States. The real meaning of this issue and how it impacts our tribes and the generations to come are not truly a part of what really becomes the end result.

So while all intent is good, and hearts are good, the realty of what happens and the impact of what happens are always things that go negative to our real development, to our real consolidation, and to the real infringement upon our rights and responsibilities of governing bodies of our own

people.

I would ask that the considerations that are brought out here today are not limited to those immediate problems, but are limited to the life of what will occur as a result of the final product.

Thank you.

MR. WILLIE A. SHARP: Mr. Secretary, I am Willie Sharp, Blackfeet Chairman. I want to thank you very much.

I am Willie A. Sharp, Chairman of the Blackfeet Tribe. We have several other councilmen here who will be talking on different topics. I know that the economic is one aspect that we deal with on our reservation. We have a large tracts of oil and gas that is hindered by the fractionation, and that's a real concern. There are several other areas I guess, and some of the other councilmen that will speak about them.

But I have a concern about some of the land we are talking about people, allottees, that own it here. I have a concern with like military, that we have military installations on the reservation that were

09:27:57

09:28:22

09:28:36 15

09:28:59 20

09:29:11 25

2.5

09:30:30

owned way back, the radar system or something. Those are now EPA field projects, I don't know when they'll ever get to them. We have county lands that were acquired but the county lands are no longer being used and we would like to see those returned back to the tribe, like airports and different tracts.

School district, school districts acquire land, people give them the land way back so they can have their kids in the community would have a place to go. We would like to see those returned back.

We have an issue with some of the other lands, like Glacier National Park, Lewis and Clark National Forest. Some issues of land there are real paramount in the usage and some of the returning back. Some of the allottees that were immersed into the Glacier National Park way back when, but there are tracts of land that are in the park now that used to belong to allottees. That's an issue.

We have the Helena series, we call them Big Sandy series, of way back when allottees were being allotted, there were large tracts of land outside the reservation, like

09:31:01 10

09:31:18 15

09:31:33

09:31:49 25

all the way down to Helena, you know, different parts. They were allotted to the female that married a nonmember, so those lands were out there, and they are scattered out there along the High Line.

We have a real issue with encroachment. And I'm not racist or anything, but we have large segment of Hutterites buying up large tracts of land, and they are competitive with us and we are at a disadvantage because they can collectively pool their resources together as a colony, whether it's from Canada or in the surrounding area. So that's an issue.

The other one is we have larger tracts of land being bought up by the Dalai Lama groups, and that's a real concern along our front there. We are afraid that once they buy them up they are going to subdivide them and sell them off as parcels. So it's in the area where some of our lands are real sacred along the Rocky Mountain front.

And, again, I would say an education of the allottees, because they don't really understand the fractionation aspects. They

think the tribes are stealing their land, this and that, so I would like to see something real positive in that area to bring awareness about how this impacts them to stem the flow of selling of land and fee patents, that type.

Again, I want to thank you. I don't want to take too much time. Thank you.

MR. ORVILLE ST. CLAIR: Thank you.
Thank you again, Mr. Secretary. Again, my name
Orville St. Claire. I represent the Eastern
Shoshone from the Wind River Reservation in
Wyoming.

You know, each of us around the table our reservations and tribes have a unique history with the United States government. Our story at Wind River started in 1863. We signed a treaty for 44 million acres of land. Five years later the United States government come back and basically said we want to renegotiate, but it really wasn't a renegotiation. You know how that story goes. So we now control two and a half million acres of land, which is trust and allotted land. The exterior boundaries of our reservation is 3.2 million acres of land, about 800,000 acres is fee land, and the rest

09:33:21 09:33:39 09:33:55 09:34:13

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

09:34:17

of that is federal either Bureau of Reclamation or some sort of other federal controlling agency.

The problem we are having down at Wind River is the encroachment, as the gentleman to my left has talked about, but it's from the state and county governments infringing upon our tax base. They currently impose a 7 and a half ad valorem property tax and a 6 percent severance tax on our oil production. Now, this is going to directly affect some of the lands that we are talking Because those lands, if we are to about. purchase fractionated interests of lands that are producing oil and gas, that's going to take off some of the revenue and affect some of the income stream from the state and county.

SENATOR TESTER: Orville, who is imposing the taxes, and is it on the reservation?

MR. ORVILLE ST. CLAIR: Yes.

SENATOR TESTER: Who is doing it?

MR. ORVILLE ST. CLAIR: Fremont

County.

SENATOR TESTER: Oh, the county government is.

09:34:28 5

09:34:42 10

1 4

09:35:01 15

09:35:12

09:35:30 25

MR. ORVILLE ST. CLAIR: County government, 7 and a half percent ad valorem property tax. We have been supporting the county and state ever since we started producing oil at Wind River. We have an ongoing discussion with the State of Wyoming right now on taxation. But we'll need the federal government's help when we come to that hurdle.

I guess I have two other concerns.

The other one is in the Rocky Mountain Region through the BIA there's a limited funding for appraisers. And I'm sure you're going to need appraisers to complete the task at hand.

We also are one of the few reservations that have an adjudicated water right through the Big Horn adjudication. So not only the tribes have ownership of water, the allottees also have ownership of water. So these fractionated interests will come along with a water right. Currently I don't think those water rights are being valued in the appraisal process, let alone the oil and gas, the sand and gravel. My reservation is a rich reservation when it comes to mineral

2.5

09:36:43

development, and I'm not sure they are being appraised in the right manner. So that's going to be some of our concerns at Wind River.

Thank you for allowing us to have this time with you.

MR. GERALD SMALL: My name is Gerald Small, tribal Council member at the Chippewa Cree Tribe in Rocky Boy. It's an honor to be here. I don't have questions yet.

MS. LILLIAN WANNA: Good morning.

It's going to be here with all of you this morning. My name is Lillian Wanna. I'm with the Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate from Sisseton, South Dakota. I guess we are one of the highest fractionated reservations in South Dakota.

But one of my questions, because we have a lot of our Canadian relatives who own land with us, and before our public law was passed in 1984, the non-Indian spouse, the non-enrolled children were allowed to inherit. So my question is, if we are going the buy land back from the Canadians, and there's is either in fee or restricted, whose responsibility would be to take those interests into trust for

our Oyate?

I read a lot of the material. It pertains to fee and restricted. On our reservation, I have been in realty for 38 years, we know our landowners basically. We were one of the first tribes to get FHA loans to purchase land. We have paid back all our FHA loans. In fact, our last loan, we have paid back four years earlier than we were supposed to.

I guess another question is, on the previous ILCA purchases, how does a tribe apply for a waiver? Our tribe, was not involved in the last purchase program, and some of the lands that were purchased we don't think should have been. Like they purchased, they called it lake shore, like half a mile, three-quarters of a mile from a lake, and paid this girl \$75,000. Now, the income off that we figure is going to take over 300 years because basically what it is is rocky pasture land. There is no lake shore. So when we called Wisconsin, and they never did get back to our chair chairman, on how do we apply for a waiver on some of those previous purchases.

09:37:02

09:37:19 10

09:37:45

09:38:05

09:38:23 25

09:38:39 5

09:38:56

09:39:17 15

09:39:33 20

09:39:52 25

And my other question is, the
Canadians are the fee interests, who will take
those into trust? At the time they are
purchased, is there going to be somebody, a
person there, to start the fee to trust
process? Because I don't know how all the
other county commissioners are that we all have
to deal with, but I bet you've never dealt with
commissioners like Roberts County in South
Dakota. One tract of land took us 13 years to
put into trust.

I'm thankful that the tribe is going to be involved this time from the appraised value to the documents to getting them recorded. The last time there was no tribal involvement and this time, you know, it's good to see that there will be tribal involvement in the purchase of these lands.

I guess those are my two biggest questions, is the fee to trust. You know, we do have a lady on staff with us who is helping the Canadians probate their land on our reservation. It's a long, slow process. Our Canadian relatives, you know, don't have the money to come from Canada to our reservation to

09:40:10 09:40:24 09:40:43 09:41:02

2.

2.5

09:41:14

get into court. So we have had a person now on for four years. It's working real well. The ones that we did get probated, some of them are interested in selling, some aren't. The ones that aren't, say this is the only ties to you, you know, our relatives at Sisseton. But when we do purchase from a Canadian, our fee non-Indian spouse, who puts that into trust for us?

Thank you.

SECRETARY SALAZAR: Thank you, Lillian.

MR. JAY ST. GODDARD: Good to see you again. Again, Welcome to Montana. Who started all this should really be here with us, but due to health issues she is home healing up. If it wasn't for her we wouldn't be at this table. She is Blackfeet. Elouise Cobell. And back in April lost another elder waiting for this day, that would be my mother, she supported Elouise a hundred percent. Prayed for her, called her every day and encouraged her. Along with other elders who passed on waiting for this to happen.

I want to echo the words that Tracy

1

2

King said about the BIA system. I hope it doesn't go back to that part of the process. We get bombarded C.F.R. books. Rulings. We have to go back and talk about these things we are going to lay out for our people. people back home that don't understand the issues that we are going to lay out for them. In laymen's terms, simple terms, none of these C.F.R. rules, you can and can't do. right now on Blackfeet we are still treated very bad by our administration, the deputy secretary, the new one there is the worst. She's given us a bad time and I don't want to see her run through that office. If we have to work through Billings, we will work through Billings. But right now our superintendent, our deputy secretary, treat Indians very bad, along with our leasing processes.

Right now they run off over a million dollars of business to ranchers. There are probably over 15, 20 ranchers went out of business because of those two individuals. And I say that openly. I've been addressing it since I got bark on the council and nothing is being done.

1

3

4

6

7

9

5 09:42:41

8

10 09:43:03

11

12 13

14

15 09:43:18

16

17 18

19

09:43:30

21

22

20

23

24

2.5 09:43:49

But with that said, the last land purchase issue you guys had, Velco or whatever, didn't work with a darn. We are still wondering where that money is at. How many lands got bought, the tribes didn't get to utilize that very much. As our chairman as stated, economically we are strapped, because it is the Interior's trust responsibility when it turns into trust. But what banks out there are going to lend tribes, lend individuals, because it's in trust land or on the reservation? These are the economic straps that we go through, because once it's in trust the banks don't want to touch it. We have to leave it in fee. But I guess there's hurdles that we've learned to get through and we will continue to do that.

Right now we talk about lands, there's four large ranches brought up by nonmember ranchers married to Indian women, they've established their lands, sold them off to nonmembers, and when can this money go towards Indian tribes such as the Blackfeet? Right now these ranchers, because we have no money, 80, 90 percent of the time there's a

09:45:03

process, we are the first right of refusal, but 80 or 90 percent of the time we don't have the money to buy these large lands, these pieces of ranches that these nonmembers are selling. We have to scramble, leave other ranches in fee so we can go to FHA to borrow the money to try to keep our lands base.

But also the five people you hire, I hope you look at tribes that have people and staff that know this process that can keep it simple so we can report it back to our membership. I was at the beginning, I give testimony back in DC with Elouise, along with attorneys before Dorgan, in front of Mr.

McCain, who supported the settlement. Elouise thought I was crazy because I said you better ask for us because we are going to cut us way down. That's what happened.

I would also like to know is this money going to be spent in ten years? And if not, where is it going to go? I hope it doesn't go to the administration.

But also, just out of respect, I know you guys hired a lady out of Baltimore to facilitate this, but I wish the tribal leaders

2.5

09:46:12

would have been contacted here in Montana and I think we could have facilitated this meeting very well. The tribal leaders understand each other and know where we come from. And I welcome all the other tribes from South Dakota and surrounding areas. It's going to see you all here.

But there's a lot more questions, hard questions, that need to be asked. This is going to be a long, lengthy process. But most of all our hope our allottees, our members, that are hoping for this money are not left out of the process, because they have concerns. To me \$800 is going to be gone in a week. One trip to Walmart, there goes a ten-year battle. It should have been more.

Thank you.

MS. STACIE SMITH: Everyone, I just want to remind you about time, if we can just ask one leader per tribe to speak in this first go around. We do want to try to get everyone a chance to speak to the Secretary.

MS. MAJEL RUSSELL: Good morning again. It's great to see you here, Senator, with this critical issue, Secretary Salazar,

Mr. Hayes, all the other dignitaries.

As I said earlier, I'm Majel Russell and I'm a member of the Crow Tribe and a landowner at Crow, part of the plaintiffs' class here. I'm also here today, though, speaking on behalf of the three affiliated tribes.

And I guess listening to all the tribal leaders, I think one of the key critical issues right at the forefront here is what are the objectives of DOI, and I think we looked at those a little bit, and what are the objectives of tribes? And think all the tribal leaders that have spoken so far have talked about true land consolidation. They want to restore their land bases; they want to undue the affects of the allotment act; they want to buy fee lands; they want to buy lands that they don't have money in their own revenue streams to purchase.

So I think if you look at true tribal land consolidation, today is a historic and incredible event because ever since 1934 this country has never put money into financing true land consolidation. You did have the ILCA project, but obviously those funds were very

09:46:28

09:46:38 10

09:46:51

09:47:04

09:47:22 25

09:47:34

09:47:45 10

09:47:56 15

09:48:08

09:48:20 25

limited and very restricted.

So now is a historic event and the tribal leaders are all here to express their concerns that they want true land consolidation. And is true land consolidation consistent with the objectives of the department? The Indian land consolidation program looked at closing accounts. It focused on interests that were 2 percent or less of a tract.

So what happened is, although you may have purchased a lot of those interests, tribes ended up owning little tiny fractionated interests. And there's a lien on those interests. They are not under tribal control.

So in this program I think it's going to be critical to rethink all of the law that supports the Indian land consolidation program. Are we going to focus on 2 percent or less interests? Can we buy fee land? Can we buy tracts that are really going to benefit tribes? If the tribe wants to have a energy project can they purchase the surface so that they can reach that mineral. There's a lot more to true land consolidation and to right the wrongs of

2

3

4

5

09:48:34

6

8

7

9

09:48:45 10

11

12

13 14

09:48:56 15

16

17

18 19

09:49:07 20

21

22

23

24

09:49:21 25

fractionation than focusing on 2 percent interests. So I think that's one big issue objective. And I will try to speak quickly.

The other thing is we are concerned about capacity. What is the capacity of the And, Mr. Hayes, I heard you say bureau? there's a cadre of people that are prepared to implement this program. The history of the Department of Interior is that you've never been able to spend more than \$30 million dollars in any given year purchasing fractionated land interests. Those interests still have a lien on them. You know, they are still under management of the BIA. The revenue is limited in order to satisfy those liens. Title work is not complete. They are still a lot of title issues on those small interests that were purchased.

So I think we have capacity issues that we need to think about. Is there really the ability to develop that capacity within the BIA? And can we do it timely? Can we do it within ten years? That means we have to spend \$200 million dollars on land purchases every year now for ten years in order to expend all

of this money. And the record of the bureau is difficult, and I was there as part of that record making. So I can say that with some knowledge.

Finally, I think that there are lots of challenges that we have to consider. I've heard the tribal leaders mention valuation. How are we going to value these lands? How are we going to value them timely? How are we going to value mineral interests? How are we going to value improvements that are sitting on these lands? There's lots of case law that addresses whether or not improvements are part of the trust property or not. So I think we've got valuation issues.

We've also got conflicts in the law. The settlement act says fair market value. But the law that is cited allows you to do some other things as an estimate of value. So how do we iron out the conflicts? Do we need to propose technical amendments to clean up basically some of the conflicts and make this process easier? So I think we do have a lot of those challenges that we also need to look at, and as the day goes on I have a lot more to

09:49:34 5

09:49:45 10

09:49:57 15

09:50:10

09:50:23 25

say about that.

think could be done.

2

presence here today and for this historic event

But I thank you very much for your

4 5

3

that we are all I guess encroaching. Thank

you.

09:50:34

7

6

8

9

11

09:50:52

12

10

13

14

09:51:07

16 17

15

18

19

20 09:51:21

21

22 23

24

2.5 09:51:35

MR. BUD MORAN: Senator Tester, Secretary Salazar, again, welcome to Montana. Welcome to Montana to all the tribal leaders. I'm Chairman Bud Moran from Flathead, Salish and Kootenai Tribes. We've been involved with the ILCA program that's ongoing right now. Since its conception, we put very small amount of acres in, we purchased a number of acres but it's been real small. Like Majel said, the work that you have to do to get some of these things process is tough, and should be streamlined a lot better. We have a number of comments that we are going to make on this There's different things that we program.

We appreciate the goals. We think the goals probably need to be looked at and maybe set in different order, because there are certain things that have to be done before number one goal could be done.

2

3

4

5

7

09:51:57

6

8

9

10 09:52:14

11

12 13

14

15 09:52:35

16

17

18 19

20

09:52:50

21

22

23

24

2.5 09:53:06

But anyway, we think there's modification of appraisals, and I think that's being talked about.

Then we talk about the probate laws, we adhere to, when a section of land is probated, in some cases it goes into fee interest, so we have to address those fee interests. We have to be allowed to buy those fee interests so we can have that piece of land. And tribal members have a right, they deserve the right, to face-to-face consultation on their property. We try to do that. We make every attempt at Flathead. We don't do things without the membership. And we are real glad that that's a process here, we are real glad we are talking to dignitaries and department. Majel and I have been involved in it, nothing against the department, but there are things that should happen at the onset. We should establish a goal in how this is going to operate, not something that we think it's going to operate in a certain way. There's a number of tribes that are very competent in operating their programs and we should allow them to operate it in a contract-to-contract manner.

2.5

09:54:27

And we should have projects SKT or SNI in Washington distributing some of this money, working with these programs so they know how the tribes operate. These professionals, they know how we can get this money spent for the betterment of the tribes. And I really support that.

We, the Flathead Tribes, has in the last two and a half years have put 52,000 acres into trust status, and that's probably as much as the department has totally in the bureau. Not saying the bureau is not doing the job on that, but doing the right job that we put a team together that can do that, and tribes on the ILCO program tribes can put their teams together and they get the same process. We just have to set the team up and set the requirements. Some modification on those requirements I think could take place.

Thank you very much.

SECRETARY SALAZAR: Thank you, Bud.

MS. JENNie SMALL: Good morning. My name is Jennie Small. But I will turn it over to our land authority chair, Jace Killsback. But I wanted to say one thing.

I would like to remind all of you that this process needs to be simple. We need to eliminate the bureaucracy, and I really appreciate all tribal leaders' comments. I, too, have the same concerns, but I am going to turn it over to our land authority chairman.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Welcome to Montana. I also will turn it over to our land authority chairman.

MR. ROBERT CAMPBELL: Robert
Campbell, Santee Sioux Nation. You said
something about appeal. If there's an appeal,
is that going to change the consultation in any
way?

MR. JACE KILLSBACK: Jace Killsback, Northern Cheyenne Tribal Council, Chairman of the Land Authority Committee.

Our council members will be given giving more technical comments and questions, but mine are general in purpose.

If you look at your pie chart that you handed out, Great Plains and Rocky Mountain. Over half large land-based tribes is who we represents here. Trust issues. So I guess Cobell is forcing us into that era of

2.5

09:57:37

trust reform that we've been waiting for the last 15 to 20 years. In history ownership was something foreign to Indians as far as ownership of land. The land owned us. We seen that change through history with reservations, government policies, reorganization. We seen it with allotment. We seen it with assimilation. We seen it with termination. We are in the process of still dealing with modernization that was attempted, the BIA. And now we are in the era of consultation.

Historically like Majel mentioned, this is really important for Indians and Indian tribes and our generations to come, in that how we proceed with this era of consultation is going to be written in history books on how the government worked and dealt with tribes and continues to work and deal with tribes.

The culture of the BIA post Cobell, you mentioned, Senator Tester, can go either way. And I think that what you see is an opportunity for the government to change that culture in Indian Country of what the bureau is and has been. And that's unaccountable to tribes.

24

2.5

09:59:06

1

2

The problem that we have from a more technical aspect was already mentioned in regards to lack of appraisals, backlog of appraisals, lack of accurate surveys, consultation on those surveys. The issue of The sunset of OST. whereabouts unknown. Those have all been brought up the last ten years with past consultations. So there is testimony out there, there is policy papers out there, and I think it would be important for us to revisit those, because a lot of times we do consultation and we wonder where it goes. Does it just go into the black hole of the bureaucracy?

You as a government should be able to provide us with some sort of matrix on actions that have been taken in regards to consultation. And in fact, make us feel our words, our testimony, are being put to task, because we've done this a number of times in the last five years.

In regards to tribal priority, I think it's going to be crucial that we are -- the government defers to tribes and tribal input on how we handle this process. All the

2.5

10:00:38

tribes have been working with, an example, all the tribes have been working with FSA to do buy-back of their lands, and I think it would be important for the bureau to look at a specific either BIA loan program for buy-back or use of some of this money as equity to leverage more buy-back. Too many times tribes have become checkerboarded through that process of individuals taking their land out of trust either to mortgage or to sell. And we want to avoid that.

The other part that was brought up was AIPRA, and I know it was mentioned earlier, and I think there were previous resolutions, even from the region here and individual tribes, opposing that, but with those oppositions are some solutions that actually can be included in this process now in regards to how probate is handled with land fractionation.

The other thing I wanted to mention was fee to trust. And a lot of times tribes have the priority of putting fee land into trust for spiritual reasons. Maybe that land has sacred significance, but a lot of times the

2.5

10:02:14

notion is you're going to put a casino there. Well, who cares if we do? That's part of economic development also, and that is also significant to tribes, because of what energy development might mean or not mean to certain tribes. So there's got to be an open mind when tribes want to put their land in trust, either adjacent or off the reservation, even with that fear of casino development.

That was something that set us back in fee to trust was the whole gaming tribes push in the '90s that really hurt large land-based tribes in our efforts to consolidate our land and put it back into trust. So I think there are some wrongs there that need to be fixed as far as the perception, as far as the culture of what tribes are doing with their land.

And lastly, with the settlement, with the act, I'm also an advocate for the bureau. I advocate for the bureau's hands on programs. We don't want to start seeing it geared towards OST where it is more conceptual, we want the hands on people to serve us on the reservation with realty and range departments, because

2.5

10:03:32

that's our biggest problem now is funding for those positions, lack of those positions. We still are a direct service tribe, we still hold the government responsible for those services, for them to limit their own departments actually hurts the individual tribal member when they are trying to get a home site, when they are trying to lease out their land.

And so I think in general terms we are in the process of writing history, and I think with further comments from my council will be more technical, but I wanted to share that with you from a more tribal perspective.

Thank you.

SECRETARY SALAZAR: I appreciate that very much. Let me just say, I'm going to have to leave here in about five minutes because otherwise we'll upset a bunch of folks who are ready to celebrate the Crow water rights settlement who are waiting for us on the Crow Reservation. But my deputy, the deputy who has been so involved in this, David Hayes, will continue to be here through the consultation. But I would like to hear from the rest of the tribes who have not yet spoken. I have just

aı

10:03:47

10:04:06 10

10:04:21 15

10:04:38

10:04:50 25

about five minutes.

MS. CINDY WEDDELL: My name is Cindy Weddell, I'm Vice Chair Flandreau Sioux Tribe. My tribe's land base is less than 5,000. We are a small tribe. We only have members of 600. So this Indian Land Consolidation Act, it affects our members, because they have a lot of land on different reservations, North Dakota, Nebraska, Minnesota, and in South Dakota.

When we are talking free to trust, I know our tribe right now, too, we've within buying fee land since we are such a small land-based tribe, try and buy fee land and turn it into trust, but we are having problems with the county commissioners. I mean, they are really hard to work with. The only way that they will even sign anything is if we say we are going to give them, like in our ambulance contract, they wanted \$10,000, otherwise they won't come on to our reservation land with the ambulance if we need them. And we don't have the funds for it right now. So they are holding us to try and turn our fee land into trust.

That's all I have to say. Thank you.

2.5

10:06:21

MR. JIM FIELDS: Good morning. My name is Jim Fields with the Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma. Good to be up here in the northern part of our nation. What I'm hearing from our tribal leaders, especially our tribal elders, when will we get our money? That's the main thing.

The question I have is regarding the priority of funding, who is to be paid first or will it be based on those who are more highly fractionated than others or those who apply first?

Another issue or question we have is in Oklahoma we have surface rights and mineral rights. And will it involve both or either?

Another concern or question is I heard comments about fee land. My understanding was this is only trust lands. In Oklahoma we have trust and restricted lands, so I assume it's the same thing. But they are wondering if there's any -- will be any incentive pay for those who are more highly fractionalized. For example, in Oklahoma we have fractionalized just down to a millionth of an interest. So will there be incentive pay?

1

3

4

5

6

10:06:38

7

8

9

10:06:55 10

11

12

13

14

10:07:08 15

16

17

18 19

10:07:27 20

21

22

23

24

10:07:45 25

What's the future of additional funds? Is this just the beginning? Have you all estimated what the cost of -- total cost of those are -- can you all hear me?

What about those after you pay those that volunteer to sell their land, will there will be a program to encourage others, and what would that encouragement be?

I notice in our graph chart here that eastern Oklahoma is probably one of the smallest ones, and, of course, you have to also realize that I worked many years in the bureau, I worked in the south plains, and I worked all of my career in eastern Oklahoma, and if you factor in the consideration there's an act called the 47 Act that affected the Five Civilized Tribes. And under that 47 Act any land when it's inherited and probated that the owner is less than one-half degree, that land automatically becomes unrestricted, no doubt about that. And I'll say, just for example, it's probated through the state courts. So I know there's a various ways that we all do things, and I think my being here, I'm glad to hear the northern view, and I think it's good

if we share with others when you come to Oklahoma in October, that you'll probably hear the same things, comments, Mr. Secretary.

I know your time is short. So I'll just pass it on.

SECRETARY SALAZAR: Thank you very much, Jim.

MS. VENITTA CLARK: Thank you. My name is Venitta Clark and I'm the treasurer for the Cheyenne Sioux Great Plains. Our chairman is not able to be here. We are here to listen, I know there will be a lot more discussion at home, but my question is when will you be coming to the Great Plains for consultation?

One of the things we wanted to ask, on your handouts in the background you have makes available \$1.9 billion, the majority of which will be used by the Secretary to operate the ILCP for the purpose of addressing the problem of fractionation. It doesn't have no dollar amount of what's going to be spent to purchase. So that's our comment right now.

MR. VERNON IKE SCHMIDT: Good morning, Mr. Secretary. My name is Vernon Ike Schmidt. I'm here representing the Rosebud

Sioux Tribe.

I have two resolutions to present.

One of the resolutions almost entirely the same as the Great Plains Tribal Chairmen's

Association, but it's basically asking that Rosebud Sioux Tribe be considered in the contracting. We do have an organization that's been in existence for 68 years, it's called Tribal Lands Enterprise. We've taken 12,000 acres and now we are managing over 900,000 acres through this process. And I have a history and some of the bylaws if anybody is interested.

We also have a resolution here, Mr. Secretary, requesting that you consider Chairman Rodney Bordeaux as one of the candidates for the commission. He's very articulate. He's been on the tribal council for about four years. He's finishing up his third term as chairman of the tribe. He's got a business management degree. I'm putting a plug in for him mainly for our area down there, he would be a good spokesman.

I have other comments and things I'd like to explain later in the day on what we are

10:09:12

10:09:31

10:09:48 15

10:10:06

10:10:22 25

2.5

10:11:30

10:11:50

doing at TLE, that would dovetail right into what's going on now. So thank you.

SECRETARY SALAZAR: Thank you.

MR. ROBERT COURNOYER: Good morning, Mr. Secretary, and Senator Tester. It's a pleasure to be here in Montana, but we would like you to come to the Great Plains region for a consultation because we have the largest concentration of IIM account holders and fractionated interests.

And I think that my question was that the tribes weren't involved in this whole process. From the very beginning it was for the IIM account holders. So it is kind of ironic that after the fact that we are having a consultatijon, but it is for a good purpose, and it's to consolidate all these fractionated interests. Like Ms. Russell and a few others that had spoke about the lien hold on these lands that were purchased, I wish we could work on it and take that out language out because it takes forever to pay that off. So that would be one of the questions we could work on.

Not only that, the Yankton Sioux Tribe, we finally won our court case. We've

1

3

10:12:05 5

7

8

9

6

10:12:29 10

11

12 13

14

16

10:12:43

17

15

18

20

19

21

10:13:01

22

23

24

10:13:28 25

been battling with the State of South Dakota for 18 years plus, they were trying to disestablish and say we were no longer a reservation, and we finally won that case. The Supreme Court denied State of South Dakota cert. That was a major victory for us.

(Applause.)

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good morning, Mr. Secretary. Thank you for being here. My tribal council member here handed me the microphone and asked me to say a few words here. I will try and make it as brief as I can.

Our main concern I think right now was it was spoken here before, the valuation, the appraising process. In the past historically tribal lands have always been valued very low compared to non-Indian lands. That is still a big problem on our reservation. In 150 years we lost 90 percent of our reservation either by hook or crook. And we've become a very poverty reservation.

Our concern is the appraisal process and also future fee to trust, as was spoken here, there's a little irony here because AIPRA

has removed tribal governments from -- or, no, 1 I'm sorry, the plan has removed tribal 2 governments as a part of this process. And yet 3 AIPRA says the tribal governments have the 4 right of first refusal. Are these lands going 5 10:13:43 to be -- do they all have to be fee to trust 6 lands? 7 I'm going to stop the ball right 8 there and maybe later on today have another 9 10 comment. Thank you. 10:13:57 SECRETARY SALAZAR: Thank you very 11 much. 12 Jim, did you speak? 13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I will defer 14 15 over here, the guy that works with our land 10:14:07 16 pretty much. SECRETARY SALAZAR: We will take you, 17 Jim, and I will make a closing comment. And 18 Jon Tester make a closing comment. And then 19 we'll take a break. 20 10:14:17 MR. STEVE WILES: Mr. Secretary, 21 Steve Wiles, and I work for the Northern 22 Arapahoe Tribe. And our comments revolve 23 around the valuation process. And it's 24 basically who do you represent in this, because 2.5 10:14:36

2.5

10:15:48

you represent both buyer and seller as trustee. And so later we will have a number of comments about that, going out Councilman St. Claire's concerns about water, mineral rights, and so forth. I will wait until later for specific comments.

SECRETARY SALAZAR: Thank you, very much. Did everybody at the table have an opportunity to speak for the tribes?

Let me make a few closing comments.

Then what I will have the deputy secretary do is try to review some of the comments and questions you all raised as part of the ongoing consultation.

First, just to answer some of the questions here that have been asked. First, in terms of the five-member trust reform commission that we will set up. That obviously the membership of that commission will be decided upon based on consultations with the tribes. We want it to work and it has to be great people that can help us make sure we take this historic opportunity and get it right. So no decisions have been made on who ought to be on that commission at this point, and that's

part of what we will do through consultation.

Number two, someone asked the question about the appeal. You know, this thing has been long in coming, very long fight, almost died many times in the Congress because we had people who were opposed to it, like Denny, what's his name, Rehberg, and other So how we ended up finally getting people. this thing through was almost a miraculous thing, and it happened because of the future push from Elouise Cobell, support from tribal members and tribes throughout the country, as well as the great push from so many people who were helping us from the White House, the President himself, and people like Pete Rouse and Kim Tee Hee, and others who were involved with us.

We still don't have the final package We have the money. We have to go through getting the court to say it's ready to But what we do have from the court is we go. have the authority to go ahead and start these consultations. So these consultations will continue. And I'm confident we will work through these appeals in the foreseeable

10:16:04 10:16:21 10:16:36

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

> 18 19

20 10:16:50 21

22

23

24

2.5 10:17:01

future. So these consultations are important.

Let me put an underscore on that. heard from many of you this sense when the Department of Interior and its agencies act, that it's usually Washington handing things down and letting the tribes know what it is that we've done. What we are trying to do here through these consultations that are taking place around the country is to make sure that we are getting your input; that we are doing this, the United States, recognizing the sovereignty of tribes and we are working on developing the program for the long term. these comments we heard from you this morning are very helpful in that regard.

You know, three, I've heard comments around the table on simplicity, and the importance of setting up a program here that can be understood, that is simple, that doesn't get caught up in a bureaucracy that doesn't do anybody any good. So these consultations will be helpful to us as the entire team puts together the process as we move forward. And I can guarantee you by the time we finish these consultations around the country, the way we

10:17:15 10:17:27 10:17:39

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

17 18

20 10:17:53 21

> 22 23

24

2.5 10:18:06

2.5

10:19:16

move forward will probably look very different than even what we put up on the board today. That's the purpose of the consultation is to learn from you to so at the end of the day we have a program that we can all agree upon.

Two last points. The reality of it is that every tribe is unique. You hear it as we go around the table and you speak about the individual issues that affect each one of the tribes. And underlying a lot of that is the fact in the history of the United States tribes have not been treated right and they have not been treated fairly. And so one treaty broken after another treaty. I think that's part of the great reason why there is angst and significant mistrust on the part of Native American communities throughout our country. So that's part of what President Obama has vowed to fix, and that's part of what we are trying to do here.

I want to comment on the concepts of I think the larger issue, which many of you have raised on matters that we may not be able to resolve within this \$1.9 billion dollar fund that we have for buying up the fractionated

interests and trying to deal with that particular issue. But what I hear you loud and clear is on the importance of our priority to restore tribal home lands. And in that vein we took a program that was frankly in paralysis and was not moving forward, and I think, according to Mike Black's last count, just in the last year, year and a half, when we put this program in a way that's moving forward, we've taken about 120,000 acres of land into trust. That's not enough. There's still a lot of applications that are pending out there. what Mike Black is doing he's putting the boot, if you will, to the regional directors and to others so we can do a better job on the restoration of tribal homelands. But that is important to us. At the end of the day we recognize the Cobell case is only a part of what we do on the restoration of tribal homelands or other things that need to be done.

And we look forward in this consultation process to also be able to learn about some of these issues that we may not be able to address because they may not be within the confines of what we can do in the decree

that was entered by the court or in the act that was passed by the United States Congress, which we now implement.

The last and final comment that I will make is I do think this is an historic opportunity. Never before has the United States of America set aside \$3.4 billion dollars to compensate for past wrongs. But also to help invest in the restoration of tribal homelands. And so while it is a daunting task, it also is one which is a historic opportunity.

And it will work best when we are doing this in true partnership and true collaboration with the tribes and the individual account holders around the United States of America. I hope as this consultation continues today and as we move forward to the other consultations that we will hold around the country, that we will be able to make sure that at the end of the day we have a process which will work for all of you.

I'm mindful so often the United States and the Department of Interior have said we are going to do X, and they never get it

10:20:34

7

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

11

10:20:50

12

13

15

10

14

10:21:04 16

17

18

20

19

10:21:18

21

22

23

24

2.5 10:21:29

done. And it's important. I don't know what the future is for Barack Obama. I hope he has another five and a half years in office, but I don't know. But I do know this. We have about a year and a half for sure that is still his privilege to serve this country. And in that year and a half what we have to do is we have to make sure we get this historic opportunity right and that we make the kinds of institutional changes which Larry Echo Hawk and which Mike Black are leading so that we don't have the same problems that many of you were referring to with respect to the BIA.

So with that, what I would like to do is turn it over to Jon Tester for some closing comments, and then we will take a break. And when we come back I will ask David to walk through a whole host of the other issues that you all raised and we'll continue the consultation.

SENATOR TESTER: Well, thank you, Mr. Secretary. Very quickly, I was remiss in my opening comments, I want to welcome everybody from the surrounding states and throughout Indian Country for being here today, and in the

10:22:40 5

10:22:53 10

10:23:04

10:23:16

10:23:29 25

great State of Montana. We appreciate you coming to our neck of the woods and expressing your concerns about how this bill moves forward.

We have an opportunity here today, and there will be opportunities over the next several months, to communicate and make your ideas heard and make your concerns heard and make this program the best it can be. It is critically important you do that, and I think your presence here today verifies the fact that you are willing to do it.

The person sitting to my left, the Secretary of the Department of Interior, is somebody, as he pointed out in his introduction to me, somebody I served with in the U.S. Senate and somebody that I've worked very, very closely with since he has been Secretary of the Department of Interior. Ken Salazar is a good name. Ken Salazar is somebody who knows how to listen and he knows how to address problems. When you talk about getting information from the ground and taking it to Washington, DC, and making good decisions, and in the end decisions be will made, and as with all decisions, you

2.5

10:45:42

know, there will probably be one or two folks out there that aren't happy with it, but the fact is he's going to take all your input and make the best decision he can to make this program work because he's committed to it. He understands the challenges and he has a real commitment to making sure this works.

And so just in closing, opportunities to talk to the Secretary and to talk to high level staff in the Department of Interior don't happen every day. It's going to happen a fair amount for you guys over the next few months. Make sure you take full advantage of it. Thank you all for being here.

(Applause.)

MS. STACIE SMITH: Thank you. We are going take 15 minutes for a break. We'll come back at 10:40

(Recess.)

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: Good morning. Let's regather if we can. Thank you all for your attention this morning, your participation. What I would like to do here is continue the discussion and by first perhaps commenting on some of the issues that were

1

3

4

5

6

10:45:57

7

8

9

10:46:19 10

11

12

13

14

10:46:39 15

16

17 18

19

10:46:57 20

21

2223

24

10:47:10 25

raised by some of the tribal leaders with the Secretary and the Senator, and then perhaps we can have a focused discussion on some of those issues.

In terms of proceeding, I think the plan is that we'll take lunch around 12:30 or So we will move directly into that. I may be leaving a few minutes before 12:30 to catch a plane, but Meghan and Jodi are going to stay throughout. The first thing I have to say that's most important, is that Meghan Conklin and Jodi Gillette are really running this show, and they are the ones to get your comments We have very frequent meetings, Jodi and Meghan, with Hilary Tompkins and me and the So we are all deeply involved. Secretary. But in terms of making sure that your detailed comments get in, get the right attention, they are much more reliable than I am.

I thought there were so many good comments, I cannot do them justice here, and will not try to summarize all of them. But I picked out a few items that were recurring themes that I thought might be useful to just make some comments on and start a dialogue

about.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

One concern that was raised, and a are appropriately so, is how can we make sure that this \$1.9 billion dollars goes as far as it can to actually get into the pockets of the individual account holders and into Indian Country for the benefit of the land I will tell you when we consolidation program. were working with the Congress on this piece of legislation, we thought it very important that we not have a program that doesn't succeed and doesn't get the money into the hands of the individual trust owners. And as a result, we agreed to a hard number limitation on administrative expenses for this program of 15 The total overhead for this program percent. cannot exceed 15 percent.

Also, we set aside \$60 million for the Indian scholarship fund. That means that we are required by law to spend more than \$1.5 billion dollars of this money on the purchase of individual trust accounts of fractionated ownership interests. I will tell you that this limitation on administrative costs is going to be extremely challenging for us. That's

10:47:26

10:47:46 10

12

11

13

15

14

10:48:13

16

17

18

20

19

21

10:48:34

22

23

24

10:48:56 25

appropriate. We have to -- we should have to do this very efficiently. But it's going to mean that in our relations with all of the interested tribes, we are going to have to constantly be very concerned about limitations on how much money we have to actually implement the program. And as many of you pointed out, there are some very tough implementation issues.

Appraisals are going to be a huge part of the administrative costs which will be the appraisals. Everyone is going to want to make sure that we have identified fair value. Certainly an individual trust account owner, a fractionated landowner, is not going to be willing to sell back their ownership interest without confidence that the appraisal is correct. And so that's going to be a huge issue.

And I'll get into more of that in a minute. I just wanted to make the point that we have a very significant constraint on how much funding we have available to actually implement this program, and it's a good thing, because the constraint will force us to spend the vast majority of these funds on the

2

3

4

5

7

10:50:40

6

8

10:50:57 10

12

11

13

14

10:51:11 15

16

17

18

20

19

10:51:27

21

22

23

24

10:51:46 25

purchase of fractionated land interests, which is what this is all about.

Let me mention three or four other items, and then let's pick topics that you think are the most important for us to talk about and just have a dialogue about them.

There's a question about the appeals process and about how these consultations fit into a potential appeal here. And the We Secretary answered that to some extent. have our schedule for consultations. We are going to roll through these consultations into mid October. We are going to complete them regardless of whether there is an appeal. There is likely to be an appeal filed on Judge Hogan's ruling. And it's unfortunate because it's going to delay the ability to get this money into Indian Country. We cannot actually spend any of this money until the appeals are done. We will hope that the appeals will move forward in an a expedited way, but for those of you going back to your tribal councils and to interested folks who are going to wonder, okay, when is the money coming? That's still in the hands of the courts.

21

22

23

24

2.5

10:53:13

So we'll see what the appeal situation is, and we'll see if there are appeals filed, how quickly the court rules, the court of appeals rules.

I will say, I need to compliment Judge Hogan and the district court in the District of Columbia. Judge Hogan took in all of the concerns about the Cobell settlement, had a long hearing, and moved very quickly to approve the settlement, because he recognized that further delay is not a good thing, and he made his -- he did his ruling and did it quickly. And we will hope that regardless, everyone has their rights to the courts, but we will hope that the courts will continue to do what they have been doing here since we entered the settlement, which is to give expedited review of complaints or concerns about the settlement.

A question was raised about whether our plan is to in fact implement this program and complete it in ten years, and what happens to the money if it's not completed in ten years. I will say this: We want to front load this program to the greatest extent that we

can. Those of us who are political appointees, we are working for President Obama. When there's another president, I won't be here. Ken Salazar won't be here. What motivates us is to do good while we are in office. Just like it motivates all of you who are elected positions for your tribal council. Your time is short. You want to make a difference. That's what we want to do also. We have no incentive to drag this thing out. To the contrary, we want to get the biggest bang for the buck earliest, and have this program start on the right foot and stay on the right foot.

And that's why we are excited about these consultations, because we are going to need a partnership if we are going to success here. You know how these things can go. If there's kind of back talk that this program isn't being thought out carefully, it's not being well run, why should I sell my individual interest, you know, that can really be very debilitating. So just know that we want to front load this.

I hope there's not a single penny left after year, I don't know, five or six.

Ten, forget it. And to be honest, I'm not sure of the details, but I thought we negotiated something if there is money available at the end of the ten years, that it goes into the scholarship fund and not back to the treasury. Is that right?

Okay. So the last thing we wanted to do is if for some reason there was trouble spending the money, we didn't want it to go back to the black hole on the U.S. Treasury. Don't quote me on that here if anyone is here from the press. We want that to go into the Indian scholarship. And Mike is confirming that that's the way the legislation was drafted.

Let me offer a couple other thoughts and then let's open it up. Many of you spoke eloquently about the importance of dealing with the bigger issues of reconsolidating tribal lands and dealing with the continuing allotment problem and the fact that there is encroachment with fee land on your reservations.

We are extremely sympathetic to that. And I guess I'll make two points. One is, this particular program, this \$1.9 billion dollar

land consolidation program, we were able to get this program as a result of the Cobell It grows out of the litigation. litigation. And the argument we made was, you know, this fractionation problem is one of the reasons why the U.S. fell down in its trust obligations. As the number of individual trusts continue to proliferate, the government got further and further behind in professionally dealing with those individual trusts as the trusts got proliferated, got smaller, but the obligations continued, and our argument was, let's -- we will be helping to address part of that underlying problem if we can stop the continued proliferation of these extremely small trusts, so let's buy back those interests, voluntarily if the folks are willing to sell them back, and then provide it to the tribe, and you get the additional benefit of the tribal governments then having control of that land for the benefit of the whole community, which we hope and expect will be attractive to many of the individual fractionated landowners who realize that land isn't of much value to them or their community because it's so fractionated.

3

4

5

6

10:57:40

7

8 9

10 10:58:00

11

12

13 14

15 10:58:16

16

17

18 19

20

10:58:30

21

22

23

24

2.5 10:58:43

The result being that we can only spend this money on those fractionated interests, the individual account owners. But as the Secretary emphasized, we have a parallel program that Mike has really spear-headed since he came to Washington from this region to facilitate and to take the slow walk out of moving lands that you as tribes have bought in fee into trust, which is critical. And I know that you need more money to be able to do more of that. And I just want to be straight with you, we can't use Cobell money for that purpose.

But I do think that this \$1.9 billion dollars will unlock land that will be extremely valuable to the tribes and will help reinforce the whole point of why trust land that is managed by the tribes is so important to your communities. And we hope that will reinforce our program to continue when you do have land that you have been able to purchase in fee to get it into trust so that it is part of our whole community's land base. So we are committed to that effort, but I've got to tell you straight, we've got to spend this money the

2

3

4

5

6

10:58:56

8

9

7

10 10:59:18

11

12 13

14

15 10:59:36 16

17

18

19

20 10:59:54

21

22 23

24

2.5 11:00:14

way Congress let us spend it, but let's take full advantage of that.

Let me mention one other issue. It's a very tricky issue that we are going to need to work very closely with you on. Several of you have mentioned as tribal governments you have either by yourself or through affiliations had a lot of very good experience under ILCA and elsewhere at facilitating the kind of land purchase programs that we're going to be needing to implement here as part of this program. And there's interest in having the tribes take on some of these responsibilities that we have under this new law. We are very sympathetic to that, and we are going to figure out a way to work with you on that. There are a couple of tricky aspects of this.

Under the law 638 contracting isn't permissible here. So we have that reality. You know that. But, number two, there is a tricky little issue here because the interests of the individual landowners, fractionated landowners, their interest, which we are under this settlement looking to serve and giving them the opportunity to sell their lands, may

11:00:31 5

8

1

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11:00:49 11

12

13

15

14

11:01:06 16

17

18

20

19

21

11:01:21

22

23

24

25 11:01:32

not be completely aligned always with what the tribe wants. The tribes may be more interested in some lands over here that may or may not -that are fractionated but may or may not be highly fractionated, they may or may not line up completely with the interests of the individual landowners.

I think this can be worked through. I think it's largely a theoretical potential difference of views between individual landowners and the tribes, but it potentially can complicate how we can involve the tribes in this process in terms of the contracting issues and that sort of thing.

That said, you know, many of you, particularly in the Great Plains, have terrific programs, and have been working under ILCA, which is, you know, in respect kind of a small pilot project for what we are now looking to do in a much bigger way, so we want to take full advantage of what you've got in place. And we are going to need to talk about this more as we proceed and as we get thinking about how to do it.

There is another practical problem

2.5

11:02:42

here. We have this limitation on how much money we can spend administering this program. And that's going to be a challenge for all of us. There's not a lot of money here for supporting the program. Most of the money has got to go into the pockets of the individual fractionated landowners and the Indian scholarship fund.

But I think working together we'll figure this out. But this is going to be complicated, and what we want to do is be very transparent with you as we think this through in the coming months. We have made no decisions in this area at all. We have been waiting eagerly for these consultations. Ιn that regard, we wanted to do these consultations earlier, but the court wouldn't let us talk to tribal leaders and the plaintiffs wouldn't let us talk to you all until the settlement was final. We finally got so frustrated we went to the court, and over the plaintiffs' objections, we asked the court if we could start these consultations with you even before the final settlement is final, because it is not yet final, and the court said

1

3

4

5

11:02:55

6

8

9

7

10 11:03:15

11

12 13

14

15

11:03:29

16

17

18 19

20 11:03:54

21

22

23

24

25 11:04:09

yes, start those consultations. So we are really pleased we are able to as a result this dialogue.

I guess the final point on the appraisal side, several of you made the point, number one, how important will appraisals are going to be; and, number two, you know, how much more support BIA and OST are going to need with tribal governments to do appraisals. And you're right on both scores. I mean, the appraisal process is going to be extremely important.

And the reality is that we do not have the capacity or infrastructure right now to do these appraisals. If we want to front load this thing, and I think we do, we are going to need to gear up in a big way and bring in professionals and try to get uniformity of approach and all within these constraints of how much money we can spend on the support, and we are going to need your help in doing that right, because appraisals are by definition related to local conditions, and we've got to get this right in terms of your local area when valuing the land.

25

11:05:37

I might have Mike comment a little bit on this issue, but we want your input on We have not figured this out in terms of this. how we are going to sort of bring the resources We do feel fortunate that ILCA has been out there, it's been a very small program, but it's given some practical experience for many of you tribes and us in terms of where this process can kind of grind to a halt or have problems. And I think we are going to really try to take full advantage of that experience as we think about, okay, so we are going to have this ILCA concept, but we are going to need to blow it up into a huge program, where are the problems going to be, how should we anticipate those issues, and make sure we have a system in place that addresses those so we don't have huge bottlenecks, sort of expectations that are dashed, et cetera.

Let me ask Mike to comment a little bit on that, because we are very fortunate to have Mike where he is, having had experience with ILCA and some of you. I'd like to have Mike's comments on that. And then I'm going to stop talking and let's get back to some of

1

3 4

5 11:05:50

7

8

6

9

11

11:06:06

12

13

10

14

15 11:06:15

17

16

18

20

19

11:06:27

21

22

23

24

25 11:06:39

these issues and just have a back and forth on the issues that you'd like to talk about in more depth. Mike.

DIRECTOR MICHAEL BLACK: Well, good morning everybody. As always, it's great to be back in Billings, Montana, and back in Montana and Big Sky Country and to see a lot of my friends and stuff from the Great Plains Region as well. You know, this is my whole career up until the last year or so has been spent between the Great Plains and Rocky Mountain Once again, it's good to be here. Region.

Just to touch a little bit on what David was saying, the appraisal issue, I've heard that, and I had my notes here, and I think almost everyone of you raised that, the valuation and appraisal issue.

And if we look at the traditional appraisal process parcel by parcel, and then speaking to some the things that David mentioned regarding administrative funds, I think there again just on that alone that could be cost prohibitive. I've had conversations in the past with many of you in the room here regarding what can we do to maybe streamline

2.5

11:07:51

this process, what can we do to look at alternative methods, mass appraisals, different ways that we can go out and make sure not only can we get more streamlined and efficient process, but a less costly process as well that we can move forward. And I mean it's really going to be a key to the overall ILCA process.

And I've been working a lot with Mr.

Joseph over here with OST, since he's come on board, and he has a lot of good ideas. And I think between the two of us, and then based on a lot of the input that we get today and throughout out these consultations around the country, I think we can put our heads together. We have a lot of smart people in this room night now, and I think between us and the rest of Indian County, we can come up with some ways that we can really streamline this process, make it a lot more cost effective and something we can move the program forward a lot quicker.

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: Great. Why don't we open the floor, and Stacie can intervene as appropriate, make sure we are moving along and being responsive to the issues you would like to raise. I just ticked through

a whole bunch of issues that came out of your opening comments. Anyone one to jump in and take one of these on and we can talk further about it?

MR. VERNON IKE SCHMIDT: From my experience on the Rosebud, the first process for the land sale is you got to get an application put in the BIA. The gal there is Marilyn Travis. Anyway, they have a tally of land values in the four-county area, and I asked her, well, how do you do the appraisals. They say, well, we use this as our -- as the main starting point, because it's updated regularly. And if it works for them, it should work for in other places, you know. But it really expedites on the ILCA purchases.

That's my comment on that.

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: That's the kind of experience we want to tap into.

Let me make a couple other comments here, because there was a very important point here.

There's an important difference in the Cobell land consolidation program from ILCA in this regard: We are not expecting the

tribes to pay back the cost of the property that will come into tribal control here. ILCA anticipates that, and that's why I think perhaps, Vernon, it was you who mentioned that you look at the higher -- at the properties that have income streams so that you can pay it back. That is not the plan here. The plan here is to spend the money in terms of getting the individual account holders who are interested to sell their interests and then we will turn it over to the tribes without expecting additional payment from the tribes. That's very significant.

And it changes the calculus a little bit. I mean, we want to maximize the number of fractionated interests that we can potentially clean up the books on. And a lot of those interests are not in necessarily high-value lands, but there they are. And that was very important to us when we were working on this program and looking ahead.

And I think that makes it easier in a lot of respects. Needless to say, easier for tribal governments to be sure, you're not acquiring a burden here, this is all good, I

11:11:55 11:12:12 11:12:35

11 12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

13

16 17

19

20

18

11:12:50 21

22

23

24

25 11:13:04

think, from the tribal government perspective. But also from the individual Indian interest holder perspective, we are not going to prejudice an individual fractionated owner by saying, well, your land doesn't have oil and gas on it, so you're last in line to get the opportunity to get some value out of it, help promote Indian scholarships, and get the land in tribal ownership where it can be used more beneficially.

But I do think, to Mike Black's point, we are going to use mass appraisals; we are going to need to do what Rosebud does, which is take some broad cuts here.

Now, many of you mentioned this gets complicated when you've got valuable resources, and those have got to be part of the appraisal. So there are going to be limits to what you can do with mass appraisals, and where you have lands that have oil and gas or mineral resources or whatever, that's got to be taken into account, otherwise it's not a fair It's that simple. So we'll need to appraisal. figure out how to do that.

But we are going to need to -- I

think the reality is, I'm just throwing this out for your reaction, too, but I think the reality is in order to make this program work, we are going to have to do kind of high-level appraisals, and not be able to do individual appraisal by appraisal, we will never get this program done and we will not be able to get the money out. And the law anticipates that we do this. I mean, we are not talking about doing anything other than what the law suggests that we can and should do.

So I think your help in terms of how we develop these appraisals, making sure we've got a good set of criteria for the appraisals, and your helping explain to everybody what we are up to is going to be very important, assuming we work together along these lines.

MS. STACIE SMITH: More comments about appraisals on this topic?

MR. RICK KIRN: Again, my name is Rick Kirn, I'm a tribal council member of the Fort Peck Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes.

Again, thank you, Deputy Secretary Hayes, for your comments. You know, I think what you need to do, though, you need to give

11:14:35 11:14:45 11:14:58 11:15:08

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

11:15:18 25

credit to the tribe to be able to handle some of these issues that you talked about. This morning it was brought up about the conflict, the inherent conflict that you have as BIA, both as buyer and seller. We are the same way, as represent tribal representatives. When we go to buy tribal lands we have interest of the whole tribe and then we have to have the interests of the individuals that we are buying it from. So we are not new to that situation and what we are put under. We know our people and we know what our people want, and we do, we come across saying, if you sell it to a nontribal entity, you're losing all your future rights and benefits and revenues off that land, whereas if you sell them to the tribal you're going to keep that. So a lot of them are willing to forego some of the appraisal rights knowing they are not getting a bad deal but they may not be getting every single dime that might be coming to them because of an appraisal that may not even involve issues that we are trying to deal with by the tribe. So we have that right.

And, you know, we also, when we buy

land, we want to buy the majority interest, as I said before. So we leave it up to the individual to say, if you want to sell your land, you need to get hold of the rest of the owners of that land and convince them to sell more of it or else we can't buy your interest. They are the ones that do that. If we go out there and try, no matter what we tell them there's always a suspect there. We let them do it. And they are capable of doing that to a But the regulations from the BIA don't allow that. They are still treating us like people who don't know what's going on. was the original, I think, the idea of BIA trust responsibilities, to handle people who were ignore informant. I don't mean stupid but Well, we are not ignorant any more. ignorant. We are able to handle our business and they are able to handle their business. We protect those who can't. So we need to keep that mind.

You know, the appraisal process, we need to have some kind of a system where don't have to appraise every piece of land that's there. We can buy a piece of land on one section and then have to have the appraisal on

2.5

11:17:08

the next section when it is identical land on our reservation. It's agriculture or it's pasture land or whatever. There is really is not much difference, and we should leave it up to those individuals to decide if they want an appraisal, maybe we should take it out of what we're giving them to appraise it if they are that adamant to know every value of it. Let's put it back on to them. It's an opportunity there. Those are the issues about the appraisals that we have.

Also, our tribe has gone out and hired private appraisers to come in and appraise our land because we could not wait for the BIA's schedule. They are so busy, they got a lot of tribes that they appraise for. But when we hired a private appraisal, we still had to it take it to the regional office to be able to have them go over the appraiser's appraisal. And that just took time also. So that didn't gain anything out of it other than to waste the money to hire a private appraiser. We need to streamline that process, too. If they are a qualified, certified appraiser, we should be able to take them for their word on it, the

minimum overview view or minimal from the BIA just on the appraisal process.

Are we just going over appraisals or do we want to read --

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: Let's just do appraisals now and then go to other issues.

MR. RICK KIRN: That's what I had on appraisals here.

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: Well, Rick, first, you know, you are absolutely right about the tribes also have this challenging situation. And you worked through that. This program will not work, it will not work, without working closely with tribal government. It just will not work. We know that. And we want this to work. You guys are going to be out there selling this program we hope, helping to persuade folks that this is a good thing to do. And this will be hard for many individuals, you know. It's a small slice but it's their And I'm going to be small slice, right? getting this little check for that? So this whole program without your help could fall on its face and we don't solve this kind of systemic problem here.

1

3

4

5

6

11:18:56

7

8

9

10

11:19:11

11 12

13

14

15 11:19:24

16

17

18 19

20 11:19:40

21

22 23

24

25 11:19:56

So we are going to find a way, this consultation process is a good start, to figure out how to make sure that tribal government is totally involved in this and we are finding a way to rely on your good offices to help us make this happen.

And on the mechanics of the appraisals, we are going to hire private appraisers. We are not going to rely on our small cadre of appraisers. We are going to front load this sucker. We are going to bring in private appraisals. We do have some money, and it's not enough money, but we got some money, we are going to spend it, and we are going to have to have a streamlined process. This cannot be bureaucracy as usual. This is going to have to move quickly.

I think, also, our ability to sort of have individual account owners realize that this is a good thing will depend on our getting the money out quickly and sort of the feedback, hey, this is happening. If it drags out, you know, it just adds to more of the back talk and what are we doing this for?

Bud.

MR. BUD MORAN: Bud Moran. Secretary Hayes, we really must take direction that you're talking about. We are tribal leaders so we can talk to our constituents. If we don't communicate with them, we are going to fail. Because everybody distrusts everybody. And then we are not any different. They distrust us and then we have to show that we are sincere, too. We have to show we are supporting you and your program. But we got to know what your program says. We have to know that.

And then we have to empower the tribe in our contract programs or specialists that we have, we have some very good specialists in the field, and they know what's going on out there, they know the people, and we have to use them as best as we can, because if we would do that, it's going to be successful, but it may not if there starts to be questions.

MS. STACIE SMITH: I'm going to offer a suggestion, because I know a lot of people wanted to speak. One way to indicate if you want to speak, you may take your placard and put it up on its side like this. That way we

1

4 5

6 7

11:21:24

9

10

8

11

11:21:38

13

14

12

15 11:21:53

17

16

18

19

20

11:22:05

21

22

23

24

2.5 11:22:19

don't detract from the person who is speaking, but that we know that you are next in line or in the line to speak. So if you just want to just use these as a little hint.

MR. JAY ST. GODDARD: Yes, we are on appraisals, you commented we need to go out and get these appraisers, but I think there needs to be a cap, because just like any other business, as soon as these appraisers are here about this money, the prices are going to go through the roof. So, tribes, we need to cap that. We already have trouble with some appraisers that inflate their prices compared to others.

Like Mr. Kirn was stating earlier, we have land board meetings at Blackfeet twice a There's individuals coming to us, month. probably seven or eight people per agenda, wanting to sell their shares. So they already know, they will agree to go with the adjacent appraised value price. So we have minutes, we have people offering that, but many times because we don't have the money, we have to turn them away, that's their first right of refusal. I guess if those individual tribal

11:23:38

members are willing to settle for that, saying your appraisal is at \$200 a acre, \$175, \$300, and they know it's their land, they should be able to sell it for that price. Instead of that, we go through the hurdles of the BIA, you know. That's why I said there's too much C.F.R.. There's that consent process.

If this is going to be a consent process, we'll be here for a long time, and we don't want that. There's members, tribe is not an interest in a parcel of land, that person or the tribe is going to get consent, that type of stuff. It just prolongs things. So to me we know what the problems are, the histories, like Bud said. Listen to the tribes. Let us handle our own business. If this money is really allocated to the tribes to purchase this land, then allow us to do that would without going through all these BIA hurdles.

And if you look at that C.F.R., a lot of those are meant to hold us back. They say they are looking out for the best interests of the landowner, but they are hurting them. When they are ready to sell that land, let them sell it. Because know what they are there for. Get

whatever. The tribes are ready to do a lot of this, and I guess when we are ready, when the court stuff is over, streamline it to a certain account, and let's get it going. And I think it need to be separate from ILCA. That did not work, especially for the large land-based tribes. If this is a new thing, then let's do a new thing and streamline it, because ILCA might have worked for one or two, but it didn't work for us, because we were ready, and where is it at today?

That's just my comments. We need to move to -- get something that's going to be quicker and easier.

And appeals, I mean, valuation of land, I just like to see a cap on it, because the appraisers, they are going to go crazy. They will run their rates sky high.

MS. Majel RUSSELL: Majel Russell.

When we talk about appraisals, a long time ago the Bureau of Indian Affairs did appraisals and appraisals were handled right in the Bureau of Indian Affairs. And actually you did mass appraisals. You did a big area of comparable

11:25:05 5

11:25:24 10

11:25:39

11:25:53 20

11:26:04 25

kinds of land and you did a mass appraisal and you didn't have to spend so much money on it.

But then we moved into this situation where we took appraisals out of the BIA and we put them into OST actually as part of it, and they are in a different department, but I think one of the big hangups is that it's really only bureau policy or DOI policy that we have to use a USPAP appraisal. That USPAP appraisal on every single trust transaction is actually an overkill of what we need to implement a program like this. So I think you've said we are going to rethink how we are doing these appraisals of these lands and I think that would be a big start is to move back or detract from the USPAP appraisal requirement.

The other issue I wanted to raise is you're going to find a lot of these lands out in Indian Country, and especially, I'm not real clear yet if we are going to move beyond the 2 percent or less interest focus. If we are going to stick with 2 percent or less interests, you're going to be looking at some lands that when you do your fair market value appraisal are not going to be worth much. They

are going to be worth \$10 or \$15 if you have a hundred owners on an 80-acre tract and that 80-acre tract doesn't have mineral, it's just agricultural land, it's not going to be worth much. So are we going to be able to pay incentives, are we going to actually be able to go over what your fair market value would come out to be to get people to participate in the program?

And I think there's room in the law that says that the Secretary can come up with methods of valuing property rather than to be strictly stuck only appraisal by USPAP, which I think is policy. So I wanted to ask about that.

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: Well, I can tell we'll look forward to your detailed comments on all of these issues.

Let me just make a quick comment, because I mostly want to hear from all of you. We would like your input on that appraisal issue. I think our sense is that the law requires us to pay based on fair market value, and that will mean that in a very highly fractionated situation where you have a small

sliver of an interest and you have property that doesn't have a high value, that means the payout is going to be very small. And that's going to be a challenge to have folks give up that piece.

The Indian scholarship fund was intended to be an incentive. And that's a tool we can use to basically say to somebody, look, I know, you know, you own a hundredth of an interest in this land, the appraisal is X dollars, your share is Y dollars, I know that's not much. But if you accept a check for that from the federal government, that will go to the tribe; and, number two, for that check we will deposit -- we will draw down toward that \$60 million dollars of Indian scholarship funds. Say for the individual interest, we'll put 25 bucks or 50 bucks towards this scholarship fund. You will be helping Indian youth get educational opportunities.

You don't like that, huh?

MS. MAJEL RUSSELL: Not that I don't like that. I have to say it while you put it on the table, do tribes get to determine who is going to get those scholarships? I mean, we

2.5

11:30:07

have this Indian scholarship fund that we are going to fund. I guess the concern that a lot of tribal leaders had, and still have, is that our tribal education departments can't finance even a quarter of the students that need to go into higher ed. We can't do it. And we are concerned about. Is the tribal government, all these different tribal leaders, are they going to be able to have a say in who get those funds on selection of students? How do they participate in that? I guess those are questions that we don't know on the scholarship fund.

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: Maybe,
Meghan, you could talk about this very briefly.
The law has requirements here to identify a
couple of nonprofits. Do you want to speak to
that Meghan?

MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: As David mentioned, the law requires that a fund of up to \$60 million dollars will go into a scholarship fund for education for Indian youth and Alaska native youth. And what was required in the settlement, the plaintiffs are going to recommend two nongovernment organizations to

2.5

11:31:17

the Secretary that could oversee this scholarship fund.

And, also, in addition to this, there's also going to be a board that will oversee the scholarships of five people, am I correct?

And so there's going to be recommendations that can be provided for who can sit on this board that will help this nongovernmental organization decide where the scholarships are given out to.

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: But there's been a specific organization already recommended. Do you know the name of it? Two of them.

Yeah, the American Indian College
Fund. That's exactly right. That's one of the
two that have been nominated. There's going to
be no reinvention of the wheel. We are going
to rely on that fund, or there's one other
possibility. They will have tribal membership
on their board to help steer the funding.

I will tell you, the Secretary is very excited about this, and I'm sure you are, too, the opportunity of \$60 million dollars

11:31:33

11:31:46

1 4

11:32:00 15

11:32:09

11:32:30 25

spent by a nonprofit that has experience in Indian Country.

But I don't want to dwell on this too much. What this points out, though, is the broader point that Bud and others were making. We are going to need to have really good communication tools here working with you to explain the program, that doesn't exist yet, we are on the front end here of this consultation in figuring out how to do this program. I think where we are going to have to spend some money together with you as tribes is on communication stuff in particular and work with you to help us on that.

Let's keep getting some comments.

Yes.

MR. ALEC SANDCRANE: Alec Sandcrane, Northern Cheyenne Tribe.

I guess one question I have is on the appraisal you keep saying fair market value. Yet on our reservation when they do the appraisals and it comes back to the BIA, our land isn't being sold the same as the rest of the region around us. It comes back lower. How is that going to be addressed? Same land

11:32:52 11:33:14 11:33:34 11:33:45

11:33:55 25

here not too long ago went for 3 or \$400 an acre surrounding there. We did a five-county study. And then it comes back to us on the appraisal, it's same type of land, dry farmland, comes back \$156 an acre because it's on the reservation they said. What's the difference there? I mean, the same agricultural land. Same thing being done. Hay. Yet ours comes back \$250 lower than the surrounding area. That happens a lot on our reservation. Is the BIA going to follow the fair market value or are they going to come back and say, well, it's on the reservation, it's going to go for this much?

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: We need to work together on this. The law requires fair market value. The question of what is fair market value is the tough part. And we need good appraisals. I think the point you are making is appraisals have to be good appraisals and fair appraisals. And there's a perception that's not the reality and that's not always been the case. We need to address that to be sure.

Let's have a couple more points on

appraisals and then let's switch topics to another topic.

MR. TRACY "CHING" KING: My name is Tracy "Ching" King, I'm the president of the Fort Belknap Assiniboine Grovant Nations.

My understanding, is there any office that's set up waiting for this appraisal process? I believe what I was told in Ashland, Wisconsin there is this consolidation effort that is staffed, trained, and waiting.

Also, another issue, you know, the BIA system is political as well. So if you're kind of -- I don't know, the only way to call it, if you are I brown noser or whatever and you get promoted and you don't have any experience in these realty areas, then you're just hurting the whole system. And so we took action Monday to IPA, one of our BIA employees, so we could get ahead of the system.

And I think the appraisal system with the with the IPA and having all the trouble we had in the '90s about the appraisal system, when you get \$65,000 from a 638 contract, which is I would call it half-assed funding, so it's never enough to get where we really want to be.

We have a model program that we 638 contracted into the process that we wanted to move on to look at this. One of the undivided interests causes division within your people. But I think if you looked at some of the key positions that may not be working, I believe you have to deal with that; otherwise, we are going to continue to fail.

We also talked about the process of treaty committees where they have interest-bearing accounts. Maybe that's another way where we could draw interest on this money. I don't know the law does that or not, but we should be able to draw some interest on there so we could continue with that, you know.

And one other thing, what good is a scholarship fund through this when the public school systems are failing our Indian kids? I mean, in my lifetime I've never, ever seen an Indian child fail, but the system fails them. And so that's what I see is maybe we have to do like what Fort Belknap College does, somehow get around having a immersion school to teach culture within our educational system, because

11:38:54

a lot of kids fail, or the system has failed the kids, but that's some of the things how does the BIA and OST work with make sure we have -- I mean we have, what is it, like a two-year appraisal is only worth two years and then it comes on, so the political system, it's going to cost if you don't get those appraisals and have a system in place that would work, you know.

So those are some of the concerns that I think should be brought up.

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: Thank you, Tracy. Couple more and then we will move on.

MS. JACKIE GREY: Can you hear? My name is Jackie Avery and I'm from Alaska. I represent the Chugach Region, seven tribes there. And I'm just -- as you all know, Alaska is a very large state and the only way to a lot of our native allotments or support is by boat or airplane. So I just want to ask that when the criteria is set up for all these appraisals, that you take into consideration location, the time, and your shelf appraisals. There's a shelf life on appraisals.

So sometimes you can get an appraisal

11:39:12 11:39:32 11:40:01 11:40:17

11:40:34

but it takes a year or so because they can only do appraisals during the summer to fall, three months, say, that period. So they are gearing up for the whole State of Alaska. And the shelf life then is halfway over when it's being reviewed. I'm just asking the time frame of the appraisals and the shelf life and those be set up in the criteria.

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: Very good point. Thank you very much.

Jim and then Vernon and then we'll move on to another topic.

MR. JIM FIELDS: I notice in your draft you're making goal No. 1 one was to purchase, I guess, those areas that are highly valued and with more than 20 owners.

Of course, my question following that, if you have an area that has more than 20 owners, do all the owners have to volunteer to sell it, or can you just buy parts of that land area? It would be very difficult to get all 20 or more owners to agree to sell. If one says I don't want to sell, does that handicap the other 19 owners?

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: No, no one

is going to be forced to seill.

2.5

11:40:48

11:41:11

11:41:29

11:41:51

11:42:11

MR. JIM FIELDS: It would be unconstitutional.

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: That's right. As others have mentioned, if the tribe -- if we are able to buy back half of the ownership interest, effective control goes to the owner of that half, or 51 percent. So that's really what we are striving for. And that would be the tribe, that land would go to the tribe.

But also I will say there is advantage even in terms of let's say you have a parcel with a hundred owners, if 30 will sell their interest back and it becomes tribal, that's better, that's 30 fewer small little trusts that have to be managed; that provides the tribal with a potential down the line to get additional 20 percent in there.

And that's one of the goals here, again, it's a really good question, because one of the goals is to provide the opportunities for the maximum number of individual fractionated landowners to sell back their interest, which are not doing them much good,

11:42:27

11:42:48 10

1.3

11:43:00 15

11:43:12

11:43:24 25

at least in the minds of many of them.

So even though it may not immediately turn into an obvious benefit for the community, there is a benefit to those individuals, and I would argue there is a long term benefit to the whole system by removing out a significant percentage of these fractionated interests.

MR. JIM FIELDS: An additional comment, administrative costs, I think we would only like the costs of the program and not factor in the cost of the interior officials that are working in this program. If you factor that in with the high salaries, it will kick up. Maybe it could be volunteered on the part of the Secretary to donate that cost. That would be part of the administrative costs.

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: Sure. It feels like I'm doing this for free. I don't know if I am or not.

MR. JIM FIELDS: The other question I have, I think it's real good that I came up here, because this is my first visit to the northern country and to hear comments by the northern tribal leaders, I think it would be good if other tribes... because, you know,

historically, we are region by region and it's one region versus another region and so forth. But I think it's good if of the different regions with their concerns and comments and questions visit other regions also. Because I have a lot of issues here. I'm sure some of the things I bring up will be unique to Oklahoma and not to other parts of Indian Country. I noticed we are going to be set up I think the reason I came up here in October. because when we had not had that notice before, we thought maybe this would be the only time we would have to comment on. It's good that you are making these six. But it's also good that other tribal leaders come to Oklahoma so they can hear our comments.

And these are really good comments you all have here. They are unique, new to me. This area of these lands, and, of course, in Oklahoma we have a unique set of laws dealing with lands in Oklahoma that you may not have here. So it's good that we do that.

The issue on scholarship monies. Of course, you said administered by a board. How will it be distributed, because as you know,

2.5

11:46:01

with different regions, we have different -- if it's patterned after the BIA higher education program, then you know I've always had an issue with how money is distributed within the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Historically if you go way back in history, it was always distributed by BIA location. And I think the trend in self-determination to make the distribution by tribal rather than by agency location.

But you still look at a budgetary process and the bureau is still defined by region or agency. So you have different areas that have different populations, different amounts of land in trust or restricted, so these things have to be factored in. I know you heard it before, and I will say it again, Oklahoma seems to be on the short end of the That's not to say northern doesn't funding. have as much area. I know you all have a strong tribal land base. You're land-based tribes. Oklahoma, because of the forced upon us allotment program, we have little actually trust property. But we have a high population. We have probably over 700,000 Indians in Oklahoma that are members. But when you look

at your land base, it's really quite small.

But then there needs to be some consideration for that. Thank you.

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: Thank you, Jim.

Vernon, final comment on appraisals, and then we'll go eat. And, Ernie, you'll speak really quickly, too. Go ahead.

MR. VERNON IKE SCHMIDT: When ILCA had some funding on the Rosebud and the bureau administered the fractionated purchases, it put them in competition with our tribal land enterprise organization, because every month we budget \$90,000 for fractionated interest purchases, and our purchases went down to probably less than \$30,000, \$40,000 a month because of the competition. And the reason that they were able to get a lot of the people went to ILCA was because they were able to expedite the appraisal process. So they were able to get their money a lot quicker. And at TLE, we utilized the bureau to do appraisals. We also have the capability to hire outside appraisers. And recently with some fee purchases we had to go to the outside in order

1 2

3

4

5

11:47:50

6 7

8

9

11:48:06 10

11

12 13

14

11:48:27 15

16

17

18 19

11:48:42 20

21

22

23

24

11:48:59 25

to expedite getting these fee lands purchased. They are on the market so we have to move quick because of the competition.

So I always felt that ILCA funds should have been -- those tribes that are capable should have been allowed to 638 them, but, you know, that's not the case. But with these settlement funds, even if it boils down to the bureau having to ending up doing the purchases, we started working with the bureau and our regulatory arm of the tribal to come up with a future land purchasing scheme so that we are purchasing the fractionated interests that are really in the interest of the tribe, because once the tribal owns land on the Rosebud, we can't sell it unless it goes to a referendum vote of the people. So we look for any of the trust lands out there, if we don't have an interest in them, we want to hurry up and buy into that, because that will discourage the other landowners from trying to go outside of the process to sell their lands to nonmembers.

And we also have the first right of refusal to purchase lands, and we've been pretty successful with that on the Rosebud

because we had the money to make the purchases.

But there was a lot of lands that slipped through the cracks in the past and went on out of trust. So we are trying to prevent that.

The lands that are going to be purchased through the settlement funds are going to be turned over to the tribe? You see, the ILCA funds aren't going to be turned over until that investment is paid for. And I'm hoping that if it's a good purchase for the tribe, we can go in and buy some of those ILCA investments and get the 50 percent, get the 100 percent, you know, that we need, because we perfect the leases on a lot of land that's 50 percent to that tribal land enterprise.

So those are just some comments I wanted to share. Thank you.

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: Thank you, Vernon. Ernie.

MR. ERNIE LITTLE: Good morning. I'm not here in an official capacity. I come from the Oglala Sioux Tribe and I am a landowner and I do work with the tribe in the development and in the different areas for some time in our reservation. That's how I came here officially.

2.5

11:52:02

I, too, have some concerns. I have children. I want to pass it on and what to deal with. I appreciate the comment over here about ceilings. I've been involved with all kinds of contractors. Our reservation gets funded with different types of vendors when the money comes. And there's a lot of money comes there and not very much stays.

So I think there should be some type of a top that they do to get the local appraisal. We do in a sense of electrical and water, you know, the bureau has a standard and they have a checklist, and sometimes a lot of times the relatives sign it just to give you permission. And some want like give, for instance, 13 cents, and laugh at that, and they want a dollar or something. And then you go to that appraisal thing, and it stops the whole process, or slows it up. A lot of times have spent tribal resources developing an area and you cold wall that and start over.

I think that issue there is something that should be highly considered here. You know, a ceiling on the appraisers. And I really speak for tribal business. If and when

2

1

3

5

6

4

11:52:25

7

8

9

10 11:52:46

11

12

13 14

15 11:53:05

16

17

18 19

20

11:53:27

21

22

23

24

25 11:53:55

there's a tribal enterprise that can handle some of this contracting, hopefully that's I appreciate that opportunity. considered.

There's one more question I think needs clarification here. I've worked in a number of areas, and one of the issues that pops up, I think I'm the third generation landowner, I took property from my father, divided it up basically in a will so my children wouldn't run into this, I divided it up I felt fair and got two of the sons that want to live there, fixed it, so if the other children should sell, they'd have to sell to the other children. Hopefully they honor that.

But it was all of this in mind, with all of this in mind, and my experiences, I've been in families when the issue divides it. and it's sad, it's a sad thing. But I've also been involved where people want to just go on and move in a different environment.

There's a mineral right, I don't know if anybody spoke of that. If the tribe buys that and the mineral rights stay with the gift, a lot of the people gift it, which is how I ended up with mine. And I ended up buying a

number of my siblings' land. And I encouraged them to keep that mineral. So I got the land for my children without the mineral. And be it whatever may, I figured if there was any resource there that should happen, that then people, I don't know what prompt them to sell them, you know, it's an economic issue, that was prompted at that time by my sister or brother or something, they could keep that, you know. So I guess I wanted to mention that.

I heard a comment about them shares that are so small that would be a burden on the tribe or the government to track them. I've dealt with a lot of people. It's unending. There's just no way you could have the resources to maintain it. And I don't know the tribes' experiences, but on our reservation we do not have a bureau survey. I don't know if the tribes take some of the little resource they have and give it to the bureaus to help our people serving their little pieces of land. I don't know, I always argue that's the government's responsibility. They created that issue. They should provide the dollars to survey them people's land or their home sites.

25

11:57:19

It almost falls in the organizations that administers some of the services. There are limited resources. In this case I work in the housing for some time where it was detrimental to a home because you spent so much money in the requirements. You got a GPS, and I might have been in this room when I spoke about GPS system sometime. There's some highly qualified people, and I don't know if they are government, I know I spoke to a bunch of superintendents one time, I don't think I got a response, but if they could -- you know, the GPS system is I don't know if that would be fairly accurate. suffice for a home site, but I think this is the only opportune time I might have to say that for the record, you know.

But I would like the tribes to consider when you talked about scholarship monies, I was a part of our college, which is a very productive, well run college, that somehow some of them resources maybe go back into a service with that tribe being the contractor for them surveys or them types of things. I just wanted to say them few things as I had the opportunity.

I don't like to start talking, I have a hard time stopping.

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: Well, you're bumping up against lunch, Ernie, which is a dangerous place to be.

MR. ERNIE LITTLE: Thank you.

DEPUTY SECRETARY HAYES: Thank you,

Frnie.

You know, I think actually it's a good place to break. We were going to have lunch at 12:30 but we started early. Why don't we have lunch now. And let me suggest that over lunch folks think about two or three or four of the top items that you'd like to drill down deeper in with us this afternoon after lunch. I think this is a good discussion on the appraisal side, we hit the scholarship fund.

Mike and I have to run for some other briefings. Meghan and Jodi, who I told you are really running the show here, are in fact going to run the show after lunch. So come back after lunch.

And then can I reemphasize what Jim said? I hope some of you will come to some of

the other consultation meetings, and we can continue the dialogue. We are going to be intensely talking with you guys through mid October on all these issues. And then we will see what we come up with.

So thank you all. We will see you after lunch.

MS. STACIE SMITH: Thank you so much to Deputy Secretary Hayes.

We are going to come back at 1 o'clock. We will have more time for tribal leader comments. We will also open up the floor to have comments from all of the other tribal members and participants here today. So we will see you back here at 1 o'clock.

(Recess.)

(Nece

(Afternoon session.)

MS. STACIE SMITH: I know there's a lot of people at lunch. We want to get started so we can hear from as many people as possible before 4 o'clock. So if I can invite everyone who has come to come in and sit down.

MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Hello everyone. I hope everyone had a wonderful lunch. I want to thank you all for your participation today. We've had some very meaningful comments this morning and we look forward to receiving comments from everyone for the rest of the afternoon.

One of the things in particular that we would love to hear your reactions to in the packet you received when you checked in is a copy of the preliminary ideas for goals for the land consolidation program. These are the goals that Deputy Secretary Hayes reviewed during his presentation. The goal of reducing fractionation, submitting a plan that that is time and cost efficient, and implementing a plan that corresponds to different tribal goals as we learn through that consultation process.

13:11:07

13:11:31

13:11:41 15

13:12:02 20

13:12:17 25

2.5

13:13:21

Some of that document you have in your materials that you checked in, we would love to hear your particular reactions to those, as one of our main points of these consultations we want to get your comments and will be working on refining those goals as we go through these series of tribal consultations.

There are a couple in the audience who are also here with the Department of Interior that I wanted to make sure you got know today as well. Sitting over here is Tony Walters. Tony is a counselor to the Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs and has been a really integral person in helping to pull together today's event. And I just wanted to thank him for that.

I think she has left the room but you may meet Kallie Hanley at this some point.

Kallie really helps run a lot of the logistics for today's event. We wouldn't be able to be here today without all of her help.

One of the questions that has come up a few times I wanted to pull your attention to is the issue of the secretarial commission on Indian Trust Administration and Reform. In

your packet of materials, as Stacie mentioned earlier this morning, is a copy of the Federal Register notice that was published recently for the establishment of this trust reform commission. And in that you can find information on how you can send comments to the Department of Interior about this proposed commission, and also for you to send your nominations for people that you think should serve on the commission. While it's not something that we are planning to consult on today, I just wanted to make sure you're aware where you can send those nominations to, since that came up earlier.

With that I wanted to turn it over to Jodi Gillette.

MS. JODI GILLETTE: I don't want to take too much of your time today, but I just want to thank everyone for coming to the consultation today and traveling the many miles that many of you have traveled to join us here today. And, also, I just want to thank you for your caring about this issue because I know there are a lot of other things that take your attention away from these kinds of issues.

2.5

13:15:44

There's a lot of urgent needs out there in Indian Country and I know that many of you have to deal with a lot of different things at the same time. So coming here and letting us hear about what you think you're thinking, giving us feedback on our approach and how we are going forward with the Indian land consolidation piece of the Cobell settlement is going to be very instrumental.

And for that piece of what we are working on today and what we are talking about today, I just see it, and I've heard this a couple of times from tribal leaders, that this is a really important turning point. It can be a very positive occurrence in history. And we can make it a very good outcome, but we can't do it without your input, and we can't do it without your honesty, and we can't do it without your expertise on what's going to work the best in Indian Country.

I know that there has been -- I always want to acknowledge that our history with the United States government, I say "our" being a member of the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, but I'm also working with the Obama

2.5

13:17:11

Administration in this tenure at the Assistant Secretary's office in order for us to have the best kind of representation to ensure we have a strong tribal voice. And I'm not a tribal leader, but I really do my best to reflect and to remember and to try really hard to take the comments and the concerns that you have and keep that as a guiding principle for everything that we do.

This is the beginning, and I think that Deputy Secretary and the Secretary both stated that previously that we are beginning discussion and we do welcome future participation in other consultations. We also welcome written comments so that we can have more detail if you are not able to go into a lot of the technical things that you'd like to see or feedback that you'd like to give. And we also encourage you to feel free to reach out to us through Michelle Singer or reach out to me directly to give that kind of guidance and insight that if you're not feeling like you're getting your needs reflected.

We do plan to post a summary document after the consultation through the *Federal* 

Register. That's something that we are trying to keep this as formalized as possible in order to honor the time and commitment that you've put into this, and we do want to make this a meaningful encounter each and every time you come to the table. So we know that this is one of the highest priorities that is in this administration at the presidential level, at the secretarial level, at our level, at the Assistant Secretary's, and we feel like this is something is a priority that we are trying to reflect what you've told us of what's important and the things that you need to address as far as fractionation.

So with that, I just would like to turn it back over to Stacie, and we are going to -- I think I'll let you do the next step.

MS. STACIE SMITH: So we've heard a request particularly for comments about this goals document. It's in your packet. So if anyone wants to refer to that directly. We are open the floor to comments from tribal leaders at the table and from those tribal members and tribal organizations and others, members of the public, anyone is welcome to make a comment.

We have two microphones out. So we do ask you to come and stand behind the microphone and speak into the microphone. Please do say your name and affiliation so that we can get that on record as well.

And then if there are tribal leaders at the table who would also like to make additional comments, you know, maybe putting up your placard is the best way to let us know you want to do that and we will sort of move back and forth. Jodi and Meghan will respond as appropriate.

So is there any -- so we will go with you, sir.

MR. ROSS RACINE: Good afternoon. My name is Ross Racine. I'm the Executive Director for Inter-Tribal Agriculture Council, it's a nonprofit organization of tribal members, tribal government members. And I've got some comments and some questions.

Number one, who determined the tribal ownership is the only solution to fractionated interest problem? That's a question.

Why has trust corporations made up of owners, each owner is a certificate owner, not

13:20:10

13:20:31 10

13:20:45 15

13:21:05 20

13:21:22 25

much different than stock owners in corporations, is not a part of this solution, or not a part of this solution?

Number three, government assimilation program and then the various allotment acts recognize individual Indian ownership. This program needs to provide individual tribal members to purchase common shares, which, by the way, is addressed by ILCA as well. In other words, I need to be able to buy out my brother without competition. If he's willing to sell to me, then I should be provided the same opportunity as all of this focus on tribes.

Now, that's may be in conflict tribes, but if we are going to retain our family lands that's been in our ownership, our family ownership, since allotments were made, we ought to be able allowed to do that. As an example, my dad is a 13th owner. There are one sister left and the rest have all been cut down. But we want to retain my grandfather and my grandfather's place, we ought to be allowed to do that.

The question, is the program going to

2.

1

3 4

13:21:41 5

> 7 8

> > 9

6

10 13:21:59

11

12

13

14

15 13:22:17

16

17

18

19

20 13:22:35

21

22

23

24

2.5 13:22:58

acknowledge that part of ILCA and provide funds for individuals to do the same thing that tribes can do?

On the education program, and that also is addressed in goal 2 c, which says focus on the largest interest per owner. Well, I think individuals should be able to be afforded that same opportunity with that goal. If I have the opportunity to buy the largest interest in my grandfather's allotment and I'm a common owner, I should be provided that opportunity.

Scholarship program. There's a resource management education program buried in Part 166 of the C.F.R. that's never been implemented. That was put into law in 1993 and the BIA has yet to implement it. My question is, can this scholarship program, some of those funds, be dedicated to developing a cadre of tribal resource management as -- managers as is spelled out in that education program?

Question on the appraisal process. Lease or permit value is impacted positively by federal programs that these lands are enrolled in, specifically wheat-based, barley-based,

peanut-based program with U.S.D.A. Whether they are an Indian lessor or a non-Indian lessor, that lease value is directly correlated with the programs, U.S.D.A. programs, that that land is enrolled in. And my question is, how are you going to take that value and fold it into the appraisal process?

Thank you for the opportunity.

MS. STACIE SMITH: Thank you. Yes.

MS. TERESA WALL McDONALD: Good afternoon. My name is Teresa Wall McDonald and I work for the Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes.

I have a comment on the goals. In conversation with our Tribal Chairman Bud Moran, he made a suggestion on the order of the goals. He thought goal No. 3 should be changed and reordered to be goal No. 1, because he said you're beginning a process with government-to-government tribal consultation anyway.

And then with goal 3, reorder A and B. That our first strategy should be to target tracts with economic development opportunity for the tribes, because we are really talking about looking into the future for the benefit

2.5

13:26:03

of all of our children and people yet to come, so let's target tracts with economic development for tribes.

And then he thought goal No. 2 was in the right place in terms of implementing a plan that is time and cost efficient. And, again, we hope that the federal processes are streamlined so that the money can be expended for the benefit of all tribes within the ten-year period.

And he would make goal No. 1 goal No. 3. But he said let's start with the consultation with the tribes, because that's where you're starting the process anyway.

And then looking at goal No. 1, earlier today we talked about what we are going to do to motivate individuals where maybe they are only receiving a \$10 check for their interest. There was one suggestion today that within the terms of the program that there be some sort of an incentive. I would like to call it a transaction cost or a closing cost.

And going back to reality, many of our tribal members come from areas where there is high unemployment, gas, transportation, many

do not have phones, those are all still the harsh realities of Indian Country. So if you have some sort of a transaction cost or closing cost where you could assist someone with the cost to come forward and complete the Salish Kootenai has done the ILCA transaction. program for several years. Lanita Matt, here in the first row, worked on getting deeds completed, and when they are very small values, and when somebody is unemployed, they have difficulty coming forward and completing that transaction. So we think that it's important to think of some sort of a closing cost or transaction cost, something that would assist them in coming forward to complete the transaction.

Thank you.

MS. STACIE SMITH: Yes.

MR. GEORGE DuCHARM: Good afternoon.

My name is George DuCharm. I'm also from the

Confederated Salish Kootenai Tribes. Those are

very good comments.

I just wanted to say that you've heard a lot of negative about the past ILCA programs. Salish Kootenai was a pilot project

2.5

13:28:58

for the ILCA program. We like to think our program was a success. We bought 338 interests. We brought five tracts into one hundred percent tribal owner. We had a mass appraisal process that was developed by OST, with the assistance of our staff. We broke up into zones, mountain zones, lake area zones, arid zone, and each of those zones had attributes that were added to valuate the appraisal process. If it had timber, it got this value. If it had water on it, it got added value. So it was really a successful program we ran.

The problem with the program was we ran out of money. And I hope that we are afforded the opportunity to rekindle that program and get back on track and acquire some of those interests. As Teresa said, the economics are tough. Those people are out there wishing they could sell those interests. We've got how many applications on file? 111 from the previous program that weren't able to service because we ran out of funds. We did have a successful program. We are very proud of it.

13:29:17

13:29:41 10

13:29:56 15

13:30:14

13:30:36 25

Thank you.

MS. STACIE SMITH: Thank you.

MS. GAY KINGMAN: Hi. My name is Gay Kingman, Executive Director of the Great Plains Tribal Association. I have instructions from our chairman that he wants to have a consultation in the Great Plains on September 13th and 14th so all of our member tribes can participate. And if that date is not feasible, it fits in between your other consultations, but we would work with you on another consultation date.

We do feel in keeping with consulting fully with the tribes, we would like that for the Great Plains tribes.

Then I was really happy to see this circle graph in the material, because we've had a difficult time in getting statistics and information out wherever it comes from. Not all of our tribes are on TAAMS. So in keeping with transparency of information and statistics, we would really like to have better information coming to the tribes, and in particular as we go forward with the land consolidation.

2.5

13:32:06

Earlier, the Great Plains Tribal
Chairman has gone on record, passed a
resolution, we do want contracts with tribal
governments or the inter-tribal organizations.

We know better on our situations than other firms that might come in from outside, and I believe Blackfeet said that same thing.

As far as appraisals, I know we already discussed that, but we are going to beholding an appraisal summit in our region about that same time, September 13, 14th, because our landowners have to go to not only the Bureau of Indian Affairs for appraisals but also to the Office of Special Trustee, and then also to BLM. So once this process starts, it gets bogged down in the bureaucracy, and that's part of what causes the delay. So we are going to be holding that appraisal workshop or conference.

And the other part of the appraisals is we want to train our own Indian people for appraisals, because they understand our land, they understand the isolation factor that we have, they understand the distances. We have all of the Indian colleges on our reservations,

2.5

13:33:38

and I talked with some of the presidents and they are looking into the possibility training our own Indian appraisers.

I would like to enter this into the record, I don't know who to give the this to, but the resolution, and then work with you on holding that consultation in the Great Plains. If not September 13th and 14th, then a date that we can come together on.

MR. ROBERT COURNOYER: Good afternoon.

This is Chairman Cournoyer from the Yankton

Sioux Reservation.

You know, there was a lot of good things said and a lot of things that we need to follow through and a lot of promises made. Like the gentleman had stated earlier, I was going to ask him can we get that in writing when he said there wouldn't be any liens on the lands that were bought with the settlement money. You know, I mean, you always say words, but it's better when you have it in writing.

I do have some testimony here, too, that I would like to submit to the record, but, you know, I really think that we are asking the tribes to weigh in on all these issues, and I

2.5

13:35:24

know it's a little bit after the fact, even though this Cobell settlement has been for the individual Indian money account holders.

You're asking us to decide for some people that maybe some of them people don't want to sell their fractionated interest. I know that I heard a lot of that testimony go on when we were at some of the AIPRA hearings, American Indian Probate Act, so we don't care only got a teaspoon of dirt and we only get 15 cents, we don't want to sell our land. Which is fine, you know.

It's about time that we clean up this mess with consolidating the lands, because I think it takes a lot of valuable resources from the BIA, because it takes millions and millions of dollars to manage these accounts. It takes time, money, effort, and I hope that this program works, and I hope when that money is freed up, the money comes back to do good to reprogram it so that it can go for other things that the tribes need. You know, a lot of us tribes, we don't have resources like oil, gas, timber, all these things. You know, some of us are just out here on the plains with no

resources other than just our land, and our land is really devalued. You know, like someone had mentioned earlier, some of the lands when it's appraised, the Indians don't get to appraise that appraised value that a non-Indian's land would get appraised. And there's a heck of a difference in price sometimes. We were trying to buy some land down in our area, some of that farm ground was going for about \$4,000 and we were trying to buy some land along the river and they wanted \$10,000 an acre. So if we want land, to buy some of our land back, they are making us pay astronomical prices. We've been putting a little money away every year to buy back these some of these fractionated interests, and buy out some these individual landowners, and we are lucky we do have some money to do that. Every year we put so much aside to do that. We also paid off our FHA commitment so we no longer owe FHA any money whatsoever. But, you know, when we did have those FHA loans, there was an ungodly amount of interest that was charged. You know, you paid almost three times what the principal was.

13:38:25

So I hate to say it, but, you know, everywhere we turn in the past we've always been gouged, you know. I mean, who's looking out for the best interests of the tribe? Who has that fiduciary trust responsibility, you know? A lot of times you don't want to say, well, it's the BIA, but in all actuality a lot of that fiduciary trust responsibility is entrusted to the BIA.

Most of our reservations we can't do anything economically because when you can't collateralize your lands, once it's in trust it prohibits you from using it for collateral for anything. So, you know, we don't have anybody stepping up to the plate and saying, oh, yeah, we want to come and develop on your reservation, we got this thing coming. But it never happens. You know, there's no way that we move forward economically, socially, there's all kinds of ways that we are still 20 to 30 years behind everybody because there is no development there. And not only that, we serve the poorest of the poor.

A lot of our people only live on 3 to \$7,000 a year. You know, who could believe

13:40:03

that in this day and age. It is still happening out there. I feel for those people and those people that get small lease checks. When you talk about YOKA, I'm glad we never got involved in that program because they did hold the liens on some of that land, and I guess a lot of them, from what I gather the pay back period was pretty great. But I just hope that we move forward on this issue because when it comes to land, we've all but been stripped and reduced down greatly in our land holdings through all of our treaties.

If we didn't sign treaties they were going to take it anyway. They did those treaties to make us feel good. I can remember when the Yankton Sioux Tribe had holdings of over 32 million acres, and through subsequent treaties all the way down to 1852, 1858 treaty, we gave up 12 million acres then, too. That reduced us down to 440,000 acres. And today we are lucky if we hold 40,000 acres, because 1892, which was ratified in the 1894 agreement, which opened up the allotment act and all those types of things on our reservation, it was supposed to protect for 30 years no land was

1

supposed to be sold, but most of our individual allotments at that time were sold or swindled or taken away from them, dishonest agents that worked for the bureau, BIA. A lot of them were land speculators, sold land, and opened up our lands to the settlers that were coming in then.

You know, coming down from having at one time 32 million to about 40,000 acres, you know, that's really disheartening. Like I said, the only thing I really feel good about is the State of South Dakota, Charles Mix County, which we live in, a lot of the small towns that are there and all the people that went against us to try to disestablish us, and we went to the Supreme Court three times with that issue, and this last time the Supreme Court denied the State of South Dakota and all the people that were against us cert. So that made us feel a little bit good. But we are almost down to no land. And if that decision. we would have went to the Supreme Court, and we haven't got very good decisions out of the Supreme Court, you know, what if they would have just disestablished us, then where would that have put us?

1

2

3

4

Even the State of South Dakota went so far as to take us off the state map. So, you know, they've done a lot of things to us. But we as Indian people, we're survivors.

And I will hand our testimony in, and Gay presented a resolution from the Great Plains region. I just wanted to say something I hope was meaningful and that you really take what we are saying here very seriously because we are in some hard tough economic times. As it is, reservations have high unemployment, and all those types of issues, and we are fighting poverty every day. A lot of our people don't worry about a lot of these things because sometimes some of those people are just worried about getting through that day and having enough food to feed their children or whatever. Those are some of -- they don't even worry about a lot of these things. That's why we have good leadership in Indian Country that speak up for them.

Well, thank you.

MS. STACIE SMITH: Yes.

MR. RYAN RUSCHE: My name is Ryan Rusche, I'm an attorney for the Assiniboine

24

2.5

13:44:11

Sioux Tribes of the Fort Peck Reservation. Our council members have asked me to provide comments on the goals as we reviewed them earlier in the week. I don't know that they were considering these official comments, but they were thoughts that the council had in looking at the goals that were provided. The tribes intend to provide you with official comments by September 16th, or whatever the deadline is.

The first thought was that any consolidation plan must adhere to the principles and not diminish the trust responsibility of the United States. Ιn particular, in developing the land consolidation plan, we must consider the existing regulations relating to the use of trust land and how those regulation also may impede economic development, land productivity, and acquisition. And I think, again, reflects that we can't stress enough as has been said here today already the need for improvements and enhancements relating to the appraisal process, which is currently hindering productivity and acquisition as many people

have already said here today.

There was also some discussion that the goals of the plan should not be designed to benefit the department, that the goals should be designed to benefit the beneficiaries. That I think with respect primarily to goal No. 2, which in a lot of ways I think there was some feeling it looked more like a Christmas list for BIA and not for the Indian landowners.

The third thought was that the plan should be flexible, that each tribe can tailor a plan that fits the need of that particular tribe and reservation and allottees on that reservation. By doing this consultation will occur between the U.S. and each member Indian tribe rather than these mass type meetings. So, in other words, the fine tuning with respect to each reservation should be done on a tribe-by-tribe basis.

The fourth is that this consultation process we hope will signify the official end to the era of BIA's use of Cobell as an excuse for not taking action on things not relating to accounting. We hope that that is a new day on that.

13:44:22

13:44:38

1 4

13:44:55

13:45:09 20

13:45:25 25

2.5

13:46:31

Next, any savings to the federal government as a result of the land consolidation plan, the reduction of fractionation, that those savings should be passed along or reprogrammed to tribes for land purchases. The plan should have tools necessary to remediate environmental problems or other encumbrances like liens, water liens, those types of things, which are associated with lands identified for consolidation. compromised land, environmentally or whatever, should not be excluded from the process with the goal of simply trying to rush through the easiest tracts for purchase, because it seems like that would leave the tribes stuck with having to deal with the harder transactions at the end once the money is all gone and people may have changed. So we think there should be a balance there, or at least it is something that should be factored in. And, again, these are not the official comments, these are some of the thoughts that have arisen while reviewing the goals.

Finally, we think it's critically important that tribes be provided with maps or

lists of the lands you've identified as fractionated, or highly fractionated, so we can put them into maps and begin our review for upcoming acquisitions. We think this should happen now even before the plan is finalized, because otherwise we are concerned that we may be forced to make quick decisions when really there should be no reason why we wouldn't be able to review that now and start formulating a plan of our own.

So, again, we will try to have official comments to the goals by the deadline.

MS. ANITA MATT: Good afternoon. My name is Anita Matt, Confederated Salish Kootenai Tribes. I just wanted to mention that with the appraisal there's a difference in every reservation. Our reservation, which is a the Flathead Reservation, sits between the two highest growing counties, which rises up our prices. We don't have the problem with them reducing our appraisals, it's jacking the prices up. So land can be anywhere from 4 to \$10,000 an acre.

So when you're looking at comparables of \$350 an acre, \$250, or \$700 an acre, there's

2.5

13:49:24

a lot of difference. So I'm hoping that when they look -- when they start separating the money out and obligating to different tribes, they look at the land prices, because it cost us a lot more per acre than it would in eastern Montana.

Another thing I'd like to comment on, I'm hoping departments start working together. Ross mentioned U.S.D.A. There was an individual talking about programs with the U.S.D.A. on loans. The whole idea, whoever the landowner is, has the right utilize his own property. So if we can find ways to get the majority owner, that sure helps. So that was just one other comment that I had about everybody working together.

Thank you.

MR. JIM SHAKESPEAR: Thank you very much again. I'm glad I could be here today to speak on behalf of the Northern Arapahoe Tribe. My name is Jim Shakespear. Recently I was just voted a month ago by my peers to chair the tribe's business council.

But a couple of things. You know, our reservation in Wind River, we are really

13:49:42

13:50:10 10

13:50:27 15

13:50:46

13:51:05 25

unique, we share the reservation with our neighbors, the Eastern Shoshone pretty much, and we share what they call the joint resource. And the land is basically, you know, a joint resource that is managed by the BIA.

A couple things I'd like to comment on. The things that I hear today basically is, you know, time is of the essence. From what we understand from expedite, front load, you know, streamline. So, you know, like I said, you know, we look at this pie here and we can see, you know, being from the Rocky Mountain Region we are probably the second highest pieces on the pie here that is the fractionated interests on our reservations.

But I'd like to -- like I said, when it comes down to deciding, you know, how we are going to do this and whatnot, I would just like to speak on -- you know, actually, I sit on the Land Resource Committee, too, for the Bureau of Indian Affairs, from the tribal standpoint, and our tribe, too, we do a lot of land exchanges, you know, basically. And how this is going to affect that, you know, that's another, I think, piece of the puzzle where how this is going to

work.

But I would just like to add that, and actually it's got to be very -- you know, it's got to be something that's got to be worked out, really thought through, with all the tribes that are involved.

All right. Thank you.

MS. STACIE SMITH: Thank you.

MR. BUM STIFFARM: Good afternoon.

My name is Bum Stiffarm. I work as the chief administrative officer for the Fort Belknap Indian community, and I've asked President King if I could say a few things.

Jodi, you must have heard us in Las Vegas, and welcome to Montana for your first consultation meeting. We kind of got after her down there because they never had anything in Billings or in Rapid, so welcome here, Jodi, good to see you again.

The majority of the people here sound like they are pretty skeptical of the bureau in handling the land consolidation component of the Cobell case. I'm just as skeptical. You know, they are the ones that caused the problem. They are the reason why we are here.

13:51:19

13:51:28

13:51:43 15

13:51:54 20

13:52:10 25

13:53:25

And why put the fox back in the hen house, you know? I was taught by a good friend of mine from Oklahoma one time, he said, you know, Bum, he said, if it walks like a duck and it sounds like a duck, then it must be a duck. Kind of told me to be a straight shooter, so that's what I try to do.

This 15 percent administrative costs that are going into these funds, the \$1.9 billion is going to be reduced to \$1.6 billion. The money has been judged by the court to go to land purchases. Well, you are already losing a bunch of money with this 15 percent. It's the bureau's responsibility to do a lot of this work. Any funding coming from the Cobell case, and I can bet you Elouise never intended to reduce the bureau budget and bureau responsibility.

I commend President King from Fort Belknap and also Councilman Jay St. Goddard from Blackfeet for saying that. And you have to listen to them.

There is a funding mechanism in place. As treaty tribes, we were allocated small amounts of money for land that was taken,

2.5

13:54:39

just like the gentleman said. We do have money in some of our tribal coffers that still represents the taking of our land. But there's a funding mechanism in place. If they are not going to allow us to 638 any of this, use that funding mechanism because it works. We've developed simple programs using these funds, burial programs, social programs, educational programs. So that's a mechanism that can work.

At Fort Belknap over the past few years we've completed 300 appraisals and we are really proud and we can model that program and hopefully the other tribes will contact us and we will give them that information how we are going to do it.

That's not the problem. The problem is when we send these 300 appraisals to Billings. OST sits on them for whatever reason. Underfunded, undermanned, whatever. But that's where the problem is. It's in these area offices. And I told big Ed I was going to bash him, he's still my friend, but that component isn't working here at the regional office. I can only speak for Billings, I'm not sure what's happening anywhere else. But

that's where the problem lies.

13:54:57 5

13:55:14 10

13:55:28 15

13:55:42 20

13:55:58 25

So I'd like to get the BIA thoughts how you're going to administer the 15 percent. Mr. Hayes said it's not enough money. That's a heck of a lot of money, you figure it out here. So I was wondering when is the bureau going to come up with their plan? We have October 15th to finish our tribal consultations. When is the bureau going to come up with their plan? Or are they already working on it? It seems like they should be. So give us a date when you're going to come up with the plan.

But I'm glad my good friend Majel talked about the educational component. I was the past president of the National Indian Education Association in the '90s, so I know a little bit about Indian. I don't believe one organization, the American Indian College Fund, should be given the opportunity solely to come up with a mechanism for the funding of this \$60 million. The reason I say that there's a number of good Indian educational organizations and associations out there that have responsibilities for different students, whether they be Head Start, kindergarten

through K-12, and higher ed. I don't have anything against American Indian College Fund, but I'm thinking they only represent the tribal colleges, and I could be wrong. I don't have anything against tribal colleges. The Salish people with their college over there, they have my daughter in their college, and I thank them every day. But I don't think the American Indian College Fund is the sole organization that should do that.

Everybody can testify that we have many, many needs in Indian education. At Fort Belknap, we only get \$350,000. Our true need is about \$6 million to fund all of our college students. And they get by \$400 a quarter, \$600 if you're a sophomore more and so on, but those are just some the needs.

As President King said, we have public schools that are failing our students. We have colleges that maybe aren't failing but they don't have enough money to provide a quality educational program.

So if you're going to do this commission, do it wisely and spread the commission members unique ly across the country

so we can have good representation from everyone.

The last thing I'd like to share with you is that if you don't have a plan, if you don't have a plan, our tribe, like many others, have the capacity now to develop and operate their owned land consolidation programs. We have that. Like Councilman Kirn from Fort Peck was saying, we now have that knowledge and capacity and we can do it. But we need that funding directly to go to tribes.

Thank you for that opportunity. (Applause.)

MS. STACIE SMITH: Yes, sir.

ALEC SANDCRANE: Thank you. One of the things I heard, correct me if I'm wrong, they were saying with this money we can't purchase fee land on our reservations. Why is that? Because it was once tribal lands. We've had some lands there where tribal members put them in fee to get loans and they lose them in auctions. Yet they are still in the interior boundaries of our reservations. And now we've got some of these people who have bought these fee lands coming to us and want to sell them

13:57:28 13:57:46

13:58:04

13:58:33 20

13:58:49 25

2.5

14:00:13

back to the tribal. Yet we don't have the money to buy them. And when I heard of this, I thought, all right, now we are going to have some money to buy some of these fee lands back from these individuals, but now I hear we can't use that money in that way.

And that brings up another question. You're talking about individual fractionated lands. Does this include people who are single owners? Believe it or not, we still have single owner people with their full allotted properties. Or maybe just two of them own the 160 acres. Does this include them also?

Also, is their program where they are doing land exchanges over there in Arapahoe Shoshone Reservation? I've asked this question, and I still haven't gotten an answer. If we can do that, some of us that do have fractionated land that we don't want to sell but we can't use the land because it's so far away up in the middle of nowhere? We've got tribal members coming and asking me, can we trade our land so that we can for a little piece along the highway where we can put our house? Because right now the land I have is 15

3

1

4

5

6

7

9

14:00:26

8

14:00:47 10

11 12

13

14

14:01:06 15

16

17

18

19

21

14:01:25

22

20

23

24

14:01:45 25

miles out in the middle of nowhere. I don't have a car. I can't walk that far. So these are some of the questions I'm asking.

I've been told by one person, no, you can't do that. And there's another one that says, yes, you can do that. So which is it?

Yes, we can we trade the tribe land, or not?

Another question I have, at the time of the establishment of our reservation we had tribal members that had been granted lands just like any other white person off the reservation, mainly up in the Otter Creek area. Yet, when they established the reservation, they forced these people to move on to the reservation and give up their -- I can't remember what you call them, these little plots of land that they had and had worked and established for one year and it's supposed to be their land. Yet, they were forced to move. We've asked for records for these, and nobody can seem to find any. What avenue do these claimants, tribal members, have to find these These are some the questions I've records? been asked to ask.

I guess that's about it for now.

2.5

14:03:11

MS. JODI GILLETTE: There was one question about the ability for the department to use this funding for the purchase of fee lands, fee simple lands, that are not restricted, and it can't be used because we are tracking the Indian Lands Consolidation Act. The settlement says we have to follow that act, and that act, when it was passed, was for the purpose of reducing fractionation of Indian lands that are already in there. So that's pretty much -- you know, we have to, and we are not going -- we are not addressing that here in this consultation.

The other thing is that -- I could be wrong, I don't think anything in ILCA excludes single owners. I think the purpose, anything that has the potential to fractionate I believe it is eligible, and a single owner can fractionate eventually. So I don't think it excludes that.

And then as far as the exchange of tribal lands, I know that each tribe is unique so I'm not going to comment on that, but I know that it does happen in other places. But specifically for Northern Cheyenne I can't

answer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14:03:26

14:03:39

14:03:52

14:04:08

14:04:23

MS. MAJEL RUSSELL: This is Majel I guess following up on that, Jodi, I quess one of the other specific answers we've been looking for today is if you can buy interest greater than 2 percent, you know, if you're going to stay focused on 2 percent or less, or if we are going to be able to basically expand from just the 2 percent or less interest, and what you just said basically that a one over one interest may not be excluded to prevent that land from fractionating, that would be a major expansion from the focus on 2 percent or less. think that would be critical, because right now a lot of our tribes have a whole number of applications sitting there of people who want a fee patent and want to sell their land. Unfortunately, that's a miserable reality for a lot of us in Indian Country is that people are poor and there are no opportunities, so they are looking to get a fee patent and sell their And one of the things tribes could do, land. or the department could do for the tribe, is to purchase those interests before they go into

fee. It would basically be a purchase to prevent loss of land into fee status. And I think there's provisions in ILCA that allow for that. So I'm wondering if that might even be a considered use of the fund.

And then finally, another area that I think is a very beneficial tool in AIPRA is purchase at probate, and I haven't heard anything yet about that. And I'm wondering if we are going to be allowed to use these funds to purchase tracts at probate. And I understand that Salish Kootenai in particular when they received ILCA funds were unable to purchase tracts at probate using ILCA funds. So purchase at probate is a great tool that we would be using widely if we could actually access funds. So that's another area I'm wondering if we can use funds to go in at probate or have you go in at probate and purchase these tracts for tribes.

MS. STACIE SMITH: Thank you. Sir.

MS. JODI GILLETTE: I just wanted to respond. We are in an opening mode right now.

We haven't made a decision yet. What we have made out in our goals, if you have any

particular order, I would appreciate the chairman from Salish Kootenai (inaudible) that is because of what -- (inaudible.) And how that's defined isn't in this settlement. We would like to know what you think.

So we are open. We are not putting out a hard number. We haven't made any decisions. So if there's things that we can do, you know, those are certainly -- your suggestion is well taken.

MR. JAMES DELACRU: Good afternoon.

I'm like a fish out of water over here. James

Delacru. I'm from the Quinault Indian Nation
in the State of Washington. Coming here I'm
joined by Councilman Johnson. Our being here I
didn't realize there was going to be regional
meetings. So we look forward to our time in
Seattle. I'm going to my comments brief here
today.

One thing that is happening on the Quinalt Indian Reservation, we are strapped sometime by the Forest Service environmental issues, spotted owl, these type of things cost our tribe and our tribal members a lot of dollars. I am an allottee or have inheritance

14:09:07

and my brothers and sisters probably lost over \$300,000 of possible timber harvest because of riparian zones. So essentially those are takings from either the tribe or tribal members. Whether it's part of Cobell or not, we need to find a way to purchase these parcels from either the tribal member allottee or reimburse the process somehow to address that Thank you. concern.

MR. TRACY "CHING" KING: My name is Tracy "Ching" King, I'm the president of the Fort Belknap Assiniboine Grovant Nations.

One of the big concerns I have, some of us have irrigation lands that are undivided and ruined with saline seep and other things. It's hard to get that land out. I mean, if you tried to grow knapweed or leafy spurge, I don't think that would even grow in some of the ruined lands. You know, you kind of look at that, you know, I used to work for the BIA, I couldn't get cloned so they got rid of me. one of the things that I see, you know, a lot of this land that our elders or people with limited income, they couldn't lease their land, so at the time back in the summer of '86, 1986,

14:10:58

they leased a lot of the land out to non-Indians, and they waived the water -- the O and M. So the O and M was put on the landowner and the land was at \$2 an acre, and they ran a lot of cows along the river. And so as a result they passed a law that now they could come after your social security, anything, garnish it, it goes to the U.S. Treasury.

So those kind of practices, you know, and I even told Elouise Cobell back in August of '89, we buried a lot of records, receipts, you know, on our old dump site. They can't fire me now because they did a long time ago, so I had to bring that up.

But one of the things you see a lot of the wrong doings, I couldn't get myself -- I had enough of it, so I had to move on, but I even appraised land with my mentoring of Margie Bell Azure, we did IPA per position to get ready for this. So I looked at some of the land classifications, and that's going to be a big concern, that process, if you can't irrigate it, and there's lands out there, where if you just pay the O and M and dollar an acre, then so be it, you know. So we are kind of

3

4

5

14:11:18

6

7 8

9

10

14:11:34

11

12

13 14

15 14:11:58

16

17

18 19

20

14:12:18

21

22

23

24

2.5 14:12:38

getting trapped and smothered by the different practices. And those are huge issues that we have to look at.

I mean, you ask for our input as tribal leaders, but, you know, that's why we want to know if we could buy land, because a lot of the undivided interests within Fort Belknap, some of our representatives, non-Indians sat at the courthouse and they bought up a lot of those undivided interests when that portion came into the fee. So those kind of things, I have a hard time, you know, we are limited. And you ask for our input, but then soon you use the law against us. think our comments regarding that need to be taken serious.

A lot of us tribes, you know, we have a lot of foreclosures because of the Keeps We had a non-Indians working with their bankers to steal the land. Give you a big loan and then turn around and foreclose on you. So if you look at Fort Belknap there's huge swaths of land that these bankers stole from us. Ι got to thinking, hell, our people who are on general assistance and GA, we have members that

are now felons over two or three hundred dollars, and so they become felons over trying to make it, and then on the other hand these bankers worked with U.S.D.A. and they use the stroke of their pen to sell people out and then they own, these non-Indians, own our land. But they don't go to jail. We get a settlement. They will don't go to jail, you know. So I have a hard time with that when I see our people struggling.

You know, you see non-Indians farm and ranch and I've seen them favored on our reservation. So our people have to struggle, but they become millionaires on Fort Belknap. I'm probably saying they do the same on other reservations, plus they get our land. You know, to me that's very offensive that those -- I mean, it's easier, faster, to put your land into fee than it is to get it back into trust. I mean, the application process they will hand carry everything. And so those kind of practices, I mean, the appraisal system, everything has to look at a lot of the problems.

But I have a hard time when I see -- with the help of U.S.D.A., BIA, they want to

take our land, you know. And IHS, they want to kill us. The department of education, they want to keep us uneducated. Then the Department of Justice they want to lock us up. So we don't have a chance. It should be the other way around, start prosecuting these guys that steal our land and steal our crops, our oil and gas. They get bailed out with these settlements. We go to jail, you know. That's very offensive. So it's time that we see some economic flourishing on our reservations instead of seeing our people starving.

Look at some of our people that are on the streets. They are still people. Something like that, I see them struggling to survive because maybe they were an abused child, or got beat up in the boarding school or raped or something, you know, and yet we don't have anything for them to help them become better citizens in our community to help them.

But I'm really thankful that Jodi, you know, Jodi really helped us a lot when she was in the White House. I've always e-mailed her and called her and she's always given me an answer when I had some. I'm glad she's over on

4

6

7

8

10

5 14:15:59

9

11

14:16:21

12 13

14

14:16:39

16

17

15

18 19

20

14:16:48

21

22

23

24

2.5 14:17:04

this side to help us push this. Thank you, Jodi, for being here.

I'm hoping that I could some day trust the trust responsibility of our trust assets here and that you guys could let my guard down, so I wouldn't have to fight. Thank you.

MR. JAY ST. GODDARD: There's a lot of comments that still need to be addressed. There's a lot of things that go in depth to the Elouise Cobell case that we will probably have to address later, that we don't foresee, such as this education scholarship ship thing. can see where it could cause problems. And \$60 million dollars is a lot of dollars that could go toward land purchase. I don't know what it's going to doprobably take a act of I guess they see it as their money. Congress. Although they stole it, they're telling us how Maybe a scholarship could go to spend it. towards some issues that that Mr. C brought up. Allow families to buy their land back, too. we need to work with these.

But in the beginning, maybe even before this process, I respect Mr. Cedric, Crow

Chairman. I respect him a lot. But out of respect to us as tribal leaders, I think their ceremony could have took place at a different time. The man we should be talking to should have stayed here. They always try to get away and exit out of these consultations. And my request is that they be at the next two or three and they stay there until we are done. Because this stuff is handed down to lower level people. We as tribal leaders I feel on the same level as these individuals. And to me where is the hell is this government-to-government going?

In some cases it works, some cases it don't, but overall it hasn't been working. So we talk about time constraints. Mr. Obama's term, we don't know if he will be there for the next four, but we ain't got time. I think these five people need to be appointed before the next consultation. They should have been at this table already with us. Because we don't we know what our needs are, and the more we point our problems to you guys, write them down, it takes longer. Listen to us, because by the time you get all your feedback and it

21

14:19:34

23

24

20

14:19:49 25

comes to us, it's going to be an election, somebody is going to be different. We don't know. Hope not. But the five people need to be put in now. These people brought some requests, look at it. I got a recommendation that our land director, Mr. Martin McGee, who is here, be put on that panel, too.

But stated earlier, what is stumbling tribes up is the C.F.R. And right off the bat in the back here, requisition to apply, that darn C.F.R. booklet, where you guys copy things. They go by that stuff. That's what's really holding us up. But these guys touch on some stuff. I know the Indian word pushed by Montana legislature, that the word "squaw" be removed from the language. But I'll be blunt about it, we had on the Blackfeet reservation, I'm sure all these other tribes had it, we call them squawmen. They married our Indian women to benefit their own purchase lands, and now that's what's going on in Blackfeet country. Guys are getting old, dying off, their spouses might have died. But here we are because the white man benefited all the benefits of our ag programs, our FSA loans. We have to struggle

to buy these big large pieces of land back and we don't have the money. That's why we can't mess around, all this litigation, whatever. Mr. Black should sit through this whole thing if he's over everybody. It's like Ching said, it's who you brown nose to get to the top of the level, but when we put them in these positions they don't know what the hell they They can jump from realty, are doing. irrigation, but you put them on the top level, what are they doing? They are trying to go over something that they should have built from the ground up. Still going on here. That's why we have those problems in Blackfeet. guess it's going to take a resolution to ask those people to move on.

That's what hurts us in Indian

Country. You guys send out these
superintendents, these deputy secretaries, they
get big headed. I guess they got the old
saying they are going to boss Indians around.

They create their own agendas beyond the C.F.R.

That makes it hard on us. That's where I don't
want to see this go. That's why I'm speaking
up. I'm glad Mr. Stiffarm spoke up and

supported Tracy's words and mine. We are not here to be nice. They might have won a lawsuit, but like we said over lunch, my councilman also spoke, why are we allowing you guys to administer what they screwed up? It should be run by the tribes. Not you folks, us. You guys put us through too damn many hurdles all the time.

Elouise Cobell, Blackfeet Indian, she wasn't a bureau worker, she wasn't OST. She battled for individual allottees, tribes. She won the case. Let us administer it the way we think it should be so can we move on in a quicker manner. Because there's so many issues through here. We already got, 60 sheets of paper. And it's our first consultation. Next one let's come back with some answers, some avenues that's going to work for the tribes.

You got your pie chart here, I'm not speaking against any tribe, but we see the numbers, percentages there. Let's start there. Because right now as the consultation is going on, we are probably going to lose one of those huge ranches to another nonmember. Maybe a Hutterite colony. They already bought up \$6

million dollars worth of land on the Blackfeet reservation. But the more loopholes you put in front of us, or allow your people to lull you, the regional office, the local BIA agencies, to have a say over this process, it isn't going to work, it's going to take longer and longer, and another Congress will come in and pretty quick we will lose this allocation, lose this hard fought money. I'm very adamant about getting this done. Let us do it. Why are we all sitting at this table and you are listening to us and then we hand it over to you to tell us how to spend it? In the first place, not you people, but the people before you screwed it I just don't understand when an Indian gets a step above, they've got to be knocked back down. We get one victory and we get knocked down again.

I hope this consultation process speeds along. The less Interior we see up there and the more tribal people that know what we are doing, you educated us. We have to do what we have learned. We got people on our staff, I know, can do a heck of a lot better job than the bureau. So allow us to do that.

2.5

14:25:20

Get these five people appointed and have them by the next consultation. I encourage tribes from this meeting get your ideas, get your requests forward. I think we are just kind of barking in the air. Some of these are already set in stone. And I know the formality of the Interior, well, let's hear them out, but we are still going to do what we are going to do. I hope that ain't the issue here.

I've been here before, seen where things slip through the cracks. I give you an example. Mr. Swimmer was pretty hard fellow to just sit there and took a lot of heat. Nothing was done. This appraisal issue went to OST, nothing was done. That was supposed to be a big plan to help all the appraisers. But that didn't take place.

And we are still talking about appraisal. They took it out of BIA and moved it over to OST. To me they didn't do things to muddy up the waters. But my next question is from an elder. What about these government parcels that were taken from individuals? Can we buy those back or are you just going to give them back, or do we have to buy them? There

are some parcels that were lost by individual ranchers because they couldn't pay for them 0 and M charges from an irrigation ditch that was built 50, 60 years ago, and water never did run through it. And some to date some of those people are still being billed for that. I don't think we should have to buy those back. They should be given back to that family or whoever had them. I know there's one or two parcels that people still talk about.

But you know there's a lot of good comments. I guess that's why we are elected and we are always asked to step up to talk, because a lot of tribal leaders, they stand back and kind of go with the flow. But I would hope that this body listens and gets it back so Salazar, that we can't be messing around with consultation after consultation. Let's start taking some action from today on. Listen to some of these requests and put these people in If it's one, if it's two, you don't place. have to have all five in place. But, I know you guys will go back and say, oh, we got to go by this nice little C.F.R. paper, all the rigorous paragraphs, the things we can't do.

Let's take the request from the tribes and put these people in place. And I know we can move forward a lot more faster to get this start paying, because the longer we take the more we are going to lose land.

There's a lot more issues here and they will probably be followed up in a letter. We'll go back and write up all our requests and information. I'm not picking on you guys. I know, Jodi, you are working hard, Meghan, but, again, I'm going to say, Mr. Salazar and Mr. Black, they should be sitting at the table. They can't be getting up and heading out.

Thank you.

MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Okay. I just want to thank you for your comments. I know Mr. Black, but I understand your point.

I just wanted to react to a few of the comments I've heard in the last hour, see if I can clarify a few things.

First of all, there have been several comments about the order of the goals document that we provided to you. And that point is well taken. I think that in drafting the goals document that was not meant to be an order of

1

3

4

5

14:28:20

6

7

8

9

10 14:28:36

11

13

14

12

15

14:28:53

16

17

18 19

20 14:29:08

21

22 23

24

2.5 14:29:21

importance, but I think that that is a very valid point, and I wanted to thank those of you who have made that point.

I also wanted to thank George from the Flathead for his comments, letting us know about the success of the ILCA program. you are from I think it's good to hear there are some good examples out there where we learn where this program has been successful and see how mass appraisal techniques worked.

Also, Ryan, I just wanted to clarify. You made a comment about the intent about goal No. 2, which is the potential goal of implementing a plan that's time and cost efficient. I think all that we were intending to get out there is the issue of the fact that we are limited to 15 percent of the cost for administrative costs, which comes to about \$285 million as David Hayes was talking about. we need to be cognizant of trying to keep the administrative costs as low as we can, making sure as much of this money as is possible can go into the right hands.

And also the time limitation of trying to expend this within ten years.

3

4

5

was the intent there, just to clarify that for you.

14:29:32

6 7

8

9

14:29:49 10

12

14

13

14:30:01 15

16

17

18 19

14:30:16 20

21

22

23

24

14:30:41 25

And then lastly, Jay, your comments about the secretarial commission on trust administration and reform. While it's not the focus of today's consultation, I just wanted to let you know that the call for nominations for people to serve on this five-person commission, that deadline is for August 8th, as you see from the Federal Register notice. There is an address there for you to send your written suggestions for people to serve on the I'm actually the one who is commission. receiving them. I did get the written suggestions from the Rosebud Sioux, but I would be happy to, if anyone has written nominations for people that they would offer to me today, I'm more than happy to serve them.

MS. STACIE SMITH: Why don't we take one more comment and we will take a short break and come back.

MR. JIM FIELDS: Jim Fields again with the Pawnee nation of Oklahoma. Sitting here and I was just amazed the process we are going through historically. I'm an old

2.5

14:32:00

bureaucrat. Spent almost 40 years in the bureau. When I came in the bureau in the middle '60s right out of college, if you looked around at the Bureau of Indian Affairs you saw all the superintendents and all the decision makers were white people. And I think it was only through the Indian preference law in the middle '70s where we have Native Americans and Indians serving in those key potions. And I'm glad to see today that we have from the Assistant Secretary on down Native Americans.

If you look historically, and I can sympathize with those comments regarding not trusting the federal government. Because that's what the relationship is, it's a process or a history of nontrust. You know, you look at even consultation, historically how do you consult with us? Well, you consult with us historically by publishing in the Federal Register and then you come out and you listen to our comments, and then you go ahead and do what you want to do anyway. You seem to have the attitude historically of you knowing what's best for us and what's going for us. I really appreciate the comments from the tribal leaders

2.5

14:33:18

who said, this is our turn, come out and not only listen to us, but make us a part of the decision process. I think that's what's not been happening in Indian Country, we are not being a part of the decision making. it's because you don't think we know enough or educated enough, but we have a wealth of experience. You know, I can take each of these issues, like appraisals and, say, well, do you factor in the cost, might being of cultural Is there a value to this land value to it. that goes beyond what you consider just to be the cost of the land. You know, the land to us is really sacred. And it means a lot when you have a history with it. And we are caretakers of the land. But that don't seem to be the non-Indian perspective on land. It's what is the value.

You know, in talking about these trust accounts, I can recall when I was working my way up through the bureau back in the '60s and early '70s where you had to supervise account holders who may have money in their accounts and they would come into the agency, whether the administrative officer or

superintendent who was a white person, you actually had to lie to him, her, to acquire your own money. I can recall, for example, having to go to the local merchant and say, give me a receipt for a refrigerator, and I'll give you \$150 just for that receipt, because they might have needed the money for other purposes that they determined that they needed, but it didn't fly with the BIA, they said, well, know, you need to buy furniture or buy land -- I mean, not land, but by appliances or something. So they actually went and lied to But that was -- to me that's atrocious, them. because you had to lie to get your own money. That was something that just was detestable to me, but that's what they did. That was the attitude. You have to appreciate, especially you younger people who haven't been in the bureau who don't have the historical knowledge of what the relationship was historically.

You know, you talk about what we call the trust doctrine. In the trust doctrine it really is based on we trust you to take care of us. That's basically what the trust doctrine is. It's a trust that we placed on you to take

1

3

4

5

6

14:34:52

7

8

9

10 14:35:08

11

12 13

14

15 14:35:30

16

17

18 19

20

14:35:51

21

22

23

24

2.5 14:36:06

care of us and do it in our best interests with our consultation and with our involvement in the process. But that has not happened. failed in carrying out your trust responsibility.

You know, in Oklahoma we have laws on the books that still on the books that we have forced partitioning of Indian land, we have undivided interest, where if you have a one non-Indian owner, he can force the other owners to sell. That's still on the books for the Five Civilized Tribes. You say how does a non-Indian acquire an undivided interest. Well, they do it because maybe an Indian has some legal issues and he doesn't have the means to pay, so a lawyer would step up, and this happened, will step and say I'll do this case, you deed me your 2 acres or your undivided interest. And so they do it. And turn around and they apply for a forced partitioning of that land. And it's done in state courts, no less.

We have in Oklahoma what we call squatter rights. To me I still don't understand how it happens, but it's a law called a 47 Act where a white person can come

into Indian land and settle on it and after 15 years they can acquire ownership of that property. That's trust and restricted property through state courts. So you say, well, how does that happen? Well, it happens because when we went through the allotment beginning in 1906, 19 million acres were allotted in east Oklahoma to the five tribes. And within 20 years of that, 16 million acres that had gone out from Indian ownership, primarily by this method which I just shared with you. The thing is these things are still on the books. We still divide land that way.

Now, this happened, this Indian lady living on a house, undivided land, she might have owned 40 acres -- 5 acres of a 40-acre tract, her home was there, and in one non-Indian owner went through a forced partition action and actually gained title to her property. Now, she got the money, they sold the land. You know, in Indian Country land is hard to divide. Undivided interest is terribly hard to divide because land is not equal. So what's easy to divide is money. So what happens in these cases is the state judge

orders its sold. Sold for value. And then the value, that money is divided up between the Indian owners.

And the thing is that's still

14:37:55

happening today. This lady, and it happens every day, lose their Indian ownership in property. So I don't know how you address

those issues, but there's still issues today.

14:38:07

14:38:20 15

14:38:36

14:38:49 25

Now, I know that you are federal employees and I've been in the federal government, and when I sat either as a superintendent or regional director, I sat there and I thought, you know, if I could speak up and defend these interests, but I couldn't because I was a federal employee. And as you all know, you don't go against -- but it was good that President Obama recently issued an executive order as far as consultation, because in the last couple years, or year since you issued it, I guess, man, we've been getting consultation out of the woodwork. We've have

people consulting with us who haven't even

of Defense coming over here and wanting

consultation meetings, agriculture, HUD,

thought of us before. We have the Department

2

3

4

5

6

14:39:01

8

7

9 10

14:39:14

11

12 13

14

15 14:39:28

> 16 17

18

19

14:39:44

21

22

20

23

24

2.5 14:40:00

Education, they all call come to us now wanting to comply with the President's executive other.

But thing that we have yet to see is what happens next. It's good to come to us and ask us, but like this gentleman over here said, it doesn't mean anything. You turn around and do what you want to do anyway. So I think it's time that you recognize, really, our sovereignty. If you talk about government-to-government relationship, then let's practice that. not come out to us and tell us what's best for us, because you done that since we were defeated by the United States.

But these are comments that I had, and I think as we go throughout Indian Country, especially you younger people, you need to really look at the federal tribal relationship and really understand how these people had these heart felt comments, and they are from the heart. I hope that you listen to us and that it makes a difference. And I suggest we've yet to see is what difference does it make.

I talked to one other tribal leader here during the break and we are getting into

1 other areas rather than fractionation. That's 2 what your concerned with. But, you know, for us, this is the first crack at the apple 3 because this is the tip of the iceberg. 4 There's so many other issues that is not on the 14:40:14 5 table now that we have really concerns about. 6 And I think in the end, you know, I've always 7 said, I've always said in my years, my dad was 8 a tribal chairman and tribal leader back in the 9 '60s and '70s, I used to sort of not make fun 10 14:40:35 of him but tease him a lot, he said just wait, 11 son, one of these days... You have to excuse 12 me, the emotions. You know with the Pawnees, 13 like, for example, what was taken from us, we 14 15 were removed to Oklahoma in the 1870s, and with 14:41:10 16 me personally that was my grandfather. Both my grandparents were born in Nebraska and died in 17 Oklahoma, and I know we are in the process now 18 trying to acquire land back in Nebraska, which 19 is our traditional homeland, and I know a year 20 14:41:49 ago Kearney, Nebraska, we had several pieces of 21 land that was donated to us by people around 22 the Kearney, Nebraska, area, so we are going 23 through that trust acquisition process with him 24 in Nebraska, and I know they opened up a 2.5 14:42:15

7

6

1

8

9

14:42:57 10

11 12

13

14

14:43:13 15

16

17

18

20

19

21

14:43:32

22

23

24

14:43:47 25

visitation with us a little over a year ago, and when we went there it was in June, they had big signs across the community says, "Welcome home, Pawnee." And I think that meant a lot. We just hope the government steps forth in these efforts, because to us our homeland is in Nebraska, and we were forced to move where we are now.

But that's the way it is with all Oklahoma tribes. I think every tribe in Oklahoma has their trail of tears. And these treaties that were forced on us, and I think treaty itself is a joke, you know, because you told us in the treaties you were going to take our land, and you were going to give all these things to us. If you read these treaties, you are going to provide social services and education and to a point where our living is comparable to other Americans. I tell you right now this never happened. If you look at statistics, I'm quoting stuff that all of us know, we look your death rates, health rates, they are the lowest of all Americans, per capita income is low.

So I really applaud this effort by

2.5

14:45:13

the United States through President Obama to try to rectify these things. And I know you can't do this overnight, but I just applaud this. And I hope that it's just not another false promise. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. STACIE SMITH: Thank you.

MS. JODI GILLETTE: Thank you for those comments. I don't ever want you to feel like you have to apologize for getting emotional. I know that this isn't always easy for a lot of our leaders and a lot of our people. And that's just something that it's part of being human, sometimes these things just come out. So I just don't want to feel like it's a bad thing.

I do think that we are all hopeful, like you said, that was a key word that keeps coming back to me that we can take this as an opportunity or we can change things the way will be in the future, or we can say things are just going to keep going back. I think part of the reason we are all here today is to try and get it to where everyone is thinking that this can result in good and it can result in

14:45:31

something positive. And I say this over and over that we can't do it without your feedback. We really are genuinely sincere when we say that we need suggestions, we need feedback, we need comments, we need honestly where things aren't working. We like to hear where things are working well but we also need to know where things are not working. And even most importantly can we do, what are some proactive things that we can do to fix them.

MS. STACIE SMITH: I think with that we will take a 15-minute break. So if people want to get up and stretch your legs, we'll come on back here about 3 o'clock. We'll have another hour to talk together this afternoon.

Thanks.

(Recess.)

MS. STACIE SMITH: Okay, we are going to get started again.

Thank you everybody. I know it's been a long day and we had an early start. We've had short breaks and we've kept you to them.

We've got about another 40 minutes or so for comments and then we'll take a couple

1 2

3

5

6

4

15:10:40

7

8

9

10 15:10:58

11

12

13

15

14

15:11:16

16

17

18

19

20 15:11:29

22

21

23

24

2.5 15:11:47

minutes at the end to let the department give their final thoughts as well.

There's been a really nice range of topics that have been raised. Some very clear themes that people are concerned about. some very directed suggestions to the department about how to administer this program and how to make it really work for the tribes. So take about another 30 minutes, if we have that much of comment.

Yes, sir.

MR. STEVE WILES: Steve Wiles from Northern Arapahoe Tribe following up on Chairman Shakespear's comments about implementation. The Northern Arapahoe Tribe would certainly like to administer this program for its people. I think a lot of the points have been touched on specifically that cover a lot of reservations. One of them is the split estate issue. On our reservation we have split mineral and surface estates. The tribe would certainly be interested in acquiring either one of those, or both of those estates.

The problem with getting people in to sign on for small amounts of money, in the past

we have implemented an incentive program. The lady earlier called it a closing cost or a transaction fee. There needs to be some type of program such as that set up, whether it can come through the settlement statement or has to be initiated by an individual tribe. When you're paying people 3 dollars, 7 dollars, 22 dollars for an interest in property, it doesn't -- they don't respond unless they have something real there. And the suggestion earlier by the department was that giving to the scholarship fund might be enough. I don't think it probably will be.

So we are very interested in exploring setting up a transaction cost or some incentive fee to get people in to sign the paperwork on these items. I think that's going to be a necessary component of this program to do that.

If the department is precluded from doing that, I assume the tribe is not. And maybe it will fall on the individual tribe's responsibilities to get their people in to sign that might mean paying money to do that, which would be just part of the cost of acquiring

1

this land from the tribe's point of view.

2

3

4

6

5 15:13:20

7

8

9

10 15:13:38

> 11 12

13

14

15

15:13:52

16

17

18 19

20 15:14:08

21

22

23

24

2.5 15:14:23

On the appraisal process aspect of this, one person mentioned that all appraisals, real estate is local and appraisals are local. Very true and we need to go to a more massive appraisal process to get this accomplished because of the shear volume. Part of the problem that we encompassed in Wind River is that when we do get appraisals local, that they often entail a certain amount of prejudice, which other folks have spoken about as far as lower land values. Actually, removing the appraisal process from the local area is probably going to be a benefit to the fractional interest holders because they may receive a more fair value than what is perceived locally as diminished value because it's on a reservation.

We have several other concerns about the specific implementation of the program on the appraisal process, but the tribe wants to be integrally involved in administering the process and following through on the quality of the product of the appraisals so that we can ensure that our members get the maximum value

that they deserve from this. And we will submit written comments about this later.

MS. STACIE SMITH: Any other comments?

MR. ROSS RACINE: I am Executive

Director of the Inter-Tribal Ag Council.

I was told I wasn't as clear as I have been in the past about the rights of So I individuals to be a part of this process. want to add some clarity to that. Process for Indian ag producers whether they are farmers or cattlemen, they borrow the money to buy cattle or they borrow money to buy farm implements. Once that is paid off, then they start investing in land and putting together a home Where normally they start off on grandpa's place, they use owner use to set that aside to really get started. And to me we can talk about sovereignty all we want to, but until the tribe and the community have the ability to feed themselves, sovereignty doesn't mean anything. How can you be truly sovereign if you can't feed yourself? And that's a key part of reservation economies that need to govern where you go to these programs. a key part of sustainable economic development

is based on that land resource and the use of that land. I think we need to rethink where we are going with economic development and make sure that our communities have safe, healthy food, and be able to provide that locally. That to me is the overarching umbrella that should be driving this.

And then when we look at the individual rights that we have within ILCA to purchase at probate or to purchase common interest in title, that becomes a key part of that ability to feed our community.

So I hope that adds a little more clarity to the rights of individuals to be a part and to be included in the decisions that you make and the land purchase process. Thank you.

MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: Thank you, Ross.

MR. JOSEPH HARLAN: Good afternoon.

I've been sitting here fighting within myself whether I should say something or not, but I think this is an opportunity to say what's on my mind. I said this a few times at different places and people kind of get this reaction, or I didn't get a reaction. It might be too

24

25

15:19:09

simple, it might be absurd, I don't know. But I've been working in the realty field for a few years, and as I worked in that area I saw there was this disparity between the value of Indian land versus non-Indian land. And it bothers me and I would go to places and I would talk about it.

I ran into a gentleman back in 2008 at a meeting and I think it was Indian lands tenure or something to that effect. I told this gentleman about it, and he says, you know, I appreciate that, because he says, I worked with the BIA for a long time, quite a while, 30 years, something like that, and he says, I worked in appraising, and he said, to tell you the truth, he says, I had it up to here. he says, my wife got sick, and he said, I think it's time for me to get out. And he said, I bought some land in Colorado and I took her up there and he said it did her a world of good. He said, I thought about my life and where I've been, and I think I would like to give that back to the Native Americans, that they've been mistreated. And so he says, you know, the appraising process is a very complicated

15:20:58

process and it takes a long time and it takes a lot of schooling. And he said, but, however, there are basic elements in that process, and he said, I think it's easy to learn. And he says, I think, maybe we can start a consortium of Native American realty officers. He said, I would train these people those basic elements of the appraising process and what they look for. He said, of course, those educated appraisers will get mad at us and they might sue us, but he said, if tribes can have their own appraisers, he said these people would be closer to the land and they would have love that land more and they would value it more. Maybe not money, monetary wise, but he said, they would have that, as this gentleman spoke here, that cultural value. Our people are buried there. And this is the good part, he said, I would be willing to train pro bono.

And I think some people probably look at this opportunity to make a lot of money, you know, but I don't think that's where it's at. And I think if tribes were interested, why not? Why can't we train our people and have them work in that area instead of the bureaucracy

15:21:22 15:21:40 15:21:56 15:22:07

2.5

15:22:21

and special interest groups or looking at the dollar sign as everything, benefitting the grass roots people, the Native Americans, the people who are downtrodden anyway, you know.

But anyway, I set aside some money and I was saving, I was going to set up a workshop, and my tribal government needs that money, so they took it. I guess, you know, it will be a while before I get there. However, I think, you know, this process of training people could probably be done before this appeal process is completed, you know. I'm sure there's going to be appeals to this thing and it's going to take some time. But I think these people love what they are doing and feel good about what they are contributing to their tribe.

Anyway, that's about all I want to say today. So thank you for listening.

MS. STACIE SMITH: Could you state your name?

MR. JOSEPH HARLAN: My name is Joseph Harlan, I'm with the Omaha Tribe in Nebraska.

MR. BILL TOVEY: My name is Bill Tovey. I'm with the Umatilla Tribes in

Pendleton, Oregon.

I just want to talk about appraisals In 2007 we assumed appraisals, a little bit. they were probably two years backlogged. Within six months we cleaned up the backlog. Ι think we could do the appraisals. We've got tribal members in training right now. We do have some issues because we get the directions from OST, who gets the directions from the BIA, and so our superintendent will put some criteria on there like timber sales, that you got to count riparian areas, which increase the value of the appraisals. If there's a HUD house on there, it's not very clear if that's part of the property, which it should be in the appraisals you look at, or if it's really personal property of that. So that's what fluctuates the appraisals.

USPAP and the yellow book, if we are going to have to do appraisals following those criteria it will take a lot longer. We have about 1500 allotments on our reservation. We do probably 200 a year, including market studies and those type of things. So if we can focus on restricted appraisals, or market

15:22:34

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15:22:51

13

14

12

15 15:23:08

16

17 18

19 20

15:23:24

21

22 23

24

2.5 15:23:41

15:24:00

15:24:11 10

15:24:31 15

15:25:07 20

15:25:39 25

studies to get baseline information, and then have more detailed appraisals, that would help.

The other thing is the one-year time limit for an appraisal. If get an appraisal done this year, by the time next year it's not worth anything. So if you can extend that to three or four years, I think you could solve that problem.

I agree with what people are saying here today, that it should be the tribes leading the charge. Maybe the BIA running sending the checks, but we have a land project strategy on purchasing land, what we want to purchase for economic development, for securing right of ways or easements, protecting riparian areas, consolidating areas for timber harvests or for farming operations. I think we can come back to the BIA and OST with a very good plan if the money came directly to the tribe.

MR. RANDY PEREZ: Is this on? Randy Perez, I'm from the Fort Belknap Tribe here north of here. I'm a landowner, although I work for the tribal irrigation program and I have some questions on that or comments on that.

2.5

15:27:06

I work for the tribe and I have been a past councilman. My questions have been pertaining to the appraisals. And my comments on is that if there is that many owners, and there are some tracts that we do have that are like two or three thousand owners, they fall well below the 2 percent.

But my question is, why are we going through an appraisal? We know what the land is worth before it's even appraised. It's just a math question of coming up with an amount of money. Some of these individuals are probably not going to receive more than a few dollars. Some of it probably isn't economical to hunt them down and make them sign an application because it really isn't worth their time and it's going to take somebody within the reservation, it's going to take the bureau or whoever is going to run this program to work with the tribe to do this, so that these individuals will come to the table.

Currently they are doing it in gift deeds. It seemed like a lot smoother operation by going through gift deeds. A couple years ago that didn't take place. For instance, it's

going to take a lot more money than this 15 percent to do all this work. I know it's going to take a lot more than that, unless there's another avenue to shorten the expense on it. You have to work with the tribes to determine that expense.

But the gift deed is simpler. For example, I have a little deal with the bureau that took place here, they didn't have this gift deed, I thought they did at the time, they Me and the buyer agreed on a price. The BIA refused to sell it. This was a full interest tract. So we come up with an idea here, and I paid him for three-fourths of it and he paid me back so I could buy the other fourth. And during that process, that second process, I paid the bureau three times, the clerks all came back to me all three times because of their failed process. So you know they have problems in their disposal, land disposal. I guess it comes back to the area office or at the agency, this working back and forth.

There's got to be a cleaner way to do this process, plus pay their appraiser, you

know, \$800, \$900. Of course, if our appraiser
just were one person or you multiply it around,
so it applies to 30 or 40 people, or do you pay
him again for their appraisal for the next
person? You know, there's a lot of those kind
of questions are mathematical, or fractionally.
Math you could figure this all out.

The other question I have is as an owner. I'm a landowner. I don't want the tribe as a stranger to my title in the event that somebody wants to sell it. I think there should be some way under the bureau system it has to go into fee. Under the tribal system, or you give it to the tribe, it can stay in trust. The tribe can't sell it on the reservation. In our case the tribe can't sell land to an individual, which kind of eliminates this fractionated interest. But they could trade it.

So what I'm saying there should be some way where an individual, if it's 2 percent, that isn't very much, 2 percent of 320 is what, 6.4 acres, and depending on the math, if it's worth \$200 or worth a thousand dollars, depending on what it's worth, it's free to the

15:29:02 10

15:29:22

15:29:37 20

15:29:56 25

15:31:22

tribe apparently on this thing. And there should be a way where the individual could either pay the bureau for the money to pay it, so it could be transferred to the individual, or leave it open where an individual could trade the tribe for that parcel of land, trade their allowable, at least at Fort Belknap. At other places they may not. You know, there's a whole -- there's a situation there as a landowner, I think I wish that would be covered some way. That's a realistic approach to it, that somehow we are covered, too.

In the oil and gas, I'm not really sure if you are resolving oil and gas. Like that home that created the oil and gas thing is probably more problematic than anything because what happened there our allotment was 1921, the tribe was given 50 years for use of that on the reservation, it was about 800,000 acres on our reservation; therefore, when they were sold or exchanged people sold it to the tribe, it didn't go with it. So in 1971 they split it all up. So if there was marriages and all the kinds of stuff that took place, it divided up into kids, and that's where you get a lot more

15:31:37 5

15:32:01 10

15:32:18 15

15:32:35 20

15:32:48 25

undivided interests on the reservations because of the -- it was, you know, on the reservation it wouldn't worth anything, therefore, it couldn't receive any kind of income off of it either. So the creation of the fractionated interest just continued.

I own a tract of land, I think I'm one one-hundredth, and the acreage is 400 acres. So I have a lease, oil lease with that, 1 percent, I get 2 percent now, I get about 15 cents a month. But a lot of people are proud that they even have 2 acres. They have 2 acres, they don't know where it's at, but they are not going to sell it to you, or the tribe. That's just the way I feel. Anyway, I don't know about the oil and gas stuff.

Now, again, landlocked, I'm talking even if it's BIA bought it and gave it to the tribe, I would say over 50 percent of these, they are not going to receive any income often of it because it probably belongs to the individual that has majority interest, they're not going to have a contract with the BIA or tribe. They are going to use it for nothing. And there's a lot of those situations like

that. It's hard to clean up because you don't have 51 percent interest. And that's what you are trying to clean up anyway. But it doesn't take place.

But if it's landlocked there's still.... So actually, I think, for the benefit, you try to get lands that are leasable, or income-wise, I guess I think it's not going to be a lot of benefit here because your percentage is so low, and I agree with I think Majel here, you should have increased that 2 percent. 2 percent should be some different figure. And I'm not no position to say it should be 10 percent or 20 percent or 5 percent. 2 percent is just too low I would think to create a program.

The idea of targeting fractionated interest I think is your goal and I think you should maintain it, but you need a program. And there's some people here that are fairly intelligent enough that have been involved with land transactions for years, but one thing that it really don't target is an agricultural person, whose interest is in agricultural, how he could -- how he fits into this thing. And I

15:33:03

15:33:19 10

15:33:36 15

15:33:56 20

15:34:11 25

15:34:27

15:34:45 10

15:35:05 15

15:35:19 20

15:35:36 25

think Ross talked about it a little bit.

Like mine is family land. My mother worked to buy her sisters and that is everything to us, just to own the land. Again, I end up with it, so I have kind of a tie to it. And some people don't have any ties to the land because they just don't have the interest or it's so far away. Like I got a couple cousins here that we have the same interest in some land, but it's still like 1 percent, a long ways away from being a whole interest.

But anyway, I think the 2 percent with best regard to the program here is too low, and I think if you want a program that will work and to expend your money that's not going to hang out there, I think that percentage should be higher than that.

Right-of-way is another thing.

Right-of-way problems on the reservations are just now beginning. Anybody can shut their land off. Nobody can go through it. There's no roads. A lot of our areas are remote. If you are going to use that as a criteria to purchase land, right-of-ways is a question.

Are you going to buy right-of-ways? And the

21

22

23

24

2.5

15:36:55

tribe, the public right-of-ways is a big question. I think right-of-way, undivided interest that would apply to right-of-ways, I think it would be beneficial to tribal members, or the tribe as a whole.

Water rights, when we talk about this, Montana is a general adjudication state. Basically means they are adjudicating your water rights and there is going to be a decree who owns that water on each respective reservation. The more recent one is the Crow They all have land attached. Tribe. land on our reservation because of the water right issue, it's not adjudicated, we have adjudicated water rights, which is in 1908, which everybody enjoys, and that was for a percentage of the water, but the actual land itself that that adjudication isn't complete yet. But just because it's in irrigation system, they value it at \$1200, \$2000 an acre. So if you have a little place there, you're going to pay that much for land that may be worthless and doesn't have any income. Water rights on some reservations, you know, it's a liability and not a benefit because you don't

25

15:38:27

enjoy the income off of it that you should enjoy. And some of them aren't developed where you do enjoy it. That's going to create a problem for individuals that want to buy land, too.

I guess the other question, too, as soon as the government gets in the act here, the land is going to go up. I don't care how you look at it. There's competition and people want to buy it. So actually land will go up. They say land isn't worth much on the reservation as off the reservation. Which mathematically it may or may not be. again, farmland, if it's worth \$500 if it's farmed, or CRP worth \$500, it probably isn't going to be much more than that. That's kind -- farmland is more stable in its price. They don't have pasture land that's farmable any more. Farmland actually somehow pays for itself. You can take 320 acres of pasture land and you pay \$150, \$200 an acre, well, that's going to be up there \$64,000 or \$48,000. very hard to pay for that. So you need other land to paper for it. So that's how on pasture But we are talking about undivided

interest, too.

So the other thing is recreation land, just came -- we had an outside appraiser come in here and appraise some land on our southern end of the reservation \$3500 an acre. That might be something that the committee here could work with and that would be something that they could buy with all their money that they do have.

My last question -- I guess I probably have a lot of questions -- but one question I do have is that in the '80s and '90s some lands were foreclosed by individuals and I think Jay talked about it, that they lost their property, and that's government land. Once it's foreclosed and goes into -- goes back to the U. S. Government into fee, it becomes fee land. I don't know if those people could buy that back or if it could be part of this or not, but that's something -- I had some good friends that lost property. That's kind of in the back of my mind and I was wondering if they would ever receive it back.

And the other thing I guess as a landowner, it's kind of like a free program,

15:38:39

15:38:59

1 4

15:39:19 15

15:39:41

15:39:55 25

15:40:12 15:40:24 15:40:40

15:41:17 20

15:41:37 25

but really isn't free, it came off the settlement of the individual, not the tribal government. So I think that's one of the questions we have is when they buy a fractionated interest on it, somebody's allotment, actually the individual income, I think the tribe has their own lawsuit. I'm not sure.

But those are some questions I had, and I think, you know, they were questions I had in my mind and I probably have more, but one of the things is that I think there is tribal people that could come up with a program on their respective reservations that may be acceptable, but I'm not sure how your program is going to be run. I know you're just having initial meetings. But you're probably going to have more meetings after this. Anyway, thank you.

MR. JOHN DOSSET: My name is John Dosset, I'm the General Counsel with the National Congress of American Indians. Deputy Secretary Hayes met with the executive committee and they asked him to start consultation on this program. I know the

1 2

3

4

5 15:41:52

> 6 7

8

9

10 15:42:02

11

12 13

14

15:42:13

17

15

16

18

19

20 15:42:28

> 21 22

23

24

2.5 15:42:41

executive committee is really appreciative that you guys are moving on this now. Thanks on behalf NCAI for getting this going. It's a terrific program you guys are getting started with. And we really want to work closely with you and do anything we can to help move it along.

I did want to raise just a couple points and I will do them fairly quickly because I know it's getting toward the end of the day. One is on land data and information that tribes may need in order to engage in the consultation. At least a part of the consultation is for tribes to be able to select which tracts of land that they would be interested in consolidating. And I know that many tribes have their own data and information systems, but they may not be as up to date as what the Bureau of Indian Affairs has. would be interested, Mike in particular in working with you or with Darrell LeCount, in maybe putting together an idea of what kind of data requests to be fairly easy for the Bureau of Indian Affairs to put together as far as getting information to tribes on the

15:42:52 5

15:43:06

15:43:21 15

15:43:31 20

15:43:46 25

fractionation on their reservation in their region, and it might help with some of the planning that tribes need to do, or even the consultation overall.

A second reason that I think looking at the data will be important, at least what we've seen is about 40 percent of the tracts in Indian Country aren't fractionated at all.

They are still in single ownership. But in the previous administration, the Bush administration, they cut off all supports for estate planning. And at least in our view at NCAI this has been really a serious -- going to create serious problems. We could spend \$1.9 billion dollars on land consolidation and 50 years you'll have just as much fractionation as you have before if there is not estate planning to try to keep land from fractionating in the future.

So I know this funding can't be spent on estate planning, but I think it may be worthwhile for the bureau to think about estate planning and what they can do to work with tribes and provide some funding for estate planning, so that the unfractionated land

doesn't become a lot like the fractionated land that's the problem right now.

The third thing I was going to mention Deputy Secretary Hayes mentioned that you guys are looking favorably on the idea of contracting with tribes. I'm really encouraged I think that's -- we are in the area by that. of tribal self-determination, tribes always do it better than the Bureau of Indian Affairs So it's great news that you are taking a look at that. But what he said, there's going to be some issues to work through. At least, what you might consider doing, some of the tribes have fairly well developed land consolidation programs, like Rosebud's tribal land enterprise. You might consider working with them and start thinking about what the parameters of an agreement would look like so that you can get that going. Because I know you guys have your interests in the program, the tribes have their interest in the program. That's what agreements are all about. And if you can use this time, perhaps those agreements would be ready to go whenever the appeals are done and the money is ready to go. I know the

2.5

15:44:47

2.5

15:45:52

tribes are really interested in making sure this program doesn't drag out too long. We might run into a future administration that doesn't support it, and so getting this done as quickly as possible is important.

And then lastly, there's been a lot of talk today about appraisals and different ways that the Indian Land Consolidation Act has been interpreted. I know NCAI has been involved in the 2000 amendments and 2003 amendments to the Indian Land Consolidation You know, when we were working on the Act. bill, we always thought we gave the Bureau of Indian Affairs all kinds of flexibility to devise these programs, and then I think sometimes it goes to the solicitor's office and everybody reads a statute differently, and it's viewed as being much more restrictive than perhaps what we intended when we were working with Congress.

I would just like to offer we would be very glad to work with the solicitor's office on how can we interpret these laws to give you guys the maximum amount of flexibility and efficiency, what were tribes thinking about

when we developed the statutes, and then if technical amendments are needed in Congress, we would be -- that was something that we always reserved when we went to work on these issues with Congress before, we said, hey, we are doing the best we can on the Indian Land Consolidation Act, but we always know we need to go back and get some more technical amendments to fix it up. So we've reserved that right with Congress, and we would be happy to work with you to go back to Congress if necessary. Of course, ideally we could work it out within the solicitor's office or regulations. But if we have to go back to Congress, we would like to work with you on that.

Again, thanks once again for all the work you are putting on in this program, all the consultations you're going to be doing, traveling all over the country. Thanks again.

MS. JODI GILLETTE: Thank you.

MR. ERNIE LITTLE: Once, again, my name is Ernie Little. I'd like to, as a tribal member, Oglala Sioux Tribe, make a statement for the benefit of my tribe. I heard quite a

2.5

15:48:49

bit of testimony about fee to trust. Been involved in some of that. Seen some a lot of our good lands go out of control of the tribe with no way, no resources to get them back.

I'm bothered by something that I'm aware of, and everyone in this room probably knows the history of Wounded Knee . We have a historical site here that some people were involved in. And ended up on our reservation some of the last resistance. I own land where some of them people ran to the stronghold. I've owned some of that through my mother.

That piece of land where that store and stuff used to sit, several years ago it was offered to the tribe through one of their programs. I don't know if any of the tribal programs or the tribe itself had the resources to purchase that. The significance of that property and the people that are buried on that hill, this program it might have the opportunity to benefit Oglala Sioux Tribe and all the other tribes that people are resting there, to get that back for the Pine Ridge. There's a number of other fee lands that surround that place, and it's sad, sad to see

what's happening there and no resources to do that. I thought it might be the opportunity for me to say that. Once, again, it's for the children. I understand the significance of the land base and the possibility of not having a home. I wanted to say that for the record. I'd like to make one more statement while I have the opportunity.

I had an experience trying to finance an office for the housing authority. As everyone probably knows in this room, Mr. Johnson Holy Rock was the father of Indian housing. He's one of our tribal members, our tribal elders, 90-plus years old. And I don't know if it ever developed, even if it wasn't for Mr. Holy Rocks relationship with John Kennedy. So I say that in honor of Johnson Holy Rock.

We took a U.S.D.A. loan for \$3.6 million dollars. A large loan. I worked eight or ten programs with U.S.D.A. So when they brought up this title insurance, I was blindsided by that. And I truly feel it's not necessary. You have the Bureau of Indian Affairs realty office, federal office, you have

U.S.D.A., federal agency, yet somehow when we spoke earlier here about the middle men developing things to take some of the top money off, in that process my tribe, through whatever monies we have to pay that back, we'll pay that \$6,000 worth of insurance. And I don't know how much other attorney, TA dollars, I had the ability to implement that loan with the regular U.S.D.A. process, to finance some elderly homes that we built there, six, eight of them, and I thought it was sufficient, you know. there's something in there that this gentleman talked about that was really sad when he talked about those encumbrances talked about earlier. There's a 640-acre tract, and I probably put 30 houses on that tract through the years, or rural water systems on there, the power lines, individual tracts, we have Sundance grounds on part of it, the U.S. Highway 18. And the processes of researching old FmHA loans where the resources from the tribe would have to satisfy the loan, we had at least ten acres, ten acres from the tribe, and had to title search all them records. And it's not necessary.

I think if there's some way, Jodi, or maybe I speak directly to you, that them organizations could adjust that title research TSR to the acreage involved with whatever is going to be put on that property, and I think it came down to it, we had many people involved in that, and I think it came down to searching for a record in 1923 for the State of South Dakota U.S. highway. Just by visual I could tell them there was a Nebraska power and OST water in that road. You know, I would say truthfully then were the only encumbrances on there and spent 60-plus days, and I don't know how many people through the system to clear that.

So I just wanted to mention that.

Maybe somebody might take that and plug it in and it will be very helpful to all the tribes.

I, too, want to thank the audience and thank you for sitting there and giving me this opportunity. Thank you, again.

MS. STACIE SMITH: So I see we have one more comment from the tribal leaders. No? Okay. Do you still want to speak now? No?

So given the time, I think I'm going

2

1

to hand this over to our department to give some final remarks and to close us out for the day.

3

15:54:39 5

8

9

7

15:54:56 10

11

12

13

14

15:55:10 15

16

17

18 19

15:55:33 20

21

22

23

24

15:55:53 25

MS. JODI GILLETTE: Thank you everyone, and I've been commenting throughout, so I don't want to take lot of time discussing and rehashing some of the things that I've said already. We have heard from a lot of different perspectives today and it has been very helpful, anywhere from the questions from how we are going to handle mineral interests, oil and gas, how we are going to address individual interests, how we are going to in terms of those that want to participate. What are we going to do about contracting. The need for better data. We are keenly aware of the sort of underlying trust issue, and not in terms of our trust responsibility but the trust that tribal leaders and people have in our ability to do something. And we are really wanting to work with you the best way that we can to make sure we can perform and we can have a successful outcome.

I don't know what the answers are to a lot of the questions you have here today, and

2.5

15:57:16

that's a good thing, because if we already knew the answers, then we would have already planned without having these discussions. And so we are taking your comments back and we are doing analysis of everything that we've heard. The written comments are also going to be very constructive and helpful input that we are going to take back.

And at the end of the day really what this is all about is having meaningful consultation, and we hear that over and over again throughout the day that you've been here before, you've told us these things before, and you are not satisfied with how we reflected your input. So we are going to do a summary at the end of all six consultations and we are also looking forward to hearing after that what the next steps are. We do want to have another sort of input or somehow when we do our plan, we want to come back to you with that plan and see if you can provide us more insight and analysis.

And I heard something from one of the gentlemen that was talking that there's an interest for the tribe and there's an interest

15:58:24 20

22

23 24

19

21

15:58:37 25

for the Interior, and I really think that we want to do our best to reflect -- we don't want to have an interest in here, we want to reflect the best way we can the tribal interest.

With that in mind, we also are under the constraints of the 15 percent administrative costs. So clearly there's going to be some balancing and prioritization, because we can't do everything for everyone, but we want to do the best that we can to get to meet as many of those objectives as possible. We are at the beginning of this interaction, and hopefully within the next -- the comments period is open until October 15th. I know the first publishing of this consultation said September 15th. We extended it for another 30 days, because we didn't feel like 90 days was adequate time. We know in the summertime news gets out a little bit slower. So we are having five more consultations, and we do invite folks to come and join us. As you are processing this information and taking it back to your tribes, we'll have a consultation out in Seattle, which is close for the Rocky Mountain tribes. We will have another one out in

Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, where the Great Plains can participate there. There's a couple more, Oklahoma City, Phoenix, and Albuquerque, and I'm not going to give the dates, because I can't tell you them off the top of my head.

But with all sincerity I just want to express our appreciation for the work that you've done and the time that you've put in to representing your nation and your families as you come and join us here today in Billings. Thank you.

MS. MEGHAN CONKLIN: I want to thank everyone for being here today, particularly those of you who are were here bright and shiny this morning. I know it's been a long day. I appreciate you being here, spending the day with us, and offering your very honest and heart felt comments. And being very welcoming. This is my first trip to Montana. I'm a native Californian. I appreciated how welcoming all of you have been to me today.

As you heard earlier from Secretary Salazar, this Cobell settlement is a very key priority to Secretary Salazar and to President

16:00:57

Obama. And I want you to know that attention to this issue is receiving attention from high levels at the Department of the Interior because we take this matter very seriously.

And it's really been a tremendous honor to be here with you today and to listen to your very important comments and meaningful comments about this program. As Jodi mentioned, this is just the beginning of our consultations. We are going to be having five more consultations through October 15th in other areas around the country. And you are also welcome to send in additional written comments to the department through October 15th. And we look forward to receiving those.

And I just want to echo again that we take your comments very seriously. We are going to be evaluating them very closely as we continue to internally discuss this issue and develop our plans for implementation of that program.

And I just again want to thank all of you for being here today, and I hope that we can continue to work together. My door is certainly open, if you ever have questions that

1 2.

3

4

16:01:11 5

> 6 7

8

9

10 16:01:26

> 11 12

13

14

15 16:01:42

16

17

18 19

20 16:01:54

21

22

23

24

2.5 16:02:08

I can help you with, I look forward to staying in touch.

And I want to turn it over to Mike Black or Mike Berrigan again or Ray Joseph to see if you have any closing comments.

MR. MICHAEL BERRIGAN: This Mike I'm with the Solicitor's office. Berrigan.

I want to clarify something that I think Deputy Secretary Hayes said this morning in passing relates to the \$60 million dollar scholarship fund provision, how that works. Τt is possible for more than \$60 million dollars to go into the scholarship provision under the settlement agreement in two ways. One under the whereabouts unknown provisions where after five years if the whereabouts unknown are still not claimed, those funds can go to supplement the scholarship fund.

And in addition, payments that are left over from the trust class, administration class, can also supplement the \$60 million dollar scholarship fund. So it can be higher than \$60 million total. But the settlement agreement specifically says that money that's not spent from the land consolidation program

would revert back to the treasury after ten years. So that's one of the reasons that Deputy Secretary Hayes said we want to front loads the process and try and spend all of that money as possible. That was based on a miscommunication from myself to Deputy Secretary at the table. I wanted to clarify that before the end. So thank you.

MR. MICHAEL BLACK: First off, I would like to apologize for having to leave early this afternoon for a little bit. As you well know, there's been a number of incidents happening around Montana here over the past few months with the flooding at Crow and the Montana area and the resent oil spill out at Exxon. I did have to go to a briefing with the Secretary on the oil spill.

Again, I apologize for having to leave. I will look forward to seeing the transcripts of the comments that came in while I was absent. I look forward going through all of them as we go down this road.

But, again, thank you for welcoming all of us here today. I knew coming in, knowing the tribes and stuff that we work with

out here, that we were going to get a lot of good, well thought out comments, and I wasn't disappointed in the least about it. I hope the department feels the same. I think this is going to go a long way for our success in this program. And just from my own standpoint, this is huge. I mean, this is one of the biggest things that we as the Bureau of Indian Affairs, OST, and the department have undertaken at least in my 23-year career and I think in the history of the BIA.

And failure is not an option. As far as I'm concerned, we cannot fail on this. And I don't think we will. But in order to succeed that's going take a all of us walking hand in hand. It will take a cooperative effort between the Department of Interior and the tribes and allottees and taking everybody interests into account to success with this. We are going to need your help as we go forward and I appreciate all comments and I know the willingness to help us success with this.

So thank you. Those of you that are sticking around, enjoy Montana, it's a great place to be. Thank you.

2.5

16:05:27

MR. RAY JOSEPH: I just wanted to echo some of Mike's of comments and say I appreciate the opportunity to be here today. It's a privilege to hear your honest and sincere comments. And at the same token it's a wonderful opportunity that we work together in this spirit of partnership.

Just yesterday I walked into a lovely situation at Fort Peck, for example, and I would like to let President King know that several of his staff presented some great ideas for us to work together. Came up with a wonderful solution to a problem that we didn't come up with on our own. And it was one of those opportunities to work together. And I think that's what this is about is at times there were individuals who thought we had to have a plan. I know Senator Tester was very keen on this opportunity, and it was something that we had to work together to structure. And there were a lot of folks who said, why don't you have a plan, and it was partly to build this a collaborative way, which can be frustrating to folks at times. But at the same token it's only through walking hand in hand at

1

2

the same time, that's our organizations working together. I know at times that can be very frustrating for folks to understand, well, you got passed off here and got passed off there. My Mike Black and I are two floors apart. can tell you that stairway is used a lot where I'm running up and there and he's running down to deal with some of these issues on and work together. It's not a separate organization at In many cases we are already working on many of these issues. That's something that the department has taken as a step forward, and we all technically work for Ken Salazar, who has a very unique perspective on that. He's very driven on that.

Again, I thank you for the opportunity to be here today, and it's been a privilege.

MS. STACIE SMITH: Thank you so much. I think the transcript will be made available on the website when it's completed. And I think other information is all available on the website.

Thanks to every one for being here today. Have a great afternoon and evening.

1	(Applause.)
2	(Proceeding adjourned.)
3	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
4	I, Richard L. Mattson, Certified Court
5	Reporter, certify that the foregoing
6	proceedings were reported by me in machine
7	shorthand and thereafter reduced to typewriting
8	via computer-aided transcription; and that it
9	is a true and correct record of the proceedings
10	contained therein.
11	
12	
13	
14	Richard L. Mattson Certified Court Reporter
15	Notary Public for the State of Montana
16	Residing at Billings
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	